1	Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) ST398
2	Associated with Clinical and Subclinical Mastitis in Belgian Cows
3	
4	Wannes Vanderhaeghen <sup>*†</sup>
5	Tineke Cerpentier <sup>‡</sup>
6	Connie Adriaensen <sup>*</sup>
7	Jo Vicca $^{\ddagger}$
8	Katleen Hermans <sup>†</sup>
9	Patrick Butaye <sup>*†</sup>
10	
11	* Veterinary and Agrochemical Research Center, CODA-CERVA-VAR, Groeselenberg 99, B-
12	1180 Ukkel
13	† Ghent University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Pathology, Bacteriology
14	and Poultry diseases, Salisburylaan 133, 9820 Merelbeke
15	‡ University College KaHo Sint-Lieven, Association Catholic University Leuven, Department
16	of Agro- and Biotechnology, Hospitaalstraat 23, 9100 Sint-Niklaas
17	
18	Corresponding author: Wannes Vanderhaeghen, <u>wavan@var.fgov.be</u> , tel. + 32 2 379 04 35,
19	fax: + 32 2 379 06 70
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# 26 Abstract

- 27 Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is infrequently reported in mastitis. Yet,
- as in many other countries, the prevalence of methicillin resistance among S. aureus from
- 29 mastitis is currently unknown in Belgium.
- 30 To elucidate this, the presence of mecA was investigated in 118 S. aureus strains originating
- 31 from diagnostic mastitis milk samples from 118 different farms experiencing S. aureus

32 mastitis. MRSA strains were characterized by disk diffusion susceptibility testing, spa-typing,

33 MLST and SCCmec-typing. In an additional study, four MRSA-positive farms were selected

- 34 to assess the in-herd prevalence of MRSA, by sampling all cows in lactation. Isolated MRSA
- 35 strains were similarly characterized.
- 36 The mecA gene was detected in eleven (9.3%) of the 118 S. aureus isolates, indicating that
- 37 nearly 10% of the Belgian farms suffering from *S. aureus* mastitis have an MRSA problem.
- 38 The in-herd prevalence varied between 0% and 7.4%. Characterization of the MRSA strains
- 39 showed that they were all resistant to tetracycline. Additional resistances to macrolides,
- 40 lincosamides and aminoglycosides were frequently detected. The strains were ST398, spa-
- 41 types t011 or t567 and had SCCmec-types IVa or V, proving they belong to the emerging
- 42 livestock-associated MRSA (LA-MRSA) strains of CC398.
- 43 Our study shows that after detection in Belgian pigs, horses and poultry, LA-MRSA has also
- 44 attained Belgian cattle. It is the first report on frequent isolation of LA-MRSA from bovine
- 45 infections. As the in-herd isolation rate resembles that of regular *S. aureus* in farms
- 46 experiencing *S. aureus* mastitis, the multi-resistance of LA-MRSA strains may cause future
- 47 treatment problems.

48 Keywords

- 49 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, MRSA, mastitis, Belgium, ST398, multidrug
- 50 resistance

### 51 Introduction

52 *Staphylococcus aureus* is a major pathogen in dairy cattle mastitis (Waage et al., 1998;

53 Tenhagen et al., 2006; Piepers et al., 2007). Resistance of *S. aureus* to antimicrobial agents

54 can complicate treatment of its infections (Lowy, 2003). For treatment of mastitis, methicillin

resistance, which is caused by the expression of the *mecA* gene, is of particular interest.

56 Indeed, this mechanism confers resistance to almost all types of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics active

57 against S. aureus, and these antibiotics are still frequently used in mastitis treatment (Sawant

58 et al., 2005). However, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has never been

59 important in mastitis. After the very first report of MRSA in mastitis in 1972 (Devriese et al.,

60 1972), MRSA has been described in mastitis only occasionally (Lee, 2003; Kwon et al., 2005;

61 Lee, 2006; Juhász-Kaszanyitzky et al., 2007; Moon et al., 2007; Hendriksen et al., 2008).

62 From such studies, it seems that the prevalence of MRSA in mastitis is generally low. Yet,

63 data on MRSA in mastitis need to be assessed carefully, as there are often ambiguities on

64 presence of *mecA*, level of investigation and origin of the detected MRSA strains.

65 Recently, a specific MRSA clone, CC398, has been found associated with pigs, veal calves,

66 broiler chickens, companion animals and people in close contact with livestock. MRSA of this

67 type, called Livestock-Associated MRSA (LA-MRSA), typically has closely related *spa*-types

68 (de Neeling et al., 2007; Denis et al., 2009), carries mostly SCCmec-types IVa and V (Witte et

al., 2007; Van den Eede et al., 2009) and cannot be typed with PFGE using SmaI digestion

70 (Bens et al., 2006). In addition, LA-MRSA shows resistance against tetracycline and, to a

71 lesser extent, macrolides, lincosamides, aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones (Witte et al.,

72 2007). Generally LA-MRSA lacks common virulence factors found in other MRSA

73 (Monecke et al., 2007; Walther et al., 2009). This is remarkable because, although

74 infrequently compared to colonization, LA-MRSA has been isolated from infections, of both

animals and humans (e.g. Hermans et al., 2008; Krziwanek et al., 2009). To our knowledge,

76 so	o far only one st	tudy has reported	on the isolation	of MRSA ST398	from a case of mastitis
-------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------	-------------------------

77 (Monecke et al., 2007).

78 We performed two studies to assess the role of MRSA in Belgian S. aureus mastitis. In a first

restudy we investigated how many S. aureus isolated from mastitis were resistant to methicillin.

80 Second, we investigated the in-herd prevalence of MRSA in Belgian herds where cows were

81 previously shown to suffer from MRSA mastitis.

82 Methods

- 83 1. Methicillin resistance in S. aureus isolated from mastitis
- 84 Strains

85 From November 2006 through April 2007, the regional veterinary laboratories were asked to

86 send us a representative isolate from all farms on which an *S. aureus*-mastitis problem was

87 detected. Care was taken to include only one strain per visited farm. As such, a collection of

88 118 non duplicate isolates of S. aureus, originating from cases of subclinical or clinical

89 mastitis from different farms was obtained.

90 **DNA extraction** 

91 An Eppendorf cup (Eppendorf, Germany) containing a 500 µl Brain Heart Infusion broth

92 (BHI) (BioRad, France) overnight pure culture was centrifuged for 3.0 min at approx.

93 20,000 x g, at room temperature. After removal of the supernatant, 45 µL of sterile, distilled

94 water and 5 µL of a 1 mg/mL lysostaphin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) solution at 4°C were

95 thoroughly mixed with the pellet of cells. After incubation for 10 min at 37°C, 45 μL of

96 sterile, distilled water, 5 μL of a 2 mg/mL proteïnase K (Merck, Germany) solution at 4°C

97 and 150 µL of tris-HCl of 0.1 M at pH 8.0 were added. The resulting solution was incubated

98 for 10 min at 60°C, followed by 5 min at 100°C and then centrifuged for 5 min at approx.

99 20,000 x g, at room temperature. DNA was stored at -20°C until use.

100 Identification of MRSA

- 101 A triplex PCR, targeting a Staphylococcus-specific 16S rRNA sequence, the mecA gene and
- 102 the *S. aureus* specific region of the thermonuclease gene (*nuc*), was performed as previously
- 103 described (Maes et al., 2002). The amplified DNA fragments were separated by
- 104 electrophoresis on a 2% agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) gel stained with SYBR Safe DNA gel
- stain (Invitrogen, USA), for 2 h at 80V, using an O'RangeRuler 100bp DNA ladder
- 106 (Fermentas, Germany).

#### 107 Characterization of MRSA

- 108 Susceptibility testing
- 109 Strains proven to be MRSA were tested for susceptibility to non  $\beta$ -lactam antimicrobial
- agents, by using the disk diffusion method. A panel of 16 antimicrobial agents was used:
- 111 chloramphenicol, gentamicin, kanamycin, tobramycin, fucidic acid, erythromycin, tylosin,
- 112 lincomycin, linezolid, quinupristin + dalfopristin, mupirocin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline,
- 113 rifampicin, sulfonamides and trimethoprim (NeoSensitabs, Rosco, Denmark). Results were
- 114 recorded after 24h incubation at 37°C and interpreted according to the directions for use of
- 115 Rosco with the method described by the CLSI guidelines (document M31-A3).
- 116 Spa-typing
- 117 Of all MRSA strains, the polymorphic X-region of the *Staphylococcus* protein A (*spa*) gene
- 118 was amplified according to the Ridom StaphType standard protocol
- 119 (www.ridom.de/staphtype). Amplicons were purified with a Nucleospin Extract II kit
- 120 (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and then sequenced using the same primers. The sequenced
- 121 DNA was then run on a CEQ 8000 Genetic Analysis System (Beckman Coulter, United
- 122 Kingdom) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The resulting *spa*-types were assigned
- 123 by using the Ridom StaphType software package (Ridom GmbH, Germany).
- 124 *MLST*
- 125 Multi Locus Sequence Typing was performed on all MRSA strains. In short, seven household
- 126 genes of *S. aureus* were amplified using primers previously described (Enright et al., 2000).

- 127 Amplicons were purified with a Nucleospin Extract II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and
- 128 then sequenced using the same primers. The sequenced DNA was then run on a CEQ 8000
- 129 Genetic Analysis System (Beckman Coulter, United Kingdom) according to the
- 130 manufacturer's instructions. Allele numbers and sequence type (ST) were assigned by using
- 131 the S. aureus MLST website (http://saureus.mlst.net).

132 SCCmec-typing

- 133 The SCCmec type was determined using three different sets of primers (Oliveira and de
- Lencastre, 2002; Zhang et al., 2005; Milheiriço et al., 2007). For differentiation among
- 135 SCCmec types I–IV we used all the primers described by Oliveira and de Lencastre (2002).
- 136 The PCR mix consisted of 25 µL of Taq PCR Master Mix (Qiagen Gmbh, Germany), 4 µL of
- 137  $H_2O$  and 16  $\mu$ L of the primers, in the reported concentration. To this mix 5  $\mu$ L DNA was

added.

- 139 For subtyping SCCmec of type IV, we used the primers described by Milheiriço et al. (2007).
- 140 The PCR-mix consisted of 25 µL of Taq PCR Master Mix (Qiagen Gmbh, Germany), 6.4 µL
- 141 of H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 µM of primers J IVa forward (F) and reverse (R), 0.2 µM of J IVb F and R, 0.4
- 142  $\mu$ M of ccr B2 F and J IVc F and R, 0.8  $\mu$ M of ccrB2 R, J IVd F and R, 0.9  $\mu$ M of J IVg F and
- 143 R, and 0.9  $\mu$ M of J IVh F and R. To the mix 5  $\mu$ L DNA was added.
- 144 A third set, meant to detect SCCmec-type V and to have a control for SCCmec-types IVb,
- 145 IVc, IVe and IVf, was based on the method described by Zhang et al. (2005). The PCR mix
- 146 consisted of 25 µL of *Taq* PCR Master Mix (Qiagen Gmbh, Germany), 10.4 µL of H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.6
- 147  $\mu$ M of primers Type V F and R, 0.8  $\mu$ M of Type IVc F and R, and 1.0  $\mu$ M of Type IVb F and
- 148 R. To the mix 5 µL DNA was added.
- 149 We used the same PCR program for all three sets: an initial denaturation of 4 min at 94°C, 35
- 150 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 53°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for
- 151 1 min, followed by a final extension for 4 min at 72°C.

## 152 2. MRSA in-herd prevalence

- 153 From the results of the first study, four MRSA positive farms were selected for investigation
- 154 of the in-herd prevalence of MRSA, defined as the number of MRSA-positive cows relative to
- 155 the total number of lactating cows present in the specific farm. A randomly chosen fifth farm
- volunteered to serve as control (Table 2). Milk samples were taken from each quarter. All
- sampling was done by the same person, from February 2008 through April 2008.
- 158 Samples were immediately transported to the Veterinary and Agrochemical Research Center
- 159 (VAR), where each sample was plated on Columbia Colistine Aztreonam Plates (CAP)
- supplemented with 5% sheep blood (Oxoid, Germany) and on chromID MRSA plates
- 161 (Biomérieux, France). Suspected S. aureus or MRSA colonies were purified. Pure colonies
- 162 were then subjected to the MRSA triplex PCR, as described above. Strains identified as
- 163 MRSA were characterized by susceptibility testing, *spa*-typing, MLST and SCCmec-typing,
- as described above.
- 165 **Results**
- 166 1 Methicillin resistance in S. aureus isolated from mastitis
- 167 **Detection of MRSA**
- 168 All 118 isolates phenotypically identified as *S. aureus* were confirmed to be *S. aureus* by the
- triplex PCR. A total of 11 isolates (9.3%) contained mecA (Table 1). Two MRSA originated
- 170 from clinical mastitis, the other nine from subclinical mastitis (Table 1).

## 171 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

- 172 Antibiotic resistance patterns of the 11 MRSA strains are shown in Table 1. Nine of them
- 173 showed additional resistance to at least two different antibiotics. All strains were resistant to
- tetracycline; nine were resistant to trimethoprim, seven to aminoglycosides and lincomycin,
- 175 five to macrolides and two to ciprofloxacin (Table 1). No resistance was detected to the other
- 176 antimicrobial agents tested.
- 177 MLST, spa- and SCCmec-typing

- 178 Ten strains were *spa*-type t011. One strain had a different yet related *spa*-type, t567 (Table 1).
- 179 All MRSA strains were ST398 (Table 1). Five strains had SCCmec-type IVa, and five had
- 180 SCCmec-type V. The SCCmec-type of one strain could not be determined with the different
- 181 sets of primers we used (Table 1).
- 182 2 MRSA in-herd prevalence
- 183 Identification of MRSA
- 184 The percentage of cows carrying MRSA in their milk varied between 0% and 7.4% (Table 2).
- 185 Quarter level prevalence ranged from 0% to 1.98% (Table 2). Three of the four selected farms
- 186 were positive. MRSA could not be detected in one farm previously found positive nor in the
- 187 control farm (Table 2).
- 188 One cow from the first farm carried MRSA in three of her quarters. In all other positive cows,
- 189 MRSA was found in only one quarter, resulting in 14 isolates in total (Table 3). Most isolates
- 190 were found in the right-hind (6 isolates) and right-front (4 isolates) quarter (Table 3). Of the
- 191 11 cows that had MRSA in only one quarter, nine of the MRSA isolates were found in one of
- 192 the hind-quarters.
- 193 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing
- 194 All six strains isolated from the first farm had the same susceptibility profile (Table 3). They
- 195 were all resistant to tetracycline, the tested macrolides and lincomycin, and were susceptible
- 196 to all other antimicrobial agents tested.
- 197 In the second farm, two out of five strains were resistant to trimethoprim, tetracycline and the
- tested aminoglycosides, and susceptible to all other antimicrobial agents tested. The three
- 199 other strains had additional resistances to the tested macrolides and lincomycin (Table 3).
- 200 The three strains from the third farm were resistant to the tested aminoglycosides, macrolides,
- 201 lincomycin, tetracycline and trimethoprim (Table 3). They were susceptible to the other
- 202 antimicrobial agents tested.
- 203 MLST, spa- and SCCmec-typing

204 All MRSA strains showed *spa*-type t011 (Table 3). The strains originating from the first farm 205 had SCCmec type V, while all strains isolated from the cows of the other two farms had 206 SCCmec type IVa (Table 3). MLST was performed on one representative MRSA strain per 207 farm. As strains from farm 2 showed two different resistance profiles, one representative 208 strain from each profile was tested. The four strains tested all were ST398 (Table 3). 209 Discussion 210 The prevalence of methicillin resistance in S. aureus isolated from mastitis in our first study is 211 unexpectedly high. In the abundance of studies investigating the antibiotic resistance of 212 mastitis pathogens, few reports have noted a substantial occurrence of methicillin resistance, 213 meaning MRSA is usually negligible as a mastitis pathogen (Hendriksen et al., 2008). 214 However, we found nearly 10% of our 118 S. aureus strains to be MRSA. This means that 215 nearly 10% of the Belgian farms experiencing S. aureus mastitis is affected by MRSA. 216 Reports can be found in which a higher prevalence of MRSA among S. aureus isolated from 217 mastitis cases is described. In Turkey, Turutoglu et al. (2006) found 18 out of 103 (17.5%) S. 218 aureus isolates from mastitis milk samples to be MRSA. However, they did not mention 219 whether all strains were collected from different farms experiencing S. aureus mastitis. In 220 addition, their detection method was limited to phenotypic disk diffusion testing. Performing 221 only phenotypic tests has previously been shown to lead to false positive or false negative 222 results (Murakami et al., 1991; De Oliveira et al., 1999). Generally it is now accepted that 223 checking for the presence of *mecA* is the most reliable method for detection of methicillin 224 resistance, and staphylococci carrying *mecA* should be regarded as resistant to almost all types 225 of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics (CLSI guidelines, M31-A3). Consequently, to accurately assess our 226 results, only other reports in which mecA was proven to be present should be considered. Still, 227 even then, it remains difficult to make viable comparisons, due to differences in sampling 228 methodology or a lack of information on the source of the strains. For example, two South

229 Korean studies did not mention exactly how many of their samples originated from mastitis

230 (Lee, 2003; Lee, 2006). A Hungarian study sampled only a single farm (Juhász-Kaszanyitzky

- et al., 2007). In two other studies from South Korea, the data involved quarter-level results
- 232 (Kwon et al., 2005; Moon et al., 2007).

233 Despite these difficulties to fully assess our results, it must be acknowledged that the MRSA

234 prevalence we found is quite high. However, some other remarks should be made. First, the

burden of MRSA for Belgian milk production cannot be assessed, because we have no data on

the total number of farms that were visited during the sampling period. Also, while our study

237 allows us to estimate the importance of methicillin resistance in Belgian S. aureus mastitis,

238 we cannot judge the importance of MRSA for mastitis as a whole. A hint to address the latter

239 can be found in a recent study that investigated the importance of S. aureus in Belgian

240 mastitis. It was found that *S. aureus* was the most prevalent species in Belgian quarter milk

samples from subclinical mastitis, with 25% of culture-positive quarter samples with a

geometric mean composite somatic cell count of  $\geq$  250 000 cells/ml harboring *S. aureus* 

243 (Piepers et al., 2007). Regarding this, our result is certainly quite worrying.

Another important fact is presented by our typing data. All our strains had characteristics

245 typical for the emerging livestock-associated MRSA CC398 strains. Consequently, it seems

that our findings should rather be regarded as a further expansion of the host range of the

247 CC398 MRSA clone than as an indication of a generally increasing incidence of methicillin

resistance in mastitis-associated *S. aureus*. This should however not be less worrying.

249 In addition to its resistance against all  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, which are still the most used

250 antimicrobial agents in the treatment of mastitis, the typical antibiotic resistances of LA-

- 251 MRSA also include some other antibiotics used to treat or prevent mastitis, such as
- aminoglycosides and macrolides (Sawant et al., 2005). This could lead to serious treatment
- 253 problems. Moreover, in our second study we found that the in-herd prevalence of LA-MRSA

254 ranged between 0% and 7.4%. In the farms where MRSA was found, it varied from 3.9% to 255 7.4%, with a corresponding quarter level prevalence of 0.97% to 1.98%. This resembles the 256 in-herd quarter level prevalence of S. aureus described earlier in a cross-sectional collection 257 of Belgian milk samples (Piepers et al., 2007), suggesting that, considering its spread in 258 farms, LA-MRSA behaves similar to regular mastitis causing S. aureus. The possibility that 259 LA-MRSA could become equally important in mastitis as normal S. aureus should thus be 260 thoroughly investigated. Unfortunately, we have no data on the individual health status of the 261 cows from which MRSA was isolated in our second study, so we cannot state that the LA-262 MRSA strains we found were actually involved in mastitis. As it was shown that within-cow 263 transmission between quarters likely occurs in S. aureus mastitis (Barkema et al., 1997), the 264 fact that 11 of the 12 cows carried LA-MRSA in only one quarter could mean that the isolates 265 concerned only contaminants. However, S. aureus infection of only one quarter also certainly 266 exists (Barkema et al., 1997). Moreover, S. aureus was shown to more frequently infect the 267 right and hind quarters (Barkema et al., 1997; Barkema et al., 2006). Of the 11 single-quarter 268 LA-MRSA isolates we found, 10 originated from right quarters and nine from hind quarters. 269 Considering also our first study, which clearly showed the capacity of LA-MRSA to cause 270 mastitis, the actual presence of LA-MRSA in Belgian mastitis should urgently be studied in 271 more depth, in order to profoundly assess its possible burden. 272 LA-MRSA has been reported only once before in mastitis in cows, one LA-MRSA strain that 273 was found among 128 S. aureus isolated from German mastitis cases (Monecke et al., 2007). 274 While this strain was *spa*-type t034, our strains were *spa*-types t011 and t567. It thus seems 275 unlikely that a specific subclone of LA-MRSA is associated with mastitis, but more research 276 is required to confirm this. Until now, it is also unclear whether LA-MRSA has an actual 277 reservoir in dairy cattle. Whereas veal calves have been found carrying LA-MRSA in the

278 Netherlands (Mooij et al., 2007) and Belgium (unpublished data), the colonization capacity of
279 LA-MRSA in milking cows has not yet been investigated.

280 The presence of LA-MRSA in infections has been reported substantially less frequent than 281 carriage, and has only been described occasionally in pigs (van Duijkeren et al., 2007), horses

- 282 (Hermans et al., 2008; Loeffler et al., 2009), humans (e.g. Krziwanek et al., 2009) and a dog
- 283 (Witte et al., 2007). Our findings thus seem to add new proof of a certain pathogenic potential
- 284 of LA-MRSA. Remarkably, many common virulence factors, including those considered to
- 285 be involved in mastitis, such as toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (tsst-1), haemolysins and
- 286 enterotoxins (Matsunaga et al., 1993), have been shown to be largely absent in LA-MRSA
- 287 (Monecke et al., 2007; Walther et al., 2009). However, as we did not check for the presence of
- 288 virulence factors in our strains, the significance of our data regarding the pathogenic potential

289 of LA-MRSA is hard to assess. Yet, in addition to the other reports on LA-MRSA associated

290 with infections, our findings urge for further research into the virulence capacities of LA-

291 MRSA.

#### 292 Conclusions

293 We found an unusual high prevalence of MRSA in Belgian cases of subclinical and clinical S.

294 *aureus* mastitis in cows. All strains belonged to the CC398 clone, which, seen its multi-

resistance, may lead to treatment problems. Future research is warranted to assess the actual

spread and corresponding burden that LA-MRSA may pose for dairy cattle farming and to

297 elucidate which virulence factors are involved.

#### 298 Acknowledgments

299 DGZ and ARSIA are acknowledged for sending us the strains for analysis. Dr. Luc

300 Demeulemeester is acknowledged for helping us contacting the MRSA-positive farms. We

- 301 also thank Danielle Vandergheynst for her skilled technical assistance. These studies were
- 302 funded by the Belgian Antibiotic Policy Coordination Committee (BAPCOC) and by the

Belgian Federal Public Service of Public Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment, project
number RF-6189 MRSA.

305 **References** 

- 306 1. Barkema, H.W., Schukken, Y.H., Lam, T.J., Galligan, D.T., Beiboer, M.L., Brand, A.,
- 307 1997. Estimation of interdependence among quarters of the bovine udder with subclinical
- 308 mastitis and implications for analysis. J. Dairy Sci. 80, 1592-1599.
- 309 2. Barkema, H.W., Schukken, Y.H., Zadoks, R.N., 2006. Invited review: The role of cow,
- 310 pathogen, and treatment regimen in the therapeutic success of bovine *Staphylococcus*
- 311 *aureus* mastitis. J. Dairy Sci. 89, 1877-1895.
- 312 3. Bens, C.C.P.M., Voss, A., Klaassen, C.H.W, 2006. Presence of a novel DNA methylation
- 313 enzyme in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates associated with pig
- farming leads to uninterpretable results in standard pulsed-field gel electrophoresis
- analysis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44, 1875-1876.
- 4. de Neeling, A.J., van den Broek, M.J.M., Spalburg, E.C., van Santen-Verheuvel, M.G.,
- 317 Dam-Deisz, W.D.C., Boshuizen, H.C., van de Giessen, A.W., van Duijkeren, E.,
- 318 Huijsdens, X.W., 2007. High prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in
- 319 pigs. Vet. Microbiol. 122, 366-372.
- 320 5. De Oliveira, A.P., Watts, J.L., Salmon, S.A., Aarestrup, F.M., 1999. Antimicrobial
- 321 susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in Europe and the
- 322 United States. J. Dairy Sci. 83, 855-862.
- 323 6. Denis, O., Suetens, C., Hallin, M., Catry, B., Ramboer, I., Dispas, M., Willems, G., Gordts, B.,
- 324 Butaye, P., Struelens, M., 2009. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in swine farm
- 325 personnel, Belgium. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15, 1098-1101.
- 326 7. Devriese, L.A., Van Damme, L.R., Fameree, L., 1972. Methicillin- (cloxacillin)-resistant
- 327 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from bovine mastitis cases. Zentralbl.
- 328 Veterinarmed. B. 19, 598-605.

329	8. Enright, M.C., Day, N.P.J., Davies, C.E., Peacock, S.J., Spratt, B.G., 2000. Multilocus
330	Sequence Typing for characterization of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible
331	clones of Staphylococcus aureus. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38, 1008-1015.
332	9. Hendriksen, R.S., Mevius, D.J., Schroeter, A., Teale, C., Meunier, D., Butaye, P., Franco,
333	A., Utinane, A., Amado, A., Moreno, M., Greko, C., Stärk, K., Berghold, C., Myllyniemi,
334	AL., Wasyl, D., Sunde, M., Aarestrup, F.M., 2008. Prevalence of antimicrobial
335	resistance among bacterial pathogens isolated from cattle in different European countries:
336	2002-2004. Acta Vet. Scan. 50, 28.
337	10. Hermans, K., Lipinska, U., Denis, O., Deplano, A., Struelens, M.J., Nemati, M., Pasmans,
338	F., Butaye, P., Martens, A., Deprez, P., Haesebrouck, F. 2008. MRSA clone ST398-
339	SCCmec IV as a cause of infections in an equine clinic. Vlaams Diergeneeskundig
340	Tijdschrift; 77, 429-433.
341	11. Juhász-Kaszanyitzky, É., Jánosi, S., Somogyi, P., Dán, Á., van der Graaf-van Bloois, L.,
342	van Duijkeren, E., Wagenaar, J.A., 2007. MRSA transmission between cows and humans.
343	Emerg. Infect. Dis. 13, 630-632.
344	12. Krziwanek, K., Metz-Gercek, S., Mittermayer H., 2009. Methicillin-resistant

345 *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 from human patients, upper Australia. Emerg. Infect. Dis.

346 15, 766-769.

- 347 13. Kwon, N.H., Park, K.T., Moon, J.S., Jung, W.K., Kim, S.H., Kim, J.M., Hong, S.K., Koo,
- 348 H.C., Joo, Y.S., Park, Y.H., 2005. Staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*)
- 349 characterization and molecular analyzis for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
- and novel SCCmec subtype IVg isolated from bovine milk in Korea. J. Antimicrob.
- 351 Chemother. 56, 624-632.

- 352 14. Lee, J.H, 2003. Methicillin (oxacillin)-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated
- from major food animals and their potential transmission to humans. App. Environ.

354 Microbiol. 69, 6489-6494.

- 355 15. Lee, J.H., 2006. Occurrence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains from
- 356 cattle and chicken, and analysis of their *mecA*, *mecR1* and *mecI* genes. Vet. Microbiol.

357 114, 155-159.

- 358 16. Loeffler, A., Kearns, A.M., Ellington, M.J., Smith, L.J., Unt, V.E., Lindsay, J.A., Pfeiffer,
- 359 D.U., Lloyd, D.H., 2009. First isolation of MRSA ST398 from UK animals: a new
- 360 challenge for infection control teams? J. Hosp. Infect. 72, 269-271.
- 361 17. Lowy, F.D., 2003. Antimicrobial resistance: the example of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J.
- 362 Clin. Invest. 111, 1265-1273.
- 363 18. Maes, N., Magdalena, J., Rottiers, S., De Gheldre, Y., Struelens, M.J., 2002. Evaluation of
- 364 a triplex PCR assay to discriminate *Staphylococcus aureus* from coagulase-negative
- 365 staphylococci and determine methicillin-resistance from blood cultures. J. Clin. Microbiol.
- 366 40, 1514-1517.
- 367 19. Matsunaga, T., Kamata, S., Kakiichi, N., Uchida, K., 1993. Characteristics of
- 368 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from peracute, acute and chronic bovine mastitis. J. Vet.
- 369 Med. Sci. 55, 297-300.
- 370 20. Milheiriço, C., Oliveira, D.C., de Lencastre, H., 2007. Multiplex PCR strategy for
- 371 subtyping the Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome *mec* type IV in methicillin-resistant
- 372 *Staphylococcus aureus*: 'SCC*mec* IV multiplex'. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 60, 42-48.
- 373 21. Monecke, S., Kuhnert, P., Hotzel, H., Slickers, P., Ehricht, R., 2007. Microarray based
- 374 study on virulence-associated genes and resistance determinants of *Staphylococcus aureus*
- isolates from cattle. Vet. Microbiol. 125, 128-140.

- 22. Mooij, R., Toelstra, A., Jenkins, J.M., Thijsen, S.F.T., 2007. MRSA in calves. English
- *abstract* Infectieziekten bulletin 18, 234-236.
- 378 23. Moon, J.-S., Lee, A.-R., Kang, H.-M, Lee, E.-S., Kim, M.-N., Paik, Y.H., Park, Y.H., Joo,
- 379 Y.S., Koo, H.C., 2007. Phenotypic and genetic antibiogram of methicillin-resistant
- 380 Staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis in Korea. J. Dairy Sci. 90, 1176-1185.
- 381 24. Murakami, K., Minamide, W., Wada, K., Nakamura, E., Teraoka, H., Watanabe, S., 1991.
- 382 Identification of methicillin-resistant strains of staphylococci by polymerase chain
- 383 reaction. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29, 2240-2244.
- 384 25. Oliveira, D.C., de Lencastre, H., 2002. Multiplex PCR strategy for rapid identification of
- 385 structural types and variants of the *mec*-element in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*
- 386 *aureus*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46, 2155-2161.
- 387 26. Piepers, S., De Meulemeester, L., de Kruif, A., Opsomer, G., Barkema, H.W., De
- 388 Vliegher, S., 2007. Prevalence and distribution of mastitis pathogens in subclinically
- infected dairy cows in Flanders, Belgium. J. Dairy Res. 74, 478-483.
- 390 27. Sawant, A.A., Sordillo, L.M., Jayarao, B.M., 2005. A survey on antibiotic usage in dairy
- herds in Pennsylvania. J. Dairy Sci. 88, 2991-2999.
- 392 28. Tenhagen, B.-A., Köster, G., Wallmann, J., Heuwieser, W, 2006. Prevalence of mastitis
- 393 pathogens and their resistance against antimicrobial agents in dairy cows in Brandenburg,
- 394 Germany. J. Dairy Sci. 89, 2542-2551.
- 395 29. Turutoglu, H., Ercelik, S., Ozturk, D., 2006. Antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus*
- *aureus* and coagulas-negative staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis. Bull. Vet. Inst.
- 397 Pulawy 50, 41-45.
- 398 30. Van Den Eede, A., Martens, A., Lipinska, U., Struelens, M., Deplano, A., Denis, O.,
- 399 Haesebrouck, F., Gasthuys, F., Hermans, K., 2009. High occurrence of methicillin-

- 400 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 in equine nasal samples. Vet. Microbiol. 133, 138-
- 401 144.

402	31. van Duijkeren, E., Jansen, M.D., Flemming, S.C., de Neeling, H., Wagenaar, J.A.,
403	Schoormans, A.H.W., van Nes, A., Fluit, A.C., 2007. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus
404	aureus in pigs with exudative epidermitis. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 13, 1408-1410.
405	32. Waage, S., Mørk, T., Røros, A., Aasland, D., Hunshamar, A., Odegaard, A.S., 1998.
406	Bacteria associated with clinical mastitis in dairy heifers. J. Dairy Sci. 82, 712-719.
407	33. Walther, B., Monecke, S., Ruscher, C., Friedrich, A.W., Ehricht, R., Slickers, P., Soba, A.,
408	Wleklinski, CG., Wieler, L.H., Lübke-Becker, A., 2009. Comparative molecular analysis
409	substantiates zoonotic potential of equine methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J.
410	Clin. Microbiol. 47, 704-710.
411	34. Witte., W., Strommenger, B., Stanek, C., Cuny, C., 2007. Methicillin-resistant
412	Staphylococcus aureus ST398 in humans and animals, Central Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis.
413	13, 255-258.
414	35. Zhang, K., McClure, JA., Elsayed, S., Louie, T., Conly, J.M., 2005. Novel multiplex
415	PCR assay for characterization and concomitant subtyping of Staphylococcal Cassette
416	Chromosome mec types I to V in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J. Clin.

417 Microbiol. 43, 5026-5033.

Strain	Type of mastitis	Resistance profile <sup>a</sup>	spa	MLST	SCCme
1	Subclinical	AG, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa
2	Clinical	AG, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa
3	Subclinical	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa
4	Subclinical	LM, CIP, TET, TMP	t011	398	V
5	Subclinical	TET	t567	398	NT
6	Subclinical	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa
7	Subclinical	LM, CIP, TET, TMP	t011	398	V
8	Clinical	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa
9	Subclinical	KAN, TOB, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	398	V
10	Subclinical	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	398	V
11	Subclinical	TET	t011	398	V

418 Table 1. Resistance profile, Multi-Locus Sequence Type (MLST), *spa-* and Staphylococcal
419 Cassette Chromosome (SCC)*mec-*type per MRSA strain in study 1.

420 <sup>a</sup> AG: all aminoglycosides tested; KAN: kanamycin; TOB: tobramycin; ML: all macrolides

421 tested; LM: lincomycin; CIP: ciprofloxacin; TET: tetracycline; TMP: trimethoprim

422 <sup>b</sup> NT: not typeable with the primers used

Farm	Herd size	Herd size	Positive cows		ize Positive cows Positive quar		e quarters
	(n cows)	(n quarters)	n	%	n	%	
1	63	252	4	6.3	6	1.98	
2	68	272	5	7.4	5	1.83	
3	77	308	3	3.9	3	0.97	
4	51	204	0	0	0	0	
5	69	276	0	0	0	0	

423 Table 2. In-herd prevalence of MRSA • no. 5: control farm

Farm	Quarter <sup>a</sup>	Strain	Resistance profile <sup>b</sup>	Spa	MLST <sup>c</sup>	SCCmec
	LH	1	ML, LM, TET	t011	ND	V
	RH	2	ML, LM, TET	t011	ND	V
	RF	3	ML, LM, TET	t011	ND	V
1	LF	4	ML, LM, TET	t011	398	V
	RH	5	ML, LM, TET	t011	ND	V
	RF	6	ML, LM, TET	t011	ND	V
	LH	7	AG, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa
	LF	8	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	ND	IVa
2	RH	9	AG, TET, TMP	t011	ND	IVa
	RH	10	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa
	RH	11	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	ND	IVa
	RH	12	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	ND	IVa
3	RH	13	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	ND	IVa
	RF	14	AG, ML, LM, TET, TMP	t011	398	IVa

425 Table 3. Resistance profile, Multi-Locus Sequence Type (MLST), *spa-* and Staphylococcal

426 Cassette Chromosome (SCC)*mec*-type per MRSA strain in study 2.

427 <sup>a</sup> LF: left-front; LH: left-hind; RH: right-hind; RF: right-front

428 <sup>b</sup> AG: all aminoglycosides tested; LM: lincomycin; ML: all macrolides tested; TET:

429 tetracycline; TMP: trimethoprim

430 <sup>c</sup> ND: not determined