1	Edge and management effects on soil microbial communities in
2	deciduous forests across Europe
3	Running title: Soil microbial variation across European forests
4	Jie Yang <sup>1,2,*</sup> , Haben Blondeel <sup>2</sup> , Camille Meeussen <sup>2</sup> , Sanne Govaert <sup>2</sup> , Pieter Vangansbeke <sup>2</sup> , Pascal
5	Boeckx <sup>3</sup> , Jonathan Lenoir <sup>4</sup> , Anna Orczewska <sup>5</sup> , Quentin Ponette <sup>6</sup> , Per-Ola Hedwall <sup>7</sup> , Giovanni
6	Iacopetti <sup>8</sup> , Jörg Brunet <sup>7</sup> , Pieter De Frenne <sup>2</sup> , Kris Verheyen <sup>2</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, P.R.
9	China; <sup>2</sup> Forest & Nature Lab, Department of Environment, Faculty of Biosciences Engineering, Ghent
10	University, Gontrode-Melle, Belgium; <sup>3</sup> Isotope Bioscience Laboratory, Department of Green Chemistry
11	and Technology, Faculty of Biosciences Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; <sup>4</sup> UMR CNRS
12	7058 « Ecologie et Dynamique des Systèmes Anthropisés » (EDYSAN), Université de Picardie Jules
13	Verne, 80000 Amiens, France; <sup>5</sup> Institute of Biology, Biotechnology and Environmental Protection,
14	Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland; <sup>6</sup> UCLouvain – Université
15	catholique de Louvain, Earth & Life Institute, Croix du Sud 2 box L7.05.09, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve,
16	Belgium; <sup>7</sup> Southern Swedish Forest Research Centre, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences,
17	Alnarp, Sweden; <sup>8</sup> Department of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Forestry, University of Florence,
18	P. le Cascine 28, 50144, Florence, Italy

19	*All correspondence should be addressed to:
20	Dr. Jie Yang
21	College of Resources and Environment
22	University of Chinese Academy of Sciences
23	19 A Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District
24	Beijing 100049, P. R. China
25	E-mail: yangjie22@ucas.ac.cn
26	Tel: +86 10 88256057
27	Fax: +86 10 88256057

# 28 Abstract

29	Forest fragmentation increases the proportion of edge area and this, in turn, induces changes in
30	forest structure, species composition and microclimate. These factors are also strongly determined
31	by the forest management regime. Although the interactive effects of edges and management on
32	forest plant communities have been extensively studied, little is known about the response of the
33	belowground communities. Here we investigated the variation of soil microbiota in 45 deciduous
34	broadleaved forests along a latitudinal gradient from Italy to Norway at a continental scale across
35	Europe. Phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) and neutral lipid fatty acid (NLFA) were used to map the
36	microbial community in the forest edge and interior across three forest types (dense, intermediate,
37	open forest). Microbial community composition was only affected by forest edge effects and not
38	by forest management type. We did not find any interaction effects between forest type and
39	distance-to-edge. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) were significantly more abundant in edges
40	and Gram-negative bacteria more abundant in interiors, respectively. The microbial community
41	composition was closely related to soil pH, soil potassium and nitrogen, texture (percent sand) and
42	soil temperature. In sum, we reveal the edaphic properties-dependence of soil microbial
43	composition and also highlight the effects of forest edges on the belowground communities. More
44	research is still required to understand the consequences of forest edges and forest management
45	for forest functioning and all components of biodiversity.

46 Keywords: Microbial community, edge effect, forest type, PLFA, deciduous forests

#### 47 **1. Introduction**

Humans have transformed forests into other land uses for thousands of years, which largely 48 increased the level of forest fragmentation (Kaplan et al., 2009). Consequently, the proportion of 49 interior forest habitat to the total amount of forest area has decreased steeply to the benefit of 50 51 increasing forest edge area across many forested parts of the globe (Fischer et al., 2021). Based on the proportion of forest in the neighborhood of a forest pixel, an approach to define forest interior 52 53 as described as Riitters et al. (1997), the global net loss rate of forest interior area is more than 54 three times the global net loss rate of all forest habitat loss between 2000 and 2012 (Riitters et al., 55 2016). It has been estimated that nearly 20% of the global forested area is positioned within 100 m of a forest edge (Haddad et al., 2015), while approximately 3.6% of deciduous forests across 56 Europe within 4.5 m of a forest edge (Meeussen et al., 2021). 57 58 In forest edges, the environmental conditions, including the temperature, light, soil moisture,

and nutrient inputs are different from those away from the edges and towards the interiors of the 59 forest fragments, and these local changes can strongly impact biological communities (Cadenasso 60 61 and Pickett, 2001; Pardini, 2004). These so-called "edge-effects" within forest fragments are 62 multi-faceted and depend on the contrasting characteristics between edges and interiors as well as 63 edge and adjacent land (Harper et al., 2005). For example, forest edges are generally exposed to more sunlight, higher wind speed, and stronger air mixing, leading to a higher evapotranspiration 64 65 and temperature variability than the interiors of the forest (Chen et al., 1993; Young and Mitchell, 1994; Didham and Lawton, 1999; Davies-Colley et al., 2000). These initial responses to edge 66 67 effects will affect the recruitment, growth, mortality, and species interactions at the edge, which will have a profound influence on community structure and ecosystem functioning (Fagan et al., 68

69 1999; Harper et al., 2005).

70 The size of edge effects are not similar but they generally depend on the size and shape of the 71 forest fragments (Ewers and Didham, 2007), the contrast with adjacent non-forest environments (Fletcher and Koford, 2003), and other contextual factors, such as forest structure and edge 72 73 orientation (Matlack and Litvaitis, 1999; Orczewska and Glista, 2005; Remy et al., 2018). Notably, forest management can strongly affect the response of community structure to forest edges as 74 75 these practices could shape the community structure via general alterations of forest structure and 76 its impact on the underlying microclimate, which would further affect the edge contrast with the 77 surrounding landscapes (Aussenac, 2000; Crow et al., 2002). For instance, in open (recently thinned) forests, wind speeds, nutrient inputs, and seed dispersal of generalists into the interior of 78 79 the forests is facilitated and thus a lower edge-interior contrast is found than in dense forests 80 (Cadenasso and Pickett, 2001). Although edge effects among varied forest types have been studied in few studies, most of them still focused on above-ground biological communities (Karraker and 81 82 Welsh, 2006; Morris et al., 2010; Girona et al., 2016; Govaert et al., 2020), therefore little is 83 known about the dual, interactive effects of forest edges and types on belowground microbial 84 communities.

Soil microbial communities offer great potential in forest ecosystem functioning as they are actively involved in biogeochemical processes, such as soil organic matter decomposition, nutrient immobilization, etc (Osburn et al., 2021). Due to the different sensitivity of microbial species to environmental conditions such as light, temperature, moisture, and soil nutrients, the composition of the microbial community is expected to vary due to forest types or edge effects. For example, increased light in thinned forests or forest edges may enhance the supply of carbohydrates from 91 vegetation to symbiotic fungal counterparts (such as mycorrhiza), thereby enhancing the
92 colonization and growth of fungi (Louche-Tessandier et al., 1999; Fuzy et al., 2014). Similarly,
93 decreased topsoil moisture in edges and increased nitrogen availability can be expected to impact
94 the biomass, community composition and activity of the soil microbial groups (Allen and
95 Schlesinger, 2004; Malmivaara-Lamsa et al., 2008; Ushio et al., 2008; Remy et al., 2018).

Here we address this knowledge gap and examined the belowground community composition 96 responses to the edge effects within forests with different forest types (dense, intermediate and 97 98 open forests) in 45 deciduous broadleaved forest edges across Europe. The edges were situated 99 along a latitudinal gradient from Italy to Norway. Our aim was to disentangle the effects of the distance to the edge and forest type on soil microbial community composition at the continental 100 101 scale, while taking variation of environmental gradients in multiple European regions into account 102 to increase generality. We expected that the microbial community composition would change 103 along the forest edge-interior transect, but that these effects depended on the forest types.

104

#### 105 2. Materials and methods

106 2.1 Study area

Soils were sampled in 9 different regions along a latitudinal gradient in Europe, crossing the temperate, Mediterranean and boreonemoral forest biomes. Along this north-south gradient, the selected regions were in Norway, Central Sweden, Southern Sweden, Germany, Poland, Belgium, Northern France, Switzerland and Italy (Figure 1). In three of these regions, i.e., Norway, Belgium and Italy, three elevational belts were additionally sampled (i.e. low, intermediate and high elevation). Other regions only contained the lower elevational belt (i.e., lowland conditions). All

of the 15 sampling sites (9 low elevation sites, 3 intermediate elevation sites and 3 high elevation 113 sites) contained three distinct forest types, i.e., dense forest, intermediate forest and open forests. 114 Dense forests were characterized by a well-developed shrub layer, high basal area and dense 115 canopy cover. This type of forest had not been managed for more than 10 years and was generally 116 not thinned during the last 30 years. Intermediate forest had lower basal areas and canopy 117 coverage since its last thinning event, usually occurring 5 to 10 years before sampling. Open forest 118 was characterized by a lower basal area and higher canopy openness. These forests had been 119 120 thinned during the 1-4 years before sampling. A 100 m transect from the southern forest edge to 121 the interior were established in each forest. A total of 45 transects were included in this study (15 sites  $\times$  3 forest types). In each transect, two 3  $\times$  3 m<sup>2</sup> plots were set up, one at the edge (0–3 m) 122 123 and one at the interior (98-101 m away from the edge). More details regarding the study design 124 are provided in Govaert et al. (2020) and Meeussen et al. (2020).

125

126 2.2 Soil chemical and texture analysis and soil microclimate: abiotic analysis

In all 90 plots, topsoil samples (0–10 cm depth) were collected for chemical analyses of nutrients and pH, and subsurface soil samples (10–20 cm depth) were used for texture analysis. Five random subsamples from each plot were collected and then pooled together for subsequent analysis. The mixed topsoil samples were dried and sieved through 1 mm mesh, then soil pH (in H<sub>2</sub>O), calcium, potassium, magnesium, total carbon and nitrogen, and bioavailable phosphorus (Olsen P) were measured as described by Govaert et al. (2020). The texture was determined by sieving and sedimentation with the pipet method according to ISO 11277 (2009).

134 Soil moisture was gravimetrically determined by air-drying the 0-10 cm soil sample at 50°C

for 48 hours in a drying oven. Soil temperatures in the plot were measured by temperature data
loggers (Lascar Easylog EL-USB-1) installed at a depth of 5 cm, and the mean temperatures
during the summer (June-August) of 2018 were selected for the following analysis. See Meeussen
et al. (2021) for details on the microclimate.

139

140 2.3 Determination of soil microbial community composition: biotic analysis

Additional soil samples (0-10 cm) for soil microbial analysis were also a subsample of selected 141 142 samples within the plot during the June-August of 2018. These samples were immediately after 143 sampling kept in portable cooling boxes before being transported to the laboratory, and then the samples were stored in the freezer at -18°C until phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) and neutral lipid 144 145 fatty acid (NLFA) extraction. The PLFA and NLFA were extracted and determined according to 146 Quideau et al. (2016). In brief, 3 g freeze-dried soil samples were extracted with Bligh and Dyer extractant, which comprised of a citrate buffer, chloroform and methanol with a ratio of 0.8:1:2 147 (v/v/v). Glycolipids were washed off from the polar lipids with acetone. Neutral lipids and 148 149 phospholipids were eluted and collected from polar lipids by adding chloroform and methanol to the solid-phase extraction column (silica), respectively. The separated neutral lipids and 150 151 phospholipids were transformed to fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) with methanolic KOH. N2-dried FAMEs were resolved by adding 1 ml hexane before the gas chromatograph analysis, 152 and the identification and quantification of each PLFA/NLFA were accomplished by gas 153 chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS, Trace GC-DSQ, Thermo Fisher, USA). Methyl 154 155 nonadecanoate (MeC19:0) was used as internal standard and the concentration of each biomarker 156 was expressed in  $\mu g/g$ .

157	A total of 23 PLFAs and 7 NLFAs were detected in this study. Only 19 PLFAs and one NLFA
158	which showed a high-frequency and well-recognized identity here, were selected as useful
159	biomarkers to calculate the total microbial biomass. The PLFA biomarkers iC 15:0, iC 15:0, aC
160	15:0, iC 16:0, iC 17:0 and aC 17:0 were assigned to Gram-positive bacteria (Farrell et al., 2013;
161	Kaiser et al., 2015); 16:1007c, cy 17:0 and cy 19:0 were assigned to Gram-negative bacteria
162	(Mitchell et al., 2015); 10MeC16:0, 10MeC17:0 and 10MeC18:0 were assigned to Actinobacteria
163	(Xu et al., 2019); C14:0, C15:0 , C16:0 and C17:0 were assigned to non-specific bacteria
164	(Steinbeiss et al., 2009; Willers et al., 2015); while 18:206c and 18:109c were assigned to
165	saprophytic fungi (Zhang et al., 2014). To minimize the influence of the background amounts
166	(from bacteria) of PLFA16:1ω5c on the estimation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal biomass in
167	soil, the ratio of NLFA and PLFA 16:105c was used to represent arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
168	(Olsson, 1999; Ngosong et al., 2012). The relative abundance of each microbial group was
169	calculated as the sum of representative PLFA/NLFA biomarkers divided by the total microbial
170	biomass.

172 2.4 Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out in R (ver.4.0.3) (R Core Team, 2008). To explore correlation within the data, we first calculated a Spearman correlation matrix between pairs of edaphic properties (% sand, % silt, % clay, pH, total C and N, Olsen P, K, Mg, Ca, C/N, soil moisture and temperature) using the *rcorr* function in the *Hmisc* package (Harrell and Dupont, 2008). We used linear mixed-effects models (LMMs) to test factor variables distance-to-edge and forest type effects on edaphic properties and microbial composition, respectively. When fitting 179 LMMs on edaphic properties, we used log transformations for response variables: % sand, % silt, % clay, total C, Olsen P, Mg, Ca, C/N; while we used sqrt transformations for response variable total 180 N (Table S1). When fitting LMMs on microbial composition, we used log transformations for 181 response variable- total microbial biomass (Table 1). We tested the interaction between 182 distance-to-edge and forest types as fixed effect in our LMMs, while the "region" factor variable 183 (with 15 levels) was included as a random effect (random intercept) in our LMMs. The lmer 184 185 function in *lme4* package was used to fit LMMs (Bates et al., 2014). Additionally, the magnitude 186 of edge influence (MEI) was calculated per forest type for each microbial group. The MEI was estimated for edge plots for each transect as (e-i)/(e+i), wherein e represents the relative 187 188 abundance of each microbial group in the edge plot and i represents those in the interior plot (Harper et al., 2005). 189

190 Models were run for each microbial group as well as total microbial biomass separately to 191 test the multiple edaphic properties effects on microbial composition. First, a model including predictor variables soil pH, % sand, % silt, % clay, C, N, Olsen P, K, Mg, Ca, C/N, moisture, 192 193 temperature was assessed for each response variables, i.e., relative abundance of each microbial 194 group as well as total microbial biomass. We controlled for collinearity among response variables 195 with Spearman correlation coefficients (Figure S1) and used r>0.7 as a threshold to remove explanatory variables that are too much correlated to limit multicollinearity issues in the models 196 (Dormann et al., 2013). Soil C, Mg, Ca, silt % and clay % were highly correlated with other 197 edaphic properties (Figure S1) and thus were not used as explanatory variables in these LMMs. A 198 199 LMM with the remaining explanatory variables and random effect "region" was fitted for each response variable. Second, the generated models were simplified using the *dredge* function of the 200

201 *MuMIn* package based on Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) and the single best model was
 202 selected for the following analyses.

To explore the variability of the microbial community composition along the distances-to-edge and forest type gradients, non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on the Bray Curtis distance matrix were conducted in the metaMDS function in the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al., 2013). The Shepard diagram of NMDS can be found in Figure S2. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was used to test the significance in the microbial community composition (for 999 permutations) along the distance-to-edge and forest type gradients (function *adonis* in *vegan* package).

210 The relationship between microbial community composition and edaphic properties was 211 determined by canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). Before the analysis, edaphic properties 212 were log- or sqrt-transformed (same with Table S1) to reduce the influence of a skewed data distribution on the results. The best model was selected using the step function, which uses AIC 213 214 for the model choice. The collinearity among the constraining variables were checked by Variance 215 Inflation Factor (VIF) using vif.cca function and the variables with high VIF (>10) was excluded 216 in the final model. The CCA model and the permutation test for CCA were performed within 217 function cca and anova.cca in the vegan package.

218

219 **3. Results** 

220 3.1 Abiotic environmental properties

221 We first tested the interactive effects of distance-to-edge and forest type on the edaphic properties.

222 Based on the LMMs, we found no significant interactions on the edaphic properties we tested

223	(Table S1). Then, we tested the main effects of distance-to-edge and forest types on those
224	properties, respectively. We found the distance to the forest edge significantly influenced several
225	edaphic properties. For example, soil K, Mg and Ca were 34.37%, 48.52%, and 63.63% were
226	higher in forest edges than in forest interior, respectively ( $p < 0.01$ ). The distance-to-edge also had
227	an impact on soil pH and temperature, with a significantly higher pH value and temperature in
228	forest edges than those in interiors (p $< 0.01$ and $< 0.05$ , respectively). Forest types did not affect
229	edaphic properties significantly, with an exception that soil temperature was significantly higher in
230	open forest than in dense and intermediate forest ( $p < 0.05$ ).

### 232 3.2 Soil microbial abundance

233 Similarly, we found no significant interactions of distance-to-edge and forest type on the relative 234 abundance of microbial groups as well as the total microbial biomass based on the LMMs (Table S2). Then we tested the main effects only, i.e., forest types and distance from edges respectively, 235 on the soil microbial abundance. We found that the distance-to-edge exerted a significant impact 236 237 on soil microbial abundance, in which arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) showed a significantly higher relative abundance in the forest edges than interiors, while Gram-negative bacteria showed 238 a significantly higher proportion in the forest interiors than edges instead (Figure 2, Table 1). In 239 240 contrast, the microbial abundance was not significantly affected by the forest type (p > 0.05, Table 1). However, when further investigating the magnitude of edge influence on the microbial 241 242 composition in different forest types separately, we still found some contrasting edge effects among different microbial groups and forest types. In general, we found the greatest MEI in AMF 243 groups, irrespective of forest types (dense forest, intermediate forest and open forests) (Figure 3). 244

When compared with dense forests, the absolute MEI of AMF tends to decrease (close to zero) in open forests, while it tends to increase in intermediate forests. In contrast, the absolute MEI in Gram-negative bacteria increased after the thinning practice (see intermediate and open forest), while the largest effect size was also found in intermediate forests. Notably, other microbes only changed to a minor degree or highly variable between the positive and negative MEI, indicating the unstable status of edge effects.

The edaphic properties had a varying effect on the relative abundance of different microbial 251 252 groups (Table 2). Soil K was the most influential factor which was retained in most of the models. 253 However, soil K was positively correlated with the abundance of saprotrophic fungi but negatively 254 correlated with the abundance of Gram-negative bacteria and Actinobacteria. Other edaphic 255 factors, for example, % sand also had significant correlations with microbial groups, which was 256 positively correlated with Gram-negative bacteria while negatively correlated with Actinobacteria. Finally, the total microbial biomass was larger in soils with higher N but decreased with Olsen P 257 258 concentrations.

259

260 3.3 Soil microbial community composition

The NMDS revealed the effects of multiple groups on the soil microbial community composition (Figure 4). The NMDS ordination of PLFA/NLFA profiles produced a two-dimensional ordination with low stress (S= 0.0827) after 20 iterations. Although not significant, distance-to-edge still had an impact on the soil microbial composition to a large degree (PERMANOVA, p =0.078), while neither forest type nor the interaction between distance-to-edge and forest type had observable effects on the microbial community composition (p = 0.736 and p = 0.631, respectively; Table S3).

267	CCA were performed to investigate which edaphic properties contributed to explain the
268	variation in soil microbial community composition (Figure 5). According to the CCA, the first two
269	axes explained 24.54% of the variance in microbial community composition. Variation in the
270	microbial community was significantly related to soil pH, K, N, % sand and temperature (Table
271	S4). The first CCA axis was strongly correlated with soil K and pH but negatively correlated with %
272	sand and temperature, and the second CCA axis was positively correlated with soil N.
273	

# 274 4. Discussion

# 275 4.1 Distance-to-edge effect

A different microbial PLFA/NLFA community was observed between forest interiors and edges, 276 277 which could be mainly attributed to edge-to-interior gradients in soil pH, N and K concentration 278 (Figure 5a). It is known that forest edges that border a non-forested habitat can exhibit increased aboveground productivity due to the greater light exposure (Malanson and Kupfer, 1993), 279 therefore the altered litter input and quality in edges might increase the soil pH and fertility (see 280 281 Hamberg et al., 2008 and Table S1). These results are also in line with the study of Malmivaara-Lamsa et al. (2008), who showed that edge-to-interior gradients induced alterations 282 283 on soil pH and nutrient levels that could make significant contributions to shifts in the microbial 284 community composition of boreal urban forest soils. As shown in previous literature, microclimate, including soil temperature and moisture, could also affect the microbial composition and activity 285 (Castano et al., 2018). Studies examining the influence of soil moisture on the microbial 286 community are consistent in that increased moisture would accelerate the decomposition rates of 287 soil organic matter to a certain level (Wang et al., 2016; Luis Moreno et al., 2019), at least in 288

289 temperate forests. However, the soil moisture tested here was a snapshot in time, therefore it is 290 possible that there isn't a visible correlation between the soil moisture and microbiota. In contrast, 291 we found a significant correlation between microbial composition and soil temperature (Figure 5a & Table S4). This is in agreement with the findings of a meta-analysis (Zhou et al., 2016), 292 293 showing that the temperature is an important determinant of the composition of bacterial and fungal communities by analyzing soil samples from a wide range of temperature gradients in 294 North America. In addition, we found that texture (% sand) also played a significant role in 295 296 driving the different composition of the microbial PLFA community. This may be linked to plant 297 communities in oligotrophic sandy soils, which exhibited an alternative resource acquisition strategy to promote their nutrient and water capture from soil (Kochsiek et al., 2013), and the 298 299 changes in soil nutrient supply induced by those plants may in turn affect the composition of soil 300 microbial community.

However, the magnitude and direction of the edge effect on microbial abundance varied 301 considerably among microbial groups. We showed a higher relative abundance of AMF in forest 302 303 edges than interiors (Figure 2). Given that forest edges receive more light, wind and also present 304 warmer and drier conditions than forest interiors (Chen et al., 1993), the amount of 305 drought-resistant and warm-tolerant plant species are expected to increase in forest edges (Ranney et al., 1981; Tuff et al., 2016). As shown in our previous study, total species richness of plant is 306 higher in edges, which is mainly attributed to the higher generalist richness here (Govaert et al., 307 2020). Generalists were defined as species that can be or mainly be found in open vegetation as 308 309 well as those true open habitat species as described in Govaert et al. (2020). The higher generalist abundance in edges indicates that these plant species may form a stronger AMF affinity than the 310

311 species growing in the interior (specialists in closed forests). Additionally, edges and intermediate forests may also be associated the higher functional diversity in the understorey due to more 312 heterogeneous environmental conditions (Magura, 2017; De Pauw et al., 2021). Furthermore, 313 AMF have been known to enhance the hosting plants tolerance to abiotic stresses, such as drought, 314 salt, pollutants (Begum et al., 2019), and also benefit plants by increasing their disease resistance 315 (Song et al., 2015). Thus, the higher AMF in forest edges revealed here may indicate the potential 316 role of AMF in helping the hosting plant survive under complex and fluctuating environments in 317 318 edges. In contrast, the microclimate in the forest interiors was more stable compared to the forest 319 edges, since plant tissue can serve as a barrier that alleviates the forest interior from greater 320 fluctuations in temperature, wind speeds, moisture, etc (Chen et al., 1995). Here, we found a significantly higher abundance of Gram-negative bacteria in forest interiors than edges (Table 1 & 321 322 Figure 2). Gram-negative bacteria are thought to be less resistant to stress and harsh conditions owing to their thinner cell membrane and disability to sporulate, which makes them more 323 vulnerable in an inhospitable condition (Huang and Hull, 2017). Thus, the less variable 324 325 microclimate conditions such as found in forest interiors could provide a more favorable habitat 326 than forest edges for Gram-negative bacteria to colonize and propagate.

327

# 328 4.2 Forest management effect

In contrast, forest management did not exhibit a significant impact on microbial abundance and community composition (Table 1 and Figure 4). Compared with clear-cutting, thinning (selective harvesting) has been acknowledged as an alternative way in forest management practice due to the benefits in maintaining mature forest functioning as well as the above- and below-ground

biodiversity (Gundersen et al., 2006; Lohmus, 2011; Muscolo et al., 2021). As an example, Cheng 333 et al. (2018) studied the change of microbial community after a long-term thinning practice (18 334 years) and found no significant differences in the overall soil microbial composition among 335 different treatments. Besides, the soil microbial community might recover from the disturbances 336 even faster than we expect under reasonably moderate management. For example, Shao et al. 337 (2016) evaluated the effects of plant removal practices on the soil biotic community in a bamboo 338 339 forest and found that microbial community composition only changed in the first year after the 340 plant removal and quickly recovered in the second year. Therefore, it is reasonable to infer that the 341 microbial communities in open forests (recently thinned) and intermediate forests (thinned) were 342 less affected by the management, or soon recovered to the original (dense forests) composition at 343 the moment of our sampling. Moreover, the soil microbial community is expected to change in 344 response to environmental properties including pH, soil texture, nutrient levels, and water content (Richter et al., 2018). However, most of these factors in the present study did not significantly 345 differ between forest types (Table S1), indicating that the soil responses appear to be insensitive to 346 347 forest thinning under current operational prescriptions.

348

349 4.3 Interactive effect of distance-to-edge and forest management

350 We found no evidence of interactive effects of forest management and distance to the edge on any

- 351 microbial response variable. The lack of interaction suggested that PLFA community level
- 352 responses of these two factors operate independently of each other. This contradicts our hypothesis
- from our previous work on plants (Govaert et al., 2020), i.e., the most contrasting edge effects of
- 354 plant richness would be found in forest with most open canopy. It has been proved that the

355	well-developed plant structure in dense forests can play a protective role on the microclimatic
356	changes between forest edge and interior, and help to preserve the original microclimate in the
357	forest interior (Matlack, 1993; Meeussen et al., 2020). In the open forests, there was more solar
358	radiation entering the forest floor, which can somewhat level off the contrasting microclimate
359	between forest edge and interior compared to those in dense forests (Wright et al., 2010). While in
360	thinned forests, the plant structure was under development, and the relatively open edges may
361	result in a steep edge-to-interior gradient, which potentially enlarges the contrasting microclimate
362	conditions between edges and interiors compared to those in dense forests. In this study, although
363	we found the most significant effect size of several microbial groups (such as AMF and
364	Gram-negative bacteria) in intermediate forests (Figure 3), the results of the belowground
365	community were weak compared with previous experimental evidence from plant communities,
366	which revealed strong evidence of plant community composition along edge-to-interior gradients
367	responding differently according to forest types (Govaert et al., 2020). Ultimately, our results
368	agreed with previous studies, in which ecological theory developed for aboveground communities
369	differs in the degree of applicability to those belowground communities living in the soil matrix
370	(Deyn and Putten, 2005; Wardle, 2010).
371	

372 4.4 Conclusions

373 Our results demonstrated, over a large geographic gradient, that the overall forest structure (forest

374 types) did not affect soil microbial community composition but the distance-to-edge did,

375 suggesting an environmental selection for microbial communities along the edge-to-interior

376 gradients. Notably, AMF and Gram-negative bacteria were the two microbial groups most affected

	by the edge effects. Considering the warmer microclimate and higher soil nutrient availability in
378	edges, more plant generalists occur there, which can facilitate the enrichment of AMF in edges.
379	Besides, the symbiosis of AMF and plant species would also lead to a change in nutrient cycling
380	and forest ecosystem functioning in turn. We also found that the overall microbial composition is
381	closely related to the soil pH, N, K concentration, % sand and temperature, which should be
382	explicitly considered in affecting the soil microbial composition in European deciduous forests.
383	Overall, this study provided a profound basis of forest belowground community responses to
384	distance to the forest edge and forest management. More investigations are still required to
385	validate our observations in other forest or soil types and to scrutinize the impact on forest
386	functioning.
380	
387	
387 388	CRediT authorship contribution statement
387 388 388	<b>CRediT authorship contribution statement</b> J.Y., H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; all authors collected
387 388 388 389 390	<b>CRediT authorship contribution statement</b> J.Y., H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; all authors collected data; J.Y. performed statistical analyses; J.Y., with contributions from H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. wrote
387 388 389 390 391	<b>CRediT authorship contribution statement</b> J.Y., H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; all authors collected data; J.Y. performed statistical analyses; J.Y., with contributions from H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. wrote the paper; all authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript drafts.
<ul> <li>380</li> <li>387</li> <li>388</li> <li>389</li> <li>390</li> <li>391</li> <li>392</li> </ul>	<b>CRediT authorship contribution statement</b> J.Y., H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; all authors collected data; J.Y. performed statistical analyses; J.Y., with contributions from H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. wrote the paper; all authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript drafts.
<ul> <li>380</li> <li>387</li> <li>388</li> <li>389</li> <li>390</li> <li>391</li> <li>392</li> <li>393</li> </ul>	CRediT authorship contribution statement J.Y., H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; all authors collected data; J.Y. performed statistical analyses; J.Y., with contributions from H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. wrote the paper; all authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript drafts. Declaration of Competing Interest
<ul> <li>380</li> <li>387</li> <li>388</li> <li>389</li> <li>390</li> <li>391</li> <li>392</li> <li>393</li> <li>394</li> </ul>	CRediT authorship contribution statement J.Y., H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; all authors collected data; J.Y. performed statistical analyses; J.Y., with contributions from H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. wrote the paper; all authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript drafts. Declaration of Competing Interest The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
<ul> <li>380</li> <li>387</li> <li>388</li> <li>389</li> <li>390</li> <li>391</li> <li>391</li> <li>392</li> <li>393</li> <li>394</li> <li>395</li> </ul>	CRediT authorship contribution statement J.Y., H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; all authors collected data; J.Y. performed statistical analyses; J.Y., with contributions from H.B., P.D.F., and K.V. wrote the paper; all authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript drafts. Declaration of Competing Interest The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# 397 Data availability

398 Data related to this manuscript are available on Figshare: https://figshare.com/s/9b6b0518ff1
399 f6df96b82.

400

401	Acknowledgements
-----	------------------

- 402 This work was supported by European research Council (ERC Starting Grant FORMICA No.
- 403 757833, 2018), FWO Scientific research network FLEUR, and the scholarship provided by the
- 404 University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS). We thank Luc Willems and Greet De Bruyn
- 405 during the chemical analyses, as well as Samuel Bodé and Katja Van Nieuland for providing help
- 406 during the PLFA experiment.

407

#### 408 Reference

- Allen, A.S., Schlesinger, W.H., 2004. Nutrient limitations to soil microbial biomass and activity in
  loblolly pine forests. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36 (4), 581–589.
- Aussenac, G., 2000. Interactions between forest stands and microclimate: Ecophysiological aspects and
   consequences for silviculture. Ann. Forest Sci. 57 (3), 287–301.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2014. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using *lme4*. J.
  Stat. Soft 67 (1), 1–48.
- Begum, N., Qin, C., Ahanger, M.A., Raza, S., Khan, M.I., Ashraf, M., Ahmed, N., Zhang, L.X., 2019.
  Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in plant growth regulation: Implications in abiotic stress
  tolerance. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 1068.
- Cadenasso, M.L., Pickett, S.T.A., 2001. Effect of edge structure on the flux of species into forest
  interiors. Conserv. Biol. 15 (1), 91–97.
- 420 Castano, C., Lindahl, B.D., Alday, J.G., Hagenbo, A., Martinez de Aragon, J., Parlade, J., Pera, J.,
  421 Antonio Bonet, J., 2018. Soil microclimate changes affect soil fungal communities in a
  422 Mediterranean pine forest. New Phytol. 220 (4), 1211–1221.
- 423 Chen, J., Franklin, J.F., Spies, T.A., 1993. Contrasting microclimates among clearcut, edge, and interior
  424 of old-growth Douglas-fir forest. Agr. Forest Meteorol. 63 (3-4), 219–237.
- 425 Chen, J., Franklin, J.F., Spies, T.A., 1995. Growing- season microclimatic gradients from clearcut
  426 edges into old-growth Douglas-fir forests. Ecol. Appl. 5 (1), 74–86.
- 427 Cheng, X.R., Xing, W.L., Yuan, H.J., Yu, M.K., 2018. Long-term thinning does not significantly affect
  428 soil water-stable aggregates and diversity of bacteria and fungi in Chinese fir (*Cunninghamia*429 *lanceolata*) plantations in Eastern China. Forests 9 (11), 687.
- 430 Crow, T.R., Buckley, D.S., Nauertz, E.A., Zasada, J.C., 2002. Effects of management on the

- 431 composition and structure of northern hardwood forests in Upper Michigan. Forest Sci. 48 (1),
  432 129–145.
- 433 Davies-Colley, R.J., Payne, G.W., van Elswijk, M., 2000. Microclimate gradients across a forest edge.
  434 New Zeal. J. Ecol. 24 (2), 111–121.
- De Pauw, K., Meeussen, C., Govaert, S., Sanczuk, P., Vanneste, T., Bernhardt-Romermann, M.,
  Bollmann, K., Brunet, J., Calders, K., Cousins, S.A.O., Diekmann, M., Hedwall, P.-O.,
  Iacopetti, G., Lenoir, J., Lindmo, S., Orczewska, A., Ponette, Q., Plue, J., Selvi, F., Spicher, F.,
  Verbeeck, H., Vermeir, P., Zellweger, F., Verheyen, K., Vangansbeke, P., De Frenne, P., 2021.
  Taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional diversity of understorey plants respond differently to
  environmental conditions in European forest edges. J. Ecol. 109 (7), 2629–2648.
- 441 Deyn, G., Putten, W., 2005. Linking aboveground and belowground diversity. Trends Ecol. Evol. 20
  442 (11), 625–633.
- Didham, R.K., Lawton, J.H., 1999. Edge structure determines the magnitude of changes in microclimate and vegetation structure in tropical forest fragments. Biotropica 31 (1), 17–30.
- Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carre, G., Garcia Marquez, J.R., Gruber,
  B., Lafourcade, B., Leitao, P.J., Muenkemueller, T., McClean, C., Osborne, P.E., Reineking, B.,
  Schroeder, B., Skidmore, A.K., Zurell, D., Lautenbach, S., 2013. Collinearity: a review of
  methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography 36 (1),
  27–46.
- Ewers, R.M., Didham, R.K., 2007. The Effect of fragment shape and species' sensitivity to habitat
  edges on animal population size. Conserv. Biol. 21 (4), 927–936.
- 452 Fagan, W.F., Cantrell, R.S., Cosner, C., 1999. How habitat edges change species interactions. The Am.
  453 Nat. 153 (2), 165–182.
- Farrell, M., Kuhn, T.K., Macdonald, L.M., Maddern, T.M., Murphy, D.V., Hall, P.A., Singh, B.P.,
  Baumann, K., Krull, E.S., Baldock, J.A., 2013. Microbial utilisation of biochar-derived carbon.
  Sci. Total Environ. 465, 288–297.
- 457 Fischer, R., Taubert, F., Mueller, M.S., Groeneveld, J., Lehmann, S., Wiegand, T., Huth, A., 2021.
  458 Accelerated forest fragmentation leads to critical increase in tropical forest edge area. Sci. Adv.
  459 7 (37), 7012.
- 460 Fletcher, R.J., Koford, R.R., 2003. Spatial responses of Bobolinks (*Dolichonyx oryzivorus*) near
  461 different types of edges in northern Iowa. Auk 120 (3), 799–810.
- 462 Fuzy, A., Bothe, H., Molnar, E., Biro, B., 2014. Mycorrhizal symbiosis effects on growth of chalk
  463 false-brome (*Brachypodium pinnatum*) are dependent on the environmental light regime. J.
  464 Plant Physiol. 171 (5), 1–6.
- Girona, M.M., Morin, H., Lussier, J.-M., Walsh, D., 2016. Radial growth response of black spruce
  stands ten years after experimental shelterwoods and seed-tree cuttings in Boreal forest.
  Forests 7 (10), 240.
- Govaert, S., Meeussen, C., Vanneste, T., Bollmann, K., Brunet, J., Cousins, S.A., Diekmann, M., Graae,
  B.J., Hedwall, P.O., Heinken, T., 2020. Edge influence on understorey plant communities
  depends on forest management. J. Veg. Sci. 31 (2), 281-292.
- Gundersen, P., Schmidt, I.K., Raulund-Rasmussen, K., 2006. Leaching of nitrate from temperate
  forests-effects of air pollution and forest management. Environ. Rev. 14 (1), 1–57.
- Haddad, N.M., Brudvig, L.A., Clobert, J., Davies, K.F., Gonzalez, A., Holt, R.D., Lovejoy, T.E., Sexton,
  J.O., Austin, M.P., Collins, C.D., Cook, W.M., Damschen, E.I., Ewers, R.M., Foster, B.L.,

- Jenkins, C.N., King, A.J., Laurance, W.F., Levey, D.J., Margules, C.R., Melbourne, B.A.,
  Nicholls, A.O., Orrock, J.L., Song, D.-X., Townshend, J.R., 2015. Habitat fragmentation and
  its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Sci. Adv. 1 (2), e1500052.
- Hamberg, L., Lehvavirta, S., Malmivaara-Lamsa, M., Rita, H., Kotze, D.J., 2008. The effects of habitat
  edges and trampling on understorey vegetation in urban forests in Helsinki, Finland. Appl. Veg.
  Sci. 11 (1), 83–98.
- Harper, K.A., Macdonald, S.E., Burton, P.J., Chen, J.Q., Brosofske, K.D., Saunders, S.C., Euskirchen,
  E.S., Roberts, D., Jaiteh, M.S., Esseen, P.A., 2005. Edge influence on forest structure and
  composition in fragmented landscapes. Conserv. Biol. 19 (3), 768–782.
- Harrell, F.E., Dupont, C., 2016. Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. Version 4.0-0 https://cran.r-project.org/
   web/packages/ Hmisc/index.html.
- Huang, M.W., Hull, C.M., 2017. Sporulation: how to survive on planet Earth (and beyond). Curr. Genet.
  63 (5), 831–838.
- Kaiser, C., Kilburn, M.R., Clode, P.L., Fuchslueger, L., Koranda, M., Cliff, J.B., Solaiman, Z.M.,
  Murphy, D.V., 2015. Exploring the transfer of recent plant photosynthates to soil microbes:
  mycorrhizal pathway vs direct root exudation. New Phytol. 205 (4), 1537–1551.
- Kaplan, J.O., Krumhardt, K.M., Zimmermann, N., 2009. The prehistoric and preindustrial deforestation
  of Europe. Quaternary Sci. Rev. 28 (27), 3016–3034.
- 493 Karraker, N.E., Welsh, H.H., Jr., 2006. Long-term impacts of even-aged timber management on
  494 abundance and body condition of terrestrial amphibians in Northwestern California. Biol.
  495 Conserv. 131 (1), 132–140.
- Kochsiek, A., Tan, S., Russo, S.E., 2013. Fine root dynamics in relation to nutrients in oligotrophic
  Bornean rain forest soils. Plant Ecol. 214 (6), 869–882.
- 498 Lohmus, A., 2011. Silviculture as a disturbance regime: the effects of clear-cutting, planting and
  499 thinning on polypore communities in mixed forests. J. Forest Res. 16 (3), 194–202.
- Louche- Tessandier, D., Samson, G., Hernandez- Sebastia, C., Chagvardieff, P., Desjardins, Y., 1999.
  Importance of light and CO<sub>2</sub> on the effects of endomycorrhizal colonization on growth and
  photosynthesis of potato plantlets (*Solanum tuberosum*) in an in vitro tripartite system. New
  Phytol. 142 (3), 539–550.
- Luis Moreno, J., Torres, I.F., Garcia, C., Lopez-Mondejar, R., Bastida, F., 2019. Land use shapes the
  resistance of the soil microbial community and the C cycling response to drought in a
  semi-arid area. Sci. Total Environ. 648, 1018–1030.
- Magura, T., 2017. Ignoring functional and phylogenetic features masks the edge influence on ground
   beetle diversity across forest-grassland gradient. Forest Ecol. Manag. 384, 371–377.
- Malanson, G.P., Kupfer, J.A., 1993. Simulated fate of leaf litter and large woody debris at a riparian
  cutbank. Canadian J. Forest Res. 23 (4), 582–590.
- Malmivaara-Lamsa, M., Hamberg, L., Haapamaki, E., Liski, J., Kotze, D.J., Lehvavirta, S., Fritze, H.,
  2008. Edge effects and trampling in boreal urban forest fragments-impacts on the soil
  microbial community. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40 (7), 1612–1621.
- Matlack, G.R., 1993. Microenvironment variation within and among forest edge sites in the eastern
  United States. Biol. Conserv. 66 (3), 185–194.
- Matlack, G.R., Litvaitis, J.A., 1999. Forest edges. In: M.L.Hunter (eds) Maintaining biodiversity in
   forest ecosystems. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 210–233.
- 518 Meeussen, C., Govaert, S., Vanneste, T., Calders, K., Bollmann, K., Brunet, J., Cousins, S.A.,

- 519 Diekmann, M., Graae, B.J., Hedwall, P.-O., 2020. Structural variation of forest edges across
  520 Europe. Forest Ecol. Manag. 462, 117929.
- Meeussen, C., Govaert, S., Vanneste, T., Haesen, S., Van Meerbeek, K., Bollmann, K., Brunet, J.,
  Calders, K., Cousins, S.A.O., Diekmann, M., Graae, B.J., Iacopetti, G., Lenoir, J., Orczewska,
  A., Ponette, Q., Plue, J., Selvi, F., Spicher, F., Sorensen, M.V., Verbeeck, H., Vermeir, P.,
  Verheyen, K., Vangansbeke, P., De Frenne, P., 2021. Drivers of carbon stocks in forest edges
  across Europe. Sci. Total Environ. 759, 143497.
- Mitchell, P.J., Simpson, A.J., Soong, R., Simpson, M.J., 2015. Shifts in microbial community and
   water-extractable organic matter composition with biochar amendment in a temperate forest
   soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 81, 244–254.
- Morris, A.D., Miller, D.A., Kalcounis-Rueppell, M.C., 2010. Use of forest edges by bats in a managed
  pine forest landscape. J. Wildlife Manage. 74 (1), 26–34.
- Muscolo, A., Settineri, G., Romeo, F., Mallamaci, C., 2021. Soil biodiversity as affected by different
  thinning intensities in a pinus laricio stand of Calabrian Apennine, South Italy. Forests 12 (1),
  108.
- Ngosong, C., Gabriel, E., Ruess, L., 2012. Use of the signature fatty acid 16: 1ω5 as a tool to determine
  the distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil. J. Lipids 2012, 236807–236808.
- 536 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'hara, R., Simpson, G.L.,
  537 Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Wagner, H., 2012. Package 'vegan'-Community ecology
  538 package, version 2.0 http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/vegan.pdf.
- Olsson, P.A., 1999. Signature fatty acids provide tools for determination of the distribution and
  interactions of mycorrhizal fungi in soil. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 29 (4), 303–310.
- 541 Orczewska, A., Glista, A., 2005. Floristic analysis of the two woodland-meadow ecotones differing in
  542 orientation of the forest edge. Polish J. Ecol. 53 (3), 365–382.
- 543 Osburn, E.D., Badgley, B.D., Strahm, B.D., Aylward, F.O., Barrett, J.E., 2021. Emergent properties of
   544 microbial communities drive accelerated biogeochemical cycling in disturbed temperate
   545 forests. Ecology 102 (12): e03553.
- Pardini, R., 2004. Effects of forest fragmentation on small mammals in an Atlantic Forest landscape.
  Biodivers. Conserv. 13 (13), 2567–2586.
- Quideau, S.A., McIntosh, A.C., Norris, C.E., Lloret, E., Swallow, M.J., Hannam, K., 2016. Extraction
  and analysis of microbial phospholipid fatty acids in soils. J. Vis. Exp. 114, e54360.
- R Core Team, 2008. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for
   Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria.
- Ranney, J.W., Bruner, M.C., Levenson, J.B., 1981. The importance of edge in the structure and
  dynamics of forest islands. In: Burgess R.L., Sharpe D.M. (eds) Forest Island Dynamics in
  Man-dominated Landscapes, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp 67–96.
- Remy, E., Wuyts, K., Verheyen, K., Gundersen, P., Boeckx, P., 2018. Altered microbial communities
  and nitrogen availability in temperate forest edges. Soil Biol. Biochem. 116, 179–188.
- Richter, A., Schoning, I., Kahl, T., Bauhus, J., Ruess, L., 2018. Regional environmental conditions
  shape microbial community structure stronger than local forest management intensity. Forest
  Ecol. Manag. 409, 250–259.
- Riitters, K., Wickham, J., Costanza, J.K., Vogt, P., 2016. A global evaluation of forest interior area
  dynamics using tree cover data from 2000 to 2012. Landscape Ecol. 31 (1), 137–148.
- 562 Riitters, K.H., Oneill, R.V., Jones, K.B., 1997. Assessing habitat suitability at multiple scales: A

- 563 landscape-level approach. Biol. Conserv. 81 (1–2), 191–202.
- Shao, Y., Wang, X., Zhao, J., Wu, J., Zhang, W., Neher, D.A., Li, Y., Lou, Y., Fu, S., 2016. Subordinate
  plants sustain the complexity and stability of soil micro- food webs in natural bamboo forest
  ecosystems. J. Appl. Ecol. 53 (1), 130–139.
- Song, Y.Y., Chen, D.M., Lu, K., Sun, Z.X., Zeng, R.S., 2015. Enhanced tomato disease resistance
  primed by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 786.
- Steinbeiss, S., Gleixner, G., Antonietti, M., 2009. Effect of biochar amendment on soil carbon balance
  and soil microbial activity. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41 (6), 1301–1310.
- Tuff, K.T., Tuff, T., Davies, K.F., 2016. A framework for integrating thermal biology into fragmentation
  research. Ecol. Lett. 19 (4), 361–374.
- 573 Ushio, M., Wagai, R., Balser, T.C., Kitayama, K., 2008. Variations in the soil microbial community
  574 composition of a tropical montane forest ecosystem: Does tree species matter? Soil Biol.
  575 Biochem. 40 (10), 2699–2702.
- Wang, D., He, N., Wang, Q., Lu, Y., Wang, Q., Xu, Z., Zhu, J., 2016. Effects of Temperature and
  Moisture on Soil Organic Matter Decomposition Along Elevation Gradients on the Changbai
  Mountains, Northeast China. Pedosphere 26 (3), 399–407.
- Wardle, D.A., 2010. Communities and Ecosystems: Linking the Above-ground and Below-ground
  Components. Austral Ecol. 29 (3), 358–359.
- 581 Willers, C., Jansen van Rensburg, P., Claassens, S., 2015. Phospholipid fatty acid profiling of microbial
  582 communities–a review of interpretations and recent applications. J. Appl. Microbiol. 119 (5),
  583 1207–1218.
- Wright, T.E., Kasel, S., Tausz, M., Bennett, L.T., 2010. Edge microclimate of temperate woodlands as
  affected by adjoining land use. Agr. Forest Meteorol. 150 (7–8), 1138–1146.
- Xu, Y., Seshadri, B., Bolan, N., Sarkar, B., Ok, Y.S., Zhang, W., Rumpel, C., Sparks, D., Farrell, M.,
  Hall, T., 2019. Microbial functional diversity and carbon use feedback in soils as affected by
  heavy metals. Environ. Int. 125, 478–488.
- Young, A., Mitchell, N., 1994. Microclimate and vegetation edge effects in a fragmented
  podocarp-broadleaf forest in New Zealand. Biol. Conserv. 67 (1), 63–72.
- Zhang, B., Li, Y., Ren, T., Tian, Z., Wang, G., He, X., Tian, C., 2014. Short-term effect of tillage and
  crop rotation on microbial community structure and enzyme activities of a clay loam soil. Biol.
  Fert. Soils 50 (7), 1077–1085.
- Zhou, J., Deng, Y., Shen, L., Wen, C., Yan, Q., Ning, D., Qin, Y., Xue, K., Wu, L., He, Z., Voordeckers,
  J.W., Van Nostrand, J.D., Buzzard, V., Michaletz, S.T., Enquist, B.J., Weiser, M.D., Kaspari,
  M., Waide, R., Yang, Y., Brown, J.H., 2016. Temperature mediates continental-scale diversity
  of microbes in forest soils. Nat. Commun. 7, 12083.



Figure 1 Overview of study regions along the latitudinal gradient in Europe. Norway (NO),
Central Sweden (CS), Southern Sweden (SS), Germany (GE), Poland (PL), Belgium (BE),
Northern France (NF), Switzerland (SW) and Italy (IT).



Figure 2 Relative abundances of microbial groups in the three forest types (dense, intermediate
 and open forests) and at the edge or in the interior. The relative abundance of each microbial group
 was calculated as the sum of representative PLFA or NLFA biomarkers divided by the total
 microbial biomass.





Figure 3 Magnitude of edge influence (MEI) of each microbial group in three different forest
 types. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. Gm+: Gram-positive bacteria; Gm-:
 Gram-negative bacteria; Act: Actinobacteria; NSB: Non-specific bacteria; AMF: Arbuscular
 mycorrhizal fungi; SF: Saprotrophic fungi.



615 NMDS1 NMDS1
 616 Figure 4 Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) results of the microbial composition
 617 based on the PLFA/NLFA biomarkers. Variation of the microbial composition between different
 618 distances to forest edge (a) and forest types (b) based on the Bray-Curtis distance matrix.
 619



Figure 5 The relationship between microbial community composition and microclimate soil 622 temperature and soil properties based on canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). The first axis 623 accounted for 20.15% of the variability and the second axis accounted for 4.39%. The significance 624 levels of CCA1 and CCA2 were P=0.001 and P=0.165, respectively (permutation test). (a) The 625 distribution of samples collected in different regions. (b) The distribution of single PLFA or NLFA 626 627 biomarkers. Different symbols in this panel indicated the classified microbial groups. Gm+: Gram-positive bacteria; Gm-: Gram-negative bacteria; Act: Actinobacteria; NSB: non-specific 628 629 bacteria; AMF: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; SF: Saprotrophic fungi. 630

Table 1 Effects of forest type and distance from the edge on the relative abundance of microbial 631 632 groups and total microbial biomass based on linear mixed-effect models. Chi-square values of each specific microbes and total microbial biomass were calculated based on ANOVA results of 633 each model. Statistically significant Chi-square values were highlighted in bold. 634

	Distance fro	m edge		Forest types			
	Chi-square	Conditional	Marginal	Chi-square	Conditional	Marginal	
	value	$R^{2}$ (%)	$R^{2}(\%)$	value	$R^{2}$ (%)	$R^{2}(\%)$	
Gram positive	1.7105 ns	4.73	1.92	1.8681 ns	4.66	2.10	
Gram negative	<b>3.8504</b> *↑	10.60	4.06	0.078 ns	6.24	0.09	
Actinobacteria	2.5482 ns	16.61	2.51	1.3623 ns	15.05	1.36	
Non-specific	0.1645 ns	8.77	0.18	0.8328 ns	9.81	0.88	
bacteria							
Arbuscular	<b>5.3332</b> *↓	5.90	5.90	1.0751 ns	1.25	1.25	
mycorrhizal							
fungi							
Saprotrophic	3.0074 ns	12.18	3.12	0.2355 ns	8.64	0.25	
fungi							
Total microbial	2.6376 ns	11.31	2.76	1.6341 ns	10.01	1.73	
biomass s							

Asterisks indicate significance (\*p < 0.05; ns, non-significant). §, log-transformed. The formulas 635

used for distance from edge and forest type were 'Y ~ distance from forest edge + (1 | region)' and 636 'Y~ forest type + (1 | region)', respectively. 637

**Table 2** Effects of soil pH, % sand, soil N, Olsen P, K content, C/N, soil moisture, and soil temperature on the relative abundance of each microbial group and total biomass based on linear mixed effect models. Chi-square values of each specific microbes and total microbial biomass were reported. Statistically significant 

Chi-square values were highlighted in bold. 

	pН	% Sand §	N (mg/kg)	Olsen.P	K (mg/kg) §	C/N §	Moisture	Temperature	Conditional	Marginal R <sup>2</sup>
			£	(mg/kg)§			%	°C	$R^{2}$ (%)	(%)
Gram positive	1.7583						8.3735**	2.7036	16.35	13.49
							↑			
Gram negative		8.5289**			6.5015*	4.3730*		2.4495	22.59	20.05
		↑			$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$				
Actinobacteria		5.2099*	7.5980**	7.6963**	9.0984**				26.25	25.24
		$\downarrow$	↑	<b>↑</b>	$\downarrow$					
Non-specific			6.5811*						8.09	7.28
bacteria			<b>↑</b>							
Arbuscular					2.2676				25.98	25.98
Mycorrhizal										
Fungi										
Saprotrophic	5.7219*				4.0215*				17.83	17.57
Fungi	Ť				<b>↑</b>					
Total microbial			14.9839***	4.1619*					17.91	16.36
biomass §			↑	$\downarrow$						
*p<0.05,**p<0.01	l,***p<0.00	1.	•	·						