Does CO₂ Oxidize Ni Catalysts? A Quick X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy Answer
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ABSTRACT

MgAl$_2$O$_4$-supported Ni materials are highly active and cost-effective CO$_2$ conversion catalysts, yet their oxidation by CO$_2$ remains dubious. Herein, Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, prepared via colloidal synthesis (10wt% Ni) to limit size distribution, or wet impregnation (5, 10, 20 and 40wt% Ni), and bare, i.e. unsupported, NiO are examined in H$_2$ reduction and CO$_2$ oxidation, using thermal conductivity detector based measurements and in-situ quick X-ray absorption spectroscopy, analyzed via chemometrics. Ni re-oxidation does not occur for bare Ni, but is observed solely on supported materials. Only samples with smallest particle sizes get fully re-oxidized. The Ni-MgAl$_2$O$_4$ interface, exhibiting metal-support interactions, activates CO$_2$ and channels oxygen into the reduced lattice. Oxygen diffuses inwards, away from the interface, oxidizing Ni entirely or partially, depending on the particle size in the applied oxidation timeframe. This work evidences Ni oxidation by CO$_2$, explores the conditions of its occurrence and the importance of metal-support effects.
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CO₂ utilization, i.e. converting CO₂ into useful chemical products, is indispensable to mitigate rising greenhouse gas concentrations and ensure sustainable development. Within this context, employing CO₂ as reagent in catalytic gas-phase reactions, e.g. methane dry reforming¹⁻³ and CO₂ hydrogenation⁴⁻⁶, has received increased attention over the past years. Supported Ni materials⁷⁻¹⁴ are particularly effective catalysts for CO₂ utilization, owing to their high activity and cost-effectiveness compared to noble metal-based alternatives³. Despite their interesting properties, several fundamental open questions remain for these catalytic Ni systems, which impede their improvement and industrial implementation. One such question is the interaction of CO₂ with Ni, particularly the capacity of CO₂ to oxidize Ni. Addressing this Ni-CO₂ interplay is nevertheless crucial as it determines whether to include Equation 1 in reaction schemes and associated kinetic models for Ni-based CO₂ catalysts.

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{Ni} \rightarrow \text{NiO} + \text{CO} \quad \Delta G^\circ_{800^\circ C} = 46 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
\] (1)

Generally, Ni oxidation by CO₂ is neglected, given its unfavorable thermodynamics. However, since Ni-based catalysts typically consist of supported Ni nanoparticles (NPs), finite size effects¹⁵ and metal-support interactions¹⁶⁻¹⁹ (MSI) can affect the electronic properties of the prevalent Ni phases, thus enhancing the reactivity of Ni towards CO₂. At present, dedicated experimental studies on the possible oxidation by CO₂ of supported Ni are either contradictory or lacking altogether. Studies of Ni supported on yttrium-stabilized zirconium oxide²⁰ did not show Ni oxidation by CO₂. In addition, Wierzbicki and co-workers²¹ observed no Ni re-oxidation of hydrotalcite-derived Ni materials upon CO₂ exposure. Similarly, Lee et al.²² observed no notable oxidation of Ni/Al₂O₃ under CO₂ temperature-programmed oxidation (CO₂-TPO). However, Shen and co-workers²³ did report oxidation of Ni/Al₂O₃ under CO₂-TPO. Mutz et al.²⁴ applied H₂ feed interruptions to CO₂ methanation experiments on Ni/Al₂O₃, and observed that re-oxidation occurred, which was ascribed to CO₂, traces of H₂O or oxygen. Ni oxidation was also observed by Chen et al.²⁵ for Ni/Al₂O₃ and Ni/CeAlO₃-Al₂O₃. Keeping
in mind the materials and pressure gap, near-ambient pressure (<mbar) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy studies on the interaction of CO2 with Ni(111)26 revealed that surface Ni oxidation occurred at room temperature and that this oxidation was more thorough at higher temperatures. To address these ambiguities, a systematic redox study of bare and MgAl2O4-supported Ni (Ni/MgAl2O4) is presented. The MgAl2O4 support was chosen for its industrial relevance27-28, chemical stability29 and the high activity reported for Ni/MgAl2O4 in CO2 utilization13, 30-33. CO2 oxidation of Ni has been examined using a combination of thermal conductivity detector (TCD)-based H2 temperature-programmed reduction (H2-TPR) and CO2-TPO, as well as in-situ by quick X-ray absorption spectroscopy (QXAS) during H2-TPR and CO2-TPO with statistical analysis.

MgAl2O4 was synthesized via a coprecipitation method13. Ni/MgAl2O4 with 10wt% nominal Ni loading was prepared by colloidal synthesis, based on the work of Vrijburg et al.34. This method was chosen as it yields monodisperse NP size distributions, limiting the size-dependent spread on the results35 (Figure S1). In addition, Ni/MgAl2O4 materials with targeted 5, 10, 20 and 40wt% Ni were prepared via a ‘conventional’ wet impregnation (WI) route for comparison. Experimental details are provided in the Supporting Information (SI; section 1). Elemental analysis via scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis (Table S1) indicated the metal contents of all materials were close to their nominal value. Hereafter, the nomenclature C-10Ni/MgAl2O4 and WI-xNi/MgAl2O4 (x = 5, 10, 20 or 40) will be used for the colloidal, respectively, WI samples. X-ray diffraction (XRD; Figure S2) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Figure S3) results are summarized in Table S1. QXAS measurements tracked the behavior during H2-TPR and CO2-TPO for bulk NiO and monodisperse C-10Ni/MgAl2O4. The Ni K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge spectra (XANES) of bulk NiO during H2-TPR show a NiO initial state (Figure 1A). In accordance with the large crystallite size (Table S1), extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) analysis (Figure
Table S2) yields a Ni-Ni coordination number (CN) of 12.6±1.4 at a distance of 2.96±0.01 Å, which is –within error– identical to that of bulk FCC NiO. During H2-TPR, reduction to Ni\(^0\) takes place, evidenced by the decrease in white line intensity, the shift of the edge to lower energies and the evolution of the pre-edge from isolated peak to shoulder. Principal component analysis (PCA) derives 4 principal components (PCs), suggesting the presence of more phases than NiO and Ni (Figure S5). However, multivariate curve resolution-alternating least squares (MCR-ALS) (Figure 1B-C) and EXAFS analysis of the derived components (Figure S6) prove that these actually pertain to 2 NiO and 2 Ni components. This deduplication originates from temperature effects, i.e. the Debye-Waller factor, dominating the QXAS spectra when no reduction takes place. The actual reduction occurs in the ‘middle’ range of the temperature program, i.e. 350–550°C. Spectra recorded below and above this window add thermal variance to the XAS data, which is detected by PCA and MCR-ALS. Consequently, lower (NiO-1, Ni-1) and higher temperature components (NiO-2, Ni-2) are derived for both NiO and Ni (Figure S6). Taking into account this identification and the MCR-ALS concentration profiles (Figure 1C), full reduction of NiO occurs in the range 350–550°C without intermediates formation.

Exposure of the reduced material to CO\(_2\) at 800°C induced no notable spectral changes (Figure 1D), confirmed by PCA (Figure S7). EXAFS modelling of room temperature (RT) spectra after CO\(_2\) treatment (Figure 1E, Table S2) only resolves Ni\(^0\). Hence, no notable Ni oxidation by CO\(_2\) occurred at 800°C for bulk Ni, corroborating thermodynamic calculations.
Figure 1. In-situ Ni K-edge QXAS for bulk NiO. (A) XANES during H2-TPR. (B) MCR-ALS component spectra and (C) concentration profiles, extracted from the QXAS H2-TPR dataset. Light orange: reduction window, vertical black lines: temperature borders. (D) XANES during isothermal CO2 oxidation of reduced bulk NiO. (E) Fourier transform of the k2-weighted EXAFS signal (black) and the fit (red) of reduced bulk NiO after CO2 oxidation.

XANES of C-10Ni/MgAl2O4 initially correspond to NiO, in line with XRD (Figure S2) and confirmed by EXAFS fitting (Figure S8, Table S3). The CN of 8.1±1.4 found for Ni-Ni scattering is substantially lower than the bulk value of 12, proving the material’s nanoparticulate size, in agreement with TEM (Figure S3A). When exposed to H2-TPR up to 800°C, reduction of NiO in C-10Ni/MgAl2O4 occurs, similar to bulk NiO (Figure 2A). PCA reveals 3 PCs (Figure S9), which MCR-ALS (Figure 2B-C) and EXAFS modelling (Figure S10, Table S3) relate to 2 NiO-components (NiO-1, NiO-2) and 1 Ni component (Ni). This number differs from bulk NiO because NiO reduction now predominantly takes place at the end of the temperature program. Hence, Debye-Waller effects dominate the initial NiO phase, while reduction to Ni prevails at higher temperature.

The “Ni” concentration profile for C-10Ni/MgAl2O4 (Figure 2C) shows a two-step reduction process in the range 400–800°C, easiest seen as first derivative (Figure S11). 23% of NiO
reduces in the range 400–550°C, followed by 74% in the latter part of TPR, up to a total of 97%. While the first step lies within the temperature window of bare NiO reduction, the second occurs at higher temperature, indicating stronger bound oxygen is removed. The latter provides the interface connection between the NiO particles and the support, i.e. the MSI$^{31,36-38}$. The first reduction peak then pertains to removal of O with little or no support interaction, e.g. at larger distance from the interface. The residual $3\pm0.5\%$ unreduced NiO at the end of TPR is due to the last MSI at the interface, maintaining bonds to the support even after prolonged reduction$^{17,39-40}$.

After H$_2$-TPR, C-10Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ was cooled down in H$_2$ for comparison of the reduced and as-prepared state. The EXAFS signature (Figure S12) is adequately described using Ni-Ni (metallic Ni) scattering paths (Table S3). Again, the CN value of 8.2±0.5 is notably lower than the one for bulk FCC Ni, illustrating the beneficial effect of MSI in retaining NP dispersion after treatment at high temperature$^{41-42}$. Ni-O paths from the residual 3% NiO are not resolved in the EXAFS data post H$_2$-TPR due to their low fraction.

Unlike bare NiO, CO$_2$ exposure does re-oxidize the MgAl$_2$O$_4$-supported Ni$^0$ back to Ni$^{2+}$ (Figure 2D). PCA (Figure S13) and MCR-ALS (Figure 2E-F) quantify this re-oxidation as single-step Ni $\rightarrow$ NiO within the range 270–600°C (Figure S14, Table S4). The RT EXAFS signature post TPO (Figure S15) further validates the complete re-oxidation of Ni. The observed oxidation degree cannot result from possible impurities present in the used CO$_2$ bottle (alpha grade, N53), which are too low in quantity, hence the re-oxidation must originate from the admitted CO$_2$. 

**Figure 2.** In-situ Ni K-edge QXAS for C-10Ni/MgAl₂O₄. (A) XANES during H₂-TPR. (B) MCR-ALS component spectra and (C) concentration profiles, extracted from the QXAS H₂-TPR dataset. (D) XANES during CO₂-TPO of “reduced” C-10Ni/MgAl₂O₄. (E) MCR-ALS component spectra and (F) concentration profiles, extracted from the QXAS CO₂-TPO dataset. Light orange: reduction/oxidation windows, vertical black lines: temperature borders.

Based on comparison of the H₂-CO₂ redox behavior, MgAl₂O₄-supported NiO differentiates from bare NiO in 2 ways: (1) its reduction temperature lies significantly higher and (2) Ni re-oxidation occurs for C-10Ni/MgAl₂O₄, while it does not for unsupported material. The effect of the support was further examined through ‘conventional’ H₂-TPR and CO₂-TPO in sequence (details in SI).

Benchmark experiments were first conducted for MgAl₂O₄, NiO and a mechanical mixture thereof (Figure S16). H₂ consumption by the support is negligible up to 800°C, in accordance with previous reports. The TPR profile of bulk NiO agrees with published work, while the one for the NiO-MgAl₂O₄ mixture is quasi-identical, except for a small peak at 261°C. The latter is ascribed to H₂ activation, facilitated by the contacting points between the two compounds. No notable re-oxidation by CO₂ was found for bulk NiO –in line with QXAS (Figure 1D)– or for the mechanical mixture of bulk NiO and support. Hence, mere physical
interaction between NiO and MgAl$_2$O$_4$ does not yield additional redox properties compared to bare NiO.

In H$_2$-TPR, all as-prepared supported materials exhibit a reduction peak in the range 700–800°C (Figure 3A, Table S5). Based on the XAS analysis for C-10Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ (Figure S11), this stems from O removal at the interface, where NiO interacts strongly with the support. Another reduction peak around 450-500°C, representing weaker support interaction, dominates for WI-40Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, while being less intense for WI-20Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$. Since this peak grows with NiO loading and particle size (Table S1), it rather pertains to reduction of the particle itself, with less influence from the support.

Complete re-oxidation by CO$_2$-TPO occurs for C-10Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, WI-5Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ and WI-10Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, as indicated by the similar H$_2$ uptake in their 1$^{st}$ and 2$^{nd}$ TPR (Figure 3B). For WI-20Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ and WI-40Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, re-oxidation regains only half or less of the as-prepared state and is mostly limited to the stronger bound oxygen at the interface.
Figure 3. (A) H$_2$-TPR for Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ materials recorded “as-prepared” (black) and “after CO$_2$-TPO” (red). (B) Fraction of Ni re-oxidation after CO$_2$-TPO as a function of average NiO NP size, determined from TCD H$_2$-TPR data and TEM. (C) Schematic of Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ re-oxidation by CO$_2$.

These observations can be explained by the extent of MSI between Ni and the support. For smaller NPs –C-10Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, WI-5Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ and WI-10Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ (Table S1)– the interface area between Ni and the support is relatively large. The small size of the NiO particles entails that the majority of their oxygen atoms experiences MSI, leading to a dominant high temperature reduction peak. When the NiO particles grow larger, in WI-20Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ and WI-40Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, more O are located beyond the influence of support interaction, inducing a transition towards a dominant low temperature reduction peak, which reflects less or no MSI.

Ni re-oxidation by CO$_2$ most easily takes place at sites where MSI exist between Ni and MgAl$_2$O$_4$. Foppa et al.$^{45}$ reported that CO$_2$ activation on Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts preferably occurs at the Ni-Al$_2$O$_3$ interface. Silaghi and co-workers$^{46}$ also denoted the Ni-support interface as the most energetically favorable site for CO$_2$ activation, resulting in the formation of adsorbed CO.
and oxygen species, which react with Ni to form NiO. A similar mechanism is thus proposed for Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$. Although CO$_2$ can adsorb dissociatively over the entire Ni surface, it will also readily recombine. The Ni-MgAl$_2$O$_4$ interface however, offers active adsorption sites together with a diffusion channel for O into Ni. Hence, O gets withdrawn from the interface, leading to diffusion-controlled re-oxidation: first locally at and close to the interface, i.e. the highest reduction peak in Figure 3A, next, also further away from the interface, after oxygen diffusion.

In case of larger particles, i.e. WI-20Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$ and WI-40Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, the supply of oxygen from the interface to the rest of the particle is hindered by this diffusion, such that oxidation by the CO$_2$-derived oxygen species fades out gradually when moving away from the interface (Figure 3C). As MSI-related activation is absent in bulk Ni and the reduced mechanical mixture, re-oxidation by CO$_2$ is virtually non-existent within the TPO timeframe. In materials with weaker MSI, e.g. WI-10Ni/SiO$_2$, the interface is less favorable to CO$_2$ activation, entailing only partial re-oxidation during CO$_2$-TPO (Figure S17 and Table S5), in strong contrast to the quasi-complete re-oxidation of WI-10Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$.

In summary, a combination of in-situ QXAS, coupled with MCR-ALS, and ‘conventional’ H$_2$-TPR and CO$_2$-TPO experiments shows that bare Ni cannot be oxidized by CO$_2$, while MgAl$_2$O$_4$-supported Ni can. The Ni-MgAl$_2$O$_4$ interface, showing MSI, provides active sites for CO$_2$ activation and a channel for Ni re-oxidation. This oxidation evolves away from the interface, steered by oxygen diffusion. Within the CO$_2$-TPO timeframe, complete oxidation only occurs for small supported NPs. This work not only underlines the significance of support effects in Ni-based materials, but also provides a fundamental understanding of the separate effect of CO$_2$ on Ni/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, contributing towards the design of more active Ni-based catalysts and more detailed kinetic models for these catalysts.
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