

1 Educating in a pandemic and beyond – Minireview

## 3 **A Toolbox for Digitally Enhanced Teaching in Synthetic Biology**

4 Liv Teresa Muth<sup>1</sup>, Liam Richard Jenkins Sánchez<sup>1\*</sup>, Silke Claus<sup>1\*</sup>, José Manuel Salvador  
5 Lopez<sup>1\*</sup>, Inge Van Bogaert<sup>1+</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> Centre for Synthetic Biology, Department of Biotechnology, Ghent University, Coupure Links  
7 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

8 \* These authors contributed equally

9 <sup>+</sup> Corresponding author: Inge.VanBogaert@ugent.be, +32 9 264 60 34

### 11 **Abstract**

12 The global pandemic of COVID-19 has forced educational provision to suddenly shift to a  
13 digital environment all around the globe. During these extraordinary times of teaching and  
14 learning both the challenges and the opportunities of embedding technologically enhanced  
15 education permanently became evident. Even though reinforced by constraints due to the  
16 pandemic, teaching through digital tools increases the portfolio of approaches to reach learning  
17 outcomes in general. In order to reap the full benefits, this Minireview displays various  
18 initiatives and tools for distance education in the area of Synthetic Biology in higher education  
19 while taking into account specific constraints of teaching Synthetic Biology from a distance,  
20 such as collaboration, laboratory and practical experiences. The displayed teaching resources  
21 can benefit current and future educators and raise awareness about a diversified inventory of  
22 teaching formats as a starting point to reflect upon one's own teaching and its further  
23 advancement.

## Introduction

Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in the beginning of 2020, Synthetic Biology (SynBio) education - as all study disciplines - is heavily affected by physical distancing constraints. This disruptive change transformed the way education is delivered as there was no alternative but to suddenly switch all teaching and learning from classrooms into the students' homes. Students, teaching and administrative staff had to develop *ad hoc* solutions to bridge teaching and learning during these challenging times. Most higher education institutions did not have – to an extent that allowed them to easily tackle the sudden increased demand - the digital maturity, nor the required ICT infrastructure coverage or appropriate expertise and institutional strategies. Nevertheless, at the same time it became evident that this forced change into a digital environment also bears potential to foster innovative teaching, boost its acceptance among teachers and students and to accelerate the transformation of teaching through digital tools.

In response to the pandemic, educators had to adapt their courses in a short time span with limited availability of individual training and support. In the long term, technical preparedness of teaching staff and educational IT infrastructure are essential parts of the equation towards quality education, but so is training teachers in how to make best pedagogical use of technology-embedded teaching and build confidence among educators to move beyond 'Emergency Remote Teaching'. Not only educators had to learn how to navigate within online teaching platforms but also the learners themselves. Even though one might think about students as 'Digital Natives', the student body's diversity needs to be taken into account. It should not be taken for granted that all students have the necessary skills, access and resources to fully participate in online education.

Particularly teaching SynBio in a virtual environment poses some additional obstacles as this STEM discipline is fundamentally linked to hands-on experience in wet lab exercises and potential research stays that are challenging to convey online. However, shifting into a virtual environment also holds potential. The fast-growing knowledge in the field of SynBio demands for quickly adaptable teaching solutions in the classroom. Even though it is essential that students learn how to adequately handle lab equipment, funding and material for laboratory exercises might be limited. Here, digital alternatives can be used to supplement hands-on practical lessons while easing financial constraints.

SynBio is a field that emerged around the turn of the millennium, bridging various disciplines from molecular biology over computer sciences to chemistry. SynBio aims to break down biological complexity, accumulate knowledge about living systems and standardize it into parts that can be used as a modular toolbox to recombine them in new and predictable biological entities (Cameron, Bashor and Collins 2014). The following characteristics inherent to SynBio

have direct implications on how it is taught to students and provide powerful opportunities for its provision in higher education:

- **Rapid development:** SynBio is progressing at a rapid pace (Meng and Ellis 2020) - so fast that content taught in a SynBio course at this moment can become outdated within a few years. Thus, teaching SynBio requires more frequent updates compared to other fields of research in higher education (Hallinan *et al.* 2019).
- **Applicability:** SynBio's application potential relates to its power to tackle real-world challenges (Voigt 2020) and can be used in pedagogical concepts like problem-based learning (Kuldell 2007) in order to create meaningfulness and give perspectives on future employment sectors.
- **Cross-disciplinary collaboration:** SynBio combines a wide spectrum of STEM disciplines but it is also interconnected with entrepreneurship, scientific communication, arts and design, social sciences and ethics (Kuldell 2007). Incorporation of a plurality of perspectives in their education, will allow students to experience working in interdisciplinary teams and synergistically develop ideas.

In order to contribute to sharing good teaching practices for training the next generations of SynBio educators, this Minireview focuses on digital teaching formats within respectively applicable to the field of SynBio. Even though our interest in this topic was triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic's restriction on face-to-face teaching, the impact of innovative teaching goes beyond the scope of this pandemic. By providing an overview of tools for digitally enhanced teaching, the respective strength and shortcomings(Fout! Verwijzingsbron niet gevonden.) and corresponding available resources (Tables 2 - 5) - though by far not an exhaustive collection - we aim to reduce the constraints that are faced in respect to distance teaching of SynBio and at the same time inspire creativity and innovation among STEM educators beyond disciplinary boundaries.

## **Main body**

### **Virtual Lectures**

Virtual lectures are often used to teach fundamental knowledge and can be divided in two types: synchronous and asynchronous. In synchronous lectures, teaching staff delivers lectures live using video conferencing technology. These can additionally be recorded and later made available to students to improve accessibility, while still being considered synchronous. Live delivery allows the lecturers to control and adapt their pace according to the students' needs (Racheva 2018), to use in-time feedback and quizzes about the students' current stage of learning and understanding, and to facilitate real-time social interaction e.g. in break-out rooms (Nieuwoudt 2020). Indeed, even before the onset of the pandemic, lecture formats have been shifting away from traditional approaches - characterized by mere knowledge transmission from the lecturer to the students - towards active student engagement. For lectures, approaches that shift part of the students' learning into independent self-study can be found in 'flipping the classroom' - meaning that students have the first contact with learning materials outside of the classroom using lower levels of cognitive skills like acquiring knowledge while the lecture sessions are used for building higher cognitive skills such as analysis and evaluation (Akçayır and Akçayır 2018). This is particularly beneficial for teaching SynBio-related fields which require critical thinking (Winstead and Huang 2019). Within 'Just-in-Time Teaching' the main focus lies on identifying the students' level of understanding by letting them prepare material and submit assignments before the session, so the teacher can tailor the in-person session towards the most challenging points e.g. to clarify misunderstandings (Marrs and Novak 2004). Pedagogical strategies of this type promote student-centred and active learning by increasing the students' responsibility for their own learning. For more information on how JiTT and flipped classroom approaches can aid teaching - also benefitting virtual lectures - the reader is referred to the work of Novak *et al.* 1998, and Toriz, 2019, respectively. Nonetheless, synchronous lectures have some limitations, such as 'Zoom Hangovers', caused by overstimulation, external distraction and long sessions (Lowenthal *et al.* 2020).

Asynchronous, or on-demand lectures, are lectures which are recorded with the specific intent of being made available online at a later date. Compared to synchronous lectures, asynchronous lectures are less problematic regarding technological reliability and access barriers such as time-zone differences (Lowenthal *et al.* 2020) and allow the students to have more control over how quickly information is presented, decreasing cognitive load and stress (Guo, Li and Han 2018; Nieuwoudt 2020). However, this independence and low interactivity can result in feelings of isolation. Tools such as discussion boards can be key to mitigate emotional attrition, with supportive and reachable instructors (Aloni and Harrington 2018; Lowenthal *et al.* 2020). In the

same regard, grading systems are good strategies to promote student engagement (Procko *et al.* 2020). In any case, adequate lecture lengths (preferably under 30 minutes and strictly under 60 minutes) should be kept in mind (Guo, Li and Han 2018).

## **Virtual Mobility**

International student mobility was heavily affected by international travel restrictions. Consequently, ‘Virtual Mobility’ suddenly became more inviting. The concept is defined as a ‘*set of ICT supported activities, organized at institutional level, that realize or facilitate international, collaborative experiences in a context of teaching and/or learning*’ (European Commission 2020). It allows students to follow courses at another educational institute than the students’ home institute, in other parts of the world in an online mode. Both institutes agree priorly to recognize the course, so it becomes part of the student’s curriculum. Virtual Mobility can lower barriers to gain international experience e.g. in regards to financial burden for travelling and living abroad and for students facing health-related and political issues (Buchem *et al.* 2018). Virtual Mobility can also take place in the form of virtual internships in companies, through virtual guest lectures or in physical exchange programmes that are complemented with virtual elements. The Erasmus project ‘Tea-Camp’ funded a two-year study in which various aspects of Virtual Mobility were studied and assembled the outcomes in one book (Teresevičienė, Volungevičienė and Daukšienė 2011). As a follow-up, the ‘Open Virtual Mobility Learning Hub’ was designed as a central reference point (Buchem *et al.* 2018).

‘Remote Experts’ became more accessible to students because of the compelled use of videoconferencing technology. The opportunity to speak directly to authors of scientific research led to increased excitement about otherwise challenging material (Basiliko and Gupta 2015). Also, students themselves can step into the shoes of Remote Experts in initiatives such as ‘Skype a Scientist’ that matches researchers – even early career researchers from Graduate School onwards – with classrooms around the globe. This way students can practice their presentation skills and provision of scientific content tailored to a non-expert audience (Skype a Scientist).

Extra advantages of Virtual Mobility are the promotion of second language competency, cultural exchange and mutual understanding among students and researchers across the globe (Al-Samarraie 2019). It can serve as “*an opportunity to reflect and elaborate on renewed models of internationalization at home*” (Coimbra Group 2020). One has to acknowledge that virtual mobility should never aim to fully replace physical mobility because the same levels of support, interaction among students or student-teachers and participant motivation cannot be reached (Buiskool and Hudepohl 2020). A shift to virtual mobility also implies a more elaborate

development of online platforms and difficult logistics in terms of planning. In the future, a mixed approach in which virtual mobility is used as preparation; support and follow-up of the physical version is expected to be most beneficial.

## **Massive Open Online Courses**

Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) are courses offered on learning platforms that are based on common principles such as democratization of educational offers, video-assisted learning, self-assessment, modularity, and short-term education (López Meneses, Vázquez Cano and Mac Fadden 2020). These online courses, which appeared for the first time in 2008, do not have any restriction on the number of enrolled students and are free of charge to everyone, although fees must usually be paid to receive a recognizable certificate. MOOCs have the potential to diversify the higher educational landscape, by providing easily-scalable, informal, and student-centred alternatives to traditional programmes, as MOOCs give students more autonomy to shape their individual learning paths and expand the curriculum further than their traditional education programs (Lambert 2020; Blum-Smith, Yurkofsky and Brennan 2021; Julia, Peter and Marco 2021). One of the biggest advantages of MOOCs is the great variety of topics directly accessible to learners.

Nevertheless, MOOCs show several weaknesses that limit their expansion: high dropout rates, lack of feedback, low interaction, absence of reliable examinations and difficulty to assemble an individual curriculum out of the wide range of MOOCs available as well as limited recognition of the competencies acquired through MOOCs by formal institutions (López Meneses, Vázquez Cano and Mac Fadden 2020; Reparaz, Aznárez-Sanado and Mendoza 2020; Zhu, Bonk and Doo 2020).(Pickard, Shah and De Simone 2018)(Pickard, Shah and De Simone 2018)(Pickard, Shah and De Simone 2018)(Pickard, Shah and De Simone 2018)MOOCs can introduce SynBio to students studying related programmes, such as biochemistry or computer sciences, which do not necessarily include SynBio in their curricula. The MOOC “Principles of Synthetic Biology”, developed by MIT and provided on EdX, gives an overview on the engineering approach of this discipline (Anderson *et al.* 2019). In the same way that MOOCs can be used to introduce learners from related disciplines SynBio, they can also be used to expand the knowledge of SynBio students into areas related to the interdisciplinarity of SynBio, such as programming or bioethics. For example, the MOOC “Engineering Life: Synbio, Bioethics & Public Policy”, developed by Johns Hopkins University and provided on Coursera, gives an overview on the ethical and legal implications of several SynBio applications (Mathews 2021).

During the pandemic not only have students turned towards MOOCs for knowledge and credits, also teachers have made use of them as inspiration to create their own online courses. The rise of MOOCs during the pandemic is reflected in a three-times increase of subscribed learners

between 2019 and 2020, compared to the previous term. Although during the pandemic MOOCs usage has increased worldwide, the question remains open whether MOOCs will maintain this trend after face-to-face education can be fully restored.

## YouTube Playlists and Podcasts

While through MOOCs credits can be awarded to be used in formal studies, there is a vast number of informal educational resources available on the web, such as YouTube videos or podcasts. Students can easily consult these free resources to support their learning process and teachers can use them as inspiration or supporting material. Even though they provide freedom to students, their quality may be irregular or untrustworthy (Drew 2017).

Besides being a passive source of information, YouTube – the biggest open-access repository of videos - can be actively integrated in education, with students publishing videos for projects and teachers creating contents that their students and other interested parties can follow (Almobarraz 2018; Curran and Curran 2020; Ssentamu *et al.* 2020). However, awareness about the usefulness of YouTube and similar platforms for teaching still needs to be encouraged among education professionals. YouTube offers a range of resources for SynBio as exemplified in Table 2. In addition, the reader is referred to the article of Dy, Aurand and Friedman (2019) that compiled a comprehensive playlist of SynBio educational videos found on YouTube.

Starting with the exchange of private audio files, podcasts have evolved into a free and open format to distribute information. Podcasts can be used in different ways: as substitutional material (providing essential course content), as supplemental material (providing reviewed course material), or as integrated material (providing non-essential extra material). The use of podcasts as integrational material is the rarest application but at the same time it has the highest potential (Connor *et al.* 2020). The design of podcasts is of particular importance to provide significant learning experiences. Podcasts can benefit from a high use of structural guidance techniques to continuously remind the audience of the message context, as well as brief and intense episodes or an informal style based on humour (Drew 2017; König 2020). For SynBio podcasts, as for podcasts in general, it is common to have several speakers either in the form of interviews or round table discussions. Podcasts centred around SynBio are not abundant, and the content of the ones available might be too advanced for students not yet familiar with the subject. Nonetheless, podcasts can be of great help for further insight into specific topics or as material about the intersections of SynBio with other disciplines, such as business or ethics. A selection of SynBio YouTube playlists and podcasts can be found in **Fout! Verwijzingsbron niet gevonden..**

## Online Debates and Discussions

Achieving students' full engagement in the online learning environment requires high interactivity with the learning materials, but also an elaborate social learning network. Online debates and discussions are a good start since they increase peer-to-peer interaction, which provides opportunities to practice communication skills and increases student interest by providing platforms for dialogue. During the discussions, the teacher is in the role of a moderator that oversees the content and should be aware of the abundance of online fora without curation of the content posted. A teachers' guide on the practical aspects how to moderate online discussions was written by Feenberg, Xin and Glass (2002). Online tools - such as the online debate website 'Kialo Edu' (Kialo Edu) - are designed to help moderate these discussions in an online classroom setting.

Zooming in on communities more specifically dedicated to SynBio, one encounters among other *DIYBio.org* (Table 3), a global project with its own general ethical framework, open-source mindset and, most importantly, supra-national networks to meet other junior and amateur SynBio scientists online. These discussion platforms provide students with the opportunity of joining SynBio communities outside formal education; thereby, allowing them to engage with laypersons from the general public from early on in their scientific careers. Other examples of discussion fora and networks are given in **Fout! Verwijzingsbron niet gevonden..**

## Social Media

Through social media platforms, learners and educators can interact with each other online. One of the first forms of social media were blogs, which can be defined as an online publishing format characterized by a collection of links, news, or opinions with an informal and subjective style and appear in inverse chronological order (Barujel 2005). The use of blogs in higher education (termed 'Edublogs') has been proposed since the early 2000s (Cabrera 2019). Educators can create blogs which can be accessed at any moment and respond to students' questions and comments. Furthermore, students can be encouraged to create blogs themselves, where they can structure and publish their gained scientific insights, improving skills to acquire and organize knowledge, aiding to developing life-long learning competencies.

The spread of mobile technologies and the appearance of other social media formats provide alternatives to blogs. The advantages of mobile technologies are obvious: the big social media players such as Facebook and Twitter provide infrastructure, outreach and the potential for student engagement with new forms of delivery (Cann 2015). Even more, 'Microblog' platforms (any online platform where messages are restricted to 140 - 200 characters) encourage participation in socio-scientific topics, developing argumentation skills among students (Shaw,



Walker and Kafai 2020). Compared to blogs, the accessibility of these mobile social media is much more direct, and the notification systems allow for more dynamic sharing of knowledge (Chawinga 2017). A study across a variety of disciplines showed that students are comfortable with frequently using social media in their education and that it supports deep learning (Samuels-Peretz *et al.* 2017).

Inside the microbiology field, the 'Adopt a Bacterium' project is one example, promoting an interactive teaching experience using Facebook as a platform for shared and supervised discussions (Piantola *et al.* 2018). Also, on LinkedIn, several open SynBio groups are found, such as the 'iGEM', 'CRISPR and Gene Editing Tools', 'DIYbio' groups. The hashtag #synbio on Twitter guides students towards researchers and their publications in bitesize bits. More than ever, science communication and distribution of research output is changing its trajectory towards social media, urging teachers to include or consult them when updating the curriculum. More information on how social media impacted microbiology dissemination can be found in the communication by Al *et al.* (2021).

Yet, one should be aware of the associated challenges of using social media for educational purposes. The expectation of short response times and the omnipresent nature of this medium often leads to imbalances in the work/private life separation, which in turn causes stress and anxiety for teaching staff and students (Lepp, Barkley and Karpinski 2014). When using social media, one also needs to be aware of problems in regards to intellectual property laws, privacy, cyberbullying and digital and information illiteracy such as for identifying misinformation. Furthermore, the limited character space and volatility of social media do not leave a lot of room for nuances. Given the complexity of SynBio issues, students tend to be drawn to their peers' perspectives and thereby reinforcing their own biases (Shaw, Walker and Kafai 2020). Nonetheless, social media cannot be ignored for its impact on our every day's private and professional lives and therefore should be used adequately to contribute to active learning. Evidence-based recommendations and guidelines for including social media in one's teaching are provided by Greenhow and Galvin (2020).

## **Wet Lab Simulators and Virtual Labs**

Particularly in applied sciences, the perceived value of distance learning suffers from a negative stereotype (Matias and Springs 2020). This originates mainly from the need to acquire a hands-on foundation (including skills involved in investigation, experimentation and evidence evaluation). Molecular biology students stated the lack of performing hands-on lab techniques during the pandemic as one of the major barriers to their learning (Hsu and Rowland-Goldsmith 2021). The fast switch from in-person to online teaching often led to the use of lab videos of teachers performing experiments or animations without any interactive aspects. For a vast

database of these videos, the reader is referred to ‘JoVE’ or ‘LabXchange’ (JoVE; The President and Fellows of Harvard College). Additionally, free videos can also be consulted on YouTube. By using demonstration videos, instead of physically performing the experiments, the focus shifts towards the conceptual understanding of a technique and data analysis. Yet, students still reported having troubles grasping the underlying concepts. This indicates the importance of the exploratory and creative aspects of actively performing lab experiments.

In SynBio, molecular procedures such as cloning can be easily simulated with computer resources such as in PCR simulators (‘Virtual PCR Simulator’, Table 4) and even whole DNA assembly environments (‘Benchling’ or ‘Serial Cloner’, Table 4). These simulators provide a conceptual space to rehearse prior theoretical knowledge, without requiring expensive reagents and equipment. Together with a multitude of sequence repositories such as the ‘NCBI Database’ or ‘Addgene’, these simulators facilitate the design of molecular constructs, sequence analysis, PCR primer design and other skills which are all key learning objectives in molecular biology. Yet, the benefit of simulators goes beyond the design stage, nicely illustrated by the availability of various resources for virtual lab experiments (**Fout! Verwijzingsbron niet gevonden.**).

Interactive simulation tools provide a valuable alternative to the analogue lab experiments, with a higher student engagement compared to lab animations or videos (Diwakar *et al.* 2016; Allen and Barker 2021). In the virtual environment, students can perform individual lab experiments from PCR over gel electrophoresis to microscopy or engage in performing a whole SynBio routine from building a genetic circuit to transformation by using e.g. ‘Labster’ (Table 4). Before the pandemic, lab simulation-based practical training in higher education was rarely used to replace real-life exercises. From an industrial biopharmaceutical perspective, it was found that these virtual trainings could vastly substitute real-life trainings (Wisner *et al.* 2021). Even if a few lab simulations require advanced computational skills and infrastructure it is conceivable that virtual labs might play a more central role in the future of SynBio education, even after the pandemic.

Preferably, lab experiments – online or in-person - result in real-world significance, something that appeared crucial for the motivation of students (Van Heuvelen *et al.* 2020). The most relevant example of an online practical exercise with a reality-grounded outcome, stems from the crowdsourced COVID-19 ‘Moonshot’ project (Table 4). Here, students apply their scientific knowledge and creativity in search for inhibitors targeting the main protease of the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Brandt and Novak 2021). All results are posted in a communal database and automatically scored. The highest scoring candidates are synthesized and tested as an actual treatment for SARS-CoV-2, providing the societal relevance to the project.

## Gamification

‘Gamification’ refers to the use of game elements in non-game environments (Toriz 2019). Using gaming strategies in teaching can result in higher grades, higher engagement and student participation and the development of soft skills such as leadership, reflection on scientific values and self-discipline (Toriz 2019). By design, games promote curiosity and exploration, and provide feedback for tasks. Effort is rewarded through public praise, such as leader boards and congratulatory messages, while mistakes are assimilated through ‘fun failure’, which is non-threatening, and thus does not induce anxiety but invites additional exploration (Morris *et al.* 2013).

In addition to the benefits for students’ learning, smart game design can be used to solve real scientific problems. ‘FoldIt’ is a perfect example in this regard (Table 5). The skills of players were harnessed to predict the 3D structure of folded proteins; with top players succeeding at a greater degree of accuracy than contemporary software. This demonstrates the potential of SynBio games to push the scientific frontier (Cooper *et al.* 2010). Relevant games in the field of SynBio are compiled in Table 5, which are considered well suited for integration in distance learning.

However, SynBio games are not limited to completely virtual game environments. Collaboration with other students can be enhanced by SynBio-related escape room games that can be executed online while communicating through video conferencing technology (Alonso and Schroeder 2020). Furthermore, some games can be played virtually but rely on real-time, physically occurring molecular or organismic biological processes, termed ‘Biotic Games’. For example, at the organism level, *Euglena* (Gerber, Kim and Riedel-Kruse 2016) and *Paramecium* (Riedel-Kruse *et al.* 2011) responding to external stimuli applied by players can be used in minigames like Pong, Pac-man, and soccer. In other games such as ‘Mould Rush’ players scan the growth of microbial cultures and score points for identifying colonies (Pataran *et al.* 2020).

Gamification as an educational tool is not without limitations. A lack of technical skills both on the educators’ or students’ side can hinder participation. Furthermore, the narrow scope of individual games and the limited number of games available often leads to them not necessarily aligning with course milestones, or only covering certain parts of the desired learning.

Therefore, proper thought and prior planning should be placed to guarantee that games are appropriate to the study topic at hand (Sánchez-Mena and Martí-Parreño 2017).

## Student Competitions

SynBio competitions, such as iGEM or BIOMOD, allow teams of students to present ideas which they have designed, built and tested. These ideas should be directed to solve real-world problems and should involve the design of organisms (iGEM) or molecules (BIOMOD) (Kelwick *et al.* 2015; Schmitt *et al.* 2020). However, the area of responsibility goes beyond pure research planning and execution: Participants are asked to also cover research management such as collecting funds from sponsors, managing their budget, researching societal implications as well as creating deliverables for the competition such as websites or posters. Due to the pandemic, BIOMOD 2020 was cancelled while iGEM 2020 was taken fully online and requirements and goals were adjusted to allow the possibility to win prizes for those teams that could not access laboratories. iGEM 2020 showed that a worldwide student competition taking place entirely online is a feasible task. Besides the main SynBio competitions, students can also work on SynBio-related projects in competitions with a broader target group, such as the BioBased Innovation Student Challenge Europe (TKI-BBE 2021).

Student competitions can offer students motivation to apply their knowledge to real-life situations, gaining new SynBio and interdisciplinary skills in the process (Gadola and Chindamo 2019; Herrera-Limones *et al.* 2020). Furthermore, the big scope of these competitions requires team effort from students rather than individual work, fomenting the development of interpersonal and teamworking skills (Schuster, Davol and Mello 2006). However, educators should be aware of the – depending on the scope of the competition - time and resource consuming character of student competitions. Another type of student competitions are hackathons in which a team, often interdisciplinary, seeks to develop a solution or an algorithm to a real and previously defined problem in a limited amount of time, ranging from several hours to multiple days. SynBio has the potential to provide stimulating problems for hackathons in educational settings, in which students can develop their programming skills and understanding of biology in interdisciplinary groups (Cambridge University; Horton *et al.* 2018; Gama *et al.* 2019; ELIXIR 2021). Based on previous hackathon experiences, a team of researchers developed guidelines on how to organize and manage Bio-Hackathons which can serve educators that aim to implement hackathons at their institutions or in their courses (Garcia *et al.* 2020).

## Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to temporary physical closure of higher education institutions and forced teachers and students into a rather drastic and global switch from in-person teaching to 'Emergency Remote Teaching'. Even though remarkable responses have been made, the

abrupt switch to digital education was rather a solution in the moment of crisis than based on proper long-term implemented technical, professional and pedagogical strategies. With this Minireview we provide a diversified toolbox (Table 1) with explicit examples for educators to easily consult when designing and conducting their higher education courses in an online environment. Above, we have showcased a range of SynBio-specific but also a variety of SynBio-linked resources as SynBio is inherently associated with interdisciplinarity. Consequently, not only SynBio teachers can profit from this toolbox but due to its transferability also educators from other related disciplines that are looking for inspiration can benefit from it.

Social interactions between students are crucial for the learning process, creating a sense of belonging to a college cohort and expanding interpersonal soft skills. Learning full-time online - without a physical space to interact – can have negative consequences for students' physical and emotional well-being such as feelings of isolation. Formats like student competitions can largely support student community-building. However, most of the interactions in a digital setting are rather formal, whereas informal contacts like joint lunch breaks are more difficult to institutionalize. Even though 'Virtual Mobility' can be seen as an alternative to overcome the travel restrictions, since it addresses cultural awareness and intercultural collaboration to a certain extent, it is evidently distinct from physical mobility in which the student immerses in a culturally different environment. Another shortcoming of teaching SynBio online, is the current inability to perform wet lab exercises. Virtual labs can partially be used as substitute, but lack the real proper handling of laboratory equipment and immediate trouble solving. These situations highlight the challenges of virtual environments when it comes to human connection, networking and hands-on experience.

Another point of attention is the sustainability of online resources for teaching. Digital resources need to be maintained and updated to ensure accessibility and state-of-the-art scientific material. Depending on the tool used, educators need to pay attention to identifying scientifically sound resources. Oppositely, this can also provide opportunities to train students' information and data literacy. Generally, teaching online asks for considerations about the risks of cyberattacks, data misuse and privacy protection - especially when teachers and students are required to sign up for accessing a platform using their own, or their university's information..

In any case, one should bear in mind that the student population is diverse and students can face problems when it comes to private and quiet spaces to work remotely from home, lacking technical equipment or limited internet connection as well as limited digital literacy. Furthermore, barriers encountered by students with disabilities and impairments need to be taken into account from the beginning e.g. by using the guidelines of 'Universal Design for

Learning’ (Dell 2015; Rogers-Shaw, Carr-Chellman and Choi 2018). This said, it is crucial that ICT, other support structures and educators are capable to provide a safety net for all learners to counteract inequalities and the digital divide.

The delivery modes and respective resources displayed in this Minireview can provide starting points for a fruitful teaching and learning experience. However, teachers should bear in mind that digital tools and resources are only a medium for delivering information but do not *per se* cause effective and student-centred teaching. Ideally, modern evidence-based pedagogical approaches and digital teaching tools blend together and are complementary to reach the intended beneficiaries. Especially in the digital environment teachers need to adapt their teaching style and carefully design their teaching units. This said, educators are encouraged to consult their institutions’ pedagogical assistance and benefit from MOOCs for teachers’ professional development themselves e.g. “Blended and Online Learning Design” (developed by UCL and provided on FutureLearn) and other published materials (Bruggeman *et al.* 2021; Mahmood 2021; Sharp *et al.* 2021). In the sense of student-centred learning and teaching, students can become co-creators of their own learning and generate valuable online teaching content themselves while strengthening the student-staff partnership.

Even though there is no ‘One-Size-Fits-All’ solution, this review aims to contribute to peer-learning among educators. As SynBio itself, the teaching landscape is facing a rapid development and continuous improvement in which also digital tools find their place. Digital teaching solutions used synergistically together with appropriate teaching methodology have the potential to make best use of flexibility - in time, place and content – for learners and educators to reach desired learning outcomes. Therefore, educators - from early career researcher that are teaching their first courses towards senior academics with multiple decades of teaching experience - should use the lessons learnt from crisis-driven experimentations and innovations as an opportunity to reflect on and reimagine their own practices to teaching post-COVID19 - just like SynBio typically relies on a Design-Build-Test-Learn cycle to constantly readjust and improve.

## Conflicts of interest

None declared.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Research Foundation - Flanders [FWO; grant numbers 28930, 1185417N; grant number 197907, 1SC4320N; grant number GOE1319N], as well as the Special Research Fund of Ghent University [BOF; grant number STA011-17].

## References

- Akçayır G, Akçayır M. The flipped classroom: A review of its advantages and challenges. *Comput Educ* 2018;**126**:334–45.
- Al-Samarraie H. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning A Scoping Review of Videoconferencing Systems in Higher Education Learning Paradigms, Opportunities, and Challenges A Scoping Review of Videoconferencing Systems in Higher Education: Learn. 2019;**20**.
- Al KF, Puebla-Barragan S, Reid G *et al*. Young Scientist Perspective—Microbiology trainees and social media: making science go viral during a pandemic. *FEMS Microbes* 2021;**2**:1.
- Allen TE, Barker SD. BME Labs in the Era of COVID-19: Transitioning a Hands-on Integrative Lab Experience to Remote Instruction Using Gamified Lab Simulations. *Biomed Eng Educ* 2021;**1**:99–104.
- Almobarraz A. Utilization of YouTube as an information resource to support university courses. *Electron Libr* 2018;**36**:71–81.
- Aloni M, Harrington C. Research based practices for improving the effectiveness of asynchronous online discussion boards. *Scholarsh Teach Learn Psychol* 2018;**4**:271–89.
- Alonso G, Schroeder KT. Applying active learning in a virtual classroom such as a molecular biology escape room. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ* 2020;**48**:514–5.
- Anderson DA, Weiss R, Jones RD *et al*. Principles of synthetic biology : a MOOC for an emerging field. *Synth Biol* 2019;**4**:1–8.
- Barujel AG. El uso de weblogs en la docencia universitaria. *RELATEC Rev Latinoam Tecnol Educ* 2005;**4**:9–24.
- Basiliko N, Gupta V. Bringing guest scientists to the university biology classroom via the web. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2015;**362**:1–3.
- Blum-Smith S, Yurkofsky MM, Brennan K. Stepping back and stepping in: Facilitating learner-centered experiences in MOOCs. *Comput Educ* 2021;**160**:104042.
- Brandt GS, Novak WRP. SARS-CoV-2 virtual biochemistry labs on bioinformatics and drug design. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ* 2021;**49**:26–8.
- Bruggeman B, Tondeur J, Struyven K *et al*. Experts speaking: Crucial teacher attributes for implementing blended learning in higher education. *Internet High Educ* 2021;**48**, DOI: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2020.100772.

488 Buchem I, Konert J, Carlino C *et al.* Designing a collaborative learning hub for virtual mobility  
489 skills - Insights from the european project open virtual mobility. *Lecture Notes in*  
490 *Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and*  
491 *Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. Springer Verlag, 2018, 350–75.

492 Buiskool B-J, Hudepohl M. Concomitant expertise for INI report - Virtual formats versus  
493 physical mobility. 2020:6.

494 Cabrera CE. Edublog in the university educational context. *Cienc Soc* 2019;**44**:7–23.

495 Cambridge University. Bio-Hackathon. *SynBio Fund.*

496 Cameron DE, Bashor CJ, Collins JJ. A brief history of synthetic biology. *Nat Rev Microbiol*  
497 2014;**12**:381–90.

498 Cann A. Online technology for teaching and learning-gains and losses. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*  
499 2015;**362**:13–5.

500 Chawinga WD. Taking social media to a university classroom: teaching and learning using  
501 Twitter and blogs. *Int J Educ Technol High Educ* 2017;**14**, DOI: 10.1186/s41239-017-  
502 0041-6.

503 Coimbra Group. *A Collective Reflection on the Present and Future of Higher Education in*  
504 *Europe.*, 2020.

505 Connor SO, Daly CS, Macarthur J *et al.* Nurse Education in Practice Podcasting in nursing and  
506 midwifery education : An integrative review. *Nurse Educ Pract* 2020;**47**:102827.

507 Cooper S, Khatib F, Treuille A *et al.* Predicting protein structures with a multiplayer online  
508 game. *Nature* 2010;**466**:756–60.

509 Curran V, Curran V. YouTube as an Educational Resource in Medical Education : a Scoping  
510 Review. *Med Sci Educ* 2020:1775–82.

511 Dell C. Applying universal design for learning in online courses: Pedagogical and practical  
512 considerations. *J Educ Online* 2015.

513 Diwakar S, Radhamani R, Sasidharakurup H *et al.* Assessing students and teachers experience  
514 on simulation and remote biotechnology virtual labs: A case study with a light microscopy  
515 experiment. *Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social-Informatics and*  
516 *Telecommunications Engineering, LNICST*. Vol 160. Springer Verlag, 2016, 44–51.

517 Drew C. Edutaining audio: an exploration of education podcast design possibilities. *EMI Educ*  
518 *Media Int* 2017;**54**:48–62.



519 Dy AJ, Aurand ER, Friedman DC. YouTube resources for synthetic biology education. *Synth*  
520 *Biol* 2019;**4**:1–4.

521 ELIXIR. Biohackathon Europe. 2021.

522 European Commission. Erasmus+ Programme Guide 2020 | Erasmus+. 2020:335.

523 Feenberg A, Xin C, Glass G. *A Teacher's Guide to Moderating Online Discussion Forums:*  
524 *From Theory to Practice.*, 2002.

525 Gadola M, Chindamo D. Experiential learning in engineering education: The role of student  
526 design competitions and a case study. *Int J Mech Eng Educ* 2019;**47**:3–22.

527 Gama K, Alencar B, Calegario F *et al.* A Hackathon Methodology for Undergraduate Course  
528 Projects. *Proc - Front Educ Conf FIE* 2019;**2018-October**, DOI:  
529 10.1109/FIE.2018.8659264.

530 Garcia L, Antezana E, Garcia A *et al.* Ten simple rules to run a successful BioHackathon. *PLoS*  
531 *Comput Biol* 2020;**16**:1–13.

532 Gerber LC, Kim H, Riedel-Kruse IH. Interactive Biotechnology: Design Rules for Integrating  
533 Biological Matter into Digital Games. *DiGRA/FDG '16 - Proc First Int Jt Conf DiGRA*  
534 *FDG* 2016:1–16.

535 Greenhow C, Galvin S. Teaching with social media: evidence-based strategies for making  
536 remote higher education less remote. *Inf Learn Sci* 2020;**121**:513–24.

537 Guo R, Li L, Han M. On-demand virtual lectures: Promoting active learning in distance  
538 learning. *ACM Int Conf Proceeding Ser* 2018:1–5.

539 Hallinan JS, Wipat A, Kitney R *et al.* Future-proofing synthetic biology: educating the next  
540 generation. *Eng Biol* 2019;**3**:25–31.

541 Herrera-Limones R, Rey-Pérez J, Hernández-Valencia M *et al.* Student competitions as a  
542 learning method with a sustainable focus in higher education: The University of Seville  
543 “Aura Projects” in the “Solar Decathlon 2019.” *Sustain* 2020;**12**, DOI:  
544 10.3390/su12041634.

545 Van Heuvelen KM, Daub GW, Hawkins LN *et al.* How Do i Design a Chemical Reaction to Do  
546 Useful Work? Reinvigorating General Chemistry by Connecting Chemistry and Society. *J*  
547 *Chem Educ* 2020;**97**:925–33.

548 Horton PA, Jordan SS, Weiner S *et al.* Project-based learning among engineering students  
549 during short-form hackathon events. *ASEE Annu Conf Expo Conf Proc* 2018;**2018-June**,

550 DOI: 10.18260/1-2--30901.

551 Hsu JL, Rowland-Goldsmith M. Student perceptions of an inquiry-based molecular biology  
552 lecture and lab following a mid-semester transition to online teaching. *Biochem Mol Biol*  
553 *Educ* 2021;**49**:15–25.

554 JoVE. Peer Reviewed Scientific Video Journal - Methods and Protocols.

555 Julia K, Peter VR, Marco K. Educational scalability in MOOCs: Analysing instructional designs  
556 to find best practices. *Comput Educ* 2021;**161**:104054.

557 Kelwick R, Bowater L, Yeoman KH *et al.* Promoting microbiology education through the  
558 iGEM synthetic biology competition. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2015;**362**:1–8.

559 Kialo Edu. Kialo Edu - The tool to teach critical thinking and rational debate.

560 König L. Podcasts in higher education : teacher enthusiasm increases students ' excitement ,  
561 interest , enjoyment , and learning motivation motivation. *Educ Stud* 2020;**00**:1–4.

562 Kuldell N. Authentic teaching and learning through synthetic biology. *J Biol Eng* 2007;**1**:1–6.

563 Lambert SR. Do MOOCs contribute to student equity and social inclusion? A systematic review  
564 2014–18. *Comput Educ* 2020;**145**:103693.

565 Lepp A, Barkley JE, Karpinski AC. The relationship between cell phone use, academic  
566 performance, anxiety, and Satisfaction with Life in college students. *Comput Human*  
567 *Behav* 2014;**31**:343–50.

568 López Meneses E, Vázquez Cano E, Mac Fadden I. MOOC in Higher Education from the  
569 Students' Perspective. A Sustainable Model? *Stud Syst Decis Control* 2020;**208**:207–23.

570 Lowenthal PR, Borup J, West RE *et al.* Thinking Beyond Zoom: Using Asynchronous Video to  
571 Maintain Connection and Engagement During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Technol Teach*  
572 *Educ* 2020;**28**:383–91.

573 Mahmood S. Instructional Strategies for Online Teaching in COVID-19 Pandemic. *Hum Behav*  
574 *Emerg Technol* 2021;**3**:199–203.

575 Marrs KA, Novak G. Just-in-Time Teaching in biology: Creating an active learner classroom  
576 using the internet. *Cell Biol Educ* 2004;**3**:049–61.

577 Mathews DJ. Engineering Life: Synbio, Bioethics & Public Policy. 2021.

578 Matias A, Springs S. Engaging Students in Hands-On Science Learning Experiences at a  
579 Distance. *All about Mentor* 2020:67–72.

580 Meng F, Ellis T. The second decade of synthetic biology: 2010–2020. *Nat Commun* 2020;**11**:1–  
581 4.

582 Morris BJ, Croker S, Zimmerman C *et al*. Gaming science: The “Gamification” of scientific  
583 thinking. *Front Psychol* 2013;**4**:1–16.

584 Nieuwoudt JE. Investigating synchronous and asynchronous class attendance as predictors of  
585 academic success in online education. *Australas J Educ Technol* 2020;**36**:15–25.

586 Novak GM, Patterson ET, Gavrín AD *et al*. *Just-in-Time Teaching: Blending Active Learning*  
587 *with Web Technology*. Prentice Hall, Inc., 1998.

588 Pataran P, Kong DS, Maes P *et al*. Living Bits : Opportunities and Challenges for Integrating  
589 Living Microorganisms in Human-Computer Interaction. 2020.

590 Piantola MAF, Moreno ACR, Matielo HA *et al*. Adopt a Bacterium – an active and  
591 collaborative learning experience in microbiology based on social media. *Brazilian J*  
592 *Microbiol* 2018;**49**:942–8.

593 Pickard L, Shah D, De Simone JJ. Mapping Microcredentials Across MOOC Platforms. *Proc*  
594 *2018 Learn With MOOCS, LWMOOCs 2018* 2018:17–20.

595 Procko K, Bell JK, Benore MA *et al*. Moving biochemistry and molecular biology courses  
596 online in times of disruption: Recommended practices and resources - a collaboration with  
597 the faculty community and ASBMB. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ* 2020;**48**:421–7.

598 Racheva V. Social aspects of synchronous virtual learning environments. *AIP Conf Proc*  
599 2018;**2048**, DOI: 10.1063/1.5082050.

600 Reparaz C, Aznárez-Sanado M, Mendoza G. Self-regulation of learning and MOOC retention.  
601 *Comput Human Behav* 2020;**111**, DOI: 10.1016/j.chb.2020.106423.

602 Riedel-Kruse IH, Chung AM, Dura B *et al*. Design, engineering and utility of biotic games. *Lab*  
603 *Chip* 2011;**11**:14–22.

604 Rogers-Shaw C, Carr-Chellman DJ, Choi J. Universal Design for Learning: Guidelines for  
605 Accessible Online Instruction. *Adult Learn* 2018;**29**:20–31.

606 Samuels-Peretz D, Camiel LD, Teeley K *et al*. *Digitally Inspired Thinking: Can Social Media*  
607 *Lead to Deep Learning in Higher Education?* Taylor & Francis, 2017.

608 Sánchez-Mena A, Martí-Parreño J. Drivers and barriers to adopting gamification: Teachers’  
609 perspectives. *Electron J e-Learning* 2017;**15**:434–43.

610 Schmitt FJ, Frielingsdorf S, Friedrich T *et al*. Courses Based on iGEM/BIOMOD Competitions

611 Are the Ideal Format for Research-Based Learning of Xenobiology. *ChemBioChem*  
612 2020:1–9.

613 Schuster P, Davol A, Mello J. *Student Competitions-The Benefits and Challenges.*, 2006.

614 Sharp EA, Norman MK, Spagnoletti CL *et al.* Optimizing Synchronous Online Teaching  
615 Sessions: A Guide to the “New Normal” in Medical Education. *Acad Pediatr* 2021;**21**:11–  
616 5.

617 Shaw MS, Walker JT, Kafai YB. Arguing about Synthetic Biology in 140 Characters or Less :  
618 Affordances of Microblogging for High School Students Discussions of Socio-Scientific  
619 Issues Arguing about Synthetic Biology in 140 Characters or Less : Affordances of  
620 Microblogging for High Scho. 2020.

621 Skype a Scientist.

622 Ssentamu PN, Ng D, Bagarukayo E *et al.* Enhancing Student Interactions in Online Learning :  
623 A Case of Using YouTube in a Distance Learning Module in a Higher Education  
624 Institution in Uganda Enhancing Student Interactions in Online Learning : A Case of  
625 Using YouTube in a Distance Learning Module. *High Educ Res* 2020, DOI:  
626 10.11648/j.her.20200504.11.

627 Teresevičienė M, Volungevičienė A, Daukšienė E. *Virtual Mobility for Teachers and Students*  
628 *in Higher Education : Comparative Research Study on Virtual Mobility.* Vytauto Didžiojo  
629 universitetas, 2011.

630 The President and Fellows of Harvard College. Explore - LabXchange.

631 TKI-BBE. BISC-E. 2021.

632 Toriz E. Learning based on flipped classroom with just-in-time teaching, Unity3D, gamification  
633 and educational spaces. *Int J Interact Des Manuf* 2019;**13**:1159–73.

634 Voigt CA. Synthetic biology 2020–2030: six commercially-available products that are changing  
635 our world. *Nat Commun* 2020;**11**:10–5.

636 Winstead A, Huang L. Transitioning from a Traditional Lecture Style Organic Chemistry  
637 Classroom into a “Flipped” Classroom. 2019;**22**:317–39.

638 Wismer P, Lopez Cordoba A, Baceviciute S *et al.* Immersive virtual reality as a competitive  
639 training strategy for the biopharma industry. *Nat Biotechnol* 2021;**39**:116–9.

640 Zhu M, Bonk CJ, Doo MY. Self-directed learning in MOOCs: exploring the relationships  
641 among motivation, self-monitoring, and self-management. *Educ Technol Res Dev*

642 2020;**68**:2073–93.

643