This is the author's accepted manuscript of the article published in Trends in Microbiology. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.11.011 Please cite this work as: Simon Verdonck, Josephine Nemegeer, Peter Vandenabeele, Jonathan Maelfait. Viral manipulation of host cell necroptosis and pyroptosis, Trends in Microbiology, 30, 93-605, 2022.

1	Viral manipulation of host cell necroptosis and pyroptosis
2	
3	Simon Verdonck ^{1,2,*} , Josephine Nemegeer ^{1,2,*} , Peter Vandenabeele ^{1,2} and Jonathan Maelfait ^{1,2,#}
4	
5	¹ VIB Center for Inflammation Research, 9052 Ghent, Belgium.
6	² Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, 9052 Ghent, Belgium.
7	
8	* These authors share first authorship
9	[#] Correspondence: jonathan.maelfait@irc.vib-ugent.be (J. Maelfait)
10	
11	Keywords: caspase-8; RIPK1; RIPK3; MLKL; GSDM; inflammasome
12	
13	Abstract
14	Cell death forms an essential component of the antiviral immune response. Viral infection
15	elicits different forms of host cell death including the lytic and inflammatory cell death modes
16	necroptosis or pyroptosis. The induction of necroptosis and pyroptosis not only eliminates
17	virus-infected cells but also contributes to the development of innate and adaptive immunity
18	through the release of inflammatory mediators. The importance of both necroptosis and
19	pyroptosis in host defence is evident from the numerous viral evasion mechanisms that suppress

20 these cell death pathways. Here, we review the emerging principles by which viruses antagonise

21 host cell necroptosis and pyroptosis to promote their spread and block host immunity.

23 Highlights

24 Necroptosis and pyroptosis of virus-infected cells are important host defence strategies. • While viral antagonists that block host cell necroptosis are relatively well-documented, 25 • viral strategies to subvert pyroptosis remain poorly characterised. 26 Large DNA viruses such as herpesviruses and poxviruses interfere with different stages 27 • of the necroptotic and pyroptotic signalling cascades. Elimination of one of the viral 28 inhibitors of cell death severely attenuates virulence. 29 Detailed insight into the molecular pathways that block host cell death are opening up 30 • new opportunities for the development of attenuated vaccine strains and the rational 31 32 design of new antivirals. 33 34

35 Regulated cell death as an antiviral defence strategy

Within hours after infection, viruses reshape the host cell into a viral factory with the sole 36 purpose of producing new infectious particles. An effective way to control viral spread is 37 through the removal of infected cells via a process called regulated cell death (Box 1). Although 38 cell death as a consequence of stress induced by the viral replication process has been reported 39 to aid the release of viral progeny at the terminal stages of infection, the early induction of host 40 cell death forms a formidable antiviral defence mechanism (Box 2, Proviral vs. antiviral cell 41 *death*). Firstly, cell death destroys the viral niche of replication. Secondly, antiviral cell death 42 promotes host innate immune responses through the release of intracellular immunostimulatory 43 44 components collectively termed danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs, see Glossary). 45 Thirdly, cell death facilitates the uptake and presentation of viral antigens by dendritic cells to T cells [1, 2]. The three major modes of antiviral cell death are apoptosis, necroptosis and 46 pyroptosis that are each driven by unique genetically imprinted signalling cascades [3-10]. 47 These cell death pathways initiate morphologically distinct cellular disintegration processes. 48 Apoptosis proceeds through a proteolytic signalling cascade mediated by the family of cysteine 49 aspartyl proteases (caspases) and occurs via two signalling pathways: the caspase-9-dependent 50 51 intrinsic or the caspase-8-dependent extrinsic pathways [11, 12]. Apoptosis leads to the ordered 52 disassembly of the cell involving nuclear fragmentation, cell shrinkage and breakdown of the cell into apoptotic bodies [13]. It is important to state that apoptosis of virus-infected cells 53 should not be regarded as an immunologically inert process as is the case for the programmed 54 55 cell death processes that support normal development and tissue homeostasis (see Box 1). Cellintrinsic innate immune activation triggers an inflammatory response that often precedes or 56 57 coincides with the death process. In contrast to apoptosis, both necroptosis and pyroptosis are not involved in maintaining normal physiology as demonstrated by the overtly normal 58 development of mice that lack one or more key regulators of the necroptotic and/or pyroptotic 59

signalling cascades [14-16]. This suggests that these cell death pathways have evolved to deal 60 with conditions of stress including virus infection. Activation of necroptosis and pyroptosis 61 results in cellular swelling followed by plasma membrane perturbation through the action of 62 the pore-forming proteins mixed lineage kinase domain like pseudokinase (MLKL) in the case 63 of necroptosis and gasdermin (GSDM) D during pyroptosis. Cell membrane pore formation in 64 necroptotic and pyroptotic cells causes the release of intracellular material including DAMPs 65 into the extracellular space. As a result, these types of cell death are highly inflammatory even 66 in non-infectious conditions [17, 18]. In addition, pyroptosis of myeloid cells goes hand-in-67 hand with secretion of the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) and IL-18 [15]. 68

69 Given its major role in antiviral immunity, viruses have evolved intricate mechanisms to block 70 host cell death. In this review, we focus on the emerging molecular mechanisms by which viral pathogens manipulate the signalling pathways that induce necroptosis and pyroptosis. For an 71 72 overview on the viral mechanisms that restrict host cell apoptosis we refer to excellent reviews 73 on this topic [10, 19-21]. The relationship between viral cell death antagonists and the host cell death machinery is complex. A notable example is the capacity of large DNA viruses to block 74 the activity of the initiator caspase of cell-extrinsic apoptosis caspase-8 (Box 3), which in turn 75 76 renders cells highly sensitive to necroptosis [3, 21]. This important observation paved the way 77 for the molecular characterisation of the necroptotic signalling pathway [14, 16]. In light of these findings, we also discuss how viruses manipulate caspase-8 activity and ask whether 78 necroptosis acts as a primary antiviral defence mechanism acting in parallel to apoptosis or 79 80 serves as a secondary molecular backup system.

81

82 Viral evasion of necroptosis

Necroptosis is a lytic and highly inflammatory form of cell death with important antiviral
functions [4]. Proteins that contain receptor-interacting protein (RIP) homotypic interaction

motifs (RHIMs) play central roles in necroptotic signalling (Figure 1) [14, 16]. Humans and 85 mice express four RHIM-containing proteins: RIP kinase 1 (RIPK1), RIPK3, TIR-domain-86 containing adapter-inducing IFN- β (TRIF) and Z-DNA binding protein 1 (**ZBP1**). Necroptosis 87 crucially depends on the kinase activity of RIPK3, which phosphorylates the pore-forming 88 protein MLKL resulting in its oligomerisation at the plasma membrane leading to loss of 89 membrane integrity. RIPK3 activation requires upstream interaction with one of the three other 90 RHIM-containing necroptosis-activating proteins RIPK1, TRIF or ZBP1. The induction of 91 necroptosis has been extensively characterised upon stimulation of the cell surface tumour 92 necrosis factor (TNF) receptor 1 (TNFR1), a member of the death receptor superfamily [16]. 93 94 Concurrent TNFR1 stimulation and caspase-8 inhibition results in RIPK1 kinase-dependent 95 assembly of a megadalton cytosolic protein complex called the necrosome, which contains RIPK1 and RIPK3 as its core constituents (see Necroptosis: a backup mechanism or a stand-96 alone process?) [16]. Similarly, activation of TRIF, an adaptor protein for Toll-like receptor 97 (TLR) 3 and TLR4, triggers necroptosis when caspase-8 activity is blocked [22, 23]. Finally, 98 the RHIM-containing innate immune sensor ZBP1 is emerging as an important inducer of 99 antiviral necroptosis. ZBP1 binds double-stranded (ds)RNA molecules in the atypical left-100 101 handed Z-conformation referred to as Z-RNA, which accumulates in virus-infected cells [24, 102 25]. In the case of influenza A virus and members of the herpesviruses and of the poxviruses such as vaccinia virus, ZBP1 is the dominant necroptosis inducer [26-30]. In influenza A-103 infected cells Z-RNAs first appear in the nucleus resulting in activation of a nuclear ZBP1-104 105 RIPK3-MLKL signalling axis. This causes rupture of the nuclear membrane and release of nuclear DAMPs including HMGB1 [24]. Overactivation of this pathway may contribute to 106 107 influenza A-induced lung immunopathology. In contrast, infection with the vaccinia poxvirus causes cytosolic accumulation of Z-RNA [25], indicating that ZBP1 surveys both nuclear and 108 cytosolic compartments to induce necroptosis of virus-infected cells. Truncated recombinantly 109

expressed proteins containing β -sheet sequences surrounding the core (I/V)Q(I/V)G RHIM 110 residues of RIPK1 and RIPK3 stack together into an alternating pattern to form amyloid 111 structures [31, 32]. Further in vitro experimentation shows that RHIM-dependent interactions 112 between RIPK1 and RIPK3 seed the formation of RIPK3-only amyloidal fibres that serve as 113 MLKL activation platforms [33, 34] and may form important targets of viral antagonism (see 114 below). It is well established that RIPK3-containing necrosomes separate into large insoluble 115 116 complexes in cells [16]. However, whether RIPK1/RIPK3 amyloid complexes are formed under natural conditions and/or whether amyloid formation is functionally required for necroptosis 117 induction and thus represent a target of viral antagonism in vivo is less clear. 118

119

120 RHIM-dependent inhibition of necroptosis

Multiple members of the herpesvirus family encode RHIM-containing proteins that interfere 121 with host cell RIPK3 amyloid formation and downstream MLKL activation. The prototypical 122 viral RHIM protein is the enzymatically inactive viral ribonuclease reductase subunit 1 (R1) 123 homologue M45 from mouse cytomegalovirus (MCMV) [29, 35]. M45 directly interacts and 124 blocks signalling of RIPK1, TRIF and ZBP1 via its N-terminal RHIM [29, 36-38]. An N-125 126 terminal fragment of M45 encompassing the minimal RHIM region, which is still capable of 127 inhibiting necroptosis, is amyloidogenic, similar to the RHIMs of RIPK1 and RIPK3[39]. This 128 supports a model whereby M45 prevents necroptosis induction by forming dysfunctional ZBP1-M45 and/or RIPK3-M45 host-viral heteroamyloids that are unable to activate MLKL. The viral 129 130 UL39-encoded R1 analogues from herpes simplex virus (HSV)-1 and HSV-2, termed ICP6 and ICP10 respectively, similarly contain a RHIM at their N-termini to inhibit TNF- and ZBP1-131 driven necroptosis [30, 40, 41]. While MCMV M45 lacks ribonucleotide reductase activity, 132 both HSV-1 and HSV-2 R1 proteins are enzymatically active and promote the synthesis of 133 deoxynucleotide building blocks required for DNA genome replication. The ribonucleotide 134

reductase domain of ICP6 additionally interacts with and inhibits caspase-8 (see Box 3) and 135 contains a short C-terminal amino acid sequence, termed induced protein aggregation motif 136 (IPAM), which induces RIPK1 aggregation and autophagosome-mediated degradation [42]. 137 IPAM sequences are conserved in MCMV M45 and at least 70 other viral R1 proteins from 138 herpesviruses, baculoviruses and giant viruses and their targets extend beyond necroptosis-139 inducing proteins including the NF-kB activating protein NEMO [42]. ICP6 thus acts as a 140 functional tetrad simultaneously supporting ribonucleotide reductase activity, caspase-8 141 blockade, RIPK1 degradation and RHIM-mediated necroptosis inhibition. Similar to that of 142 M45, the RHIM of ICP6 also forms heteroamyloids with the RHIMs of host proteins at least in 143 144 vitro, which may block necroptotic signalling [43]. In contrast to MCMV M45, which 145 efficiently blocks necroptosis in both mouse and human cells [36], ICP6 promotes rather than inhibits necroptosis independently from RIPK1, TRIF or ZBP1 in mouse cells by directly 146 interacting with and activating mouse RIPK3 [40, 41, 44]. This emphasises the necessity to 147 study the function of viral proteins within the context of their natural host. The adaptation of 148 viral R1 proteins such as M45, ICP6 and ICP10 through the incorporation of viral RHIMs 149 appears to be an important evasion strategy to limit necroptosis. However, this scenario does 150 151 not apply to all herpesvirus family members. Varicella zoster virus (VZV), which causes 152 chickenpox and shingles, encodes a RHIM in its ORF20 capsid triplex protein, which forms heteroamyloids with the RHIMs of host the proteins RIPK3 and ZBP1 [45]. Of note, ZBP1-153 restricted growth of an ORF20 RHIM-mutant VZV strain was restored by chemical inhibition 154 155 of caspase activity, indicating that the RHIM of ORF20 acts as an antagonist of ZBP1-mediated apoptosis rather than necroptosis [45]. 156

157

158

160 *RHIM-independent evasion of necroptosis*

Some herpesviruses including human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) 161 and members of the poxviruses do not express RHIM-containing proteins altogether. Instead 162 these viruses developed RHIM-independent strategies to subvert necroptosis. The EBV latent 163 membrane protein 1 (LMP1) blocks necroptosis at two levels: it interferes with normal ubiquitin 164 attachment to RIPK1 and RIPK3 thereby blocking formation of the necrosome and reduces 165 RIPK3 expression by inducing RIPK3 promoter hypermethylation [46, 47]. HCMV-infected 166 RIPK3-expressing human fibroblasts are resistant to necroptosis induced by TNF or by 167 secondary infection with M45 RHIM-mutant MCMV via a mechanism that was reported to 168 169 interfere downstream of phosphorylation of MLKL [48]. Although this work did not assign a 170 role to UL36 as a viral inhibitor of necroptosis, another study reported that the UL36-encoded viral tegument protein and inhibitor of caspase-8 activation (see Box 3) binds to human MLKL 171 and promotes its proteasome-mediated degradation, thereby inhibiting necroptosis [49]. A 172 single mutation of cysteine 131 to arginine in UL36 abrogates both caspase-8 and MLKL 173 binding, making it difficult to separate anti-apoptotic from anti-necroptotic functions of UL36 174 as has been done before by mutating the RHIM in the HSV1 ICP6 [40, 41, 49]. To work around 175 176 this problem, Muscolino et al. engineered a chimeric M45 RHIM-mutant MCMV in which the 177 caspase-8 inhibitor M36 has been replaced with UL36 from HCMV, showing that UL36 could substitute the necroptosis-inhibitory activity of M45 [50]. 178

179 Orthopoxviruses including vaccinia virus encode the E3L protein, which contains two distinct 180 dsRNA binding domains that are both essential for causing virulence [51]: the N-terminus of 181 E3L includes a Z α domain, which specifically binds to Z-RNA and the C-terminus contains a 182 classical dsRNA binding motif, which interacts with dsRNA in the typical A-conformation. 183 While the C-terminal dsRNA binding motif is required to limit activation of the A-form 184 dsRNA-activated innate immune receptors PKR and the OAS, the Z α domain sequesters viral Z-RNA and specifically limits ZBP1-mediated necroptosis [25, 28]. Interestingly, E3L binding
to A-form dsRNA stimulates formation of the Z-RNA agonist of ZBP1 [25]. This reveals a
unique scenario whereby a viral protein designed to antagonise host innate immune responses
generates another pathogen-associated pattern under the form of an alternate dsRNA structure.
DsRNA binding proteins are expressed by many viruses to suppress innate immune responses
[52]. Whether these viral proteins also stimulate Z-RNA formation and thus predispose to
ZBP1-dependent necroptosis remains to be addressed.

Cowpox virus, mousepox ectromelia virus and the variola orthopoxvirus, the causative agent 192 of smallpox, express yet another necroptosis inhibitor dubbed viral inducer of RIPK3 193 194 degradation (vIRD) [53]. Mechanistically, the ankyrin repeats of vIRD bind to RIPK3 and its 195 F-box recruits the SKP1-Cullin1-F-box complex, which cooperate to promote the ubiquitinmediated proteasomal degradation of RIPK3. Notably, vaccinia virus, which was used as a 196 vaccine to eradicate smallpox, does not encode a functional vIRD and this may at least in part 197 explain its attenuation and its success as a vaccine strain [53]. It should be noted that laboratory 198 strains of vaccinia virus including the commonly used "wild type" Western Reserve have lost 199 many genes compared to variola virus. Perhaps most notably are the viral decoy receptors of 200 201 TNF [54], which could further sensitise vaccine strains to cell death. Finally, viral MLKL 202 (vMLKL) homologues lacking cell membrane binding domains are present in many bird poxviruses and some mammalian poxviruses [55]. The vMLKL proteins from the related Cotia 203 and Bean 58058 poxviruses inhibit necroptosis in human and mouse cells by binding to RIPK3, 204 205 thereby preventing the interaction and activation of host MLKL. The function of vMLKL proteins from these viruses in the natural host species such as birds, which do not express 206 207 RIPK3, remains unclear [56].

208

210 Necroptosis: a backup mechanism or a stand-alone process?

211 Blockade of the proteolytic activity of caspase-8, the initiator caspase of extrinsic apoptosis, greatly sensitises cells to the induction of necroptosis [14]. This phenomenon was first reported 212 in pig cells that were infected with cowpox virus. Infection of these cells with wild type cowpox 213 214 expressing the pan-caspase inhibitor CrmA resulted in necrosis-like death while CrmAdeficient cowpox induced apoptosis [14, 57]. At the time, this necrosis-inducing phenotype 215 216 could not be ascribed to the capacity of CrmA to block caspase-8 activity. Detailed genetic 217 studies of caspase-8 deficient mice now unequivocally show that the proteolytic activity of caspase-8 is required to block lethal activation of necroptosis during embryo development [58-218 219 62]. The precise molecular mechanism(s) by which caspase-8 limits necroptosis remain(s) 220 incompletely understood and deactivating cleavage of RIPK1 and/or RIPK3 is thought to contribute to the necroptotic suppressive effect of caspase-8 [60, 63-66]. Importantly, caspase-221 8 inhibition is a strategy employed by large DNA viruses to prevent host cell extrinsic apoptosis 222 (Box 3) and thus naturally sensitises virus-infected cells to necroptosis [67]. The emergence of 223 the RIPK3-MLKL-dependent necroptotic host cell death pathway in vertebrates may have 224 resulted from an evolutionary tug-of-war driven by the success of many large DNA viruses 225 226 including herpesviruses and poxviruses to subvert caspase-8-mediated extrinsic apoptosis [3, 227 56, 67]. Indeed, the induction of necroptosis is an incredibly efficient way to halt viral replication as MCMV expressing M45 with a mutated RHIM fails to replicate in wild type 228 hosts, while its replication is restored in ZBP1- or RIPK3-deficient mice [29]. Similarly, 229 230 infection with E3L Za domain-deficient vaccinia virus is efficiently cut short by the ZBP1-RIPK3 necroptotic signalling axis [28]. These infection models, which assigned crucial roles 231 for necroptosis in antiviral immunity, however, all rely on the use of mutant viruses that fail to 232 inhibit the necroptotic signalling axis. Together with the observation that caspase-8 deficient 233 mice succumb to necroptosis-driven lethal inflammation this led to the conclusion that 234

necroptosis acts as a molecular backup system only when caspase-8 activity is perturbed. In 235 236 other words, inhibition of caspase-8 activation is a prerequisite for the induction of necroptosis. The fact that many DNA viruses that deliberately block caspase-8 have incorporated parallel 237 mechanisms to inhibit necroptosis, indicates that viruses seem to have prevailed and that 238 necroptosis acting as a backup mechanism is an evolutionary dead end. Why then is this cell 239 death pathway preserved in so many animal species? A clue may come from the study of viruses 240 that do not express any (known) caspase-8 and necroptosis inhibitors. Influenza A virus 241 infection engages both caspase-8-dependent apoptosis and MLKL-mediated necroptosis in 242 parallel to each other and both host cell death pathways are required to mount protective 243 244 immunity [26, 27]. Interestingly, cells either commit to an apoptotic or a necroptotic cell death 245 programme and rarely do cells show features of activation of both forms of cell death [68]. Mouse macrophages that are infected with an M36/M45 double mutant MCMV strain, which 246 is unable to block caspase-8 activation and necroptosis induction, exhibit the biochemical and 247 morphological characteristics of both apoptosis and necroptosis [69]. In this case, both 248 signalling pathways are activated within the same cell in a process called secondary necroptosis 249 (not to be confused with secondary necrosis) and infection with this virus is only restored in 250 251 caspase-8/RIPK3-doubly deficient mice.

Together, this shows that necroptosis not only proceeds under conditions when caspase-8 activity is blocked, but may act as a stand-alone mechanism to inhibit viral infection.

254

255 Viral evasion of pyroptosis

The execution of pyroptosis depends on the formation of large cytosolic protein complexes termed **inflammasomes**, which function as activation platforms for caspase-1 (Figure 2). Activated caspase-1 cleaves the inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and IL-18 into their biologically active forms and cleaves off a C-terminal inhibitory fragment from the pore-forming protein

GSDMD. The N-terminal part of GSDMD traffics to the plasma membrane and forms 260 oligomeric pores, ultimately resulting in cell lysis [15]. Of note, detection of the bacterial cell 261 wall component lipopolysaccharide by the human caspases-4 and -5 (or the mouse orthologue 262 caspase-11), leads to the assembly of a complex called the non-canonical inflammasome. 263 Activation of the non-canonical inflammasome plays a major role in antibacterial immunity and 264 is likely not involved in antiviral defence [15]. In contrast to the well-defined viral mechanisms 265 266 that suppress host cell apoptosis and necroptosis and aside from the early observations that poxvirus CrmA potently inhibits caspase-1 activity (see Box 3) [70], the viral evasion 267 mechanisms of inflammasome activation are only slowly beginning to emerge and hint towards 268 269 an important antiviral role for pyroptosis.

An ever-expanding group of innate immune sensors initiate inflammasome activation and 270 trigger pyroptosis upon viral infection. These include the NOD-like receptor and pyrin domain 271 containing (NLRP) family members NLRP1 and NLRP3 and the HIN200-containing proteins 272 Absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2) and IFN-y inducible protein 16 (IFI16; p204 in mice) [5, 71-273 74]. While AIM2 and IFI16 trigger pyroptosis upon recognition of viral dsDNA, both NLRP1 274 and NLRP3 seem to detect viral activities rather than directly sensing viral molecules in a 275 276 process called effector-triggered immunity. For example, enteroviral proteases, which are 277 essential for maturation of the viral polyprotein, inadvertently cleave and activate NLRP1 (see below) [72, 74]. Similarly, viroporins such as the influenza A virus M2 proton channel alter 278 host cell membranes to promote viral replication and this change in membrane permeability 279 280 leads to NLRP3-dependent pyroptosis [75]. In many cases, the exact mechanisms by which viruses trigger pyroptosis remains incompletely understood and often differ between humans 281 and mice. For instance, viral dsRNA is capable of activating human NLRP1 but not its mouse 282 orthologue and viral dsDNA triggers pyroptosis via the cGAS-STING signalling axis in human 283 myeloid cells, while in mouse cells this function is primarily reserved for AIM2 [73, 76]. The 284

contribution of pyroptosis to antiviral resistance is complex and does not always benefit the
host. Abortive human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 infection induces IFI16-mediated
pyroptosis and loss of CD4 T cells leading to progression to AIDS [77, 78]. Furthermore,
excessive SARS-CoV-2-induced inflammasome activation and pyroptosis may underlie the
development of damaging hyperinflammatory immune responses seen in severe COVID-19
cases [79, 80].

291

292 Viral antagonism of pyroptosis

While research on pyroptosis has mainly centred around NLRP3, recent findings assert 293 294 important antiviral functions to NLRP1. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) 295 encodes the viral NLRP1 homologue ORF63, which blocks NLRP1 inflammasome activation and subsequent caspase-1-mediated pyroptosis [81]. NLRP1 is highly expressed in 296 297 keratinocytes and ORF63 may therefore specifically promote KSHV propagation in the skin. The vaccinia virus vBCL2 protein F1L has a dual function and blocks NLRP1-mediated 298 caspase-1 activation independently from its capacity to inhibit cell intrinsic apoptosis [82]. 299 Viral proteases encoded by multiple picornaviruses cleave NLRP3 and GSDMD [83-85]. While 300 301 these cleavage events inactivate both NLRP3 and GSDMD, cleavage of NLRP1 by the same 302 viral proteases results in N-glycine-specific degron-mediated proteolysis of the autoinhibitory N-terminal fragment resulting in NLRP1 activation [72, 74]. The viral protease cleavage sites 303 of both human NLRP1 and the mouse orthologue NLRP1B evolve rapidly and mimic the viral 304 305 polyprotein cleavage sites, suggesting that NLRP1 proteins coevolved with enteroviral proteases to limit viral replication [72]. 306

HSV-1 antagonises both AIM2- and IFI16-mediated inflammasome activation. The HSV-1
 protein VP22 interacts with the AIM2 inflammasome preventing oligomerisation and
 activation, while the ubiquitin ligase activity of ICP0 targets IFI16 for proteasomal degradation

310 [86, 87]. The related rabbit poxviruses myxomavirus and Shope fibroma virus express viral 311 pyrin-only proteins (vPOPs). Like their cellular orthologues, these vPOPs directly interact with 312 apoptosis-associated speck like protein containing a CARD domain (ASC), a crucial 313 inflammasome-adaptor protein, via Pyrin-Pyrin interactions. While host ASC promotes 314 inflammasome activation, vPOPs inhibit the assembly of the NLRP3 inflammasome [87-89].

RNA viruses have also developed ways to block pyroptosis. NS1 from influenza A virus and 315 the V protein from the paramyxovirus family members measles, Sendai and Nipah virus have 316 been reported to block NLRP3 inflammasome activation [90-92]. The paramyxovirus human 317 parainfluenza virus 3 (HPIV3) does not express a V protein. Instead, its C protein is able to 318 319 prevent inflammasome signalling by inducing NLRP3 proteasomal degradation [93]. The 320 coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein was shown to block pyroptosis and IL-1β secretion from human monocytes by preventing caspase-1-mediated cleavage and activation of 321 the pyroptosis executioner GSDMD [94]. To complicate matters, others have reported that the 322 SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid promotes rather than inhibits pyroptosis by inducing the formation 323 of an NLRP3-ASC complex [95]. Both studies, however, relied on SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein 324 overexpression systems and it will be important to verify these findings using in vivo infection 325 326 models to reconcile these conflicting results.

Finally, some viruses neutralise the biological activity of the inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and IL-18 that are released by pyroptotic host cells rather than preventing pyroptotic cell death itself. Examples are the B15 protein, which is secreted from vaccinia virus and cowpox virus infected cells and which acts as a scavenger for IL-1 β [96], and IL-18 scavengers encoded by ectromelia virus p13 and Yaba monkey tumour virus 14L [97, 98]. Together, this multitude of viral evasion mechanisms shows that pyroptosis plays important roles in host immunity.

- 333
- 334

335 Concluding remarks

It is clear that viral antagonism of apoptosis, necroptosis and pyroptosis greatly contributes to 336 virulence. The success of viruses to evade these cell death pathways may have driven the 337 emergence of alternative routes leading to host cell death. Indeed, recent reports show that 338 virus-induced caspase-3 activation results in the activation of GSDME, another member of the 339 gasdermin family of pore-forming proteins [99, 100]. This lytic process, which is called 340 apoptosis-driven secondary necrosis, results in membrane perturbation and the release of 341 cellular components from apoptotic cells [100, 101]. Recent studies demonstrate that human 342 granzyme A and granzyme B cleave and activate GSDMB and GSDME, respectively, thereby 343 344 causing cancer cell lysis [80, 102]. Whether the granzyme A/GSDMB and granzyme 345 B/GSDME cell death axes also eliminate virus-infected cells has not been tested. It will be interesting to determine whether inhibition of GSDME- and GSDMB-mediated host cell death 346 is broadly employed by viruses to promote their propagation (see Outstanding Questions). 347

Given the vastness of the viral gene pool, it is reasonable to assume that many viral strategies 348 to evade cell death remain unexplored. Viral antagonists of host cell death such as viral RHIM 349 proteins, vPOPs and vFLIPs (see Box 3), were identified through protein sequence homology 350 351 with their cellular counterparts. Many proteins adopt similar domain structures despite having 352 unrelated amino acid sequences. Improvements of in silico structure prediction will greatly aid in the discovery of novel viral mimics of cellular protein folds that inhibit host cell death. 353 Repeated passaging of viruses in cultured cells often results in loss of virulence factors and viral 354 355 attenuation in vivo. Deliberate passaging of viruses into cell lines that lack cell death pathways may select for attenuated and clinically relevant viral strains that fail to limit host cell death. 356 357 For example, the attenuated vaccinia poxvirus has lost the necroptosis inhibitory protein vIRD thereby restricting its growth. At the same time, vaccinia virus still greatly boosts an immune 358 response through the release of DAMPs and antigens from infected necroptotic cells [53]. A 359

360	similar concept is emerging with the use of oncolytic viruses that specifically kill cancer cells		
361	and stimulate an anti-cancer immune response [103]. A clear understanding of the molecular		
362	mechanism by which viral proteins manipulate host cell death will inspire the rational design		
363	of new classes of antivirals that selectively interfere with viral antagonists of host cell death.		
364			
365	Outstanding Questions		
366	•	What is the contribution of the lytic cell death modes secondary necrosis, necroptosis and	
367		pyroptosis to activation of the innate and adaptive immune response?	
368	•	What is the function of GSDMB- and GSDME-mediated cell death in antiviral immunity	
369		and do viruses antagonise pore formation by GSDMs?	
370	•	Is there crosstalk between the different modes of antiviral cell death and what determines	
371		the switch between caspase-8-driven extrinsic apoptosis and necroptosis?	
372	•	Which inflammasomes restrict viral infection in humans and mice, how are they activated	
373		and which viral mechanisms block pyroptosis induced by activation of these	
374		inflammasomes?	
375	•	Is viral attenuation in vivo the result of loss of function of viral inhibitors of cell death and	
376		can we exploit this to generate novel vaccine strains with enhanced immunogenicity or	
377		improve the efficacy of oncolytic viruses?	
378			
379			
380			
381			
382			
383			
384			

385 Acknowledgements

- 386 We apologise to the colleagues whose work was not included in this review owing to space
- 387 limitations. S.V. and J.N. are supported by a PhD fellowship from the Research Foundation
- 388 Flanders (FWO). Research in the P.V. group is supported by EOS MODEL-IDI (FWO Grant
- 389 30826052), FWO research grants (G.0E04.16N, G.0C76.18N, G.0B71.18N, G.0B96.20N),
- 390 Methusalem (BOF16/MET_V/007), Foundation against Cancer (F/2016/865, F/2020/1505),
- 391 CRIG and GIGG consortia, and the VIB. Research in the J.M. lab is supported by an Odysseus
- 392 II Grant (G0H8618N) from the Research Foundation Flanders and by Ghent University. Figures
- 393 were created with <u>BioRender.com</u>.

395 **Reference List**

- 396 1. Galluzzi, L. et al. (2017) Immunogenic cell death in cancer and infectious disease. Nat Rev
 397 Immunol 17 (2), 97-111.
- 2. Yatim, N. et al. (2017) Dying cells actively regulate adaptive immune responses. Nat Rev
- 399 Immunol 17 (4), 262-275.
- 3. Mocarski, E.S. et al. (2015) Necroptosis: The Trojan horse in cell autonomous antiviral host
 defense. Virology 479-480, 160-6.
- 4. Nailwal, H. and Chan, F.K. (2019) Necroptosis in anti-viral inflammation. Cell Death Differ
 26 (1), 4-13.
- 5. Spel, L. and Martinon, F. (2021) Detection of viruses by inflammasomes. Curr Opin Virol
 46, 59-64.
- 6. Orzalli, M.H. and Kagan, J.C. (2017) Apoptosis and Necroptosis as Host Defense Strategies
 to Prevent Viral Infection. Trends Cell Biol 27 (11), 800-809.
- 408 7. Lupfer, C. et al. (2015) Inflammasome control of viral infection. Curr Opin Virol 12, 38-46.
- 8. Imre, G. (2020) Cell death signalling in virus infection. Cell Signal 76, 109772.
- 410 9. Zhou, X. et al. (2017) Virus Infection and Death Receptor-Mediated Apoptosis. Viruses 9
 411 (11).
- 412 10. Roulston, A. et al. (1999) Viruses and apoptosis. Annu Rev Microbiol 53, 577-628.
- 413 11. Tait, S.W. and Green, D.R. (2010) Mitochondria and cell death: outer membrane
 414 permeabilization and beyond. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11 (9), 621-32.
- 415 12. Wilson, N.S. et al. (2009) Death receptor signal transducers: nodes of coordination in
 416 immune signaling networks. Nat Immunol 10 (4), 348-55.
- 417 13. Nagata, S. (2018) Apoptosis and Clearance of Apoptotic Cells. Annu Rev Immunol 36,418 489-517.
- 419 14. Weinlich, R. et al. (2017) Necroptosis in development, inflammation and disease. Nat Rev
 420 Mol Cell Biol 18 (2), 127-136.
- 421 15. de Vasconcelos, N.M. and Lamkanfi, M. (2020) Recent Insights on Inflammasomes,
 422 Gasdermin Pores, and Pyroptosis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 12 (5).
- 423 16. Samson, A.L. et al. (2021) Location, location, location: A compartmentalized view of TNF424 induced necroptotic signaling. Sci Signal 14 (668).
- 425 17. Pasparakis, M. and Vandenabeele, P. (2015) Necroptosis and its role in inflammation.
 426 Nature 517 (7534), 311-20.
- 427 18. Van Gorp, H. et al. (2019) Inflammasome-Dependent Cytokines at the Crossroads of Health
- 428 and Autoinflammatory Disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 11 (1).
- 429 19. Neumann, S. et al. (2015) How do viruses control mitochondria-mediated apoptosis? Virus
 430 Res 209, 45-55.
- 431 20. Suraweera, C.D. et al. (2020) Poxviral Strategies to Overcome Host Cell Apoptosis.
 432 Pathogens 10 (1).
- 433 21. Guo, H. et al. (2015) Manipulation of apoptosis and necroptosis signaling by herpesviruses.
- 434 Med Microbiol Immunol 204 (3), 439-48.
- 435 22. He, S. et al. (2011) Toll-like receptors activate programmed necrosis in macrophages
- through a receptor-interacting kinase-3-mediated pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108 (50),
 20054-9.
- 438 23. Kaiser, W.J. et al. (2013) Toll-like receptor 3-mediated necrosis via TRIF, RIP3, and 439 MLKL. J Biol Chem 288 (43), 31268-79.
- 440 24. Zhang, T. et al. (2020) Influenza Virus Z-RNAs Induce ZBP1-Mediated Necroptosis. Cell
- 441 180 (6), 1115-1129 e13.
- 442 25. Koehler, H. et al. (2021) Vaccinia virus E3 prevents sensing of Z-RNA to block ZBP1-
- 443 dependent necroptosis. Cell Host & Microbe.

- 444 26. Thapa, R.J. et al. (2016) DAI Senses Influenza A Virus Genomic RNA and Activates
 445 RIPK3-Dependent Cell Death. Cell Host Microbe 20 (5), 674-681.
- 446 27. Kuriakose, T. et al. (2016) ZBP1/DAI is an innate sensor of influenza virus triggering the
 447 NLRP3 inflammasome and programmed cell death pathways. Sci Immunol 1 (2).
- 448 28. Koehler, H. et al. (2017) Inhibition of DAI-dependent necroptosis by the Z-DNA binding
- domain of the vaccinia virus innate immune evasion protein, E3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114
 (43), 11506-11511.
- 451 29. Upton, J.W. et al. (2012) DAI/ZBP1/DLM-1 complexes with RIP3 to mediate virus-induced
- 452 programmed necrosis that is targeted by murine cytomegalovirus vIRA. Cell Host Microbe 11
 453 (3), 290-7.
- 454 30. Guo, H. et al. (2018) Species-independent contribution of ZBP1/DAI/DLM-1-triggered
 455 necroptosis in host defense against HSV1. Cell Death Dis 9 (8), 816.
- 456 31. Li, J. et al. (2012) The RIP1/RIP3 necrosome forms a functional amyloid signaling complex
 457 required for programmed necrosis. Cell 150 (2), 339-50.
- 458 32. Mompean, M. et al. (2018) The Structure of the Necrosome RIPK1-RIPK3 Core, a Human 459 Hetero-Amyloid Signaling Complex. Cell 173 (5), 1244-1253 e10.
- 33. Wu, X. et al. (2021) The structure of a minimum amyloid fibril core formed by necroptosismediating RHIM of human RIPK3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118 (14).
- 462 34. Wu, X.L. et al. (2021) The amyloid structure of mouse RIPK3 (receptor interacting protein
- kinase 3) in cell necroptosis. Nat Commun 12 (1), 1627.
- 464 35. Brune, W. et al. (2001) A ribonucleotide reductase homolog of cytomegalovirus and 465 endothelial cell tropism. Science 291 (5502), 303-5.
- 466 36. Upton, J.W. et al. (2008) Cytomegalovirus M45 cell death suppression requires receptor-
- interacting protein (RIP) homotypic interaction motif (RHIM)-dependent interaction withRIP1. J Biol Chem 283 (25), 16966-70.
- 469 37. Mack, C. et al. (2008) Inhibition of proinflammatory and innate immune signaling pathways
- 470 by a cytomegalovirus RIP1-interacting protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105 (8), 3094-9.
- 471 38. Upton, J.W. et al. (2010) Virus inhibition of RIP3-dependent necrosis. Cell Host Microbe
 472 7 (4), 302-313.
- 473 39. Pham, C.L. et al. (2019) Viral M45 and necroptosis-associated proteins form heteromeric
 474 amyloid assemblies. EMBO Rep 20 (2).
- 40. Huang, Z. et al. (2015) RIP1/RIP3 binding to HSV-1 ICP6 initiates necroptosis to restrict 476 virus propagation in mice. Cell Host Microbe 17 (2), 229-42.
- 41. Guo, H. et al. (2015) Herpes simplex virus suppresses necroptosis in human cells. Cell Host
 Microbe 17 (2), 243-51.
- 479 42. Muscolino, E. et al. (2020) Herpesviruses induce aggregation and selective autophagy of
- host signalling proteins NEMO and RIPK1 as an immune-evasion mechanism. Nat Microbiol
 5 (2), 331-342.
- 482 43. Shanmugam, N. et al. (2021) Herpes simplex virus encoded ICP6 protein forms functional
 483 amyloid assemblies with necroptosis-associated host proteins. Biophys Chem 269, 106524.
- 484 44. Wang, X. et al. (2014) Direct activation of RIP3/MLKL-dependent necrosis by herpes
- 485 simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) protein ICP6 triggers host antiviral defense. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
 486 A 111 (43), 15438-43.
- 487 45. Steain, M. et al. (2020) Varicella zoster virus encodes a viral decoy RHIM to inhibit cell 488 death. PLoS Pathog 16 (7), e1008473.
- 489 46. Liu, X. et al. (2018) Epstein-Barr virus encoded latent membrane protein 1 suppresses
- 490 necroptosis through targeting RIPK1/3 ubiquitination. Cell Death Dis 9 (2), 53.
- 491 47. Shi, F. et al. (2019) EBV(LMP1)-induced metabolic reprogramming inhibits necroptosis
- through the hypermethylation of the RIP3 promoter. Theranostics 9 (9), 2424-2438.

- 48. Omoto, S. et al. (2015) Suppression of RIP3-dependent necroptosis by human
 cytomegalovirus. J Biol Chem 290 (18), 11635-48.
- 49. Fletcher-Etherington, A. et al. (2020) Human cytomegalovirus protein pUL36: A dual cell
 496 death pathway inhibitor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117 (31), 18771-18779.
- 497 50. Muscolino, E. et al. (2021) Species-Specific Inhibition of Necroptosis by HCMV UL36.
 498 Viruses (13(11), 2134).
- 499 51. Brandt, T.A. and Jacobs, B.L. (2001) Both carboxy- and amino-terminal domains of the
- vaccinia virus interferon resistance gene, E3L, are required for pathogenesis in a mouse model.
 J Virol 75 (2), 850-6.
- 502 52. Krug, R.M. (2014) Viral proteins that bind double-stranded RNA: countermeasures against
 503 host antiviral responses. J Interferon Cytokine Res 34 (6), 464-8.
- 53. Liu, Z. et al. (2021) A class of viral inducer of degradation of the necroptosis adaptor RIPK3
 regulates virus-induced inflammation. Immunity 54 (2), 247-258 e7.
- 506 54. Pontejo, S.M. et al. (2015) Comparative Biochemical and Functional Analysis of Viral and
- Human Secreted Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) Decoy Receptors. J Biol Chem 290 (26), 1597384.
- 509 55. Petrie, E.J. et al. (2019) Viral MLKL Homologs Subvert Necroptotic Cell Death by
 510 Sequestering Cellular RIPK3. Cell Rep 28 (13), 3309-3319 e5.
- 56. Dondelinger, Y. et al. (2016) An evolutionary perspective on the necroptotic pathway.
 Trends Cell Biol 26 (10), 721-732.
- 513 57. Ray, C.A. and Pickup, D.J. (1996) The mode of death of pig kidney cells infected with 514 cowpox virus is governed by the expression of the crmA gene. Virology 217 (1), 384-91.
- 58. Oberst, A. et al. (2011) Catalytic activity of the caspase-8-FLIP(L) complex inhibits RIPK3dependent necrosis. Nature 471 (7338), 363-7.
- 517 59. Kaiser, W.J. et al. (2011) RIP3 mediates the embryonic lethality of caspase-8-deficient 518 mice. Nature 471 (7338), 368-72.
- 60. Newton, K. et al. (2019) Cleavage of RIPK1 by caspase-8 is crucial for limiting apoptosis
 and necroptosis. Nature 574 (7778), 428-431.
- 521 61. Newton, K. et al. (2019) Activity of caspase-8 determines plasticity between cell death
 522 pathways. Nature 575 (7784), 679-682.
- 523 62. Fritsch, M. et al. (2019) Caspase-8 is the molecular switch for apoptosis, necroptosis and
 524 pyroptosis. Nature 575 (7784), 683-687.
- 525 63. Lalaoui, N. et al. (2020) Mutations that prevent caspase cleavage of RIPK1 cause 526 autoinflammatory disease. Nature 577 (7788), 103-108.
- 527 64. Tao, P. et al. (2020) A dominant autoinflammatory disease caused by non-cleavable variants
- 528 of RIPK1. Nature 577 (7788), 109-114.
- 529 65. Zhang, X. et al. (2019) RIPK1 can mediate apoptosis in addition to necroptosis during 530 embryonic development. Cell Death Dis 10 (3), 245.
- 66. Feng, S. et al. (2007) Cleavage of RIP3 inactivates its caspase-independent apoptosis
 pathway by removal of kinase domain. Cell Signal 19 (10), 2056-67.
- 533 67. Mocarski, E.S. et al. (2011) Viral infection and the evolution of caspase 8-regulated 534 apoptotic and necrotic death pathways. Nat Rev Immunol 12 (2), 79-88.
- 68. Shubina, M. et al. (2020) Necroptosis restricts influenza A virus as a stand-alone cell death
 mechanism. J Exp Med 217 (11).
- 537 69. Daley-Bauer, L.P. et al. (2017) Mouse cytomegalovirus M36 and M45 death suppressors
- 538 cooperate to prevent inflammation resulting from antiviral programmed cell death pathways.
- 539 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114 (13), E2786-E2795.
- 540 70. Ray, C.A. et al. (1992) Viral inhibition of inflammation: Cowpox virus encodes an inhibitor
- of the interleukin-1B converting enzyme. Cell 69 (4), 597-604.

- 542 71. Bosso, M. and Kirchhoff, F. (2020) Emerging Role of PYHIN Proteins as Antiviral
 543 Restriction Factors. Viruses 12 (12).
- 72. Robinson, K.S. et al. (2020) Enteroviral 3C protease activates the human NLRP1
 inflammasome in airway epithelia. Science 370 (6521).
- 546 73. Bauernfried, S. et al. (2021) Human NLRP1 is a sensor for double-stranded RNA. Science547 371 (6528).
- 548 74. Tsu, B.V. et al. (2021) Diverse viral proteases activate the NLRP1 inflammasome. Elife 10.
- 549 75. Farag, N.S. et al. (2020) Viroporins and inflammasomes: A key to understand virus-induced550 inflammation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 122, 105738.
- 76. Gaidt, M.M. et al. (2017) The DNA Inflammasome in Human Myeloid Cells Is Initiated by
 a STING-Cell Death Program Upstream of NLRP3. Cell 171 (5), 1110-1124 e18.
- 553 77. Monroe, K.M. et al. (2014) IFI16 DNA sensor is required for death of lymphoid CD4 T 554 cells abortively infected with HIV. Science 343 (6169), 428-32.
- 78. Tate, M.D. and Mansell, A. (2018) An update on the NLRP3 inflammasome and influenza:
 the road to redemption or perdition? Curr Opin Immunol 54, 80-85.
- 79. Vora, S.M. et al. (2021) Inflammasome activation at the crux of severe COVID-19. Nat Rev
 Immunol.
- 80. Ferreira, A.C. et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 engages inflammasome and pyroptosis in human
 primary monocytes. Cell Death Discov 7 (1), 43.
- 561 81. Gregory, S.M. et al. (2011) Discovery of a viral NLR homolog that inhibits the 562 inflammasome. Science 331 (6015), 330-4.
- 563 82. Gerlic, M. et al. (2013) Vaccinia virus F1L protein promotes virulence by inhibiting
 564 inflammasome activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110 (19), 7808-13.
- 83. Wang, C. et al. (2019) NLRP3 deficiency exacerbates enterovirus infection in mice. FASEB
 J 33 (1), 942-952.
- 84. Lei, X. et al. (2017) Enterovirus 71 Inhibits Pyroptosis through Cleavage of Gasdermin D.
 J Virol 91 (18).
- 85. Wang, H. et al. (2015) Reciprocal Regulation between Enterovirus 71 and the NLRP3
 Inflammasome. Cell Rep 12 (1), 42-48.
- 571 86. Maruzuru, Y. et al. (2018) Herpes Simplex Virus 1 VP22 Inhibits AIM2-Dependent
- Inflammasome Activation to Enable Efficient Viral Replication. Cell Host Microbe 23 (2), 254265 e7.
- 87. Orzalli, M.H. et al. (2016) Relative Contributions of Herpes Simplex Virus 1 ICP0 and vhs
 to Loss of Cellular IFI16 Vary in Different Human Cell Types. J Virol 90 (18), 8351-9.
- 576 88. Dorfleutner, A. et al. (2007) A Shope Fibroma virus PYRIN-only protein modulates the 577 host immune response. Virus Genes 35 (3), 685-94.
- 578 89. Johnston, J.B. et al. (2005) A poxvirus-encoded pyrin domain protein interacts with ASC-
- 1 to inhibit host inflammatory and apoptotic responses to infection. Immunity 23 (6), 587-598.
- 580 90. Komatsu, T. et al. (2018) Sendai Virus V Protein Inhibits the Secretion of Interleukin-1 β by Proventing NI PP3 Inflammesome Assembly, Journal of Virology 92 (19), 1, 15
- by Preventing NLRP3 Inflammasome Assembly. Journal of Virology 92 (19), 1-15.
- 582 91. Komune, N. et al. (2011) Measles Virus V Protein Inhibits NLRP3 Inflammasome583 Mediated Interleukin-1 Secretion. Journal of Virology 85 (24), 13019-13026.
- 584 92. Moriyama, M. et al. (2016) The RNA- and TRIM25-Binding Domains of Influenza Virus
- 585 NS1 Protein Are Essential for Suppression of NLRP3 Inflammasome-Mediated Interleukin-586 1beta Secretion. J Virol 90 (8), 4105-4114.
- 587 93. Shil, N.K. et al. (2018) Inflammasome Antagonism by Human Parainfluenza Virus Type 3
 588 C Protein. J Virol 92 (4).
- 589 94. Ma, J. et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid suppresses host pyroptosis by blocking
- 590 Gasdermin D cleavage. EMBO J, e108249.

- 95. Pan, P. et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 N protein promotes NLRP3 inflammasome activation to
 induce hyperinflammation. Nat Commun 12 (1), 4664.
- 593 96. Spriggs, M.K. et al. (1992) Vaccinia and cowpox viruses encode a novel secreted 594 interleukin-1-binding protein. Cell 71 (1), 145-52.
- 97. Born, T.L. et al. (2000) A poxvirus protein that binds to and inactivates IL-18, and inhibits
 NK cell response. J Immunol 164 (6), 3246-54.
- 597 98. Nazarian, S.H. et al. (2008) Yaba monkey tumor virus encodes a functional inhibitor of 598 interleukin-18. J Virol 82 (1), 522-8.
- 99. Orzalli, M.H. et al. (2021) Virus-mediated inactivation of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family
 members promotes Gasdermin-E-dependent pyroptosis in barrier epithelial cells. Immunity.
- 100. Rogers, C. et al. (2017) Cleavage of DFNA5 by caspase-3 during apoptosis mediates
 progression to secondary necrotic/pyroptotic cell death. Nat Commun 8, 14128.
- 101. Wang, Y. et al. (2017) Chemotherapy drugs induce pyroptosis through caspase-3 cleavage
 of a gasdermin. Nature 547 (7661), 99-103.
- for an analysis of the second s
- 103. De Munck, J. et al. (2017) Oncolytic virus-induced cell death and immunity: a match made
 in heaven? J Leukoc Biol 102 (3), 631-643.
- 104. Hartmann, G. (2017) Nucleic Acid Immunity. Adv Immunol 133, 121-169.
- 610 105. Ahmed, A. and Tait, S.W.G. (2020) Targeting immunogenic cell death in cancer. Mol
- 611 Oncol 14 (12), 2994-3006.
- 612 106. Whyte, P. et al. (1988) Association between an oncogene and an anti-oncogene: the 613 adenovirus E1A proteins bind to the retinoblastoma gene product. Nature 334 (6178), 124-9.
- 614 107. Debbas, M. and White, E. (1993) Wild-type p53 mediates apoptosis by E1A, which is 615 inhibited by E1B. Genes Dev 7 (4), 546-54.
- 616 108. Zhou, Q. et al. (1997) Target protease specificity of the viral serpin CrmA. Analysis of 617 five cascapses. Journal of Biological Chemistry 272 (12), 7797-7800.
- 618 109. Clem, R.J. (2015) Viral IAPs, then and now. Semin Cell Dev Biol 39, 72-9.
- 619 110. Clem, R.J. et al. (1991) Prevention of apoptosis by a baculovirus gene during infection of620 insect cells. Science 254 (5036), 1388-1390.
- 621 111. Birnbaum, M.J. et al. (1994) An apoptosis-inhibiting gene from a nuclear polyhedrosis
 622 virus encoding a polypeptide with Cys/His sequence motifs. J Virol 68 (4), 2521-8.
- 112. Zhou, Q. et al. (1998) Interaction of the baculovirus anti-apoptotic protein p35 with
 caspases. Specificity, kinetics, and characterization of the caspase/p35 complex. Biochemistry
 37 (30), 10757-10765.
- 113. Xu, G. et al. (2001) Covalent inhibition revealed by the crystal structure of the caspase8/p35 complex. Nature 410 (6827), 494-7.
- 628 114. Estornes, Y. and Bertrand, M.J. (2015) IAPs, regulators of innate immunity and 629 inflammation. Semin Cell Dev Biol 39, 106-14.
- 630 115. Skaletskaya, A. et al. (2001) A cytomegalovirus-encoded inhibitor of apoptosis that
- suppresses caspase-8 activation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of theUnited States of America 98 (14), 7829-7834.
- 633 116. Chaudhry, M.Z. et al. (2020) Cytomegalovirus inhibition of extrinsic apoptosis determines
 634 fitness and resistance to cytotoxic CD8 T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117 (23), 12961635 12968.
- 636 117. McCormick, A.L. et al. (2003) Differential function and expression of the viral inhibitor
- 637 of caspase 8-induced apoptosis (vICA) and the viral mitochondria-localized inhibitor of
- apoptosis (vMIA) cell death suppressors conserved in primate and rodent cytomegaloviruses.
- 639 Virology 316 (2), 221-33.

- 640 118. Dufour, F. et al. (2011) The ribonucleotide reductase R1 subunits of herpes simplex virus
- types 1 and 2 protect cells against TNFalpha- and FasL-induced apoptosis by interacting with
 caspase-8. Apoptosis 16 (3), 256-71.
- 643 119. Thome, M. et al. (1997) Viral FLICE-inhibitory proteins (FLIPs) prevent apoptosis
- induced by death receptors. Nature 386 (6624), 517-21.

646 Glossary

Amyloid: An aggregation of proteins forming fibrillary structures generated by stacking of βsheet structures.

Caspases: Family of cysteine aspartic proteases with important roles in regulated cell death.
Caspases have a cysteine residue in their active site and predominantly cleave their substrates
on the C-terminal site of an aspartate residue.

cGAS-STING: The main innate immune pathway by which viral dsDNA is detected. Upon binding to dsDNA, cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) catalyses the formation of the secondary messenger cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP), which binds to and activates stimulator of interferon genes (STING). STING activation then induces the production of type I interferons, cytokines with potent antiviral activity.

DAMPs: Intracellular molecules that are released during lytic cell death and which are
recognised by innate immune receptors to trigger an inflammatory response.

Death receptors: Protein members of the TNF superfamily characterised by the presence of aso-called death domain that exerts important functions in immunity.

Gasdermin (GSDM): GSDMs are characterized by the presence of a conserved N-terminal
GSDM domain (N-GSDM). Proteolytic cleavage results in release of the N-GSMD from the
autoinhibitory C-terminal end, followed by membrane translocation, oligomerisation and pore
formation.

Inflammasome: Large multiprotein complexes consisting of pathogen recognition receptors (e.g. NLRP1, NLRP3, AIM2 or IFI16), caspase-1 and in most cases the adaptor protein ASC. They function as activation platforms for caspase-1, which processes IL-1 β and IL-18 into their mature forms and cleaves and activates GSDMD resulting in membrane perforation and cytokine secretion.

670 MLKL: The executioner protein of necroptosis. Upon activation through phosphorylation by671 RIPK3, MLKL oligomerises and forms pores in the cell membrane.

RHIM: Receptor-interacting protein homotypic interaction motif is a conserved motif consisting of a core amino acid tetrad I/V-Q-V/I/L/C-G surrounded by β-sheet-formin hydrophobic residues. RHIM-RHIM interactions stimulate amyloid structure formation of host and viral RHIM-containing proteins.

676 Secondary necrosis: A mode of autolytic regulated necrotic cell death that occurs in cells that
677 underwent caspase-dependent apoptosis, but have not been cleared by phagocytes. Recent
678 evidence shows that this process is - at least in some cell types - driven by GSDME.

679 **TLR:** A class of pattern recognition receptors present at the cell surface or within endosomes,

680 which specifically recognise microbial nucleic acids or proteins.

vBCL2: Viral homologs of the cellular antiapoptotic BCL2 proteins, encoded by a multitude

of DNA viruses. vBCL2s block the intrinsic apoptosis pathway to favour viral replication.

ZBP1: Z-DNA binding protein 1, also known as DAI is an innate immune receptor for Z-form

dsRNA, which accumulates upon infection with RNA and DNA viruses. ZBP1 signals via

685 RIPK3 to induce apoptosis and/or necroptosis.

686 Text Boxes

Box 1: Programmed cell death, regulated cell death and inflammation. The terms 687 programmed cell death and regulated cell death are often used interchangeably to refer to the 688 genetically encoded and tightly regulated processes to remove a surplus of cells from an 689 organism. The removal of cells, however, has different functions. On the one hand, cell death 690 is an integral part of development (e.g. the removal of interdigital cells or dysfunctional neurons 691 during brain development) and of tissue maintenance (e.g. during mammary gland involution 692 or immune cell development). These processes are largely mediated by apoptosis and dead cells 693 are immediately removed by tissue macrophages. The engulfment of apoptotic cells triggers an 694 695 immunosuppressive programme to maintain an immunologically silent environment. These 696 immunologically inert cell death processes that support normal physiology are referred to as programmed cell death [13]. On the other hand, cell death also occurs in response to stress 697 698 imposed by factors that disrupt homeostasis including virus infection. In this case, the induction 699 of cell death pathways including necroptosis and pyroptosis, but also apoptosis, often coincides 700 with an inflammatory immune response. For example, the detection of virally-derived nucleic acids by the innate immune system results in the production of inflammatory cytokines 701 702 including type I and type III interferons [104]. This renders virus-infected cells inherently 703 inflammatory, regardless of the mode of cell death. Similarly, ionising radiation or 704 chemotherapy induces cell death of malignant cells, which stimulates an anti-cancer immune 705 response, a process referred to as immunogenic cell death [1, 105]. Finally, genetic mutation of 706 regulatory components of cell death pathways results in chronic and excessive cell death including apoptosis and underlies the development of human autoinflammatory syndromes [17, 707 708 18]. To indicate that these (inflammatory) cell death processes, which are triggered by genetic or exogenous insults, are not disordered but still rely on tightly controlled molecular signalling 709 710 cascades, these modes of cell death are collectively referred to as regulated cell death.

711

712 Box 2: Proviral vs. antiviral cell death. Historically, virus-induced cell death was thought to 713 promote rather than restrict viral replication. Many viruses sustain a lytic life cycle causing the host cell to lose its integrity, thereby promoting egress of viral progeny. Prolonged perturbance 714 715 of normal cell function by the viral replication process may eventually trigger host cell death [10]. A prime example is the adenovirus E1A protein which forces S-phase entry by inactivating 716 pRb, thereby instructing the cell to produce deoxynucleotide building blocks to generate new 717 viral DNA genomes [106]. This process causes p53 activation and induces intrinsic apoptosis 718 [107]. At the same time, another adenoviral protein E1B-55K binds to p53 to block its pro-719 720 apoptotic activity [107]. It is likely that the activities of viral cell death-inducing proteins and 721 of those that antagonise host cell death signalling strike a balance between survival to allow at least one replicative cycle and death allowing the release of new virions. Accordingly, viruses 722 723 with fast replication kinetics including many RNA viruses and small DNA viruses may proportionally devote less of their protein coding capacity to counteract host cells death. Indeed, 724 many studies that characterised the viral evasion strategies of apoptosis, necroptosis and 725 pyroptosis have been performed in large DNA viruses including herpesviruses and poxviruses. 726 727 These viruses support longer replication cycles and would benefit the most from prolonging 728 host cell survival.

729

Box 3: Viral escape of caspase-8-mediated apoptosis activation. Caspase-8 is the critical initiator caspase of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway, also called the death receptor pathway. Caspase-8 activation is initiated by binding of death ligands of the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily including Fas ligand (FasL), TRAIL and TNF to their cognate membrane-bound receptors [12]. The signals for death receptor-mediated killing of virus-infected cells originate among others from cytotoxic T cells and NK cells, which express FasL, TRAIL or TNF on their

cell surface [9]. Viruses evolved many ways to block caspase-8 activity (Figure I) [67]. The 736 737 first identified viral caspase(-8) inhibitor is the cowpox virus cytokine modifier A (CrmA), a serpin-like protein with broadly acting serine and cysteine protease inhibitory activity. CrmA 738 was originally described as a caspase-1 inhibitor, but also potently inhibits caspases-8, -10 and 739 740 granzyme B [70, 108]. Homologues of CrmA are found in most poxviruses and include the vaccinia virus B13R (SPI-2) and B22R proteins [20]. Viral inhibitor of apoptosis proteins 741 (vIAPs) represent another class of broad-spectrum caspase inhibitors. Viral IAPs were 742 identified before their cellular homologues in baculoviruses infecting butterflies, moths and 743 flies [109, 110]. Most viral and cellular IAPs contains at least one baculovirus IAP Repeat (BIR) 744 745 motif and a C-terminal really interesting new gene (RING) domain conferring ubiquitin ligase 746 activity [111]. Nearly all of the ~200 vIAPs identified to date are encoded by large DNA viruses that infect insects, suggesting that mammalian viruses co-opted different strategies to evade 747 748 apoptosis [109]. Given the fact that vIAPs coevolved with insect hosts, it came as a surprise 749 that the prototypical vIAP p35 from Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus acts as a potent antagonist of human caspases-1, -3, -6, -7, -8, and -10 [112]. Structural analysis showed 750 that p35 covalently binds to the catalytic site of caspase-8 thereby acting as an irreversible 751 752 pseudo-substrate [113]. In humans, the name IAP is somewhat of a misnomer as only the X-753 linked IAP (XIAP) among the eight human IAP family members directly inhibits caspase 754 activation [114]. Similarly, not all vIAPs have anti-apoptotic activity and may exert different 755 functions to modulate host immunity [109]. Other viral proteins exhibit narrower specificity 756 towards caspase-8. For instance, viral inhibitor of caspase-8 activation (vICA) encoded by UL36 in human and M36 in mouse CMV and the UL39-encoded HSV-1 ICP6 and HSV-2 757 758 ICP10 proteins, specifically interact with caspase-8 and inhibit its proteolytic activity thereby protecting CMV- and HSV-infected cells against TNF- and cytotoxic T cell-mediated killing 759 [69, 115-118]. Finally, members of the γ -herpesviruses and the molluscipoxvirus express viral 760

homologues of c-FLIP (v-FLIPs) that resemble the short c-FLIP isoform lacking the
catalytically dead protease domain of caspase-8. Like their cellular counterpart, v-FLIPs are
potent inhibitors of caspase-8 activation downstream of Fas, TNF and TRAIL-induced
apoptosis [119].





Figure 1: Necroptosis signalling and viral evasion strategies. Necroptosis is induced by 766 767 phosphorylation and subsequent oligomerisation of the pore-forming protein MLKL at the plasma membrane. MLKL activation depends on its phosphorylation by RIPK3, which 768 assembles into amyloid signalling complexes. Three RHIM-containing necroptosis-activating 769 molecules induce RIPK3 activation: RIPK1, ZBP1 and TRIF. At least six different viral escape 770 771 mechanisms counteract necroptosis. (1) The sequestration of Z-RNA ligands by poxvirus E3L, which prevents ZBP1 activation. (2) Prevention of the formation of the necrosome by EBV 772 encoded LMP1 (3) Inhibition of functional RIPK3 amyloid formation through the herpesviral 773 RHIM proteins M45, ICP6 and ICP10. (4) Inhibition of host MLKL interaction with RIPK3 774 775 through viral MLKL homologues (vMLKL). (5) Proteasome-mediated degradation of RIPK3 and MLKL by poxvirus vIRD and HCMV UL36 (6) Inhibition of RIPK3 transcription via 776 777 hypermethylation of its promotor by EBV LMP1.

778 Abbreviations: EBV – Epstein-Barr virus; HCMV – Human Cytomegalovirus; RHIM – RIP

779 *homotypic interaction motif*



Figure 2. Pyroptosis signalling and modulation by viral proteins. NLR (NLRP1 and 781 NLRP3) and non-NLR (AIM2 and IFI16) family members interact with caspase-1, either 782 783 directly (not illustrated) or indirectly via the ASC adaptor protein. The resulting complex is termed the inflammasome and leads to caspase-1 activation. Active caspase-1 cleaves the pro-784 inflammatory cytokines IL-1ß and IL-18 and GSDMD. Cleavage of GSDMD releases the N-785 786 terminal fragment (N-GSDMD) from the auto-inhibitory C-terminal fragment, followed by plasma membrane translocation, oligomerisation and pore formation. Ultimately, this results in 787 export of IL-1ß and IL-18, plasma membrane rupture and release of DAMPs. Several 788

mechanisms of viral antagonism of pyroptosis have been described: viral POPs (vPOPs) 789 790 interact with ASC thereby preventing inflammasome assembly. IAV NS1 and the V protein of several paramyxoviruses block NLRP3 activation. KSHV ORF63 and VACV F1L prevent 791 792 NLRP1 inflammasome assembly, while HSV-1 VP22 antagonises AIM2 activation. HSV-1 ICP0 and HPIV3 C inhibit the NLRP3 inflammasome by inducing its proteasomal degradation. 793 SARS-CoV-2 N has been reported to interact with GSDMD and prevent proteolytic activation. 794 795 Picornaviral proteases prevent inflammasome signalling by cleaving NLRP3 and GSDMD. Some viral proteins (e.g. cowpox CrmA) inhibit caspase-1 activity, preventing downstream 796 797 inflammasome signalling. Lastly, vaccinia virus B15 functions as an extracellular IL-1β 798 scavenger, while ectromelia virus p13 and Yaba monkey tumour virus 14L neutralize IL-18. 799 *Abbreviations:* ASC – apoptosis-associated speck-like; DAMP – Damage-associated molecular pattern; GSDMD – Gasdermin D; HSV-1 – Herpes Simplex virus 1; HPIV3 – Human 800 801 parainfluenza virus type 3; KSHV – Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus; NLR – Nod-like

802 *Receptor.*

803



805

806 Figure I, related to Box 3: Viral escape of caspase-8 activation. Binding of death ligands (FasL, TRAIL, TNF) to their cognate death receptors results in assembly of caspase-8-807 containing signalling complexes, inducing extrinsic apoptosis signalling. This leads to 808 activation of caspase-8, which cleaves executioner caspases-3, -6 and -7. In some cell types, 809 caspase-8 cleaves BID to simultaneously engage the intrinsic apoptosis pathway. During 810 811 intrinsic apoptosis signalling, tBID or intracellular stimuli lead to MOMP, ultimately resulting 812 in inactivation of XIAP and activation of caspase-9. Activated caspase-9 eventually cleaves executioner caspases-3, -6 and -7. Several viral proteins interfere with different apoptotic 813 caspases: e.g. vFLIPs, Cytomegalovirus vICA, vIAPs and cowpox CrmA. 814

- 815 *Abbreviations: CASP Caspase; MOMP Mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization;*
- 816 *tBID Truncated BID*