

**DISK PREDIFFUSION IS A RELIABLE METHOD FOR TESTING COLISTIN
SUSCEPTIBILITY IN PORCINE *E. COLI* STRAINS**

**F. Boyen¹, F. Vangroenweghe², P. Butaye^{1,3}, E. De Graef², F. Castryck², P. Heylen^{2†}, M.
Vanrobaeys² and F. Haesebrouck¹**

¹Department of Pathology, Bacteriology and Avian Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Ghent University, Salisburylaan 133, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium

filip.boyen@UGent.be

²Animal Health Care Flanders, Industrielaan 29, 8820 Torhout, Belgium

³Veterinary and Agrochemical Research Centre (CODA/CERVA), Department of Biocontrol,
Section of Pathology, Groeselenberg 99, 1180 Brussels (Ukkel), Belgium.

Running title: Colistin susceptibility testing in *E. coli*

Key words: colistin – prediffusion – pigs – E-test – *Escherichia coli* – MIC

19 ABSTRACT

20 During the last few years, acquired resistance to colistin in *Escherichia coli*, but also in other
21 bacterial species, has been reported. It has been shown that the disk diffusion test is not a
22 reliable method for the detection of this resistance. Therefore, there is a need for a reliable and
23 cheap test to determine colistin susceptibility of pathogenic *E. coli* strains. In the current
24 research, the colistin susceptibility of *E. coli* isolated during the period 2005-2006 from pigs
25 was determined. Results obtained with the Kirby Bauer disk diffusion test (Neosensitabs,
26 Rosco), the disk prediffusion test (Neosensitabs, Rosco) and the E-test (AB Biodisk) were
27 compared with the results of the reference agar dilution assay. The MIC values or inhibition
28 zones showed a bimodal distribution for the results obtained by all test methods, except
29 disk diffusion assay, suggesting acquired resistance in 15 strains (9.6 %). The E-test and disk
30 prediffusion assay generated results within acceptable levels compared to the reference agar
31 dilution assay. The categorical agreement with the results obtained the agar dilution
32 method were good to very good for all tests, except the disk diffusion assay. In conclusion,
33 current results suggest that, in addition to the E-test, the disk prediffusion test is a reliable,
34 alternative agar-based colistin susceptibility method for testing colistin susceptibility of *E.*
35 *coli* isolates in diagnostic bacteriology.

INTRODUCTION

Colistin was discovered in 1949 (Koyama et al., 1950) and was later recognized to be identical to polymyxin E. Polymyxins are cationic polypeptides that bind to the anionic bacterial outer membrane, leading to membrane disruption, mainly in Gram negative bacteria. Even though colistin is an old antimicrobial substance, its use in human medicine has augmented the last decade, largely due to the appearance of multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella* and *Acinetobacter* spp. (Pasquale and Tan, 2005; Gupta et al., 2009).

In humans, colistin is often parenterally used or by nebulisation for treating pulmonary and systemic infections. Even though parenteral and intramammary administration occasionally occurs in veterinary medicine, colistin is mainly used in oral preparations. Due to its excellent intrinsic activity against *E. coli*, the low prevalence of acquired resistance and the poor absorption after oral administration, colistin is a frequently used antimicrobial agent for the prevention and treatment of neonatal or weaning-associated *E. coli* infections in food producing animals, including pigs (Chauvin et al., 2002; Timmerman et al., 2006).

Even though acquired resistance to colistin in veterinary *E. coli* strains was seen only occasionally in the past, the last few years, this is becoming more common (Bertschinger et al., 1996; Harada et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008). Mechanisms of acquired colistin resistance have been described in *E. coli* and, more extensively, in the closely related *Salmonella* Typhimurium (Landman et al., 2008).

The disk diffusion test does not seem to be a reliable method for the detection of colistin resistance in several bacterial species (Lo-Ten-Foe et al., 2007; Galani et al., 2008; Landman et al., 2008). Therefore, there is a need for a reliable, fast and cheap test to check colistin susceptibility of pathogenic *E. coli* isolates in routine diagnostics. The objective of the present study was to determine colistin resistance in *E. coli* isolates from diseased pigs, comparing 3 antimicrobial susceptibility tests with the reference agar dilution assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and characterization of strains

One hundred and fifty seven *E. coli* strains were isolated from independent clinically affected pigs (neonatal or postweaning diarrhoea, oedema disease) that were presented at the Animal Health Care Flanders for necropsy during the period of 2005-2006. Faeces, gut samples or mesenteric lymph nodes were inoculated on Columbia agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and all plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for approximately 20 hours. The isolates were identified as *E. coli* by colony morphology and standard biochemical methods (Quinn et al. 1994).

Colistin susceptibility tests

Antimicrobial sensitivity testing was carried out using 4 different techniques; agar dilution method (CLSI, 2008); Kirby Bauer disk diffusion test (Neosensitabs, Rosco); 2 + 18 hours disk prediffusion test (Neosensitabs, Rosco) and E-test (AB Biodisk).

As “golden standard”, all strains were tested for susceptibility to colistin through the agar dilution method (CLSI, 2008). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined as the lowest concentration that inhibited visible growth. The strains were considered to have acquired resistance when their MIC higher than the wild type cut-off value (MIC > 2 µg/ml) as described by EUCAST (2009).

The disk diffusion test was performed with Neosensitabs tablets (150 µg, Rosco, Denmark) according to CLSI-guidelines (CLSI, 2008). Growth inhibition zone diameters were measured manually. Interpretative criteria to determine clinical resistance were based upon clinical breakpoints as described by the manufacturer (www.rosco.dk) (sensitive = 20 mm; intermediate 17-19 mm; resistant = 16 mm).

The 2 + 18 disk prediffusion protocol was executed as follows. The colistin containing tablets (10 µg, Rosco, Denmark) were placed on uninoculated Mueller-Hinton plates. After 2 hours incubation at room temperature the disks were removed. The plates were maintained at room temperature for further 18 hours. Subsequently, the plates were inoculated with the different strains using a 0.5 McFarland inoculum as described for the disk diffusion test and thereafter the plates were incubated aerobically overnight at 35 °C. Growth inhibition zone diameters were measured manually. Interpretative criteria to determine clinical resistance were based upon breakpoints described by the manufacturer (www.rosco.dk) (sensitive = 15 mm; intermediate 11-14 mm; resistant = 10 mm).

The E-test with direct reading of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was performed according to the manufacturers guidelines (AB Biodisk).

E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as an internal control strain in all performed tests (Jones et al., 2005).

Comparative and statistical analysis

Disk diffusion and disk prediffusion inhibition zones and MIC's determined by the E-test were compared with the MIC's determined by the reference agar dilution assay for each strain. Since no clinical colistin breakpoints for *E. coli* are currently provided by the CLSI, for all comparative analyses, interpretative criteria to determine clinical resistance and susceptibility in the agar dilution assay and E-test were based upon the MIC values corresponding to the zone diameter breakpoints for the disk prediffusion test (sensitive = 2 µg/ml; resistant = 8 µg/ml) according to the manufacturer (www.rosco.dk).

107 A very major error was defined as strains categorised resistant by the reference method (agar
108 dilution), but susceptible by the alternative method. A major error was defined as strains
109 categorised susceptible by the agar dilution method, but resistant by the alternative method.
110 The categorical interpretation of intermediate for the alternative method, while susceptible or
111 resistant for the agar dilution method was defined as a minor error. Percentages of very major
112 errors exceeding 1.5%, major errors exceeding 3% and minor errors exceeding 10% were
113 regarded as unacceptable.

114 Categorical agreement was defined as the percentage of strains showing identical
115 categorical susceptible patterns for both methods. Essential agreement was defined as the
116 percentage of strains showing identical MIC values ($\pm 1 \log_2$) for both methods. Finally, the
117 Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was calculated to estimate the overall
118 correlation between the results of the agar dilution method and the respective alternative
119 method.

120 RESULTS

121 Colistin susceptibility by the reference method

122 Using the results obtained by the agar dilution method, a clear bimodal
123 distribution of MIC values was observed (Table 1) with 15 strains (9.6 %) located in
124 the resistant cluster of the bimodal distribution. These strains showed a MIC value
125 above the wild type cut-off value for colistin in *E. coli* (MIC > 2 µg/ml; EUCAST,
126 2009), indicating acquired resistance towards colistin. Observed MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀
127 values were 0.5 µg/ml and 2 µg/ml, respectively.

128

129 Comparative analysis of susceptibility tests

130 MICs and inhibition zones for the various test methods are shown in Table 1 and 2. A
131 bimodal distribution was observed for the results obtained by all test methods, except the disk
132 diffusion assay.

133 The results of the comparative analyses are summarized in Table 3. The disk diffusion
134 results showed both a low categorical agreement (46.5 %) and a low correlation (-0.09) with
135 the results obtained by the agar dilution method. In addition, the percentages of very major
136 (1.9 %) and minor errors (49.7 %) exceeded the acceptable levels.

137 The results obtained by E-test and disk prediffusion assay generated percentages of
138 minor, major and very major errors beneath the acceptable levels. The categorical agreement
139 with the results obtained by the agar dilution method very good (96.8 %) for both tests.
140 A good correlation with the results obtained by the agar dilution method both tests (> 0.6)
141 and essential agreement (81.5 %) in case of the E-test were obtained.

DISCUSSION

Our current results show that approximately 10 % of the investigated porcine *E. coli* strains showed acquired resistance towards colistin. Even though this is not the first report of colistin resistance in animal associated *E. coli* strains (Bertschinger et al., 1996; Kijima-Tanaka et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2008), manuscripts reporting percentages of acquired resistance exceeding 5% are rare. The emergence of colistin resistance in *E. coli* strains needs further monitoring. Few studies deal with clinical susceptibility of *E. coli* for colistin in animals and until now, no clinical breakpoints for this antibiotic are available for veterinary use. The available human CLSI breakpoints for colistin are for parenteral formulations targeting non-Enterobacteriaceae (CLSI, 2009) and therefore may not predict clinical efficiency of oral formulations in animals for *E. coli* infections. Nevertheless, the clinical breakpoint for resistance used in the current manuscript for statistical purposes (sensitive = 2 µg/ml; resistant = 8 µg/ml) might be close to the actual clinical breakpoint for oral colistin formulations in pigs, since Burch (2007) calculated that for a feed concentration of 66 ppm, colistin reached bactericidal concentrations (AUC/MIC = 100) in the porcine jejunum for strains with a MIC of 8 µg/ml, but not for strains with a MIC of 16 µg/ml.

The present results confirm that the E-test is a reliable method to test colistin susceptibility in *E. coli* isolates, while the disk diffusion test is not (Galani et al., 2008; Landman et al., 2008). Katz et al. (2008) found that the disk prediffusion test was a promising method to discriminate between daptomycin resistant and susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) strains. To our knowledge, the prediffusion method has not been validated before to determine colistin resistance in *E. coli*. The current results suggest that the 2 + 18 prediffusion protocol, as used in the current setup, provides reliable information on the colistin susceptibility of *E. coli* strains. A clear bimodal distribution of inhibition zones was

seen with 14 of the 15 isolates with acquired resistance, belonging to the population with the smaller inhibition zones. Further improvements as done by Katz et al. (2008), namely using a shorter second incubation period (6 hours instead of 18 hours), may offer a faster and more comfortable protocol. Also an adaptation of the interpretation criteria for inhibition zones may be necessary.

In conclusion, current results suggest that, in addition to the E-test, the prediffusion test can be used as a reliable, alternative agar-based colistin susceptibility testing method for use in *E. coli* strains. As many laboratories still rely on the cheaper disk diffusion test, the emergence of colistin resistance may be missed, as demonstrated in this study. It is clear that this type of resistance needs to be monitored closely, using the appropriate test methods.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors greatly acknowledge the financial support Veepeiler-varken, a project funded by the Belgian Sanitary Fund. The technical assistance of Arlette Vandekerchove, Tilly Loncke, Thierry Fevery and Véronique Collet is gratefully appreciated.

REFERENCES

1. Bertschinger, H.U., Mettler, C., Blaser, C., Fritzsche, C., 1996. Colistin resistance of *E. coli* isolated from pigs with oedema disease and postweaning diarrhoea detected by a modified agar dilution method. Proceedings of the 14th International Pig Veterinary Society Congress, Bologna, Italy, 7-10 July, p. 271.
2. Burch, D.G.S., 2007. Pharmacokinetics of antimicrobials at different levels of the intestinal tract and their relationship to *Escherichia coli* resistance patterns in the pig. The Pig Journal 59, 91-111.
3. Chauvin, C., Beloeil, P.A., Orand, J.P., Sanders, P., Madec, F., 2002. A survey of group-level antibiotic prescriptions in pig production in France. Prev. Vet. Med. 55, 109-120.
4. CLSI, 2008. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. Approved standard, 3rd ed., M31-A3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
5. CLSI, 2009. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Nineteenth informational supplement, M100-S19. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
6. EUCAST, 2009. MIC Distributions. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.
http://www.eucast.org/mic_distributions_of_wild_type_microorganisms
7. Galani, I., Kontopidou, F., Souli, M., Rekatsina, P.D., Koratzanis, E., Deliolanis, J., Giamarellou, H., 2008. Colistin susceptibility testing by Etest and disk diffusion methods. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 31, 434-439.

8. Gupta, S., Govil, D., Kakar, P.N., Prakash, O., Arora, D., Das, S., Govil, P., Malhotra, A., 2009. Colistin and polymyxin B: A re-emergence. *Indian J. Crit. Care Med.* 13, 49-53.
9. Harada, K., Asai, T., Kojima, A., Oda, C., Ishihara, K., Takahashi, T., 2005. Antimicrobial susceptibility of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from sick cattle and pigs in Japan. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 67, 999-1003.
10. Jones, R., Anderegg, T., Swenson, J., 2005. Quality control guidelines for testing Gram-negative control strains with polymyxin B and colistin (polymyxin E) by standardized methods. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42, 925-927.
11. Katz, B.D., Luperchio, S.A., Thorne, G.M., 2008. Detection of daptomycin-nonsusceptible strains using the Neo-Sensitab prediffusion method. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 61, 315-320.
12. Kijima-Tanaka, M., Ishihara, K., Morioka, A., Kojima, A., Ohzono, T., Ogikubo, K., Takahashi, T., Tamura, Y., 2003. A national surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolated from food-producing animals in Japan. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 51, 447-451.
13. Koyama, Y., Kurosasa, A., Tsuchiya, A., Takakuta, K., 1950. A new antibiotic 'colistin' produced by spore-forming soil bacteria. *J. Antibiot.* 3, 457-458.
14. Landman, D., Georgescu, C., Martin, D.A., Quale, J., 2008. Polymyxins revisited. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 21, 449-465.
15. Lo-Ten-Foe, J.R., de Smet, A.M., Diederer, B.M., Kluytmans, J.A., van Keulen, P.H., 2007. Comparative evaluation of the VITEK 2, disk diffusion, etest, broth microdilution, and agar dilution susceptibility testing methods for colistin in clinical isolates, including heteroresistant *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains. *Antimicrob. Agents. Chemother.* 51, 3726-3730.

- 229 16. Pasquale, T.R., Tan, S.R., 2005. Update on antimicrobial agents: new indications of
230 older agents. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* 6, 1681-1691.
- 231 17. Quinn, P., Carter, M., Markey, B., Carter, G. (Eds.). *Clinical Veterinary*
232 *Microbiology*. Mosby, Edinburgh, 1994; p. 209-225.
- 233 18. Timmerman, T., Dewulf, J., Catry, B., Feyen, B., Opsomer, G., de Kruif, A., Maes,
234 D., 2006. Quantification and evaluation of antimicrobial-drug use in group treatments
235 for fattening pigs in Belgium. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 74, 251-263.
- 236 19. Wang, G.-Q., Wu, C.-M., Du, X.-D., Shen, Z.-Q., Song, L.-H., Chen, X., Shen, J.-Z.,
237 2008. Characterization of integrons-mediated antimicrobial resistance among
238 *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from bovine mastitis. *Vet. Microbiol.* 127, 73-78.

239 Table 1. Distribution of minimal inhibitory concentrations of porcine *E. coli* strains towards colistin, using the E-test and the agar dilution test.

Test	Number of strains with colistin MIC values (µg/ml) of												
	≤0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128
E-test*				18	64	37	22	3	8	4	1		
Agar dilution				4	117	20	1	1	11	3			

240 *E-test values were rounded up to the next highest doubling dilution

241 The strains with MIC values higher than the wild type cut-off value (MIC > 2 µg/ml) as described by EUCAST (2009) were considered to have
242 acquired resistance. The clinical breakpoints for susceptibility (MIC = 2 µg/ml) and resistance (MIC = 8 µg/ml) that were used for all
243 comparative analyses are represented by a discontinuous and a solid line respectively.

244 Table 2. Distribution of inhibition zone diameter of regular disk diffusion and disk prediffusion test.

Test	Number of strains with colistin inhibition zone (mm) of																
	= 8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	= 24
Disk diffusion									2	13	30	36	43	17	13	3	
Disk prediffusion	12			1								1				1	142

245 The clinical breakpoints for susceptibility and resistance that were used for all comparative analyses are represented by a solid and a
246 discontinuous line respectively.

247 Table 3. Discrepancy rates, categorical agreement, essential agreement and correlation between the results obtained by agar dilution assay on the
248 one hand and the results obtained by the regular disk diffusion test, the E-test and the disk prediffusion test on the other hand.

~Agar Dilution	Very major error ($< 1.5\%$) [§]	Major error ($< 3\%$) [§]	Minor error ($< 10\%$) [§]	Categorical agreement [§]	Correlation coefficient
Disk diffusion	3 (1.9 %)	2 (1.3 %)	78 (49.7 %)	46.5 %	0.09 [#]
E-test*	0 (0 %)	1 (0.6 %)	3 (1.9 %)	96.8 %	0.64
Prediffusion	2 (1.3 %)	1 (0.6 %)	1 (0.6 %)	96.8 %	0.80 [#]

249

250 * E-test values were rounded up to the next highest doubling dilution

251 [§] Breakpoints used: Disk diffusion: resistant = 16 mm; sensitive = 20 mm; Disk prediffusion: resistant = 10 mm; sensitive = 15 mm; Agar dilution
252 and E-test: sensitive = 2 µg/ml; resistant = 8µg/ml

253 [#] Actual values are -0.09 and -0.80, since inhibition diameter and MIC values are inversely correlated. For uniformity purposes, the absolute
254 values are shown.

255 NA: not applicable

256