# **1** Are physicochemical properties shaping the allergenic potency

# 2 of animal allergens?

3

Joana Costa<sup>1\*</sup>(ORCID 0000-0002-8229-2902), Caterina Villa<sup>1</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-4 9471-3612), Kitty Verhoeckx<sup>2</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-6557-3198), Tanja Cirkovic-5 Velickovic<sup>3,4,5</sup> (ORCID 0000-0003-2559-5234), Denise Schrama<sup>6</sup> (ORCID 0000-0003-6 0274-5957), Paola Roncada<sup>7</sup>, Pedro M. Rodrigues<sup>6</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-9668-1204), 7 Cristian Piras<sup>8,9</sup>, Laura Martín-Pedraza<sup>10</sup> (ORCID 0000-0003-3133-0662), Linda 8 Monaci<sup>11</sup> (ORCID 0000-0001-5650-7909), Elena Molina<sup>12</sup> (ORCID 0000-0001-7918-9 7768), Gabriel Mazzucchelli<sup>13</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-8757-8133), Isabel Mafra<sup>1</sup> (ORCID 10 0000-0001-5311-8895), Roberta Lupi<sup>14</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-1293-6135), Daniel Lozano-11 Ojalvo<sup>15</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-3524-2929), Colette Larré<sup>14</sup> (ORCID 0000-0001-9585-12 9536), Julia Klueber<sup>16,17</sup> (ORCID 0000-0001-5763-1856), Eva Gelencser<sup>18</sup>, Cristina 13 Bueno-Diaz<sup>19</sup> (ORCID 0000-0001-7872-8948), Araceli Diaz-Perales<sup>20</sup> (ORCID 0000-14 0002-1093-3627), Sara Benedé<sup>12</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-9288-9438), Simona Lucia 15 Bavaro<sup>11,21 3</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-5820-8088), Annette Kuehn<sup>16</sup> (ORCID 0000-0003-16 0474-2135), Karin Hoffmann-Sommergruber<sup>22</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-8830-058X), 17 Thomas Holzhauser<sup>23</sup> (ORCID 0000-0002-7818-7261) 18

- 19
- REQUIMTE-LAQV/Faculdade de Farmácia Universidade do Porto, Rua de Jorge
   Viterbo Ferreira 228, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal
- Department of Dermatology/Allergology, University Medical Center Utrecht,
   Utrecht, The Netherlands
- 24 3. University of Belgrade Faculty of Chemistry, Belgrade, Serbia
- 25 4. Ghent University Global Campus, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, South Korea

26	5.	Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
27	6.	CCMAR, Universidade do Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, Faro, Portugal
28	7.	Department of Health Sciences, University 'Magna Græcia', Catanzaro, Italy
29	8.	Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Milan, Italy
30	9.	Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AD,
31		UK
32	10.	Biochemistry and Molecular Biology department, Chemistry Faculty. Complutense
33		University of Madrid, 28040, Madrid, Spain
34	11.	Institute of Sciences of Food Production (ISPA) - National Research Council (CNR),
35		Bari, Italy
36	12.	Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación (CIAL), CSIC-UAM,
37		Madrid, Spain
38	13.	Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, MolSys Research Unit, University of Liege, Liege
39		4000, Belgium
40	14.	INRAE, UR 1268 Biopolymers Interactions Assemblies, Nantes, France
41	15.	Precision Immunology Institute. Jaffe Food Allergy Institute. Icahn School of
42		Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, US
43	16.	Department of Infection and Immunity, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Esch-sur-
44		Alzette, Luxembourg
45	17.	Department of Dermatology and Allergy Center, Odense Research Center for
46		Anaphylaxis, University of Southern Denmark, Odense C, Denmark
47	18.	Department of Biology, Food Science Research Institute, National Agricultural
48		Research and Innovation Centre, Budapest, Hungary
49	19.	Departmento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas
50		de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

51	20. Centro de Biotecnologia y Genomica de Plantas (UPM-INIA), Universidad
52	Politecnica de Madrid, Pozuelo de Alarcon, Spain
53	21. Moorepark Food Research Centre, Teagasc, Fermoy, Co. Cork. Ireland
54	22. Department of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research, Medical University of

- 55 Vienna, Austria
- 56 23. Division of Allergology Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Langen, Germany
- 57

# 58 Correspondence:

- 59 Joana Costa,
- 60 Email: jbcosta@ff.up.pt,
- 61 Tel: +351 220428500, extension 8842. Fax: +351 226093390.

# 62 **Abbreviations**

- $63 \quad 2D-secondary structure,$
- $64 \quad 3D tertiary structure,$
- 4D quaternary structure,
- 66 BAT basophil activation test,
- 67 DBPCFC double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge,
- 68 EAST enzyme allergosorbent test,
- 69 ELISA enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay,
- 70 HPP high-pressure processing,
- 71 HHP high hydrostatic pressure,
- 72 IgE immunoglobulin E,
- 73 IgG immunoglobulin G,
- 74 OFC open food challenge,
- 75 PEF pulsed electric fields,
- 76 PTM post-translational modifications,
- 77 PUV pulsed ultraviolet,
- 78 RAST radioallergosorbent test,
- 79 RBL rat basophilic leukaemia,
- 80 SPT skin prick tests,
- 81 Th1, Th2 T helper cell type 1 or 2,
- 82 WHO/IUIS World Health Organization/International Union of Immunological Societies

## 84 Abstract

Key determinants for the development of an allergic response to an otherwise 'harmless' food protein involve different factors like the predisposition of the individual, the timing, the dose, the route of exposure, the intrinsic properties of the allergen, the food matrix (e.g. lipids) and the allergen modification by food processing. Various physicochemical parameters can have an impact on the allergenicity of animal proteins. Following our previous review on how physicochemical parameters shape plant protein allergenicity, the same analysis was proceeded here for animal allergens.

We found that each parameter can have variable effects, ranging on an axis from 92 93 allergenicity enhancement to resolution, depending on its nature and the allergen. While glycosylation and phosphorylation are common, both are not universal traits of animal 94 95 allergens. High molecular structures can favour allergenicity, but structural loss and 96 uncovering hidden epitopes, can also have a similar impact. We discovered that there are 97 important knowledge gaps in regard to physicochemical parameters shaping protein 98 allergenicity both from animal and plant origin, mainly because the comparability of the 99 data is poor. Future biomolecular studies of exhaustive, standardized design together with strong validation part in the clinical context, together with data integration model systems 100 101 will be needed to unravel causal relationships between physicochemical properties and 102 the basis of protein allergenicity.

103

104 Keywords: plant allergens, protein families, allergenicity, food processing, allergen105 integrity.

# 106 Introduction

107 Presently, food allergies are a very concrete public health problem, reaching nearepidemic proportions in some regions of the world. The number of allergic reactions 108 109 requiring medical treatments, and often hospitalisation, has multiplied over the past few years creating an important economic burden in several developed countries [1]. 110 Understanding the mechanisms underlying this health condition is mandatory for better 111 112 diagnosis and management of food allergies. With the increasing number of populations moving across the world, the local frequency of certain food allergies might significantly 113 114 change. Additionally, with globally linked market places, the sensitised/allergic individuals are currently exposed to very different types of foods. 115

116 Food-allergic reactions are caused by the immunorecognition of specific proteins, 117 following the breakdown of immunologic and clinical tolerance to an ingested food 118 antigen(s). It is important, not only to explore the physiological mechanisms underlying 119 food allergy, but also to evaluate the structural properties of food allergens and how they 120 are affected by current/novel food processing technologies [2,3]. At present, there is an 121 impressive number of publications available, exploiting different physicochemical 122 parameters of several allergens and thus, providing a local overview of their impact on 123 those proteins. However, some questions remain to be answered in the broad context: (i) which physicochemical parameters affect mostly the allergenicity of food proteins? (ii) 124 125 do the same parameters fit every allergen, independently of its origin? (iii) do homologous 126 proteins have the same behaviour towards specific physicochemical properties?

Our previous work reviewed those questions for plant allergens [4]. Same as for plant allergens, physicochemical parameters play also a critical role in the allergenicity of animal proteins. For this review, we gathered and analysed available publications reporting evidence about the impact of different physicochemical characteristics on the

allergenicity of animal protein families. Also, we aimed at identifying common features 131 132 among distinct protein families of plant and animal origin in the light of the physicochemical parameters' potential to affect protein allergenicity. For this purpose, 133 we will first make a general description regarding each animal allergen family (biological 134 function, chemical and structural composition, and clinical relevance) to establish their 135 136 importance within the context of this review. Secondly, the collected evidence will be 137 discussed under each physicochemical property topic, since the objective of this work is to evaluate how each physicochemical parameter shapes protein allergenicity across 138 protein families and within family members. 139

140

## 141 Animal Allergen Families

142 The latest statistical data provided by AllFam database in 2017 [5,6] indicates 445 allergenic proteins from animal sources, with 94% (n=421) of them being included in the 143 144 WHO/IUIS (World Health Organization/International Union of Immunological 145 Societies) nomenclature database [7]. These animal allergens were described on exposure 146 routes via ingestion, inhalation, and/or contact [5,6]. Like for plant food allergens (n=436proteins), animal allergens (n=410 molecules) are also distributed by families of proteins 147 148 (n=71). However, more than 70% of the animal allergenic molecules are known food allergens, which are restricted to four families of proteins, namely the tropomyosins, the 149 150 EF-hand family (parvalbumins), the ATP-guanido phosphotransferase (arginine kinases), 151 and the alpha/beta-caseins.

152

## 153 **Tropomyosins**

154 Tropomyosins are present in all eukaryotic cells, except for plants. They are composed of155 a variety of actin-binding proteins with the main function of actin cytoskeleton regulation,

which is of major relevance for both muscle and non-muscle cells [8]. Structurally, 156 157 tropomyosins have an average length of approximately 284 residues, corresponding to 158 coiled-coil homo- or hetero-dimers that form a polymer along the length of actin (Table 1). They consist of two parallel  $\alpha$ -helices with two sets of seven alternating actin-binding 159 sites, 34-38 kDa, being only functional as dimers [9]. Tropomyosins are important 160 161 contractile proteins that are highly conserved in both vertebrates and invertebrates but 162 only considered as allergens in invertebrates [10,11], representing up to 1% of their muscle mass [12,13]. One exception of this allergenicity rule seems to be fish 163 164 tropomyosins [14-16].

165 Tropomyosin family ranks the first position in terms of the total number of allergens (n=64) identified in animals [5,6], with 25 of those being registered in the WHO/IUIS 166 167 allergen nomenclature database [7] as food allergens, mainly belonging to crustaceans 168 (crab, prawn, lobster), molluscs (oyster, snail, abalone, squid), fish (tilapia, catfish, salmon) and fish nematodes (worms). Interestingly, shrimp allergic individuals clinically 169 170 cross-react with a novel tropomyosin from mealworm, the larvae of a beetle (Tenebrio *molitor*), evidencing that tropomyosin is one of the cross-reacting allergens [17]. In the 171 172 invertebrate family, tropomyosins are considered as panallergens (universal proteins 173 responsible for IgE cross-reactivity to a large quantity of related and unrelated allergenic 174 sources) [10,11,18], as well as, major allergens in several species.

Tropomyosins are the third most prevalent cause of food-induced anaphylaxis [19], but they are also important respiratory allergens from crustaceans, arthropods, house dust mites and helminths [20]. Among the priority foods, the eliciting doses (ED) associated with the consumption/contact of these species (e.g. crustaceans) are in general high as compared to strong food allergens such as peanuts, namely 26.2, 280 mg of protein for ED01 and ED05, , respectively, or up to 2.5 g for ED10 (for comparison ED10 peanut 2.8 mg protein) [21-23]. Most of the allergic reactions are related to major allergen
tropomyosin. Therefore, a small dose of the tropomyosins is sufficient to trigger severe
and systemic clinical symptoms that may include immediate cutaneous reactions, oral
allergy syndrome (OAS), digestive symptoms, anaphylaxis and asthma [24].

185

## 186 Parvalbumins

Parvalbumins are calcium-binding proteins, belonging to the second largest family of animal food allergens (*n*=46) [5,6]. These proteins have evolved into two distinct evolutionary lineages, being classified as α- and β-parvalbumins. Although presenting similar conformational structures, α- and β-parvalbumins differ in their isoelectric points (pI) (α-: pI  $\geq$ 5; β-: pI  $\leq$ 4.5) and molecular weights, as well as, in their primary structures, affinities for Ca<sup>2+</sup>- and Mg<sup>2+</sup>-binding, cell-type-specific expression and physiologic functions [25,26].

Parvalbumins are sarcoplasmic muscle IgE-binding proteins, small in length 194 (approximately 109 amino acids and 10-12 kDa), acidic pI (3.9-5.5) and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding 195 196 (Table 1) [27,28]. They are relevant contractile proteins, representing 1-3% of muscle 197 mass in invertebrates or fish, respectively [13,29]. Structurally, parvalbumins belong to 198 the EF-hand family [30], characterised by the presence of three typical helix-loop-helix domains, organised in a globular tri-dimensional conformation (Table 1). Two of these 199 domains (CD and EF domains) are capable of binding divalent metal ions ( $Ca^{2+}$  or  $Mg^{2+}$ ), 200 while the third one (AB domain) forms a cap that covers the hydrophobic surface of the 201 202 functional domain pair [31].

Parvalbumin is the main fish allergen sharing similar biochemical and immunochemical
characteristics across fish species consumed in different parts of the world [28,32-34].
Most fish allergies are triggered by parvalbumins [24,35] with allergenic homologs being

206 expressed in fish at variable levels [29]. Cartilaginous fishes (e.g. rays), mainly consisting 207 of α-parvalbumins, are tolerated by most bonefish (β-parvalbumins) allergic patients, due 208 to their low allergenic capacity [36]. α-Parvalbumins are generally not considered 209 allergenic because of their proximity to human homologs [30]. However, this dogma has 210 been challenged with α-parvalbumins being identified as food allergens in frog (Ran e 1), 211 chicken (Gal d 8) and crocodile (Cro p 2) meats [7,37-40].

212 Gad c 1 was the first  $\beta$ -parvalbumin identified as a fish allergen in Baltic cod, being functionally related to the regulation of calcium switching in muscular-skeletal cells [41-213 214 43]. Since then, several allergenic  $\beta$ -parvalbumins (Clu h 1, Cten i 1, Cyp c 1, Gad c 1, 215 Gad m 1, Lat c 1, Lep w 1, Onc m 1, Pan h 1, Ras k 1, Sal s 1, Sar sa 1, Sco s 1, Seb m 1, Thu a 1 and Xip g 1) have been identified mainly in fish species (Atlantic herring, grass 216 217 carp, common carp, Baltic codfish, Atlantic codfish, barramundi, turbot fish, trout, 218 catfish, Indian mackerel, salmon, pilchard, Atlantic mackerel, redfish, tuna, and swordfish, respectively), although two have been found in frog (Ran e 2) and crocodile 219 220 (Cro p 1) [7].

221 Most fish species express two or more  $\beta$ -parvalbumin isoallergens that diverge in their 222 amino acid sequences (e.g. salmon  $\beta$ 1- and  $\beta$ 2-parvalbumins share 64% of protein 223 identity). Patients might have IgE-repertoires for all allergens or isoallergens [28,44]. Also, dimeric and polymeric forms of parvalbumin with high molecular weight 224 (aggregates of approximately 24 and 48 kDa) have been reported to show IgE-reactivity 225 226 [45,46]. Due to their capacity to sensitise through the gastrointestinal tract,  $\beta$ parvalbumins are classified as a class I or complete food allergens [47]. However, upon 227 228 handing and food processing, they can induce sensitisation by inhalation (occupational allergy) [48,49], thus they are both food and respiratory allergens. Common clinical 229

symptoms triggered by β-parvalbumins range from mild (oral allergy syndrome) to severe
(angioedema, asthma, anaphylaxis) in fish-allergic individuals [24].

232

## 233 Arginine kinases

The arginine kinases belong to the ATP guanido phosphotransferases (also known as phosphagen kinases), which consists of a conserved family of functionally and structurally related enzymes that can reversibly catalyse the transfer of a phosphate between ATP and different phosphagens. Arginine kinases catalyse the phosphorylation of L-arginine residues [50] in crustaceans, which is a crucial reaction to the mechanism of cellular energy homeostasis [51].

Biochemically, these proteins have a molecular mass of 40-45 kDa with two polypeptides 240 of 355-357 amino acids organised in an asymmetric monomeric structure (Table 1) [52]. 241 242 The experimental determination of the crystal structure of natural arginine kinase evidences a fold with an  $\alpha$ -helical N-terminal domain (composed by five  $\alpha$ -helices) and 243 244 an  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  C-terminal domain (containing seven  $\alpha$ -helices and eight  $\beta$ -sheets). Moreover, 245 different arginine kinases from distinct phyla/subphyla/classes (crustaceans, molluscs and 246 arachnids) present high sequence identity, linear epitope similarity, as well as, 247 conservation of spatial structure in the conformational epitope regions, thus confirming the reason for the frequent cross-reactivity of these allergenic proteins among species 248 249 [52].

Arginine kinases have been described as allergens, not only in seafood (Pen m 2, Cra c 2,
Lit v 2) [53-55] but also in cockroaches (Per a 9) [56] and mites (Der p 20) [57]. So far,
eleven arginine kinases have been identified as allergenic proteins, with 6 of them being
classified as food allergens (Bomb m 1, Cra c 2, Lit v 2, Pen m 2, Pro c 2 and Scy p 2 in
silk moth, North sea shrimp, white shrimp, black tiger shrimp, crayfish and mud crab,

respectively) [7] and representing the third most relevant family of animal proteins [5,6].
Arginine kinases are classified as minor allergens, but clinically relevant ones, since
sensitisation to these allergens seems to be independent of tropomyosins, with allergic
patients experiencing systemic symptoms, or even anaphylaxis [58].

259

#### 260 Caseins

In terms of animal food allergens, caseins rank the fourth position in the list of protein families inducing allergic reactions by ingestion [5,6]. Caseins are a group of proteins belonging to a large family of secretory calcium-binding phosphoproteins, present in milk coagulum. As one of the most abundant proteins in milk (80% of the total protein fraction), caseins are also considered as major allergens responsible for the development of mild to severe allergic reactions in sensitised individuals [24,59].

267 The casein fraction (also known as Bos d 8) consists of four allergenic proteins, Bos d 9 (αS1-casein), Bos d 10 (αS2-casein), Bos d 11 (β-casein), and Bos d 12 (κ-casein), all 268 269 classified as important cow's milk allergens [7], and three  $\gamma$ -caseins deriving from the 270 hydrolysis of Bos d 11, which are considered not allergenic [60]. Caseins present primary 271 structures with 190-224 residues and small molecular size (20-30 kDa) (Table 1). In the 272 coagulum, caseins form ordered aggregates termed micelles, with a central hydrophobic core (calcium-sensitive Bos d 9, Bos d 10 and Bos d 11) and a peripheral hydrophilic 273 layer (Bos d 12) containing major sites of phosphorylation mostly represented by 274 275 phosphoserine residues [61]. Caseins have a non-compact, flexible and greatly hydrated structure, with a high hydrophobic surface due to the lack of a tertiary structure (Table 276 277 1).

The content and proportion of the four main casein fractions in milk vary according to the animal species. Sheep's milk contains the highest concentration of caseins (4.18 g/100

g), followed by buffalo's milk. Almost half of this amount is present in cow's, goat's, and 280 281 camel's milk. Human milk contains a low quantity of caseins (0.32 to 0.42 g/100 g), like 282 mare's and donkey's milk [62]. Human milk is rich in Bos d 11, but it does not contain Bos d 9, which is very abundant in cow's and buffalo's milk, representing one of the most 283 allergenic proteins in the milk of these species [63,64]. Bos d 9 is known to be the 284 sensitising agent in about 60% of patients with cow's milk allergy. Goat's milk seems to 285 286 be less allergenic than cow's milk due to a lower contribution of Bos d 9 in the elicitation of the adverse immunological reactions [62]. In the same way, camel's milk shows a high 287 proportion of Bos d 11 and low proportion of Bos d 9 and Bos d 10 as in human milk 288 289 [60,65], so camel's milk is often suggested as alternative source of nutrients for cow's milk allergic individuals [66]. Thus, these differences in the abundance of each casein, as 290 well as the distinct degree of protein homology [67,68], are intrinsically related to their 291 292 allergenic potential in different mammalian species.

293

## 294 Miscellaneous protein families

Miscellaneous families are defined as families containing only one or two important allergens, while most proteins are non-allergenic. This section describes some protein families containing important animal allergens.

298

## 299 Serum albumins

The serum albumins comprise a group of multifunctional proteins produced in the liver and secreted as a non-glycosylated protein into the plasma, presenting highly conserved sequential and conformational structures [69,70]. Serum albumins are abundant in the plasma of mammalian and avian species, displaying biological functions that include the transport of different molecules (water, cations -  $Ca^{2+}/Na^{+}/K^{+}$ , fatty acids, hormones, bilirubin and drugs) and the regulation of the colloid osmotic pressure in blood [6,24]. They are relatively large molecules with a molecular weight of 60-69 kDa and immature primary sequences of 607-608 amino acids (Table 1), being present in dander, skin, saliva, milk, and meat of different animal species. Structurally, serum albumins present a very flexible  $\alpha$ -helical conformation (to accommodate different ligands) composed of three domains and stabilised by several disulphide bridges [24,70].

So far, different serum albumins have been registered in the WHO/IUIS allergen database, although only three are classified as food allergens, namely Bos d 6 (bovine serum albumin - BSA), Gal d 5 (chicken serum albumin - CSA) and Sus s 1 (pig serum albumin - PSA) [7].

Bos d 6 is the serum albumin identified in cow's milk and meat, sharing high sequence identity (75.6%) and similarity (85.5%) with human serum albumin [24,69]. Mature Bos d 6 has 583 amino acids folded in an  $\alpha$ -helical structure composed of three structurally similar domains (I, II, and III) organised in a heart-shaped molecule and stabilised by 17 disulphide bonds. Bos d 6 conformation is known to change to accommodate ligands, being able to coordinate the binding of three Ca<sup>2+</sup>, all of them located at domain I [70].

Bos d 6 is classified as a minor respiratory allergen, being associated with cases of 321 322 occupational asthma and rhinitis, and inducing mild to moderate clinical symptoms, such 323 as rhinorrhoea, nasal itching, nasal obstruction, and chest discomfort [24,71-73]. Besides, 324 Bos d 6 acts as an important food allergen, being responsible for triggering mild to severe 325 allergic reactions (including anaphylaxis), especially in the case of consumption of unprocessed cow's milk or meat. Bos d 6 belongs to the whey fraction and represents 1% 326 327 of total milk protein. More than 90% of meat-allergic patients are also allergic to cow's milk, due to the fact of being sensitised to Bos d 6, suggesting that this protein might be 328 a good diagnostic marker for cow's meat and milk allergies [24,74]. Additionally, Bos d 329

6 has several biotechnological applications, such as vaccines and culture medium of
spermatozoids for artificial insemination, which poses new health risks for the allergic
individuals [73].

Sus s 1 is the serum albumin identified in pork's meat, it has a smaller molecular weight (60 kDa) than the rest of serum albumin family of proteins, but it presents high sequence identity with Bos d 6 (69.7%) and with human serum albumin (72.0%) [69]. This allergen is the cause of the pork-cat syndrome, due to its high cross-reactivity with cat dander allergen (Fel d 2). Patients sensitised to Fel d 2 are at risk of developing mild to severe allergic reactions, including anaphylaxis, angioedema, rhinitis, urticaria, itching eczema, when consuming food products containing pork meat [75,76].

Gal d 5 (also called  $\alpha$ -livetin) is the serum albumin in chicken (including egg yolk, serum, meat, and feathers), presenting 69 kDa and a mature primary sequence of 592 amino acids. Gal d 5 exhibits less sequence identity (46.1%) and similarity (61.1%) with human serum albumin [7,69] compared to other serum albumins, particularly with mammalian ones. Gal d 5 is classified as a respiratory allergen causing asthma, conjunctivitis and rhinitis associated symptoms, and as a food allergen (bird-egg syndrome) capable of triggering OAS, angioedema and anaphylaxis [77].

347

# 348 Glycoside hydrolase family 22

The glycoside hydroxylases encompass a large group of enzymes that catalyse the hydrolysis of a glycosidic bond between two or more carbohydrates, or between a carbohydrate and a non-carbohydrate moiety [78], which are divided into families and some families into clans. Two important food allergens belong to the glycoside hydrolase family 22, namely the Gal d 4 (lysozyme C) from hen's egg and the Bos d 4 ( $\alpha$ lactalbumin) from cow's milk.

Gal d 4 is expressed in the egg white (tissue-specific), representing about 3.4% of the 355 356 total protein fraction [79]. It hydrolyses specific polysaccharides within bacteria cell 357 walls, thus functioning as a bacteriolytic enzyme [80]. The mature protein of 129 amino acids in a single polypeptide chain is composed of two domains, one mostly formed by 358 antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheets and one by  $\alpha$ -helices. It has a monomeric conformation of 359 approximately 14 kDa (four disulphide bonds with no free thiol groups), (Table 1) [80-360 361 82], with a theoretical pI of 9.3. Gal d 4 has been recently reported as presenting two potential N-glycosylation sites, N<sup>39</sup> and N<sup>44</sup>, both localized at a nonconsensus sequon 362 [83,84]. Gal d 4 is classified as an important allergen, which can cause allergic 363 364 sensitisation via inhalation, being associated with Baker's asthma [85]. Clinical symptoms, such as angioedema and urticaria, have also been reported for egg-allergic 365 366 patients, upon consumption of raw or minimally processed egg white [86]. Gal d 4 shares 367 35 to 40% of the sequence identity with Bos d 4, as well as, the positions of the four 368 disulphide bonds [87].

Bos d 4 intervenes in milk production (regulatory subunit of lactose synthetase), being 369 370 classified as a monomeric globular calcium-binding metalloprotein with 123 amino acids 371 and 14 kDa (Table 1), and reported as having 3 genetic variants [88]. It possesses a high-372 affinity binding site for calcium and four disulphide bridges, which helps to stabilise its 373 secondary (2D) structure. Bos d 4 has a compact and spherical conformation, with two 374 structural domains: a large  $\alpha$ -helical domain at the N-terminal and a short  $\beta$ -sheet domain 375 at the C-terminal, flanking the calcium-binding loop [89]. Bos d 4 exhibits high sequence homology with a lactal bumins of several species, including humans [90] and it has been 376 377 identified as a major allergen in cow's milk, being commonly responsible for eliciting respiratory, cutaneous and gastrointestinal symptoms, and often anaphylaxis in milk-378 379 allergic individuals [24,91].

380

## 381 Transferrins

Transferrins are sulphur-rich iron-binding glycoproteins that function *in vivo* to control the level of free iron [92,93]. These proteins are accountable for the transport of iron, both from sites of absorption and heme degradation to those of storage and utilisation. Members of this family include hen's egg white Gal d 3 (ovotransferrin or conalbumin) or and cow's milk Bos d LF (lactotransferrin or lactoferrin).

Gal d 3 represents 12% of egg white protein fraction, it has a primary structure of 686 387 residues with 78 kDa and a pI of 6.0 (Table 1). Gal d 3 binds two Fe<sup>3+</sup> (one per each lobe) 388 389 in tandem with two bicarbonate anions [94]. It has thirty cysteine residues, all involved 390 in disulphide bonds (n=15), nine and six of them located at the C-terminal or the Nterminal lobes, respectively. Structurally, Gal d 3 is a glycoprotein with a compact and 391 392 asymmetric monomeric conformation [83,95,96]. Besides regulating iron transport, this 393 protein is also known to exhibit antibacterial activity in their iron-free form [79,93]. Gal 394 d 3 is classified as a minor allergen, with clinical symptoms being mostly associated with urticaria and angioedema. Egg allergic patients sensitised to Gal d 3 are at higher risk of 395 396 suffering from an adverse immunological response when consuming raw or slightly 397 processed eggs [24,97].

Bos d LF is composed by a single polypeptide chain of approximately 690 residues with a molecular weight of 80 kDa, folded into two globular lobes, each of them having highaffinity iron-binding sites, connected by a 3-turn helix (Table 1). It has an asymmetric monomeric conformation, but it can exist in polymeric structures (tetramers) [24], which is analogous among mammal species (65-100% of sequence identity). Lactoferrins from ruminant species, like cow, buffalo, goat or sheep, share more than 90% of sequence identity, forming a particularly closely related cluster [98]. Bos d LF can be distinguished from other members of the transferrin family by its greater pI (8.0-9.0) and its higher ironbinding affinity [93,98,99]. Although, being present at very low concentrations in cow's milk, as well as, in the milk of other species (<1%), Bos d LF is considered to be an important allergen (41% of IgE-response, in co-sensitisation with major cow's milk allergens) [100].

410

## 411 Lipocalins

Lipocalins represent a cluster of diverse proteins with biological functions focused, not 412 413 only on the transport of small hydrophobic molecules (retinol, odorants, lipids, and 414 pheromones) [101] but also in the regulation of several immunological, metabolic and 415 developmental processes [102], that participate in the immune response mechanisms, 416 enzymatic activity, tissue development and allergic reaction initiation [103]. Lipocalins 417 are small extracellular proteins with 150-250 residues and 17-25 kDa (Table 1) [104,105]. They can be N- and/or O-glycosylated [103], and it is predicted that they can be 418 419 phosphorylated by regulation processes [106].

420 Sequence identity among lipocalins is generally low (20 to 30%, although it may reach 421 higher values) [103,105,107,108], but they share a common 3D structure made of a well-422 conserved eight-stranded anti-parallel  $\beta$ -barrel (accommodating a ligand-binding pocket) and an  $\alpha$ -helix [109,110]. The ligand-binding pocket has a central location where small 423 424 molecules, such as lipids, steroids, hormones, bilins and retinoids can bind [103,111]. The 425 β-barrel structure is stabilised by two disulphide bonds and depending on the pH, it can form monomers, dimers or higher-order oligomers [112,113]. Presently, several animal 426 427 lipocalins have been identified as allergenic proteins (n=25), nineteen of those being registered in the WHO/IUIS allergen database [5-7]. Bla g 4 (cockroach), Mus m 1 428 (mouse urine), Rat n 1 (rat), Can f 1 and Can f 2 (dog), Equ c 1 and Equ c 2 (horse) and 429

Bos d 2 (cow) are some examples of allergenic lipocalins. These proteins are highly
abundant in epithelial mucosa and skin, especially in body fluids and secretions [112],
being widely spread in indoor environments as aeroallergens [109,111].

Among this family, only Bos d 5 (cow's milk  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin) was classified as a food 433 allergen, although very recently (dated May 2020) [7], Bos d 2 has also received the same 434 435 classification. Bos d 5 is a major whey protein and a major allergen, corresponding to 436 10% of the total protein content of cow's milk and participates in several molecular transport processes [59]. Clinical symptoms induced by IgE-binding to Bos d 5 are quite 437 438 similar to the ones triggered by Bos d 4, which involve cutaneous, gastrointestinal and 439 respiratory manifestations (or even anaphylaxis) [91]. Additionally, Bos d 5 is reported 440 as a potential molecular marker for persistent cow's milk allergy in adults [114].

441

## 442 Ovomucoids

443 Kazal-type serine protease inhibitors are a family of proteins (MEROPS inhibitor family 444 I1, clan IA) [115] with main biological functions associated with the inhibition of several 445 serine proteases, which includes avian ovomucoid, pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor, 446 acrosin inhibitor, and elastase inhibitor [116,117]. Included in this family, the Gal d 1 447 (ovomucoid) functions as a trypsin inhibitor and it has been identified as an important allergen in hen's egg white. Representing almost 11% of its protein fraction, Gal d 1 448 primary sequence has 186 residues (containing 20-25% of carbohydrate moieties), a pI of 449 450 4.1 and a molecular weight of 28 kDa (Table 1). Structurally, this protein comprises three independent domains (I-III), each of them behaving like a native globular protein, which 451 452 are linked by intradomain disulphide bonds. Each domain is homologous to pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (Kazal) and presents an actual or putative reactive site for 453 inhibition of serine proteinases [118]. 454

Gal d 1 has nine asparagine residues with covalently attached glycan groups (nine 455 456 glycosylation sites), mainly encompassing the oligosaccharides N-acetylglucosamine, 457 mannose, galactose and N-acetylneuramic acid [83,119]. However, the carbohydrate chain attached to the third domain of Gal d 1 seems to perform a critical role in its IgE-458 binding capacity [119,120]. High IgE levels to Gal d 1 seems to be well correlated with 459 460 persistent hen's egg allergy [121], suggesting that this protein might be a good molecular 461 marker for egg allergy prediction [122]. Allergic patients sensitised to Gal d 1 are at risk 462 of suffering adverse immunological responses towards all forms of hen's egg (raw, slight 463 or highly processed egg white), exhibiting clinical symptoms like atopic eczema, urticaria 464 or vomiting [24].

465

## 466 Serpins

Serpins compose a superfamily of proteins with related, but functionally diverse structures, belonging to the MEROPS inhibitor family I4, clan ID [115]. Serpins are widespread among nature, except in fungi [117,123] and they play biological roles mainly related to protease inhibitory activity and control of proteolytic cascades. Other noninhibitory functions have also been attributed to serpins, namely hormone transporters, molecular chaperones and tumour suppressors [124]. Serpins are relatively large molecules, presenting primary structures ranging from 330 to 500 residues.

So far, Gal d 2 (ovalbumin) is the only food allergen identified within this family [5-7],
whose biological function is non-inhibitory (main role as storage protein). Gal d 2 is
composed of 386 residues, with a molecular weight of 44 kDa and a pI of 4.5 (Table 1)
[79,123,125]. It is glycosylated at residue Asn292, with a second potential glycosylation
site at residue Asn311, and N-linked glycans consisting of hybrid-type and highmannose-type oligosaccharides [125,126]. Gal d 2 polypeptide chain is involved in a

defined secondary structure, with three β-sheets (A to C) and nine α-helices (A to H and
helix R) [127]. Its structural conformation corresponds to a cyclic homodimer (Table 1),
suggesting a quaternary organisation.

Gal d 2 is a major protein component of hen's egg white (almost 54%), but it is considered 483 484 as a minor allergen. Allergic individuals (most often children of small age, <3 years) sensitised to Gal d 2 are at risk of experiencing allergic reactions upon consumption of 485 486 raw or slightly processed egg white, exhibiting clinical manifestations, such as atopic dermatitis [128]. An additional risk factor concerns the use of Gal d 2 in vaccine 487 formulations, which can lead to severe and systemic allergic reactions (anaphylaxis) in 488 489 hen's egg-allergic patients within minutes upon administration of Gal d 2-containing 490 vaccines [121].

491

# 492 Physicochemical Properties Affecting Allergenicity

493 An extensive literature search was performed to evaluate the impact of different 494 physicochemical characteristics on the allergenicity of proteins from distinct families of 495 animal allergens. Accordingly, the list of parameters includes several PTM, which are most commonly associated with allergens, namely glycosylation, phosphorylation, 496 497 acetvlation and hydroxylation. The structural integrity and the organisational level of 498 allergens, their stability towards heat, pressure, light (radiation), mechanical and chemical activities resulting from different food processing methods (Fig. 1), as well as, their 499 500 behaviour towards glycation and aggregation phenomena were also assessed. In addition, 501 ligand binding, potential food component interactions (with lipids), resistance to 502 gastrointestinal digestion and the ability to cross the epithelial barrier in altered states 503 (e.g. aggregates) finalise the list of parameters analysed in this review.

504 Concerning each animal protein family, data from an extensive literature search covering 505 the impact of all these physicochemical parameters on the allergenicity of their protein 506 members were collected and provided in detail as supplementary material. Summarised 507 data resulting from this extensive analysis are presented in Tables 2-4.

508

## 509 Measuring the effect on allergenicity

510 The pathophysiology of food allergy involves two stages: the sensitisation and the elicitation phases that are also designated as induction and effector phases, respectively. 511 512 The sensitisation phase can be defined as the interaction of an allergen with an antigen-513 presenting cell, T-cell, and B-cell leading to the production of allergen-specific IgE, while 514 elicitation phase relates to the interaction of the allergen with the allergen-specific IgE on 515 the surface of the mast cell or basophils, resulting in the release of mediators which are 516 responsible for the clinical symptoms [24,129]. The sensitisation phase is not always followed by elicitation, thus hampering the prediction of a clinical food allergy by 517 measuring alone the allergen-specific IgE. Still, most of the available approaches to assess 518 519 the allergenic potential of a protein rely on IgE-mediated assays, which can be performed 520 under different conditions and formats [130].

521 The evaluation of the impact of different physicochemical characteristics on the allergenicity of animal proteins depends on the data compilation from different assays 522 (Table 3). Immunoblotting, ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) and 523 524 radioallergosorbent test (RAST)/enzyme allergosorbent test (EAST)/ImmunoCap using 525 human sera/plasma of sensitised or allergic patients provide an overall assessment of the 526 IgE-binding capacity (either qualitative and/or quantitative) of allergens from almost all families of animal proteins under study (Table 3) [54,131-151]. Although representing a 527 great portion of data on the IgE-binding capacity of animal allergens, their interpretation 528

needs to be carefully conducted, considering all the pitfalls associated with these assays
(the use of different sources of sera/plasma from food sensitised/allergic patients,
different analytical conditions, different target analytes, indirect/poor correlation with
clinical outcomes) [152].

Another strategy lies on the use of *in vitro* biological assays (cellular models), which 533 provide a functional analysis of the specific effector cell activation by allergen-mediated 534 535 specific IgE crosslinking (measured by mediator release or upregulation of cellular 536 surface molecules). Such strategies present advantages related to high clinical specificity 537 and sensitivity [152,153]. Although being more laborious and expensive than the previous 538 approaches, the human basophil activation tests (BAT), the humanised rat basophilic 539 leukaemia (RBL) mediator release assay and the mast cell models can be considered as in vitro surrogate of the allergic reaction that happens in vivo in allergic patients [154-540 541 156]. Therefore, these tests can be used to explore the immune mechanisms of effector cell response to allergens [154], being also broadly applied to evaluate the allergenic 542 543 potential of most families of animal proteins (Table 3) [135,138,157-167].

544 Presently, the *in vivo* models are the only methods able to assess the potential sensitising 545 capacities of food proteins [168]. The skin prick tests (SPT) and food challenges, either 546 as open food challenges (OFC) or as double-blind placebo-controlled food challenges (DBPCFC), are used for allergy diagnosis, but with very limited application to evaluate 547 548 the allergic response to specific proteins or protein extracts as affected by different 549 physicochemical properties (Table 3) [11,77,133,159,164,169,170]. However, carrying clinical trials in humans (OFC and DBPCFC) is time-consuming, expensive, and are not 550 551 easy to perform, besides involving ethical issues.

552 To overcome this problem, animal models have been used as surrogates for the 553 identification and characterisation of food allergens, representing potential valuable tools

for safety assessment [171]. Nonetheless, the use of animal models to mimic food allergy 554 555 in humans carries some concerns, such as how well they simulate the human disorder and 556 what are their main strengths and limitations [172]. Still, they can provide some insights about the sensitising and eliciting capacities of specific allergens, representing the current 557 closest physiological in vivo model of human immunological events. Therefore, animal 558 559 allergy models have also been used to measure the influence of physicochemical 560 properties on the allergenicity of molecules from some families of animal proteins (Table 3) [166,173-194]. 561

562 For this review, some general definitions and terminology were used to standardise an 563 approach to deal with all different aspects of the data collected. Therefore, the definitions 564 on the allergenicity/allergenic potential, immunoreactivity and IgG/IgE-binding capacity 565 were adopted from Verhoeckx et al. [195]. By allergenicity/allergenic potential we mean 566 "the potential of a material to cause sensitisation and allergic reactions, frequently associated with IgE antibody", immunoreactivity describes "the ability of a material to 567 568 elicit an immune response" and with IgG/IgE-binding capacity we mean "an altered 569 ability of IgG (also antigenic integrity) or IgE (also allergenic integrity) to bind to 570 epitopes, respectively".

571 In practical terms, the data collected from immunoblotting, ELISA, and 572 RAST/EAST/immunoCAP assays with the sera of food allergic/sensitised patients were classified as "IgE-binding capacity", while data from similar immunoassays using animal 573 antibodies were defined as "immunoreactivity". The terms allergenicity/allergenic 574 575 potential were applied to classify results simulating the elicitation of an allergic reaction, 576 namely the in vitro functional assays (RBL, BAT), in vivo assays (SPT, OFC and 577 DBPCFC) and animal allergy models (mice physiological responses, mice anaphylaxis). It is also important to stress that despite the defined strategy of classifying the results from 578

different analytical methods within the terms defined above, it was difficult to separate results from the events of sensitisation and elicitation. Therefore, the classification of IgEbinding capacity or allergenicity was determined in terms of weight of evidence (WOE). Highest WOE was concluded from animal models and functional biological assays that mimic main events of allergic reactions, acceptable WOE was seen in IgE-binding capacity, and modest WOE was seen in immunoreactivity studies (bearing in mind the extensive explanations above).

586

## 587 Abundance

588 Proteins, including allergens, play specific biological roles within organisms, whose expression is regulated by their physiological demands. In animals, most of the allergenic 589 590 proteins perform structural, regulatory and transport functions, except for Gal d 2 591 (serpins) that has nutritional storage function (Table 1). However, the correlation between the abundance of certain proteins and their allergenic impact is still a matter of debate. 592 593 Within the four most relevant families of food allergens from animal origin, caseins are 594 by far the most abundant proteins [59,89]. In this case, their high abundance seems to be 595 well correlated with the increased risk for adverse immunological reactions in individuals 596 sensitised/allergic to milk.

597 Compared to caseins, tropomyosins and  $\beta$ -parvalbumins are minor protein components, 598 representing only up to 1% or 1-3% of muscle mass in invertebrates (e.g. crustaceans, 599 molluscs, insects) or fish, respectively [13,29]. Nonetheless, despite their relatively low 600 abundance, tropomyosins and  $\beta$ -parvalbumins are classified as important major allergens 601 of animal origin. In the specific case of  $\beta$ -parvalbumins, their greater abundance in certain 602 flesh tissues (e.g. white vs. dark muscle) and their location (e.g. rostral vs. caudal part of the white muscle) has been positively correlated with their increased allergenic potential[196-198].

605 Among the miscellaneous families of proteins, the serum albumins are present in 606 moderate/low amount (approximately 5%) in the plasma of mammals, namely in bovine 607 (Bos d 6), pork (Sus s 1), lamb, and deer meats [199] and also in hen's egg yolk (Gal d 5) 608 [77]. Their relative moderate/low amount seems to be well correlated with their ability to 609 induce allergic responses in sensitised individuals [24,170], especially due to the high cross-reactivity among serum albumins (Bos d 6, Sus s 1) from different meats (bovine, 610 611 pork), epithelia and milk [199]. However, in cow's milk, Bos d 6 is a minor component 612 of whey (about 1% of total protein fraction) but is considered as a major food allergen 613 with high clinical relevance [24,60].

614 The two representative members of the glycoside hydrolase family 22 are the Gal d 4 and 615 the Bos d 4, which account for 3.4% of egg white and 5% of milk protein fractions, respectively [59,79]. Regardless of their relative moderate/low abundance, Gal d 4 and 616 617 Bos d 4 have been classified as highly immunogenic [200,201]. Likewise, the Gal d 1 of 618 the ovomucoid family represents less than 11% of egg white protein fraction, but it is 619 considered the immunodominant allergen in egg, being often related to severe cases of 620 anaphylaxis [142]. Gal d 1 exhibits higher IgE-binding capacity than other allergens, following this specific order: Gal d 1 (11%)>Gal d 2 (54%)>Gal d 4 (3.4%), despite their 621 622 different proportions in egg [175].

In the transferrin family of proteins, the two representative allergens are Bos d LF and Gal d 3, which have different proportions in their respective matrices, namely <1% in milk (variable according to the species) and 12% in egg white. Contrarily to other allergens, the abundance of these proteins is not well interconnected with their allergenic potential. In this case, the abundance seems to be inversely correlated with protein allergenic potential since the Gal d 3 (12% of egg white) is described as presenting very
limited clinical relevance [200], while Bos d LF (often less than 1% of milk protein) has
strong IgE-binding response [100].

The Bos d 5 from lipocalin family represents 10% of the total protein fraction in milk, and it is classified as a major allergen. In the case of Bos d 5, its abundance seems to be well correlated with a higher risk to trigger allergic reactions in milk-allergic patients. This is most likely related to the fact that Bos d 5 is absent in human milk, as well as in milk from other mammalian species (e.g. camel), which have been demonstrated to be less allergenic than cow's milk [202].

Gal d 2 from serpin family accounts for more than 54% of egg white protein fraction, but despite its great abundance, Gal d 2 is not an immunodominant allergen in egg's white [203]. Nonetheless, it has been shown that there is a strong correlation between the amount of egg ingested by women that are breastfeeding and the concentration of Gal d 2 in breast milk, which is considered to be responsible for eliciting egg-allergic reactions in infants [204].

643

644 Concluding remarks:

The high abundance of caseins, serum albumins (meats and egg yolk), lipocalins (Bos
d 5), and ovomucoids (Gal d 1) seems to be related to increased allergenic risk.

- The high abundance of other allergens (Bos d LF, Gal d 3, Gal d 2) does not always
  represent an additional risk for allergic reactions.
- The limited quantity of specific allergens (tropomyosins, parvalbumins, glycoside
   hydrolase family 22, serum albumin cow's milk Bos d 6) often imply added hazard
   of eliciting severe immunological responses.

Within the families of animal allergens, it is not possible to establish a correlation
 between abundance and an increased risk for triggering allergic reactions in
 sensitised individuals since different patterns are observed.

655

#### 656 **Protein structure**

Food allergens are typically defined as molecules of small size and/or with compact globular structure (monomeric conformation), which is the case of some families of animal proteins, namely parvalbumins, arginine kinases, serum albumins, glycoside hydrolase family 22 and transferrins (Table 1). However, like in plant food allergens [4], there are several examples of animal allergens that present structures with a high level of organisation (quaternary structures), such as tropomyosins, lipocalins, ovomucoids, and serpins (Table 1).

664 In opposition, caseins are intrinsically unstructured proteins, exhibiting very little secondary/tertiary structures. In milk, the four variants of caseins have structural 665 666 differences, with Bos d 9 and Bos d 10 being unfolded proteins with extended coil-like 667 conformations, and Bos d 11 and Bos d 12 presenting molten globule-like structures 668 [205]. In the absence of calcium, caseins have no regular structures, but in response to calcium-phosphate binding, they form micelles that correspond to particles of colloidal 669 670 size designated as supramolecules. In those cases, casein micelles are defined as complex 671 molecules with quaternary structures, showing great conformational flexibility because they are easily adapted to different environments [206,207]. 672

In most families of animal proteins, the loss of high level of spatial organisation (tertiary and quaternary conformations) leads to a reduction in the IgE-binding capacity of their members, which are the cases of parvalbumins, arginine kinases, glycoside hydrolase family 22 and serpins (Table 2). The reasons behind this accentuated reduction are

normally the damage of structural integrity (globular monomer), through Ca<sup>2+</sup> depletion
or by modification of different residues in the Ca<sup>2+</sup> binding region in parvalbumins
[35,208] or by the disruption of conformational epitopes in arginine kinases, glycoside
hydrolase family 22 and serpins [139,209-211].

The loss of structural stability of tropomyosins, caseins, serum albumins, lipocalins and 681 682 ovomucoids has limited impact on their IgE-binding capacity, mostly due to the presence 683 of important sequential epitopes that become accessible upon disruption of native 684 conformation [114,132,212-214]. However, the disruption of disulphide bonds and loss 685 of secondary structure contribute to a small decrease in the IgE-binding capacity of 686 caseins, serum albumins, lipocalins and ovomucoids [114,214,215]. For proteins of the transferrin family, the loss of their monomeric conformation seems to have a dual 687 character. By one side, the exposure of hydrophobic groups and the partial unfolding of 688 689 transferrin structure reavels hidden linear epitopes with increasing IgE-binding capacity, while the destruction of conformational epitopes (loss of secondary structure by the 690 691 destruction of disulphide bonds), upon severe protein unfolding, reduces the 692 immunoreactivity of these proteins [139,216,217].

The use of denaturing agents, such as urea, can disrupt the conformational structure of proteins, leading to a molten globule state with increased IgE-binding capacity (partially denatured protein but retaining native-like structure), which seems to be the case of glycoside hydrolase family 22 and transferrins [142].

697 Concluding remarks:

The IgE-binding capacity of parvalbumins, arginine kinases, glycoside hydrolase
 family 22 and serpins is reduced by the loss of 3D/4D conformations (destruction of
 conformational epitopes).

The IgE-binding capacity of glycoside hydrolase family 22 and transferrins is
 increased by the destruction of native structures caused by denaturing agents (e.g.
 urea).

The IgE-binding capacity of tropomyosins, caseins, serum albumins, lipocalins and ovomucoids is hardly changed by the loss of native structural integrity (presence of linear epitopes).

The disruption of disulphide bonds and loss of secondary structure contribute to a
 slight decrease in the IgE-binding capacity of ovomucoids and lipocalins.

The loss of 3D structures of transferrins presents a dual character - exposure of
 hidden linear epitopes increases and the destruction of conformational epitopes
 reduces the IgE-binding capacity, respectively.

712

## 713 **Post-translational modifications**

Post-translational modifications have been greatly described as affecting protein 714 715 conformational structure, which has a substantial influence on its allergenic potential. 716 Conversely, it is not clear yet to what extent PTM impact distinct food allergen families, or even different members within the same protein family. In the case of animal protein 717 718 families, three specific PTM can be found among their members, namely glycosylation, 719 acetylation and phosphorylation. All involve enzymatic processes, where glycosyl, phosphoryl or acetyl groups, respectively, are added to the side chains of amino acids of 720 721 different proteins [218,219].

In opposition to plant food allergens, whose glycosylated proteins are mainly restricted to members of the vicilin family [4], glycosylation is the most common PTM among the families of animal allergens (tropomyosins, caseins, arginine kinases, glycoside hydrolase family 22, transferrins, lipocalins, ovomucoids and serpins). Despite the generalised

concept that glycosylation greatly contributes to increase the allergenic potential of
proteins, this fact cannot be defined as a rule. Depending on the family of animal proteins,
or even among different members of a specific family, glycosylation has been described
as showing contradictory effects on the allergenic potential of a protein.

730 Enzymatic deglycosylation of tropomyosins (glycosylated proteins with N- and/or O-731 glycans) from crab or prawn retained or increased their IgE-binding capacity, respectively 732 [157,220]. Gal d 1 (ovomucoid family) is a glycosylated protein with high carbohydrate content (20-25%), although the role of the carbohydrate in the IgE-binding capacity of 733 734 this allergen is still ambiguous. Deglycosylated Gal d 1 has been reported to preserve or 735 decrease its allergenicity, which is explained by the fact that the carbohydrates are not part of the IgE-binding epitope or by potential structural alterations of the protein 736 737 (deglycosylated forms are more easily digested), respectively (Table 2) [165,221]. 738 Caseins are glycosylated (e.g. Bos d 12), which difficult their subsequent digestion [222], thus increasing their potential allergenicity. N-glycosylation sites have also been 739 740 advanced in crayfish Pro c 2 (arginine kinase family), although their role in the IgE-741 binding capacity of this protein is still unknown [223].

742 Phosphorylation is another PTM that occur among members of some animal protein 743 families, namely in caseins and serpins (Table 2). Dephosphorylated variants of Bos d 10 744 and Bos d 11 are less IgE-reactive than their native counterparts, suggesting that the 745 phosphorylation site(s) might be part of the IgE-binding epitope(s). Additionally, 746 different casein variants contain a common phosphorylation site that is considered to be responsible for the cross-reactivity among caseins in milk-allergic individuals 747 748 [169,224,225]. Phosphorylated caseins and serpins (Gal d 2) are more IgE-reactive than their dephosphorylated counterparts, suggesting that phosphorylation increases the IgE-749 750 binding capacity of these proteins (Table 2).

Acetylation occurs in members of animal food allergens, although at a smaller scale. Fish parvalbumins can be modified by N-terminal acetylation, a PTM that makes parvalbumins highly stable and more allergenic [226].

754 Concluding remarks:

Glycosylation occurs in tropomyosins, caseins, arginine kinases, glycoside
 hydrolase family 22, transferrins, lipocalins, ovomucoids and serpins.
 Phosphorylation is common among caseins and serpins, while acetylation occurs in
 parvalbumins.

Glycosylation has contradictory effects on the IgE-binding capacity of different families: tropomyosins (increase/maintain/decrease), arginine kinases (unknown effect), ovomucoids (maintain/increase) and caseins (increase).

• Phosphorylation increases the IgE-binding capacity of caseins and serpins.

• Acetylation increases the IgE-binding capacity of parvalbumins.

764

## 765 Ligand-binding

766 Protein structure might be greatly influenced by the presence of specific ligands (metals, ions) because they are often essential for protein folding. Some families of proteins can 767 768 bind ligands, although in different ways, which is the case for parvalbumins, caseins, 769 serum albumins, transferrins and lipocalins (Table 1). Structurally, parvalbumins are 770 calcium-binding proteins presenting two available sites (two domains) for binding Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>. Metal-binding stabilises protein conformation and contributes to maintaining 771 772 their allergenicity as assessed by basophil histamine release assay when compared to their 773 apo-forms [35,135,208,227].

Caseins contain phosphoryl groups that can sequester  $Ca^{2+}$  and form thermodynamically stable complexes (casein micelles), which prevents their aggregation into amyloid fibrils

776 (insoluble proteins) [228] and to conserve their IgE-binding capacity [224,225]. 777 Transferrins and lipocalins are also able to accommodate and transport metal ions. In both cases, Gal d 3 (transferrin) and Bos d 5 (lipocalin) are less allergenic in their holo-forms 778 779 (iron-bound) than in apo-forms (iron-free). Iron-binding seems to attenuate the immune responses by maintaining Th1/Th2 balance (holo-forms are more immunosuppressive 780 781 than apo-forms), thus decreasing their allergenicity [163,177,229]. Besides iron, Bos d 5 782 is also able to transport other small molecules (e.g. retinoic acid) in its central core. Lipid-783 binding of Bos d 5 with retinoic acid (active vitamin A metabolite) can prevent an immune response by inducing profound inhibitory effects on different T-cell subsets and cytokine 784 785 expression, therefore greatly reducing its allergenicity [230].

786 Concluding remarks:

Parvalbumins, caseins, serum albumins, transferrins and lipocalins can bind ligands
 (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and retinoic acid).

Ca<sup>2+</sup>- and Mg<sup>2+</sup>-binding stabilise the structural conformation of parvalbumins,
 which maintain their allergenicity.

Caseins bind Ca<sup>2+</sup> (by phosphoryl groups), forming casein micelles (stable
 macromolecules) and conserving their allergenic potential.

Transferrins and lipocalins can bind iron, decreasing their allergenic potential. Bos
 d 5 binds other small molecules (e.g. retinoic acid), reducing its allergenicity.

795

## 796 Glycation and Aggregation

Glycation is a chemical reaction between the amino groups of proteins, lipids or nucleotides and the carbonyl groups of monosaccharides (typically reducing sugars), and it is called as Maillard reaction or non-enzymatic browning [231]. Glycation is responsible for changing colours, odours and flavours of foods, resulting from nonenzymatic reactions during food processing under mild conditions. Although representing
two distinct processes, glycation is frequently incorrectly designated as glycosylation
(post-translational modification of proteins with the addition of carbohydrates during
protein synthesis) [232]. In this section, we tried to include all manuscripts for the
literature using the term glycosylation but meaning glycation (Maillard reaction).

Glycation is known to affect the allergenicity of specific proteins, although its effects are not yet fully clear. This process requires the application of heat treatments to thermodynamically favour the chemical reactions between amino and carbonyl groups, which often contributes to protein unfolding and formation of macrostructures, such as aggregates [233]. Protein aggregation can also result from other processes (e.g. mistakes in protein synthesis, mutations); although during food processing, it is most likely to occur as a consequence of Maillard reactions.

813 Protein behaviour towards glycation and aggregation processes can reflect their allergenic potential. Tropomyosin [131,157,173,234-237], parvalbumin [190,238-240], casein 814 815 [241,242], lipocalin [143,243-250] and serpin [185,251-257] allergenicity is differently 816 affect by glycation (Table 2). The behaviour of Gal d 2 (serpins) towards glycation is 817 probably one of the best-studied, with several reports supporting the dual character of Gal 818 d 2 IgE-binding capacity upon Maillard reactions. Accordingly, glycation of this protein with reducing sugars decreases the IgE-binding capacity of its glycated products [251-819 820 253], while advanced glycation end-products of Gal d 2 or glycation products in the 821 presence of different concentrations of sodium carbonate-bicarbonate buffer contributed to increasing their allergenic potential, as assessed by in vivo mice allergy models and 822 823 mediator release assays [185,254,256,257].

The allergenicity of glycoside hydrolase family 22 (Bos d 4) and arginine kinases (e.g.

825 Scy p 2) are decreased by glycation, as determined by *in vivo* mice allergy models and

mediator release assays [173,258], while in ovomucoids (Gal d 1) its IgE-binding capacity
is increased (Table 2) [252]. In addition, Maillard reactions with different reducing sugars
(glucose, mannose, ribose) might also induce distinct alterations in conformational
structures, thus contributing to the contradictory effects in terms of protein IgE-binding
capacity (e.g. tropomyosins, parvalbumins) [235-239].

831 In all referred families, the formation of aggregates as a result of glycation is commonly 832 pointed out as the main factor for both increasing or decreasing the IgE-binding capacity of most allergens [237]. As an example, the formation of aggregates contributes to a 833 834 decreasing effect on the IgE-binding capacity of arginine kinases and lipocalins, although 835 when neoepitopes are formed in the aggregates, their IgE-binding capacity can increase 836 [173,223,245,259]. Caseins naturally tend to form ordered aggregates, which contributes 837 to maintaining their IgE-binding capacity [260]. However, when caseins form aggregates 838 with other proteins, like the whey and wheat proteins, their IgE-binding capacity is increased or reduced, respectively [261-263]. In the case of tropomyosins and 839 840 parvalbumins, aggregated proteins seem to have increased IgE-binding capacity [134,237], while aggregated forms of serum albumins, glycoside hydrolase family 22, 841 classified 842 ovomucoids and serpins are normally as less IgE-reactive 843 [145,176,179,180,264,265].

844 Concluding remarks:

Chemical changes in tropomyosins, parvalbumins, caseins, lipocalins, and serpins
(as a consequence of glycation) can lead to decreased, increased, or maintained
allergenicity (depending on the allergen within a family, or even for the same
allergen).

Chemical changes in tropomyosins and parvalbumins, as a consequence of glycation with different reducing sugars (glucose, mannose, ribose), can affect their
 IgE-binding capacity (maintain, decrease or increase).

Structural changes (formation of aggregates with other molecules) in caseins can
 increase or decrease their IgE-binding capacity when aggregates are formed with
 whey or wheat proteins, respectively.

- Structural changes (formation of aggregates) in arginine kinases and lipocalins
   induce a decrease in their IgE-binding capacity (except when neo conformational
   epitopes are formed, leading to an increase in IgE-binding capacity).
- Structural changes (formation of aggregates) in tropomyosins and parvalbumins
   increased their IgE-binding capacity, while in serum albumins, glycoside hydrolase
   family 22, ovomucoids and serpins reduced their allergenicity.

861

### 862 Heat stability

Heat stability is generally considered as an important characteristic of allergenic proteins. For the evaluation of heat stability on the allergenicity of proteins, the influence of different thermal treatments used for food processing was extensively reviewed (Fig. 1). This is the case for tropomyosins [133,237,266-268], parvalbumins [190,197,269] and caseins [162,261,270,271], whose members are heat-stable proteins that conserve or increase their allergenicity (as determined by BAT, mediator release assays and *in vivo* mice allergy models), even after being submitted to extreme thermal conditions.

Moreover, treatments like pasteurisation, boiling, frying, and roasting can induce severe alterations on the secondary structures of tropomyosins with subsequent exposure of hidden epitopes, contributing to increasing their allergenicity. This feature seems to be common to several crustacea and mollusc tropomyosins, as confirmed by their increased
overall IgE-binding capacity, greater basophil activation, and larger wheal size in skin 874 875 prick tests compared to their raw counterparts [133,237,267,268]. Gal d 1 from 876 ovomucoid family is also considered a heat-stable protein, thus preserving its IgE-binding capacity upon thermal processing [141,261], although when this protein is submitted to 877 878 temperatures above 90°C and for several minutes (>15 min), its IgE-binding capacity is 879 significantly reduced [252,272]. Serum albumins have been described as partially heat-880 labile (Gal d 5), but in fact, their behaviour is more likely to be heat-stable (Bos d 6 and Sus s 1), since these proteins tend to preserve their IgE-binding capacity upon boiling, 881 882 broiling or even autoclave [151,170,214,273,274]. Therefore, depending on the family 883 member, serum albumins might be differently affected by distinct heat treatments. 884 Accordingly, Bos d 6 and Sus s 1 tend to conserve their IgE-binding capacity when submitted to temperatures above 90°C [151,170,214,273,274], probably due to the 885 886 presence of sequential epitopes, while the allergenicity of Gal d 5 is greatly reduced after 10 min at 90°C, as assessed by skin prick tests and food challenges [77,275]. 887

888 Proteins belonging to arginine kinase and other miscellaneous families (glycoside hydrolase family 22, transferrin, lipocalins and serpins) are all heat-labile, which means 889 890 that most thermal treatments are efficient in reducing or even eliminating the IgE-binding 891 capacity of their members [136,139,141,223,252,261,270,276,277]. The loss of tertiary/secondary structures and destruction of conformational epitopes, or the formation 892 893 of protein aggregates, as a consequence of heat treatments, are among the main reasons 894 justifying the decrease in the IgE-binding capacity of these heat-labile proteins [139,216,261,270]. However, the application of mild heat treatments (55-60°C) for short 895 896 periods (<10 min), causing an incomplete unfolding and subsequent exposure of hydrophobic regions in proteins from transferrin and serpin families, might result in a 897

transient increased or preserved IgE-binding capacity of these members, respectively[141,216].

It is also important to highlight that the heat processing in the presence of proteins from other matrices, namely from wheat, might contribute to a great reduction (or even elimination) of the IgE-binding capacity of ovomucoids and serpins, probably due to aggregation through intermolecular disulphide bonds with wheat proteins [145,264].

904 Concluding remarks:

Tropomyosins, parvalbumins, caseins and ovomucoids are heat-stable proteins.
 Serum albumins are partially heat-labile/stable proteins. Arginine kinases and other
 miscellaneous protein families (glycoside hydrolase family 22, transferrin, lipocalins
 and serpins) comprise heat-labile proteins.

Heat stability (upon extreme heat conditions) contributes to increase the allergenicity
 of tropomyosins (exposure of hidden epitopes) and preserve the allergenic potential
 of parvalbumins, caseins and serum albumins (Bos d 6 and Sus s 1), but not for
 proteins of the ovomucoid family (e.g. Gal d 1 decreases its IgE-binding capacity).

Structural changes (unfolding, exposure of hidden linear epitopes) increases the
allergenic potential of tropomyosins and maintain the allergenicity of parvalbumins,
caseins and serum albumins.

Structural changes (unfolding, destruction of conformational epitopes, and formation
of aggregates) reduce or even eliminate, the IgE-binding capacity of arginine kinases,
glycoside hydrolase family 22, transferrins, lipocalins, and serpins.

919

#### 920 Pressure stability

921 Regarding food allergens, the parameter of pressure stability has gained some relevance922 over the last few years, especially due to the increasing application of the novel food

923 processing technologies (Fig. 1). Despite their numerous advantages related to the 924 preservation of food quality (prolonging self-life, improving sensorial attributes) and 925 safety (eliminating microorganisms), the impact of these technologies on the allergenicity 926 of different proteins is still controversial (Table 2).

927 In the case of tropomyosins and parvalbumins (Table 2), the application of pressure 928 treatments seems to contribute to a generalised reduction in their IgE-binding capacities, 929 which is even more pronounced by the combination of pressure with heat [174,190,278]. Likewise, the use of high pressures also contributes to decreasing the immunoreactivity 930 931 of caseins by affecting the intermolecular forces in the micelles and by changing the 932 surface structure of these molecules [279]. The application of pressure at 600 MPa caused 933 casein aggregation (involving Bos d 5 with Bos d 12), shifting the balance of Th1/Th2 type cytokines towards Th1, thus diminishing the allergenic capacity of caseins [280]. 934 935 However, when pressure is combined with high temperatures, for short bursts of time, followed by instant pressure drop to vacuum, the IgE-binding capacity of caseins is 936 937 increased due to the dissociation of the casein micelles or to the aggregation of casein's monomers [281]. In the case of serum albumins, the application of high-pressure 938 939 treatments (400 MPa) does not affect their immunoreactivity [282].

940 Treatments using high-pressures seem to have contradictory effects on the IgE-binding capacity of members from the glycoside hydrolase family 22. By one side, high-pressure 941 942 treatments contribute to increasing the sensitising capacity of Gal d 4 (by inducing limited 943 denaturation), as assessed by in vivo mice allergy models [175], on the other side, it maintains or even reduces the IgE-binding capacity of Bos d 4 [280,281]. In Bos d 5 944 945 (lipocalin family), the application of high-pressure treatments has a similar behaviour as in the glycoside hydrolase family 22. Although keeping its internal core and 946 primary/secondary structures, Bos d 5 undergoes small rearrangements in its 3D 947

948 conformation when subjected to high-pressure treatments. These rearrangements are 949 reported as the main factor to increase or reduce its IgE-binding capacity 950 [144,280,281,283,284]. The combination of dynamic high-pressure treatments with the 951 glycation process seems to reduce the IgE-binding capacity of Bos d 5 conjugates in a 952 pressure-dependent-manner (greater reduction with higher pressures) [143]. The 953 application of high pressures (400 MPa) during enzymatic hydrolysis also reduces the 954 sensitising capacity of Bos d 5 in mice allergy model [178].

In ovomucoids (Gal d 1) and serpins (Gal d 2), there were no significant differences in the levels of Gal d 1- or Gal d 2-specific IgE between the group of mice allergy model sensitised with pressurised egg white (400 MPa for 10 min at 37 °C) and the native egg white groups, suggesting that pressure treatments induce similar allergic sensitisation capacity of Gal d 1 and Gal d 2 in mice, as their native counterparts [175]. Regarding arginine kinase and transferrin families, no information on the effect of pressure stability on the allergenicity of allergens could be retrieved from literature.

962 Concluding remarks:

Tropomyosins and parvalbumins are pressure-labile proteins, while serum albumins
 and ovomucoids and serpins seem pressure-stable. Caseins, glycoside hydrolase
 family 22 and lipocalins have dual behaviour towards pressures (most likely
 pressure-stable).

Pressure treatments of ovomucoids (Gal d 1) and serpins (Gal d 2) induce similar
 allergic sensitisation capacity of their native counterparts. Pressure treatments of
 serum albumins do not affect their immunoreactivity.

Structural changes induced by pressure (especially when combined with heat) reduce
the IgE-binding capacity of tropomyosins and parvalbumins.

Structural changes induced by pressure (affecting the intermolecular forces in the micelles and changing the surface structure) reduce the immunoreactivity of caseins, but when combined with heat (dissociation of casein micelles or aggregation of caseins) increases their IgE-binding capacity (clinical impact unclear).

- Structural changes induced by pressure (limited unfolding) have contradictory effects
   on the IgE-binding capacity of glycoside hydrolase family 22. HP treatments increase
   the sensitising capacity of Gal d 4 (by inducing limited denaturation), but it maintains
   or even reduces the IgE-binding capacity of Bos d 4.
- Structural changes induced by pressure (with the conservation of the internal core and the 2D structure) have contradictory effects on the IgE-binding capacity of lipocalins. HP increase (clinical impact unclear) or reduce the IgE-binding capacity of lipocalins (Bos d 5). HP combined with glycation or with enzymatic hydrolysis reduce IgE-binding or sensitising capacities, respectively, of Bos d 5.
- Effect of pressure on the allergenicity of other protein families (arginine kinases and transferrins) is not known.
- 987

#### 988 Light/radiation stability

Along with processing technologies using pressure, there are other novel non-thermal treatments of great interest in the food industry. Based on the application of light/radiation to increase the safety, quality and organoleptic characteristics of processed foods, treatments like gamma-radiation ( $\gamma$ -radiation), high voltage impulses, pulsed electric fields (PEF), pulsed UV light and microwave are widely used by industry [285-287]. However, the knowledge about the impact of this type of treatments on the allergenicity of proteins from animal origin is still very limited (Fig. 1, Table 2).

In general, the application of treatments with light/radiation (UV, pulsed UV,  $\gamma$ -radiation, 996 997 microwave and PEF) seems to reduce the IgE-binding capacity of most proteins from different families [167,184,191,223,265,272,288-295], although some exceptions have 998 also been described (Table 2). This is the case of tropomyosins, whose IgE-binding 999 capacity has been reported to increase or decrease, depending on the dose of  $\gamma$ -radiation 1000 1001 used (small dosages lead to a small increasing effect, while upper dosages contribute to a 1002 slight reduction) [296]. Similarly to tropomyosins, the IgE-binding capacity of Gal d 2 (serpin family) is negatively affected by increasing dosages of  $\gamma$ -radiation, ranging from 1003 an increase at low levels of radiation to a decrease at higher ones (>10 kGy) 1004 1005 [147,276,293,297]. A decline in the secretion of IgE and cytokines (IL-4 and IL-5) associated with Th2 immune response is pointed out as the main cause for the reduction 1006 1007 of Gal d 2 allergenicity [186,187].

1008 In parvalbumins, treatments based on the application of UV light do not affect their IgEbinding capacity [190], while PEF induces contradictory outcomes in lipocalins and 1009 serpins [247,298,299]. The application of PEF (25 kV cm<sup>-1</sup> for 60 µs) as a pre-treatment 1010 greatly increases the IgE-binding capacity of Bos d 5 (lipocalin family) by unfolding the 1011 1012 structure to a certain degree. Conversely, when the treatment is followed by glycation 1013 with mannose, it expressively diminished Bos d 5 IgE-binding capacity, by masking the conformational epitopes through covalent binding with carbohydrate [247]. When 1014 submitted to radiation (≥10 kGy) the IgE-binding of Gal d 2 is greatly reduced (even 1015 1016 abolished) [147,276,293,297], as well as its ability to induce sensitisation in mice allergy models [186,187]. The application of low electric field intensity (<25 kV/cm, for 180 µs) 1017 1018 or for short time (<60 µs, at 35 kV/cm) to Gal d 2 induces gradual intensification in its IgG/IgE-binding capacities due to the partial unfolding of the protein and to an increase 1019 of free thiol content, surface hydrophobicity and UV absorption. However, when 1020

increasing the exposure time or the intensity of the electric field, Gal d 2 IgE-bindingcapacity is significantly reduced due to aggregation [298].

1023 Concluding remarks:

Most protein families are light/radiation labile, with some exceptions (tropomyosins,
 parvalbumins, lipocalins, and serpins).

Structural changes induced by light/radiation (unfolding) reduce the IgE-binding
 capacity of proteins from most of the investigated protein families, with some
 exceptions (tropomyosins, lipocalins, and serpins).

Structural changes caused by high doses of radiations (unfolding) and long periods
 of exposure (formation of aggregates) contribute to reducing the IgE-binding
 capacities of tropomyosins, lipocalins, and serpins (only exception for Gal d 2, whose
 application of low-intensity electric fields increases its IgE-binding capacity).

1033

#### 1034 Mechanical/chemical stability

1035 The application of ultrasound or sonication treatments are among the most common 1036 mechanical processes used by industry, which might include drying, sterilization, enzyme inactivation, extraction, filtration, homogenisation, and meat tenderisation [300]. 1037 Ultrasound or sonication alone is not capable of altering the allergenic potential of animal 1038 1039 proteins [164,190,223,290,301,302]. However, when combined with other treatments, especially thermal processes, like boiling or glycation, the IgE-binding capacity of certain 1040 proteins is reduced, as reported for tropomyosins, glycoside hydrolase family 22, 1041 1042 lipocalins, and serpins [174,248,255,301].

1043 In addition to the mechanical processes, there are several chemical or enzymatic 1044 treatments commonly used by the food industry that might include fermentation, acid or 1045 urea treatments, carboxymethylation, enzymatic hydrolysis, and crosslinking (Fig. 1).

Fermentation (chemical modification of sugars to other end-products by the metabolic 1046 1047 activity of microorganisms, typically in anaerobic conditions) and enzymatic hydrolysis 1048 (enzymatic crosslinking of proteins using enzymes like transglutaminases, alcalase, among others) are the most effective in mitigating, or even eliminating, the allergenicity 1049 of most allergens from animal origin [138,181,182,189,303-309]. Such treatments are 1050 1051 often combined with heat to reduce the allergenicity of different proteins, thus leading to 1052 the production of hypoallergenic foods [309-313]. Both processes, enzymatic hydrolysis, and fermentation of foods can induce severe protein modifications, causing the alteration 1053 or destruction of conformational and linear epitopes and converting highly IgE-reactive 1054 1055 proteins into small and non-reactive peptides. However, it is important to highlight that 1056 the efficiency of these treatments is highly dependent on several factors, such as pH, temperature, time, the extent of hydrolysis, enzyme-substrate ratio, type of 1057 1058 microorganism (specific strains), and substrate concentration [287].

1059 Protein hydrolysis can be carried out with acids and alkali (chemical hydrolysis), but such reactions are normally difficult to control, leading to the formation of products with 1060 reduced nutritional qualities. Nevertheless, in some cases, they are used by industry for 1061 1062 food processing. Chemical hydrolysis of tropomyosins has been reported to contribute to 1063 a great reduction (in some cases, up to 90%) of their IgE-binding capacity, which is independent of the type of acid used [267,314]. Treatments with acids also contribute to 1064 a strong reduction in the IgE-binding capacity of Gal d 3 (transferrin family) and Gal d 2 1065 1066 (serpin family), but in the case of Gal d 1 (ovomucoid family), its IgE-binding capacity was not significantly affected by boiling (10 min) followed by acidic treatment [139,146]. 1067 1068 Some amino acids (mostly serine residues) of Gal d 2 can naturally suffer some conformational modifications during storage, converting Gal d 2 into a more stable 1069 protein (S-ovalbumin) and contributing to reducing its IgE-binding capacity. The same 1070

effect can be obtained when treating Gal d 2 with high pH (~10) and heat (~55°C) during
several hours, thus allowing to decrease the IgE-binding capacity of Gal d 2 [315]. In the
case of Gal d 2, its immunoreactive epitopes are destroyed by the application of heat and
alkali treatments [211].

1075 Concluding remarks:

- The integrity (intactness) of the proteins is affected by processes that destroy primary
   sequence (fragmentation due to hydrolysis), while mechanical, heat, pressure, and
   light change the protein conformational structure (e.g. unfolding).
- Changes in protein structure (by combining ultrasound and heat) are seen for
   members of tropomyosins, glycoside hydrolase family 22, lipocalins, and serpins,
   thus reducing their IgE-binding capacity.
- Changes in protein size (resulting in protein fragmentation, as a consequence of fermentation, enzymatic hydrolysis, or treatments with reducing agents) reduce or
   even mitigate the IgE-binding capacity of all animal protein families.

1085

#### 1086 Digestibility and epithelial transport

The correlation between protein allergenicity and high resistance to pepsin digestion has 1087 been widely considered as an important parameter related to food allergens. Conversely, 1088 1089 this correlation fails to explain why relatively well-digested allergens (e.g. some members of tropomyosins) are still able to trigger potent clinical symptoms in allergic individuals, 1090 1091 while stable non-allergens remain non-immunoreactive [316]. When considering that 1092 digested peptides with an estimated size of 3-5 kDa can induce mast cell degranulation, 1093 the production of resistant allergen fragments represents an increased allergenic risk. Since the uptake of proteins/peptides via the mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue is highly 1094

dependent on their shape, polarity, size, 3D structure, and aggregation status, the mechanisms mediating this crossing are of major allergological importance [317-319]. Several pathways enable the movement of molecules between the lumen and the mucosa, which consist of transport through the specialised microfold cells of Peyer's patches and isolated lymphoid follicles or across the epithelium, via transcellular (through cells) or paracellular (between cells) mechanisms. Therefore, the molecular form (allergen properties) and cellular processing of antigens are equally crucial in the elicitation of an

allergic reaction [319,320].

1103 In general, caseins are resistant to gastrointestinal digestion, thus preserving or even 1104 increasing their immunoreactivity, especially when digested peptides: i) present PTM, as 1105 phosphorylation and glycosylation, or ii) result from the formation of aggregates with whey proteins, whose structures are stabilised by disulphide bridges [241,242,262,263]. 1106 1107 Parvalbumins, arginine kinases, and transferrins quite resistant are to trypsin/chymotrypsin activities, but they seem to be easily digested by pepsin, thus 1108 1109 contributing to a significantly reduced IgE-binding capacity [223,316,321,322]. 1110 However, in the case of parvalbumins, the formation of amyloid fibres (polymeric 1111 structures of partially or completely unfolded protein chains) leads to a strong resistance 1112 to proteolytic activity at acidic and neutral conditions. The formation of such amyloid structures greatly facilitates their passage across the intestinal epithelial barrier, 1113 1114 increasing their IgE-binding capacity [134,323].

After pepsin digestion, the allergenicity of tropomyosins is diminished, as assessed by skin prick tests and basophil activation tests, being greatly reduced or eliminated by subsequent intestinal digestion [159,188]. However, pepsin sensitivity does not seem to be a common trait of all tropomyosins, as it has been demonstrated for Pen m 1 and Lit v 1, which are rather resistant to pepsin activity [11,324]. Deglycosylated, glycated, or

crosslinked forms increase the susceptibility for gastrointestinal digestion, contributing 1120 1121 to significantly decrease the allergenicity of tropomyosins [157,188,234,235,325]. Gal d 4 from the glycoside hydroxylase family 22 is resistant to trypsin/chymotrypsin activities, 1122 but it is partially degraded by pepsin at very low pH (<1.5) [139,326]. Bos d 4 is easily 1123 destroyed by pepsin [252,277,306,327,328], thus greatly reducing, or even abolishing, 1124 1125 Bos d 4 IgE-binding capacity. Some IgE-binding and basophil activation capacities are 1126 maintained, being explained by the presence of high proportions of intact Gal d 4 that can cross the epithelial barrier in an activated state [140,161,326]. Additionally, Gal d 4 may 1127 contain some linear epitopes, previously hidden in its conformational structure, which 1128 1129 become accessible after the digestion process, increasing its allergenic potential [161].

Bos d 6 (serum albumin family), Bos d 5 (lipocalin family), Gal d 1 (ovomucoid family) 1130 and Gal d 2 (serpin family) are in part resistant to pepsin activity but susceptible to 1131 1132 trypsin/chymotrypsin digestion [148,151,329,330]. After complete digestion, the IgEbinding capacity of Bos d 6 and Bos d 5 is practically abolished [170,331], while Gal d 1 1133 and Gal d 2 retain some allergenicity, most likely due to the presence of digested peptides 1134 containing linear IgE-binding epitopes [140,149,166,252,332,333]. The thermal 1135 1136 processing of Gal d 1 and Gal d 2 induces small irreversible changes in their secondary 1137 structures, which facilitate their gastrointestinal digestibility, contributing to the reduced IgE-binding and mast cell degranulation capacities [166,252]. Differences in the 1138 immunogenic properties of heat-digested fragments seem to promote shifts from Th2 to 1139 1140 Th1-type responses, leading to a significant reduction in allergenicity [183]. Additionally, thermal processing before gastrointestinal digestion of Gal d 1 and Gal d 2 prevent their 1141 1142 transport across human intestinal epithelial cells in a state capable of inducing basophil or T-cell activation, thus reducing their allergenicity [166]. 1143

The formation of Bos d 5 aggregates during the glycation process enhances the resistance to proteolytic digestion, changing the mechanism of transport across the intestinal epithelium. On one side, Bos d 5 aggregates are more prone to endolysosomal degradation, inducing lower effector response, and reduced basophil activation. On the other side, these aggregates are redirected to Peyer's patches, promoting a significantly higher Th2 response than the native allergen, thus increasing its allergenicity [176,192,246].

1151 Concluding remarks:

Most animal allergens are pepsin-sensitive, while caseins, serum albumins,
lipocalins, and serpins are considered pepsin-resistant.

- Most animal allergens present reduced IgE-binding capacity after complete
   digestion, with some exceptions:
- In caseins, the presence of PTM or formation of aggregates in digested peptides
   preserved/increased immunoreactivity.
- 1158 o In parvalbumins, the formation of amyloid fibres (facilitate crossing epithelium
  1159 barrier) increase their IgE-binding capacity.
- 1160 In transferrins, the partial protective effect of matrix components (facilitate
  1161 crossing epithelium barrier in intact forms) preserve their IgE-binding capacity.
- In lipocalins, the formation of aggregates hampers digestion, changing the
   mechanism of transport across the epithelium barrier, increasing its allergenicity.

1164

### 1165 Lipid interactions

Since food allergens are not likely to be presented to the human immune system in theirnatural state (native molecules), it is important to consider the immunomodulatory effects

1168 of the surrounding components (e.g. lipids) within the protein source (e.g. food matrix)

1169 [334,335]. Although the association between allergens and lipids is not yet clearly 1170 understood, some studies seem to indicate that lipids intervene in the early stages of 1171 allergic sensitisation by interacting with numerous components of the innate immune 1172 system. Additionally, lipids are also known to protect allergens from the enzymatic 1173 activity during digestion and to facilitate allergen passage through the epithelial barrier 1174 [334].

1175 The effect of the interaction of lipids on the allergenicity of proteins was evaluated for some members of specific families, namely tropomyosins, parvalbumins, glycoside 1176 hydrolase family 22, lipocalins, and serpins (Table 2). In general, the presence of lipids 1177 1178 contributes to preserving the IgE-binding capacity of proteins from parvalbumins, glycoside hydrolase family 22, lipocalins, and serpins [140,148,161,326,336]. In most 1179 cases, lipids increase the resistance of proteins towards proteolytic activity during 1180 1181 digestion (often protecting the allergen native structure) [161,326,336] and facilitate their 1182 passage through the intestinal lumen as intact molecules [337,338]. Even when lipids 1183 enhance the proteolysis during digestion, as seems to be the case of Gal d 4 (glycoside hydrolase family 22) and Gal d 2 (serpin family), the IgE-binding capacity of these 1184 1185 allergens remain unaltered [140,148].

1186 Contrarily to the referred proteins, tropomyosins can be oxidised by acrolein and 1187 malondialdehyde (compounds resulting from lipid peroxidation during shrimp 1188 conservation), modifying their digestibility, as well as their IgE-binding properties. Met 1189 e 1 (tropomyosin) oxidation by malondialdehyde can enhance the resistance to pepsin 1190 digestion, while oxidation by acrolein produces structural changes, which in both cases 1191 significantly reduce the IgE-binding capacity of tropomyosins [325,339]. The release of 1192 inflammatory cytokines and mediators from activated RBL-2H3 cells was also strongly influenced by Met e 1 crosslinked with malondialdehyde in a dose-dependent manner,thus confirming a reduction in its allergenicity [158].

1195 Concluding remarks:

Lipids have a protective effect on the allergen stability during digestion for
 parvalbumins, glycoside hydrolase family 22, lipocalins, and serpins, preserving
 their IgE-binding capacity.

Lipid oxidation (by acrolein and malondialdehyde) of tropomyosins during
 conservation, increased their susceptibility to proteolytic digestion and reduced their
 allergenicity.

1202

## 1203 Can Physicochemical Properties Shape Allergenicity?

After evaluating the effect of the selected set of physicochemical parameters on the allergenicity of distinct animal protein families, it has become clear that the importance of each parameter is quite different depending on the protein family or even on the allergen itself (Table 2-4). Independently on the effect that each parameter has on the IgEbinding capacity/allergenic potential of a specific protein (Table 4), they all converge to a common outcome, which concerns protein integrity.

1210 Within the studied animal protein families, PTM during protein synthesis occurs with 1211 high frequency. Glycosylation is the most common PTM, followed by phosphorylation 1212 and acetylation. However, not every glycosylated protein seems to be correlated with increased allergenicity. In fact, among the families of animal proteins, glycosylation is 1213 1214 common (e.g. tropomyosins, arginine kinases, caseins, serpins), but it cannot be considered as an important parameter for allergenicity, since glycosylated proteins are 1215 1216 often described as less IgE-reactive than their deglycosylated counterparts (e.g. 1217 tropomyosins).

Phosphorylation is well correlated with increased IgE-binding capacity of caseins and 1218 1219 serpins, but it is not important or described for other animal protein families. Therefore, 1220 PTM could be involved in allergenicity but it is not necessary to induce an allergic 1221 reaction, meaning that not all allergens have PTM (phosphorylation or glycosylation). Contradicting the generalised concept that allergens have globular and compact 1222 1223 structures, there is a huge number of potent animal allergens (tropomyosins, lipocalins, 1224 ovomucoids, and serpins) presenting a high level of structural organisation (quaternary conformations). The decrease in the IgE-binding capacity of several allergens can be 1225 1226 correlated with the loss of high ordered structures (3D and 4D structures), specifically 1227 because most of the conformational epitopes are destroyed. However, there are several 1228 examples of allergenic proteins that preserve or even increase their IgE-binding capacity upon loss of 2D structures or rupture of disulphide bonds (e.g. tropomyosins, caseins), as 1229 1230 a consequence of unmasking hidden linear epitopes. This means that there is no straightforward correlation between the loss of 2D structures/disruptions of disulphide 1231 1232 bonds and the allergenic potential of different proteins, due to conflicting effects for different animal protein families, or even for the same allergen. 1233

Protein stability towards heat is normally associated with potent allergens since they tend to return to native states upon cooling to lower temperatures. However, like in the case of plant allergens, this physicochemical property also fails to explain potent heat-labile animal allergens (e.g. arginine kinases, lipocalins). Protein stability towards light/radiation is similar to heat stability, normally because radiation often results in the raising of temperature, contributing to increasing the degree of protein unfolding.

1240 Most of the available literature considers pressure-treated proteins as of lower 1241 allergenicity, an interpretation that is based on data from IgE-binding studies. With no 1242 clinical studies available and only a few studies based on mice allergy models, indicating a slight reduction of allergenicity in proteins (tropomyosins, parvalbumins, and serpins)
combining pressure and heat [174,175,190], the impact of pressure in allergenicity might
be overestimated. The formation of aggregates has also a conflicting effect on allergen
IgE-binding capacity, since in their aggregated forms new conformational epitopes may
become accessible (e.g. tropomyosins, parvalbumins, glycoside hydrolase family 22,
arginine kinases and lipocalins).

1249 Protein stability towards chemical and enzymatic processes is well correlated with a significant decrease, or even mitigation, of the IgE-binding capacity of practically all 1250 1251 animal allergens [138,189], mostly due to the extensive fragmentation of protein primary 1252 structure, with subsequent destruction of IgE-binding epitopes. The high resistance of 1253 allergens towards the digestion process is also a generalised concept, but it cannot be interpreted straightforward. In fact, it fails to explain several potent pepsin-sensitive 1254 1255 animal allergens, such are the cases of some members of tropomyosins and parvalbumins. The protective effects of lipids towards allergen digestion are well correlated with the 1256 preservation of the IgE-binding capacity of animal proteins, as demonstrated for 1257 glycoside hydrolase family 22, lipocalins, and serpins. 1258

1259

#### 1260 **Conclusions**

Some families encompass many proteins, but with only one or two acting as potent allergens, while others are comprised of a large number of important allergens, which confirms the existence of unknown factors that render protein to be allergenic. By the end of this analysis, it was possible to conclude that there are still several gaps concerning the impact of different physicochemical parameters on animal allergens. One of those is related to the fact that numerous allergens have not yet been the target of intensive research, which hampers to determine the real effect of different properties on proteinallergenicity.

1269 At this point, there is a great number of techniques (mostly by indirect means) that can 1270 be used to test the influence of those physicochemical properties, but it is also true that most of those are highly dependent on the use of sera from sensitised/allergic patients. 1271 1272 Along with the difficulties of most research groups to have access to sera, it is also crucial 1273 to refer that the quality/composition of sera can be highly variable according to several factors (e.g. geographical origin, age, patients' sex, among others). Data from 1274 1275 interlaboratory analysis (considering that similar allergens would be analysed using 1276 similar conditions) is practically inexistent, but which could help clarify if most of the 1277 contradictory effects observed for specific allergens are real or if they result from cumulative differences in protocols used by distinct research teams. Another aspect that 1278 1279 has not been investigated is the comparison of the behaviour of non-allergens with allergens towards the same physicochemical parameters (only very few exceptions [11]). 1280 1281 Comparing data retrieved from methods simulating sensitisation (IgE-binding capacity) with elicitation (clinical symptoms) phases is not ideal. However, considering the limited 1282 1283 information for different allergens within the same family or across families, this 1284 comparison was performed to provide a more holistic picture of the impact of different physicochemical properties on animal protein allergenicity. 1285

Despite the gaps herein identified, we were able to draw some important conclusions regarding specific physicochemical properties and to demystify some preconceived concepts. Glycosylation is not a universal trait of allergens, as well as heat stability and proteolytic resistance are not always a synonym of increased protein allergenicity. Like in the case of plant allergens, the body of evidence confirms that several physicochemical properties may shape the allergenicity of animal proteins, although at different extents.

Moreover, the level at which each parameter may impact protein allergenicity is not thesame among plant or animal allergens.

1294 Properties affecting protein integrity and composition can be correlated with the 1295 elicitation capacity of certain allergens, but what renders a protein to be allergenic in the first place and which properties might impact sensitisation are still quite unclear. The 1296 1297 integration of all the factors (proprieties) that link large protein families containing 1298 numerous allergenic proteins with protein families with only one or two allergens (data integration by multivariate models), could give a broader picture of how the complete set 1299 1300 of properties impact protein allergenicity (Fig. 2), instead of looking at individual proteins 1301 or events. It would also clarify why a protein behaves as an allergen in some people, while 1302 for others is innocuous, thus possibly paving the way for novel therapeutic concepts.

1303

#### 1304 Acknowledgements

The authors are all part of the COST Action FA1402 entitled ImpARAS - Improving
Allergy Risk Assessment Strategy for New Food Proteins. The authors thank all
ImpARAS members for their active participation in the ImpARAS meetings and lively
discussions.

1309

#### 1310 Funding

The authors highly appreciate the support from the COST Office. This article is based upon work from COST Action FA1402, supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology, <u>www.cost.eu</u>). This work was also supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia under the Partnership Agreement UIDB 50006/2020 and by the projects AlleRiskAssess - PTDC/BAA-AGR/31720/2017. C.V. is grateful to FCT grant (PD/BD/114576/2016) financed by POPH-QREN (subsidised by FSE and MCTES). J.C.

acknowledges FCT for the research contract (SFRH/BPD/102404/2014). T.C.V. is 1317 1318 grateful to the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia through grant number OI172024. P.M.R. and D.S. are grateful to FCT 1319 through project UIDB/04326/2020 and Mar2020 16-02-01-FMP-0014 - "ALLYFISH". 1320 1321 J.K. and A.K. acknowledge PRIDE program grants (PRIDE/11012546/NEXTIMMUNE). J.K. also acknowledges FNR (Fonds National de 1322 1323 la Recherche) and the PMC (Personalised Medicine Consortium).

1324

#### 1325 Authors Contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception, design, data collection, and analysis. All
authors have taken part in the discussions and writing of the article. All authors read and
approved the final manuscript.

1329

### 1330 Supplementary material

1331 Data from the extensive literature review on each protein family and their allergenic 1332 members is fully described/summarised in the supplementary material section, as an excel file. This excel file is divided into 10 pages, each dedicated to a single protein family 1333 (tropomyosins, parvalbumins, arginine kinases, caseins, serum albumins, glycoside 1334 hydrolase family 22, transferrins, lipocalins, ovomucoids, and serpins). All the 1335 abbreviations used in the excel file are presented in this manuscript as an abbreviation 1336 list. Based on the information gathered in this excel file, Tables 2 and 3 were constructed, 1337 thus summarising the data presented in the supplementary material section. 1338

1339

## **1340** Compliance with Ethical Standards

1341 **Declaration of conflicts** 

1342 All authors declare no conflict of interest.

1343

## 1344 **REFERENCES**

- Berin MC, Sampson HA (2013) Food allergy: an enigmatic epidemic. Trends Immunol 34:390-397.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2013.04.003</u>
- 1347
   2. Sampson HA (2016) Food allergy: Past, present and future. Allergol Int 65:363-369.
   1348 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2016.08.006</u>
- 1349 3. Sicherer SH, Sampson HA (2018) Food allergy: A review and update on epidemiology, pathogenesis,
   1350 diagnosis, prevention, and management. J Allergy Clin Immunol 141:41-58.
   1351 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2017.11.003</u>
- 4. Costa J, Bavaro SL, Benedé S, Diaz-Perales A, Bueno-Diaz C, Gelencser E, Klueber J, Larré C, Lozano-Ojalvo D, Lupi R, Mafra I, Mazzucchelli G, Molina E, Monaci L, Martín-Pedraza L, Piras C, Rodrigues PM, Roncada P, Schrama D, Cirkovic-Velickovic T, Verhoeckx K, Villa C, Kuehn A, Hoffmann-Sommergruber
  K, Holzhauser T (2020) Are physicochemical properties shaping the allergenic potency of plant allergens? Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-020-08810-9</u>
- 1357 5. Radauer C, Bublin M, Wagner S, Mari A, Breiteneder H (2008) Allergens are distributed into few protein
   1358 families and possess a restricted number of biochemical functions. J Allergy Clin Immunol 121:847 1359 852.e847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2008.01.025
- 13606. The Database of Allergen Families, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria (2020)1361<a href="http://www.meduniwien.ac.at/allfam/">http://www.meduniwien.ac.at/allfam/</a>. Accessed 6 April 2020
- 1362 7. World Health Organization/International Union of Immunological Societies (WHO/IUIS) Allergen
   1363 Nomenclature Sub-committee (2020) <u>http://www.allergen.org/</u>. Accessed 31 March 2020
- 1364 8. Wang CLA, Coluccio LM (2010) New insights into the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton by tropomyosin. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol 281:91-128. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1937-6448(10)81003-2</u>
- 1366 9. Gimona M (2008) Dimerization of tropomyosins. In: Gunning P (ed) Tropomyosin. Springer New York,
   1367 New York, NY, pp 73-84. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-85766-4\_6</u>
- 1368 10. Reese G, Ayuso R, Lehrer SB (1999) Tropomyosin: an invertebrate pan-allergen. Int Arch Allergy
   1369 Immunol 119:247-258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000024201</u>
- 1370 11. Klueber J, Costa J, Randow S, Codreanu-Morel F, Verhoeckx K, Bindslev-Jensen C, Ollert M, Hoffmann 1371 Sommergruber K, Morisset M, Holzhauser T, Kuehn A (2020) Homologous tropomyosins from
   1372 vertebrate and invertebrate: Recombinant calibrator proteins in functional biological assays for
   1373 tropomyosin allergenicity assessment of novel animal foods. Clin Exp Allergy 50:105-116.
   1374 https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.13503
- 1375 12. Miegel A, Kobayashi T, Maéda Y (1992) Isolation, purification and partial characterization of 1376 tropomyosin and troponin subunits from the lobster tail muscle. J Muscle Res Cell Motil 13:608-618.
   1377 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01738250</u>
- 1378 13. Mills ENC, Johnson PE, Alexeev Y (2012) Food Antigens. In: James JM, Burks W, Eigenmann P (eds)
  1379 Food Allergy. W.B. Saunders, Edinburgh, pp 15-32. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4377-1992-</u>
  1380 <u>5.00002-8</u>
- 1381 14. Liu R, Holck AL, Yang E, Liu C, Xue W (2013) Tropomyosin from tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) as
   1382 an allergen. Clin Exp Allergy 43:365-377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.12056</u>
- 1383 15. González-Fernández J, Alguacil-Guillén M, Cuéllar C, Daschner A (2018) Possible allergenic role of 1384 tropomyosin in patients with adverse reactions after fish intake. Immunol Invest 47:416-429.
   1385 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08820139.2018.1451882</u>
- 1386 16. Ruethers T, Taki AC, Karnaneedi S, Nie S, Kalic T, Dai D, Daduang S, Leeming M, Williamson NA,
  1387 Breiteneder H, Mehr SS, Kamath SD, Campbell DE, Lopata AL (2020) Expanding the allergen repertoire
  1388 of salmon and catfish. Allergy (in press). <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/all.14574</u>
- 1389
   17. Broekman H, Verhoeckx KC, den Hartog Jager CF, Kruizinga AG, Pronk-Kleinjan M, Remington BC,
   Bruijnzeel-Koomen CA, Houben GF, Knulst AC (2016) Majority of shrimp-allergic patients are allergic
   to mealworm. J Allergy Clin Immunol 137:1261-1263. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2016.01.005</u>
- 1392 18. Hauser M, Roulias A, Ferreira F, Egger M (2010) Panallergens and their impact on the allergic patient.
   1393 Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol 6:1-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1710-1492-6-1</u>

- 1394 19. Rahman AMA, Kamath S, Lopata AL, Helleur RJ (2010) Analysis of the allergenic proteins in black tiger
   prawn (*Penaeus monodon*) and characterization of the major allergen tropomyosin using mass
   spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 24:2462-2470. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4664</u>
- 1397 20. Moral L, Toral T (2016) Sensitisation to mites and other animal-derived home aeroallergens in children
   1398 and its concordance as a measure of covariation of sensitisation. Allergol Immunopathol 44:427-432.
   1399 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2016.02.004
- 1400 21. Remington BC, Westerhout J, Meima MY, Blom WM, Kruizinga AG, Wheeler MW, Taylor SL, Houben 1401 GF, Baumert JL (2020) Updated population minimal eliciting dose distributions for use in risk of 14 1402 priority food allergens. Food assessment Chem Toxicol 139:111259. 1403 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111259
- 1404 22. Westerhout J, Baumert JL, Blom WM, Allen KJ, Ballmer-Weber B, Crevel RWR, Dubois AEJ, Fernández1405 Rivas M, Greenhawt MJ, Hourihane JOB, Koplin JJ, Kruizinga AG, Le T-M, Sampson HA, Shreffler WG,
  1406 Turner PJ, Taylor SL, Houben GF, Remington BC (2019) Deriving individual threshold doses from clinical
  1407 food challenge data for population risk assessment of food allergens. J Allergy Clin Immunol 144:12901408 1309. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2019.07.046</u>
- 1409 23. Ballmer-Weber BK, Fernandez-Rivas M, Beyer K, Defernez M, Sperrin M, Mackie AR, Salt LJ, Hourihane
  1410 JOB, Asero R, Belohlavkova S, Kowalski M, de Blay F, Papadopoulos NG, Clausen M, Knulst AC, Roberts
  1411 G, Popov T, Sprikkelman AB, Dubakiene R, Vieths S, van Ree R, Crevel R, Mills ENC (2015) How much is
  1412 too much? Threshold dose distributions for 5 food allergens. J Allergy Clin Immunol 135:964-971.
  1413 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jaci.2014.10.047
- 1414 24. Matricardi PM, Kleine-Tebbe J, Hoffmann HJ, Valenta R, Hilger C, Hofmaier S, Aalberse RC, Agache I, 1415 Asero R, Ballmer-Weber B, Barber D, Beyer K, Biedermann T, Bilò MB, Blank S, Bohle B, Bosshard PP, 1416 Breiteneder H, Brough HA, Caraballo L, Caubet JC, Crameri R, Davies JM, Douladiris N, Ebisawa M, 1417 Elgenmann PA, Fernandez-Rivas M, Ferreira F, Gadermaier G, Glatz M, Hamilton RG, Hawranek T, 1418 Hellings P, Hoffmann-Sommergruber K, Jakob T, Jappe U, Jutel M, Kamath SD, Knol EF, Korosec P, 1419 Kuehn A, Lack G, Lopata AL, Mäkelä M, Morisset M, Niederberger V, Nowak-Węgrzyn AH, 1420 Papadopoulos NG, Pastorello EA, Pauli G, Platts-Mills T, Posa D, Poulsen LK, Raulf M, Sastre J, Scala E, 1421 Schmid JM, Schmid-Grendelmeier P, Hage M, Ree R, Vieths S, Weber R, Wickman M, Muraro A, Ollert 1422 M (2016) EAACI Molecular Allergology User's Guide. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 27:1-250. 1423 https://doi.org/10.1111/pai.12563
- 1424 25. Stephen JN, Sharp MF, Ruethers T, Taki A, Campbell DE, Lopata AL (2017) Allergenicity of bony and cartilaginous fish molecular and immunological properties. Clin Exp Allergy 47:300-312.
   1426 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.12892</u>
- 1427 26. Wopfner N, Dissertori O, Ferreira F, Lackner P (2007) Calcium-binding proteins and their role in allergic
   1428 diseases. Immunol Allerg Clin North Am 27:29-44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2006.10.003</u>
- 1429 27. Griesmeier U, Vázquez-Cortés S, Bublin M, Radauer C, Ma Y, Briza P, Fernández-Rivas M, Breiteneder
   1430 H (2010) Expression levels of parvalbumins determine allergenicity of fish species. Allergy 65:191-198.
   1431 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2009.02162.x</u>
- 143228. Kuehn A, Swoboda I, Arumugam K, Hilger C, Hentges F (2014) Fish allergens at a glance: variable1433allergenicity of parvalbumins, the major fish allergens. Front Immunol 5:179.1434https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00179
- 1435 29. Kuehn A, Scheuermann T, Hilger C, Hentges F (2010) Important variations in parvalbumin content in
   1436 common fish species: A factor possibly contributing to variable allergenicity. Int Arch Allergy Immunol
   1437 153:359-366. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000316346</u>
- 143830. Jenkins JA, Breiteneder H, Mills ENC (2007) Evolutionary distance from human homologs reflects1439allergenicity of animal food proteins. J Allergy Clin Immunol 120:1399-1405.1440https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2007.08.019
- 1441 31. Swain AL, Kretsinger RH, Amma EL (1989) Restrained least squares refinement of native (calcium) and
   1442 cadmium-substituted carp parvalbumin using X-ray crystallographic data at 1.6-A resolution. J Biol
   1443 Chem 264:16620-16628. <u>https://www.jbc.org/content/264/28/16620</u>
- 1444
  32. Taylor SL, Hefle SL, Bindslev-Jensen C, Atkins FM, Andre C, Bruijnzeel-koomen C, Burks AW, Bush RK,
  1445
  1446
  1446
  1447
  1447
  (2004) A consensus protocol for the determination of the threshold doses for allergenic foods: how
  1448
  1448
- 1448 much is too much? Clin Exp Allergy 34:689-695. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2004.1886.x</u>

- 1449 33. Van Do T, Elsayed S, Florvaag E, Hordvik I, Endresen C (2005) Allergy to fish parvalbumins: Studies on the cross-reactivity of allergens from 9 commonly consumed fish. J Allergy Clin Immunol 116:1314-1320. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2005.07.033</u>
- 34. Ruethers T, Raith M, Sharp MF, Koeberl M, Stephen JN, Nugraha R, Le TTK, Quirce S, Nguyen HXM,
  Kamath SD, Mehr SS, Campbell DE, Bridges CR, Taki AC, Swoboda I, Lopata AL (2018) Characterization
  of Ras k 1 a novel major allergen in Indian mackerel and identification of parvalbumin as the major fish
  allergen in 33 Asia-Pacific fish species. Clin Exp Allergy 48:452-463. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.13069">https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.13069</a>
- 1456
  35. Bugajska-Schretter A, Grote M, Vangelista L, Valent P, Sperr WR, Rumpold H, Pastore A, Reichelt R,
  1457
  Valenta R, Spitzauer S (2000) Purification, biochemical, and immunological characterisation of a major
  1458
  food allergen: different immunoglobulin E recognition of the apo- and calcium-bound forms of carp
  1459
  parvalbumin. Gut 46:661-669. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.46.5.661
- 36. Kalic T, Morel-Codreanu F, Radauer C, Ruethers T, Taki AC, Swoboda I, Hilger C, HoffmannSommergruber K, Ollert M, Hafner C, Lopata AL, Morisset M, Breiteneder H, Kuehn A (2019) Patients
  allergic to fish tolerate ray based on the low allergenicity of its parvalbumin. J Allergy Clin Immunol
  7:500-508.e511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2018.11.011
- 1464 37. Kuehn A, Codreanu-Morel F, Lehners-Weber C, Doyen V, Gomez-André SA, Bienvenu F, Fischer J,
  1465 Ballardini N, Hage M, Perotin JM, Silcret-Grieu S, Chabane H, Hentges F, Ollert M, Hilger C, Morisset M
  1466 (2016) Cross-reactivity to fish and chicken meat a new clinical syndrome. Allergy 71:1772-1781.
  1467 https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12968
- 1468 38. Ballardini N, Nopp A, Hamsten C, Vetander M, Melén E, Nilsson C, Ollert M, Flohr C, Kuehn A, van Hage
   1469 M (2017) Anaphylactic reactions to novel foods: Case report of a child with severe crocodile meat
   1470 allergy. Pediatrics 139:e20161404. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-1404
- 1471 39. Hilger C, Grigioni F, Thill L, Mertens L, Hentges F (2002) Severe IgE-mediated anaphylaxis following
   1472 consumption of fried frog legs: definition of α-parvalbumin as the allergen in cause. Allergy 57:1053 1473 1058. <u>https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1398-9995.2002.23677.x</u>
- 1474 40. Kuehn A, Lehners C, Hilger C, Hentges F (2009) Food allergy to chicken meat with IgE reactivity to muscle α-parvalbumin. Allergy 64:1557-1558. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2009.02094.x</u>
- 147641. Aas K, Elsayed SM (1969) Characterization of a major allergen (cod). Effect of enzymic hydrolysis on<br/>the allergenic activity. J Allergy 44:333-343. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8707(69)90025-2">https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8707(69)90025-2</a>
- 42. Aas K, Lundkvist U (1973) The radioallergosorbent test with a purified allergen from codfish. Clin Exp
   Allergy 3:255-261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.1973.tb01331.x</u>
- 1480
   43. Elsayed SM, Aas K (1970) Characterization of a major allergen (Cod). Chemical composition and immunological properties. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 38:536-548. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000230307</u>
- 44. Kuehn A, Hutt-Kempf E, Hilger C, Hentges F (2011) Clinical monosensitivity to salmonid fish linked to
  specific IgE-epitopes on salmon and trout beta-parvalbumins. Allergy 66:299-301.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2010.02463.x
- 1485 45. Das Dores S, Chopin C, Villaume C, Fleurence J, Guéant JL (2002) A new oligomeric parvalbumin allergen of Atlantic cod (Gad ml) encoded by a gene distinct from that of Gad cl. Allergy 57:79-83.
  1487 <u>https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1398-9995.57.s72.1.x</u>
- 46. Rosmilah M, Shahnaz M, Masita A, Noormalin A, Jamaludin M (2005) Identification of major allergens
  of two species of local snappers: *Lutjanus argentimaculatus* (merah/red snapper) and *Lutjanus johnii*(jenahak/golden snapper). Trop Biomed 22:171-177.
- 1491 47. Untersmayr E, Jensen-Jarolim E (2008) The role of protein digestibility and antacids on food allergy outcomes. J Allergy Clin Immunol 121:1301-1308. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2008.04.025</u>
- 1493 48. Carral CP, Martín-Lázaro J, Ledesma A, de la Torre F (2010) Occupational asthma caused by turbot
   1494 allergy in 3 fish-farm workers. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 20:349-351.
   1495 <u>http://www.jiaci.org/issues/vol20issue4/vol20issue04-11.htm</u>
- 1496 49. Jeebhay MF, Cartier A (2010) Seafood workers and respiratory disease: an update. Curr Opin Allergy
   1497 Clin Immunol 10:104-113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/ACI.0b013e3283373bd0</u>
- 1498 50. Strong SJ, Ellington WR (1995) Isolation and sequence analysis of the gene for arginine kinase from the chelicerate arthropod, Limulus polyphemus: insights into catalytically important residues. Biochim
   1500 Biophys Acta Protein Struct Molec Enzym 1246:197-200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-</u>
   1501 <u>4838(94)00218-6</u>
- 1502 51. Azzi A, Clark SA, Ellington WR, Chapman MS (2004) The role of phosphagen specificity loops in arginine
   1503 kinase. Protein Sci 13:575-585. <u>https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.03428304</u>

- 1504 52. Yang Y, Liu G-Y, Yang H, Hu M-J, Cao M-J, Su W-J, Jin T, Liu G-M (2019) Crystal structure determination
   1505 of *Scylla paramamosain* arginine kinase, an allergen that may cause cross-reactivity among
   1506 invertebrates. Food Chem 271:597-605. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.003</u>
- 1507 53. Ayuso R, Sánchez-Garcia S, Lin J, Fu Z, Ibáñez MD, Carrillo T, Blanco C, Goldis M, Bardina L, Sastre J,
  1508 Sampson HA (2010) Greater epitope recognition of shrimp allergens by children than by adults
  1509 suggests that shrimp sensitization decreases with age. J Allergy Clin Immunol 125:1286-1293.e1283.
  1510 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2010.03.010
- 1511 54. Bauermeister K, Wangorsch A, Garoffo LP, Reuter A, Conti A, Taylor SL, Lidholm J, DeWitt ÅM, Enrique
  1512 E, Vieths S, Holzhauser T, Ballmer-Weber B, Reese G (2011) Generation of a comprehensive panel of
  1513 crustacean allergens from the North Sea shrimp *Crangon crangon*. Mol Immunol 48:1983-1992.
  1514 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2011.06.216</u>
- 1515 55. Yu C-J, Lin Y-F, Chiang B-L, Chow L-P (2003) Proteomics and immunological analysis of a novel shrimp
   allergen, Pen m 2. J Immunol 170:445-453. <u>https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.170.1.445</u>
- 1517 56. Sookrung N, Chaicumpa W, Tungtrongchitr A, Vichyanond P, Bunnag C, Ramasoota P, Tongtawe P,
   1518 Sakolvaree Y, Tapchaisri P (2006) *Periplaneta americana* arginine kinase as a major cockroach allergen
   1519 among Thai patients with major cockroach allergies. Environ Health Perspect 114:875-880.
   1520 <u>https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8650</u>
- 1521 57. Hales BJ, Martin AC, Pearce LJ, Laing IA, Hayden CM, Goldblatt J, Le Souëf PN, Thomas WR (2006) IgE
   and IgG anti-house dust mite specificities in allergic disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol 118:361-367.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2006.04.001
- 152458. Giuffrida MG, Villalta D, Mistrello G, Amato S, Asero R (2014) Shrimp allergy beyond Tropomyosin in1525Italy: clinical relevance of Arginine Kinase, Sarcoplasmic calcium binding protein and Hemocyanin. Eur1526AnnAllergyClinImmunol46:172-177.1527https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8bbe/3555bcd4198868baf4552459c98593fdc368.pdf
- 1528 59. Monaci L, Tregoat V, van Hengel AJ, Anklam E (2006) Milk allergens, their characteristics and their
  1529 detection in food: A review. Eur Food Res Technol 223:149-179. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-005-</u>
  1530 0178-8
- 1531 60. Restani P, Ballabio C, Di Lorenzo C, Tripodi S, Fiocchi A (2009) Molecular aspects of milk allergens and
   1532 their role in clinical events. Anal Bioanal Chem 395:47-56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-009-2909-</u>
   1533 <u>3</u>
- 1534
   61. Fox P (2001) Milk proteins as food ingredients. Int J Dairy Technol 54:41-55.

   1535
   https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-0307.2001.00014.x
- 1536 62. Barłowska J, Szwajkowska M, Litwińczuk Z, Król J (2011) Nutritional value and technological suitability
   1537 of milk from various animal species used for dairy production. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf 10:291 1538 302. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4337.2011.00163.x</u>
- 1539 63. Sood SM, Herbert PJ, Slatter CW (1997) Structural studies on casein micelles of human milk:
  1540 dissociation of β-casein of different phosphorylation levels induced by cooling and
  1541 ethylenediaminetetraacetate. J Dairy Sci 80:628-633. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-</u>
  1542 0302(97)75980-0
- 154364. Zicarelli L (2004) Buffalo milk: its properties, dairy yield and mozzarella production. Vet Res Commun154428 127-135. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1023/B:VERC.0000045390.81982.4d">https://doi.org/10.1023/B:VERC.0000045390.81982.4d</a>
- 154565. Hinz K, O'Connor PM, Huppertz T, Ross RP, Kelly AL (2012) Comparison of the principal proteins in1546bovine, caprine, buffalo, equine and camel milk. J Dairy Res 79:185-191.1547https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029912000015
- 1548 66. Ehlayel MS, Hazeima KA, Al-Mesaifri F, Bener A (2011) Camel milk: an alternative for cow's milk allergy
   1549 in children. Allergy Asthma Proc 32:255-258. <u>https://doi.org/10.2500/aap.2011.32.3429</u>
- 1550 67. Restani P, Gaiaschi A, Plebani A, Beretta B, Cavagni G, Fiocchi A, Poiesi C, Velonà T, Ugazio AG, Galli CL
   1551 (1999) Cross-reactivity between milk proteins from different animal species. Clin Exp Allergy 29:997 1552 1004. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2222.1999.00563.x</u>
- 1553 68. Bernard H (1999) IgE cross-reactivity with caseins from different species in humans allergic to cow's
   1554 milk. Food Agric Immunol 11:101-111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09540109999960</u>
- 155569. Chruszcz M, Mikolajczak K, Mank N, Majorek KA, Porebski PJ, Minor W (2013) Serum albumins -<br/>unusual allergens. Biochim Biophys Acta 1830:5375-5381.1557https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.06.016
- 1558 70. Majorek KA, Porebski PJ, Dayal A, Zimmerman MD, Jablonska K, Stewart AJ, Chruszcz M, Minor W
  (2012) Structural and immunologic characterization of bovine, horse, and rabbit serum albumins. Mol
  1560 Immunol 52:174-182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2012.05.011

- 1561 71. Choi G-S, Kim J-H, Lee H-N, Sung J-M, Lee J-W, Park H-S (2009) Occupational asthma caused by
   inhalation of bovine serum albumin powder. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 1:45-47.
   1563 <u>https://doi.org/10.4168/aair.2009.1.1.45</u>
- 156472. Voltolini S, Spigno F, Cioè A, Cagnati P, Bignardi D, Minale P (2013) Bovine serum albumin: A double1565allergy risk. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol 45:144-147.1566<u>http://www.eurannallergyimm.com/cont/journals-articles/84/volume-bovine-serum-albumin-</u>1567double-allergy.asp
- 1568 73. Liccardi G, Asero R, D'Amato M, D'Amato G (2011) Role of sensitization to mammalian serum albumin
   1569 in allergic disease. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 11:421-426. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-011-0214-7</u>
- 1570 74. Martelli A, De Chiara A, Corvo M, Restani P, Fiocchi A (2002) Beef allergy in children with cow's milk
   1571 allergy; cow's milk allergy in children with beef allergy. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 89:38-43.
   1572 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1081-1206(10)62121-7</u>
- 1573 75. Posthumus J, James HR, Lane CJ, Matos LA, Platts-Mills TAE, Commins SP (2013) Initial description of
   1574 pork-cat syndrome in the United States. J Allergy Clin Immunol 131:923-925.
   1575 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.12.665</u>
- 1576 76. Hilger C, Kohnen M, Grigioni F, Lehners C, Hentges F (1997) Allergic cross-reactions between cat and pig serum albumin. Allergy 52:179-187. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.1997.tb00972.x</u>
- 1578 77. Quirce S, Marañón F, Umpiérrez A, De Las Heras M, Fernández-Caldas E, Sastre J (2001) Chicken serum
   albumin (Gal d 5\*) is a partially heat-labile inhalant and food allergen implicated in the bird-egg
   syndrome. Allergy 56:754-762. <u>https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1398-9995.2001.056008754.x</u>
- 1581 78. Henrissat B, Callebaut I, Fabrega S, Lehn P, Mornon JP, Davies G (1995) Conserved catalytic machinery
   and the prediction of a common fold for several families of glycosyl hydrolases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
   92:7090-7094. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.15.7090</u>
- 1584 79. Mine Y, Rupa P (2004) Immunological and biochemical properties of egg allergens. Worlds Poult Sci J
   1585 60:321-330. <u>https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS200420</u>
- 1586 80. Lesnierowski G, Kijowski J (2007) Lysozyme. In: Huopalahti R, López-Fandiño R, Anton M, Schade R
  1587 (eds) Bioactive Egg Compounds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 33-42.
  1588 doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-37885-3\_6</u>
- 1589 81. Blake CCF, Koenig DF, Mair GA, North ACT, Phillips DC, Sarma VR (1965) Structure of Hen egg-white
   1590 lysozyme: A three-dimensional fourier synthesis at 2 Å resolution. Nature 206:757-761.
   1591 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/206757a0</u>
- 1592 82. Young ACM, Tilton RF, Dewan JC (1994) Thermal expansion of hen egg-white lysozyme: Comparison
  1593 of the 1.9 Å resolution structures of the tetragonal form of the enzyme at 100 K and 298 K. J Mol Biol
  1594 235:302-317. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80034-8</u>
- 1595 83. Geng F, Wang J, Liu D, Jin Y, Ma M (2017) Identification of N-glycosites in chicken egg white proteins
   using an Omics strategy. J Agric Food Chem 65:5357-5364. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01706</u>
- 1597 84. Asperger A, Marx K, Albers C, Molin L, Pinato O (2015) Low abundant N-linked glycosylation in hen egg
   1598 white lysozyme is localized at nonconsensus sites. J Proteome Res 14:2633-2641.
   1599 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00175</u>
- 1600 85. Escudero C, Quirce S, Fernández-Nieto M, de Miguel J, Cuesta J, Sastre J (2003) Egg white proteins as
   1601 inhalant allergens associated with baker's asthma. Allergy 58:616-620.
   1602 <u>https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1398-9995.2003.00201.x</u>
- 1603 86. Pérez-Calderón R, Gonzalo-Garijo M, Lamilla-Yerga A, Mangas-Santos R, Moreno-Gastón I (2007)
   1604 Recurrent angioedema due to lysozyme allergy J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 17:264-266.
   1605 <u>http://www.jiaci.org/summary/vol17-issue4-num241</u>
- 1606 87. Stuart DI, Acharya KR, Walker NPC, Smith SG, Lewis M, Phillips DC (1986) α-Lactalbumin possesses a novel calcium binding loop. Nature 324:84-87. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/324084a0</u>
- 1608 88. Permyakov EA, Berliner LJ (2000) α-Lactalbumin: structure and function. FEBS Lett 473:269-274.
   1609 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(00)01546-5</u>
- 1610 89. Hochwallner H, Schulmeister U, Swoboda I, Spitzauer S, Valenta R (2014) Cow's milk allergy: from
   1611 allergens to new forms of diagnosis, therapy and prevention. Methods 66:22-33.
   1612 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2013.08.005</u>
- 1613 90. Hochwallner H, Schulmeister U, Swoboda I, Focke-Tejkl M, Civaj V, Balic N, Nystrand M, Härlin A,
   1614 Thalhamer J, Scheiblhofer S (2010) Visualization of clustered IgE epitopes on α-lactalbumin. J Allergy
   1615 Clin Immunol 125:1279-1285. e1279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2010.03.007
- 1616 91. Shoormasti RS, Fazlollahi M, Barzegar S, Teymourpour P, Yazdanyar Z, Lebaschi Z, Nourizadeh M,
   1617 Tazesh B, Movahedi M, Kashani H, Pourpak Z, Moin M (2016) The most common cow's milk allergenic

- 1618proteins with respect to allergic symptoms in Iranian patients. Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol 15:161-1619165. <a href="https://ijaai.tums.ac.ir/index.php/ijaai/article/view/686">https://ijaai.tums.ac.ir/index.php/ijaai/article/view/686</a>
- 1620 92. Aisen P, Listowsky I (1980) Iron transport and storage proteins. Ann Rev Biochem 49:357-393.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.49.070180.002041</u>
- 1622 93. Lambert LA, Perri H, Halbrooks PJ, Mason AB (2005) Evolution of the transferrin family: Conservation
   1623 of residues associated with iron and anion binding. Comp Biochem Physiol B-Biochem Mol Biol
   1624 142:129-141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2005.07.007</u>
- 1625 94. Kurokawa H, Mikami B, Hirose M (1995) Crystal structure of diferric hen ovotransferrin at 2.4 Å
   1626 resolution. J Mol Biol 254:196-207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1995.0611</u>
- 1627 95. Ibrahim HR (2000) Ovotransferrin. In: Naidu AS (ed) Natural food antimicrobial systems. CRC Press,
   1628 Boca Raton, FL, pp 211-226
- 96. Williams J, Elleman TC, Kingston IB, Wilkins AG, Kuhn KA (1982) The primary structure of hen ovotransferrin. Eur J Biochem 122:297-303. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1982.tb05880.x</u>
- 1631 97. Kim J, Lee J, Park M-R, Han Y, Shin M, Ahn K (2014) Special consideration is required for the
   1632 component-resolved diagnosis of egg allergy in infants. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 112:53-57.
   1633 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2013.09.010
- 1634
   98. Baker EN, Baker HM (2005) Lactoferrin. Cell Mol Life Sci 62:2531. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-005-5368-9">https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-005-5368-9</a>
- 1636 99. Pakdaman R, Petitjean M, El Hage Chahine J-M (1998) Transferrins. Eur J Biochem 254:144-153.
   1637 <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1327.1998.2540144.x</u>
- 1638100. Gaudin J-C, Rabesona H, Choiset Y, Yeretssian G, Chobert J-M, Sakanyan V, Drouet M, Haertlé T (2008)1639Assessment of the immunoglobulin E-mediated immune response to milk-specific proteins in allergic1640patients using microarrays. Clin Exp Allergy 38:686-693. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.02952.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</a>16412222.2008.02952.x
- 1642 101. Ganfornina MD, Sanchez D, Greene LH, Flower DR (2006) The lipocalin protein family: protein 1643 sequence, structure and relationship to the calycin superfamily. In: Åkerstrom B, Borregaard N, Flower 1644 (eds) Lipocalins. Landes Bioscience, D. Salier JP Georgetown, рр 17-27. 1645 doi:https://doi.org/10.1201/9781498712736
- 1646 102. Grzyb J, Latowski D, Strzałka K (2006) Lipocalins a family portrait. J Plant Physiol 163:895-915.
   1647 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2005.12.007</u>
- 1648103. Virtanen T, Kinnunen T, Rytkönen-Nissinen M (2012) Mammalian lipocalin allergens insights into1649their enigmatic allergenicity. Clin Exp Allergy 42:494-504. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2011.03903.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</a>16502222.2011.03903.x
- 1651 104. Rouvinen J, Virtanen T, Mäntyjärvi R (2001) Search for the determinants of allergenicity in proteins
   1652 of the lipocalin family. J Chromatogr B: Biomed Sci Appl 756:199-206. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-</u>
   1653 <u>4347(01)00109-8</u>
- 1654 105. Hilger C, Kuehn A, Hentges F (2012) Animal lipocalin allergens. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 12:438-447.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-012-0283-2</u>
- 1656 106. Weng Y-C, Wang G, Messing RO, Chou W-H (2015) Identification of lipocalin-2 as a PKCδ
   1657 phosphorylation substrate in neutrophils. J Biomed Sci 22:21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-015-</u>
   1658 0129-z
- 1659 107. Hilger C, Swiontek K, Arumugam K, Lehners C, Hentges F (2012) Identification of a new major dog
   allergen highly cross-reactive with Fel d 4 in a population of cat- and dog-sensitized patients. J Allergy
   1661 Clin Immunol 129:1149-1151.e1142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2011.10.017</u>
- 1662 108. Nilsson OB, Binnmyr J, Zoltowska A, Saarne T, van Hage M, Grönlund H (2012) Characterization of
   1663 the dog lipocalin allergen Can f 6: the role in cross-reactivity with cat and horse. Allergy 67:751-757.
   1664 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2012.02826.x</u>
- 1665109. Flower DR, North ACT, Sansom CE (2000) The lipocalin protein family: structural and sequence1666overview. Biochim Biophys Acta-Protein Struct Molec Enzym 1482:9-24.1667https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4838(00)00148-5
- 1668 110. Lakshmi B, Mishra M, Srinivasan N, Archunan G (2015) Structure-based phylogenetic analysis of the
   Lipocalin superfamily. PLOS ONE 10:e0135507. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135507</u>
- 1670
   111. Virtanen T (2001) Lipocalin allergens. Allergy 56:48-51. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1398-9995.2001.00915.x">https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1398-9995.2001.00915.x</a>
- 1672112. Jensen-Jarolim E, Pacios LF, R. Bianchini, Hofstetter G, Roth-Walter F (2016) Structural similarities of1673human and mammalian lipocalins, and their function in innate immunity and allergy. Allergy 71:286-
- 1674 294. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12797</u>

- 1675 113. Bello M, Fragoso-Vázquez MJ, Correa Basurto J (2016) Energetic and conformational features linked
   1676 to the monomeric and dimeric states of bovine BLG. Int J Biol Macromol 92:625-636.
   1677 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.07.071
- 1678 114. Järvinen K-M, Chatchatee P, Bardina L, Beyer K, Sampson HA (2001) IgE and IgG binding epitopes on α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin in cow's milk allergy. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 126:111-118.
   1680 https://doi.org/10.1159/000049501
- 1681 115. Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ, Thomas PD, Huang X, Bateman A, Finn RD (2018) The MEROPS database of
   proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors in 2017 and a comparison with peptidases in the
   PANTHER database. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D624-D632. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1134</u>
- 1684 116. Laskowski M, Kato I (1980) Protein inhibitors of proteinases. Ann Rev Biochem 49:593-626.
   https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.49.070180.003113
- 1686 117. Rawlings ND, Tolle DP, Barrett AJ (2004) Evolutionary families of peptidase inhibitors. Biochem J
   378:705-716. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20031825</u>
- 1688 118. Kato I, Schrode J, Kohr WJ, Laskowski M (1987) Chicken ovomucoid: determination of its amino acid
   sequence, determination of the trypsin reactive site, and preparation of all three of its domains.
   Biochemistry 26:193-201. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00375a027
- 1691 119. Rupa P, Nakamura S, Mine Y (2007) Genetically glycosylated ovomucoid third domain can modulate
   immunoglobulin E antibody production and cytokine response in BALB/c mice. Clin Exp Allergy 37:918 928. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2007.02720.x</u>
- 1694 120. Matsuda T, Nakamura R, Nakashima I, Hasegawa Y, Shimokata K (1985) Human IgE antibody to the
   1695 carbohydrate-containing third domain of chicken ovomucoid. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 129:505 1696 510. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(85)90180-9</u>
- 1697 121. Caubet J-C, Wang J (2011) Current understanding of egg allergy. Pediatr Clin North Am 58:427-443.
   1698 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2011.02.014</u>
- 1699 122. Tan JW-L, Campbell DE, Turner PJ, Kakakios A, Wong M, Mehr S, Joshi P (2013) Baked egg food
   1700 challenges clinical utility of skin test to baked egg and ovomucoid in children with egg allergy. Clin
   1701 Exp Allergy 43:1189-1195. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.12153</u>
- 1702 123. Irving JA, Pike RN, Lesk AM, Whisstock JC (2000) Phylogeny of the serpin superfamily: Implications of 1703 patterns of amino acid conservation for structure and function. Genome Res 10:1845-1864.
   1704 <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.147800</u>
- 1705 124. Law RH, Zhang Q, McGowan S, Buckle AM, Silverman GA, Wong W, Rosado CJ, Langendorf CG, Pike
   1706 RN, Bird PI, Whisstock JC (2006) An overview of the serpin superfamily. Genome Biol 7:216.
   1707 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2006-7-5-216</u>
- 1708 125. Nisbet AD, Saundry RH, Moir AJG, Fothergill LA, Fothergill JE (1981) The complete amino-acid
   1709 sequence of hen ovalbumin. Eur J Biochem 115:335-345. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-</u>
   1710 1033.1981.tb05243.x
- 1711 126. An HJ, Peavy TR, Hedrick JL, Lebrilla CB (2003) Determination of N-glycosylation sites and site
   1712 heterogeneity in glycoproteins. Anal Chem 75:5628-5637. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac034414x</u>
- 1713 127. Stein PE, Leslie AGW, Finch JT, Carrell RW (1991) Crystal structure of uncleaved ovalbumin at 1.95 Å
   1714 resolution. J Mol Biol 221:941-959. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(91)80185-W</u>
- 1715 128. Lin Y-T, Wu C-T, Huang J-L, Cheng J-H, Yeh K-W (2016) Correlation of ovalbumin of egg white
   1716 components with allergic diseases in children. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 49:112-118.
   1717 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2014.01.002</u>
- 1718 129. Pelz BJ, Bryce PJ (2015) Pathophysiology of food allergy. Pediatr Clin N Am 62:1363-1375.
   1719 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2015.07.004</u>
- 1720 130. Remington B, Broekman HCH, Blom WM, Capt A, Crevel RWR, Dimitrov I, Faeste CK, Fernandez 1721 Canton R, Giavi S, Houben GF, Glenn KC, Madsen CB, Kruizinga AK, Constable A (2018) Approaches to
   1722 assess IgE mediated allergy risks (sensitization and cross-reactivity) from new or modified dietary
   1723 proteins. Food Chem Toxicol 112:97-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2017.12.025
- 1724 131. Yuan F, Lv L, Li Z, Mi N, Chen H, Lin H (2017) Effect of transglutaminase-catalyzed glycosylation on
   1725 the allergenicity and conformational structure of shrimp (*Metapenaeus ensis*) tropomyosin. Food
   1726 Chem 219:215-222. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.09.139</u>
- 1727 132. Ayuso R, Lehrer SB, Reese G (2002) Identification of continuous, allergenic regions of the major
   1728 shrimp allergen Pen a 1 (tropomyosin). Int Arch Allergy Immunol 127:27-37.
   1729 <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000048166</u>

- 1730 133. Carnés J, Ferrer Á, Huertas ÁJ, Andreu C, Larramendi CH, Fernández-Caldas E (2007) The use of raw
  1731 or boiled crustacean extracts for the diagnosis of seafood allergic individuals. Ann Allergy Asthma
  1732 Immunol 98:349-354. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1081-1206(10)60881-2</u>
- 1733 134. Sánchez R, Martínez J, Castro A, Pedrosa M, Quirce S, Rodríguez-Pérez R, Gasset M (2016) The
  1734 amyloid fold of Gad m 1 epitopes governs IgE binding. Sci Rep 6:32801.
  1735 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32801
- 1736 135. Swoboda I, Bugajska-Schretter A, Verdino P, Keller W, Sperr WR, Valent P, Valenta R, Spitzauer S
  1737 (2002) Recombinant carp parvalbumin, the major cross-reactive fish allergen: a tool for diagnosis and
  1738 therapy of fish allergy. J Immunol 168:4576-4584. <u>https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.168.9.4576</u>
- 1739 136. Shen H-W, Cao M-J, Cai Q-F, Ruan M-M, Mao H-Y, Su W-J, Liu G-M (2012) Purification, cloning, and
   immunological characterization of arginine kinase, a novel allergen of *Octopus fangsiao*. J Agric Food
   1741 Chem 60:2190-2199. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf203779w</u>
- 1742 137. Benedé S, López-Expósito I, Giménez G, Grishina G, Bardina L, Sampson HA, Molina E, López-Fandiño
   1743 R (2014) In vitro digestibility of bovine β-casein with simulated and human oral and gastrointestinal
   1744 fluids. Identification and IgE-reactivity of the resultant peptides. Food Chem 143:514-521.
   1745 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.07.110
- 1746 138. Stanic D, Monogioudi E, Dilek E, Radosavljevic J, Atanaskovic-Markovic M, Vuckovic O, Raija L,
  1747 Mattinen M, Buchert J, Cirkovic Velickovic T (2010) Digestibility and allergenicity assessment of
  1748 enzymatically crosslinked beta-casein. Mol Nutr Food Res 54:1273-1284.
  1749 https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200900184
- 1750 139. Ouahidi I, El Hamsas AEY, Aarab L (2011) Modulation of egg white protein allergenicity under physical
   1751 and chemical treatments. Food Agric Immunol 22:57-68.
   1752 https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2010.526202
- 140. Martos G, López-Fandiño R, Molina E (2013) Immunoreactivity of hen egg allergens: Influence on in
   vitro gastrointestinal digestion of the presence of other egg white proteins and of egg yolk. Food Chem
   136:775-781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.07.106</u>
- 141. Shin M, Han Y, Ahn K (2013) The influence of the time and temperature of heat treatment on the
   allergenicity of egg white proteins. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 5:96-101.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.4168/aair.2013.5.2.96</u>
- 1759 142. Mine Y, Zhang JW (2002) Comparative studies on antigenicity and allergenicity of native and denatured egg white proteins. J Agric Food Chem 50:2679-2683. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0112264</u>
- 143. Chen Y, Tu Z, Wang H, Zhang L, Sha X, Pang J, Yang P, Liu G, Yang W (2016) Glycation of β-lactoglobulin
   under dynamic high pressure microfluidization treatment: Effects on IgE-binding capacity and
   conformation. Food Res Int 89:882-888. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.10.020</u>
- 1764 144. Meng X, Bai Y, Gao J, Li X, Chen H (2017) Effects of high hydrostatic pressure on the structure and
   potential allergenicity of the major allergen bovine β-lactoglobulin. Food Chem 219:290-296.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.09.153
- 1767 145. Shin M, Lee J, Ahn K, Lee SI, Han Y (2013) The influence of the presence of wheat flour on the antigenic
   1768 activities of egg white proteins. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 5:42-47.
   1769 <u>https://doi.org/10.4168/aair.2013.5.1.42</u>
- 146. Lee J-O, Sung D, Park SH, Lee J, Kim J, Shon D-H, Ahn K, Han Y (2017) Effect of acid treatment on
   allergenicity of peanut and egg. J Sci Food Agric 97:2116-2121. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8017</u>
- 147. Lee J-W, Seo J-H, Kim J-H, Lee S-Y, Byun M-W (2007) Comparison of the changes of the antigenicities
   of a hen's egg albumin by a gamma and an electron beam irradiation. Rad Phys Chem 76:879-885.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2006.06.010
- 148. Martos G, Contreras P, Molina E, López-Fandiño R (2010) Egg white ovalbumin digestion mimicking
   physiological conditions. J Agric Food Chem 58:5640-5648. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf904538w</u>
- 149. Benedé S, López-Expósito I, López-Fandiño R, Molina E (2014) Identification of IgE-binding peptides
   in hen egg ovalbumin digested in vitro with human and simulated gastroduodenal fluids. J Agric Food
   Chem 62:152-158. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf404226w</u>
- 1780 150. Jiménez-Saiz R, López-Expósito I, Molina E, López-Fandiño R (2013) IgE-binding and in vitro
   1781 gastrointestinal digestibility of egg allergens in the presence of polysaccharides. Food Hydrocolloids
   1782 30:597-605. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.07.014</u>
- 1783 151. Wróblewska B, Kaliszewska A (2012) Cow's milk proteins immunoreactivity and allergenicity in processed food. Czech J Food Sci 30:211-219. <u>https://doi.org/10.17221/525/2010-CJFS</u>
- 1785 152. Mazzucchelli G, Holzhauser T, Velickovic TC, Diaz-Perales A, Molina E, Roncada P, Rodrigues P,
   1786 Verhoeckx K, Hoffmann-Sommergruber K (2018) Current (food) allergenic risk assessment: Is it fit for

- 1787 novel foods? Status quo and identification of gaps. Mol Nutri Food Res 62:1700278.
   1788 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201700278</u>
- 1789 153. Broekman HCH, Eiwegger T, Upton J, Bøgh KL (2015) IgE the main player of food allergy. Drug Discov
   1790 Today Dis Models 17-18:37-44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ddmod.2016.07.001</u>
- 1791 154. Hemmings O, Kwok M, McKendry R, Santos AF (2018) Basophil activation test: Old and new applications in allergy. CurrAllergy Asthma Rep 18:77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-018-0831-5</u>
- 1793 155. Santos AF, Brough HA (2017) Making the most of in vitro tests to diagnose food allergy. J Allergy Clin
   1794 Immunol Pract 5:237-248. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2016.12.003</u>
- 1795 156. Falcone FH, Alcocer MJC, Okamoto-Uchida Y, Nakamura R (2015) Use of humanized rat basophilic
   1796 leukemia reporter cell lines as a diagnostic tool for detection of allergen-specific IgE in allergic patients:
   1797 time for a reappraisal? Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 15:67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-015-0568-3</u>
- 1798 157. Zhang Z, Xiao H, Zhang X, Zhou P (2019) Conformation, allergenicity and human cell allergy
   1799 sensitization of tropomyosin from *Exopalaemon modestus*: Effects of deglycosylation and Maillard
   1800 reaction. Food Chem 276:520-527. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.10.032</u>
- 1801 158. Song Y, Li Z, Gao Q, Pavase TR, Lin H (2016) Effect of malonaldehyde cross-linking on the ability of
   shrimp tropomyosin to elicit the release of inflammatory mediators and cytokines from activated RBL 2H3 cells. J Sci Food Agric 96:4263-4267. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7637</u>
- 1804 159. Gámez C, Zafra MP, Sanz V, Mazzeo C, Ibáñez MD, Sastre J, del Pozo V (2015) Simulated
   1805 gastrointestinal digestion reduces the allergic reactivity of shrimp extract proteins and tropomyosin.
   1806 Food Chem 173:475-481. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.10.063</u>
- 1807 160. Kamath SD, Rahman AMA, Voskamp A, Komoda T, Rolland JM, O'Hehir RE, Lopata AL (2014) Effect of 1808 heat processing on antibody reactivity to allergen variants and fragments of black tiger prawn: A
   1809 comprehensive allergenomic approach. Mol Nutr Food Res 58:1144-1155.
   1810 https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201300584
- 1811 161. Jiménez-Saiz R, Benedé S, Miralles B, López-Expósito I, Molina E, López-Fandiño R (2014)
   1812 Immunological behavior of *in vitro* digested egg-white lysozyme. Mol Nutr Food Res 58:614-624.
   1813 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201300442</u>
- 1814 162. Morisawa Y, Kitamura A, Ujihara T, Zushi N, Kuzume K, Shimanouchi Y, Tamura S, Wakiguchi H, Saito
   1815 H, Matsumoto K (2009) Effect of heat treatment and enzymatic digestion on the B cell epitopes of
   1816 cow's milk proteins. Clin Exp Allergy 39:918-925. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2009.03203.x</u>
- 1817 163. Roth-Walter F, Pacios LF, Gomez-Casado C, Hofstetter G, Roth GA, Singer J, Diaz-Perales A, Jensen-Jarolim E (2014) The major cow milk allergen Bos d 5 manipulates T-helper cells depending on its load
   1819 with siderophore-bound iron. PLOS ONE 9:e104803. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104803</u>
- 1820 164. Stanic-Vucinic D, Stojadinovic M, Atanaskovic-Markovic M, Ognjenovic J, Grönlund H, van Hage M,
   1821 Lantto R, Sancho AI, Velickovic TC (2012) Structural changes and allergenic properties of β 1822 lactoglobulin upon exposure to high-intensity ultrasound. Mol Nutr Food Res 56:1894-1905.
   1823 https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201200179
- 1824 165. Benedé S, López-Fandiño R, Reche M, Molina E, López-Expósito I (2013) Influence of the carbohydrate
   1825 moieties on the immunoreactivity and digestibility of the egg allergen ovomucoid. PLOS ONE
   1826 8:e80810. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080810
- 1827 166. Martos G, Lopez-Exposito I, Bencharitiwong R, Berin MC, Nowak-Węgrzyn A (2011) Mechanisms
   underlying differential food allergy response to heated egg. J Allergy Clin Immunol 127:990-997.e992.
   1829 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2011.01.057</u>
- 1830 167. El Mecherfi KE, Curet S, Lupi R, Larré C, Rouaud O, Choiset Y, Rabesona H, Haertlé T (2019) Combined
   1831 microwave processing and enzymatic proteolysis of bovine whey proteins: the impact on bovine β 1832 lactoglobulin allergenicity. J Food Sci Technol 56:177-186. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-018-3471-</u>
   1833 9
- 1834 168. Huang J, Liu C, Wang Y, Wang C, Xie M, Qian Y, Fu L (2018) Application of in vitro and in vivo models 1835 in the study of food allergy. Food Sci Human Wellness 7:235-243. 1836 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2018.10.002
- 1837 169. Cases B, García-Ara C, Boyano M, Pérez-Gordo M, Pedrosa M, Vivanco F, Quirce S, Pastor-Vargas C 1838 (2011) Phosphorylation reduces the allergenicity of cow casein in children with selective allergy to 1839 goat and sheep milk. J Invest Allergol Clin Immunol 21:398-400. 1840 http://www.jiaci.org/issues/vol21issue5/9.pdf
- 1841
   170. Fiocchi A, Restani P, Riva E (2000) Beef allergy in children. Nutrition 16:454-457.

   1842
   https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-9007(00)00285-9

- 1843 171. Bøgh KL, van Bilsen J, Głogowski R, López-Expósito I, Bouchaud G, Blanchard C, Bodinier M, Smit J,
   1844 Pieters R, Bastiaan-Net S, de Wit N, Untersmayr E, Adel-Patient K, Knippels L, Epstein MM, Noti M,
   1845 Nygaard UC, Kimber I, Verhoeckx K, O'Mahony L (2016) Current challenges facing the assessment of
   1846 the allergenic capacity of food allergens in animal models. Clin Transl Allergy 6:21.
   1847 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13601-016-0110-2
- 1848 172. Gonipeta B, Kim E, Gangur V (2015) Mouse models of food allergy: How well do they simulate the human disorder? . Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 55:437-452. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.657807</u>
- 1850 173. Han X-Y, Yang H, Rao S-T, Liu G-Y, Hu M-J, Zeng B-C, Cao M-J, Liu G-M (2018) The Maillard reaction
   1851 reduced the sensitization of tropomyosin and arginine kinase from *Scylla paramamosain*,
   1852 simultaneously. J Agric Food Chem 66:2934-2943. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b05195</u>
- 1853 174. Long F, Yang X, Wang R, Hu X, Chen F (2015) Effects of combined high pressure and thermal 1854 treatments on the allergenic potential of shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) tropomyosin in a mouse 1855 model of allergy. Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol 29:119-124. 1856 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2015.03.002
- 1857 175. Pablos-Tanarro A, Lozano-Ojalvo D, Martínez-Blanco M, López-Fandiño R, Molina E (2017) Sensitizing
   and eliciting capacity of egg white proteins in BALB/c mice as affected by processing. J Agric Food
   1859 Chem 65:4500-4508. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b00953</u>
- 1860 176. Roth-Walter F, Berin MC, Arnaboldi P, Escalante CR, Dahan S, Rauch J, Jensen-Jarolim E, Mayer L
   1861 (2008) Pasteurization of milk proteins promotes allergic sensitization by enhancing uptake through
   1862 Peyer's patches. Allergy 63:882-890. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2008.01673.x</u>
- 1863 177. Tong P, Gao L, Gao J, Li X, Wu Z, Yang A, Chen H (2017) Iron-induced chelation alleviates the potential
   allergenicity of ovotransferrin in a BALB/c mouse model. Nutr Res 47:81-89.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2017.09.009
- 1866 178. López-Expósito I, Chicón R, Belloque J, López-Fandiño R, Berin M (2012) In vivo methods for testing
   allergenicity show that high hydrostatic pressure hydrolysates of β-lactoglobulin are immunologically
   inert. J Dairy Sci 95:541-548. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4646">https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4646</a>
- 1869 179. Claude M, Bouchaud G, Lupi R, Castan L, Tranquet O, Denery-Papini S, Bodinier M, Brossard C (2017)
   1870 How proteins aggregate can reduce allergenicity: Comparison of ovalbumins heated under opposite
   1871 electrostatic conditions. J Agric Food Chem 65:3693-3701. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b00676</u>
- 1872 180. Claude M, Lupi R, Bouchaud G, Bodinier M, Brossard C, Denery-Papini S (2016) The thermal aggregation of ovalbumin as large particles decreases its allergenicity for egg allergic patients and in a murine model. Food Chem 203:136-144. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.02.054</u>
- 1875 181. Hacini-Rachinel F, Vissers YM, Doucet-Ladevéze R, Blanchard C, Demont A, Perrot M, Panchaud A,
   1876 Prioult G, Mercenier A, Nutten S (2014) Low-allergenic hydrolyzed egg induces oral tolerance in mice.
   1877 Int Arch Allergy Immunol 164:64-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000363110</u>
- 1878 182. Tong P, Chen S, Gao J, Li X, Wu Z, Yang A, Yuan J, Chen H (2018) Caffeic acid-assisted cross-linking
   catalyzed by polyphenol oxidase decreases the allergenicity of ovalbumin in a Balb/c mouse model.
   Food Chem Toxicol 111:275-283. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2017.11.026</u>
- 1881 183. Golias J, Schwarzer M, Wallner M, Kverka M, Kozakova H, Srutkova D, Klimesova K, Sotkovsky P,
   Palova-Jelinkova L, Ferreira F, Tuckova L (2012) Heat-induced structural changes affect OVA-antigen
   processing and reduce allergic response in mouse model of food allergy. PLOS ONE 7:e37156.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037156
- 1885184. Meng X, Li X, Gao J, Chen H (2016) Characterization of the potential allergenicity of irradiated bovine1886α-lactalbumin in a BALB/c mouse model. Food Chem Toxicol 97:402-410.1887https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2016.10.010
- 1888 185. Heilmann M, Wellner A, Gadermaier G, Ilchmann A, Briza P, Krause M, Nagai R, Burgdorf S, Scheurer
   S, Vieths S, Henle T, Toda M (2014) Ovalbumin modified with pyrraline, a Maillard reaction product,
   shows enhanced T-cell Immunogenicity. J Biol Chem 289:7919-7928.
   1891 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.523621
- 1892 186. Seo J-H, Kim J-H, Lee J-W, Yoo Y-C, Kim MR, Park K-S, Byun M-W (2007) Ovalbumin modified by
   gamma irradiation alters its immunological functions and allergic responses. Int Immunopharmacol
   1894 7:464-472. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2006.11.012</u>
- 1895 187. Seo J-H, Lee J-W, Kim J-H, Byun E-B, Lee S-Y, Kang I-J, Byun M-W (2007) Reduction of allergenicity of
   irradiated ovalbumin in ovalbumin-allergic mice. Rad Phys Chem 76:1855-1857.
   1897 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2007.02.094</u>

- 1898 188. Ahmed I, Lin H, Xu L, Li S, Costa J, Mafra I, Chen G, Gao X, Li Z (2020) Immunomodulatory effect of
   1899 laccase/caffeic acid and transglutaminase in alleviating shrimp tropomyosin (Met e 1) allergenicity. J
   1900 Agric Food Chem 68:7765–7778. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c02366</u>
- 1901 189. Fei DX, Liu QM, Chen F, Yang Y, Chen ZW, Cao MJ, Liu GM (2016) Assessment of the sensitizing
  1902 capacity and allergenicity of enzymatic cross-linked arginine kinase, the crab allergen. Mol Nutr Food
  1903 Res 60:1707-1718. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201500936
- 1904 190. Yang H, Min J, Han X-Y, Li X-Y, Hu J-W, Liu H, Cao M-J, Liu G-M (2018) Reduction of the histamine
   content and immunoreactivity of parvalbumin in *Decapterus maruadsi* by a Maillard reaction
   combined with pressure treatment. Food Funct 9:4897-4905. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C8F001167B</u>
- 1907 191. El Mecherfi K-E, Rouaud O, Curet S, Negaoui H, Chobert J-M, Kheroua O, Saidi D, Haertlé T (2015)
   1908 Peptic hydrolysis of bovine beta-lactoglobulin under microwave treatment reduces its allergenicity in an ex vivo murine allergy model. Int J Food Sci Technol 50:356-364. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12653</u>
- 1910
   192. Stojadinovic M, Pieters R, Smit J, Velickovic TC (2014) Cross-linking of β-lactoglobulin enhances
   allergic sensitization through changes in cellular uptake and processing. Toxicol Sci 140:224-235.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfu062
- 1913 193. Fuc E, Złotkowska D, Wróblewska B (2019) Milk and meat allergens from *Bos taurus* β-lactoglobulin,
   1914 α-casein, and bovine serum albumin: An in-vivo study of the immune response in mice. Nutrients
   11:2095. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11092095</u>
- 1916 194. Benhatchi S, Addou S, Grar H, Benaissa Y, Kheroua O, Saidi D (2019) Induction of sublingual
   immunotherapy to cow's milk (raw, pasteurized and sterilized) in Balb/c mice sensitized to beta lactoglobulin. Revue Française d'Allergologie 59:9-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reval.2018.09.008</u>
- 1919 195. Verhoeckx KCM, Vissers YM, Baumert JL, Faludi R, Feys M, Flanagan S, Herouet-Guicheney C,
   1920 Holzhauser T, Shimojo R, van der Bolt N, Wichers H, Kimber I (2015) Food processing and allergenicity.
   1921 Food Chem Toxicol 80:223-240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2015.03.005</u>
- 1922 196. Lee P-W, Nordlee JA, Koppelman SJ, Baumert JL, Taylor SL (2012) Measuring parvalbumin levels in
   1923 fish muscle tissue: Relevance of muscle locations and storage conditions. Food Chem 135:502-507.
   1924 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.05.030</u>
- 1925 197. Somkuti J, Bublin M, Breiteneder H, Smeller L (2012) Pressure-temperature stability, Ca<sup>2+</sup> binding, and pressure-temperature phase diagram of cod parvalbumin: Gad m 1. Biochemistry 51:5903-5911.
   1927 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi300403h</u>
- 1928 198. Kobayashi Y, Yang T, Yu C-T, Ume C, Kubota H, Shimakura K, Shiomi K, Hamada-Sato N (2016)
  1929 Quantification of major allergen parvalbumin in 22 species of fish by SDS-PAGE. Food Chem 194:3451930 353. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.08.037</u>
- 1931 199. Vicente-Serrano J, Caballero M, Rodríguez-Pérez R, Carretero P, Perez R, Blanco J, Juste S, Moneo I
   (2007) Sensitization to serum albumins in children allergic to cow's milk and epithelia. Pediatr Allergy
   Immunol 18:503-507. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3038.2007.00548.x
- 1934 200. Pablos-Tanarro A, Lozano-Ojalvo D, Molina E, López-Fandiño R (2018) Assessment of the allergenic
   1935 potential of the main egg white proteins in BALB/c mice. J Agric Food Chem 66:2970-2976.
   1936 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b00402</u>
- 1937 201. Bogahawaththa D, Ashraf R, Chandrapala J, Donkor O, Vasiljevic T (2018) *In vitro* immunogenicity of
   various native and thermally processed bovine milk proteins and their mixtures. J Dairy Sci 101:8726 1939 8736. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-14488</u>
- 1940 202. Järvinen KM, Chatchatee P (2009) Mammalian milk allergy: clinical suspicion, cross-reactivities and
   1941 diagnosis. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 9:251-258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/ACI.0b013e32832b3f33</u>
- 1942203. Bernhisel-Broadbent J, Dintzis HM, Dintzis RZ, Sampson HA (1994) Allergenicity and antigenicity of1943chicken egg ovomucoid (Gal d III) compared with ovalbumin (Gal d I) in children with egg allergy and1944in mice. J Allergy Clin Immunol 93:1047-1059 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-6749(94)70054-0">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-6749(94)70054-0</a>
- 1945204. Heine RG, Laske N, Hill DJ (2006) The diagnosis and management of egg allergy. Curr Allergy Asthma1946Rep 6:145-152. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-006-0053-0">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-006-0053-0</a>
- 1947 205. Farrell HM, Qi PX, Uversky VN (2006) New views of protein structure: Applications to the caseins:
  1948 Protein structure and functionality. In: Fishman ML, Qi PX, Wicker L (eds) Advances in Biopolymers,
  1949 vol 935. ACS Symposium Series, vol 935. American Chemical Society, Washington DC, pp 52-70.
  1950 doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2006-0935.ch004</u>
- 1951 206. McMahon DJ, Oommen BS (2013) Casein micelle structure, functions, and interactions. In:
   1952 McSweeney PLH, Fox PF (eds) Advanced Dairy Chemistry. Proteins: Basic Aspects, 4th Edition, vol 1A.
   1953 Springer US, Boston, MA, pp 185-209. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4714-6\_6</u>

- 1954 207. Farrell HM, Brown EM, L. ME (2013) Higher order structures of the caseins: A paradox? . In:
  1955 McSweeney PLH, Fox PF (eds) Advanced Dairy Chemistry. Proteins: Basic Aspects, 4th Edition, vol 1A.
  1956 Springer US, Boston, MA, pp 161-184. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4714-6\_5</u>
- 1957 208. Tomura S, Ishizaki S, Nagashima Y, Shiomi K (2008) Reduction in the IgE reactivity of Pacific mackerel
   1958 parvalbumin by mutations at Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding sites. Fish Sci 74:411-417. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-</u>
   1959 2906.2008.01538.x
- 1960 209. Mao HY, Cao MJ, Maleki SJ, Cai QF, Su WJ, Yang Y, Liu GM (2013) Structural characterization and IgE
   1961 epitope analysis of arginine kinase from *Scylla paramamosain*. Mol Immunol 56:463-470.
   1962 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2013.04.016
- 1963 210. Yang Y, Cao M-J, Alcocer M, Liu Q-M, Fei D-X, Mao H-Y, Liu G-M (2015) Mapping and characterization
   of antigenic epitopes of arginine kinase of *Scylla paramamosain*. Mol Immunol 65:310-320.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2015.02.010
- 1966 211. Stănciuc N, Banu I, Turturică M, Aprodu I (2016) pH and heat induced structural changes of chicken
   ovalbumin in relation with antigenic properties. Int J Biol Macromol 93:572-581.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.09.025
- 1969 212. Reese G, Ayuso R, Carle T, Lehrer SB (1999) IgE–binding epitopes of shrimp tropomyosin, the major
   allergen Pen a 1. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 118:300-301. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000024108</u>
- 1971 213. Mine Y, Wei Zhang J (2002) Identification and fine mapping of IgG and IgE epitopes in ovomucoid.
   1972 Biochem Biophys Res Comm 292:1070-1074. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2002.6725</u>
- 1973 214. Restani P, Fiocchi A, Beretta B, Velonà T, Giovannini M, Galli CL (1998) Effects of structure 1974 modifications on IgE binding properties of serum albumins. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 117:113-119.
   1975 <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000023997</u>
- 1976 215. Benedé S, López-Expósito I, Molina E, López-Fandiño R (2015) Egg proteins as allergens and the
   1977 effects of the food matrix and processing. Food Funct 6:694-713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C4F001104J</u>
- 1978 216. Tong P, Gao J, Chen H, Li X, Zhang Y, Jian S, Wichers H, Wu Z, Yang A, Liu F (2012) Effect of heat treatment on the potential allergenicity and conformational structure of egg allergen ovotransferrin.
  1980 Food Chem 131:603-610. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.08.084</u>
- 1981 217. Schwarcz WD, Carnelocce L, Silva JL, Oliveira AC, Gonçalves RB (2008) Conformational changes in
   bovine lactoferrin induced by slow or fast temperature increases. Biol Chem 389:1137–1142.
   https://doi.org/10.1515/BC.2008.116
- 1984218. Audagnotto M, Dal Peraro M (2017) Protein post-translational modifications: In silico prediction tools1985and molecular modeling. Comp Struct Biotechnol J 15:307-319.1986https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.03.004
- 1987219. Knorre DG, Kudryashova NV, Godovikova TS (2009) Chemical and functional aspects of1988posttranslational modification of proteins. Acta Naturae1989http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3347534/
- 1990 220. Ruan WW, Cao MJ, Feng Chen, CaiQiu-Feng, Su WJ, Wang YZ, Liu GM (2013) Tropomyosin contains
   1991 IgE-binding epitopes sensitive to periodate but not to enzymatic deglycosylation. J Food Sci 78:C1116 C1121. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12169</u>
- 1993221. Besler M, Steinhart H, Paschke A (1997) Allergenicity of hen's egg-white proteins: IgE binding of1994native and deglycosylated ovomucoid. Food Agric Immunol 9:277-288.1995https://doi.org/10.1080/09540109709354958
- 1996 222. Boutrou R, Jardin J, Blais A, Tomé D, Léonil J (2008) Glycosylations of κ-casein-derived
   1997 caseinomacropeptide reduce its accessibility to endo- but not exointestinal brush border membrane
   1998 peptidases. J Agric Food Chem 56:8166-8173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/if801140d</u>
- 1999 223. Chen H-L, Mao H-Y, Cao M-J, Cai Q-F, Su W-J, Zhang Y-X, Liu G-M (2013) Purification, physicochemical and immunological characterization of arginine kinase, an allergen of crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*).
   2001 Food ChemToxicol 62:475-484. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2013.09.014</u>
- 2002 224. Bernard H, Meisel H, Creminon C, Wal JM (2000) Post-translational phosphorylation affects the IgE
   2003 binding capacity of caseins. FEBS Lett 467:239-244. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(00)01164-9</u>
- 2004 225. Bernard H, Negroni L, Chatel JM, Clement G, Adel-Patient K, Peltre G, Creminon C, Wal JM (2000)
   2005 Molecular basis of IgE cross-reactivity between human β-casein and bovine β-casein, a major allergen
   2006 of milk. Mol Immunol 37:161-167. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-5890(00)00029-8</u>
- 2007226. Permyakov SE, Vologzhannikova AA, Emelyanenko VI, Knyazeva EL, Kazakov AS, Lapteva YS,<br/>Permyakova ME, Zhadan AP, Permyakov EA (2012) The impact of alpha-N-acetylation on structural<br/>and functional status of parvalbumin. Cell Calcium 52:366-376.<br/>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2012.06.002

- 2011 227. Bugajska-Schretter A, Elfman L, Fuchs T, Kaplotis S, Rumpold H, Valenta R, Spitzauer S (1998)
   2012 Parvalbumin, a cross-reactive fish allergen, contains IgE-binding epitopes sensitive to periodate
   2013 treatment and Ca<sup>2+</sup> depletion. J Allergy Clin Immunol 101:67-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-</u>
   2014 <u>6749(98)70195-2</u>
- 2015 228. Holt C, Carver JA, Ecroyd H, Thorn DC (2013) Invited review: Caseins and the casein micelle: Their
   2016 biological functions, structures, and behavior in foods1. J Dairy Sci 96:6127-6146.
   2017 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-6831
- 2018 229. Zhu Y, Vanga SK, Wang J, Raghavan V (2018) Impact of food processing on the structural and
   allergenic properties of egg white. Trends Food Sci Technol 78:188-196.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.06.005
- 2021 230. Hufnagl K, Ghosh D, Wagner S, Fiocchi A, Dahdah L, Bianchini R, Braun N, Steinborn R, Hofer M,
  2022 Blaschitz M, Roth GA, Hofstetter G, Roth-Walter F, Pacios LF, Jensen-Jarolim E (2018) Retinoic acid
  2023 prevents immunogenicity of milk lipocalin Bos d 5 through binding to its immunodominant T-cell
  2024 epitope. Sci Rep 8:1598. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19883-0
- 2025 231. Liu J, Ru Q, Ding Y (2012) Glycation a promising method for food protein modification:
   2026 Physicochemical properties and structure, a review. Food Res Int 49:170-183.
   2027 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.07.034</u>
- 2028 232. Rao Q, Jiang X, Li Y, Samiwala M, Labuza TP (2018) Can glycation reduce food allergenicity? J Agric
   2029 Food Chem 66:4295-4299. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b00660</u>
- 2030 233. Teodorowicz M, van Neerven J, Savelkoul H (2017) Food processing: The influence of the maillard
   2031 reaction on immunogenicity and allergenicity of food proteins. Nutrients 9:835.
   2032 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080835</u>
- 2033 234. Nakamura A, Sasaki F, Watanabe K, Ojima T, Ahn D-H, Saeki H (2006) Changes in allergenicity and digestibility of squid tropomyosin during the Maillard reaction with ribose. J Agric Food Chem 54:9529 2035 9534. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf061070d</u>
- 2036 235. Nakamura A, Watanabe K, Ojima T, Ahn D-H, Saeki H (2005) Effect of Maillard reaction on
   allergenicity of scallop tropomyosin. J Agric Food Chem 53:7559-7564.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0502045
- 2039 236. Fu L, Wang C, Wang J, Ni S, Wang Y (2019) Maillard reaction with ribose, galacto-oligosaccharide or
   2040 chitosan-oligosaccharide reduced the allergenicity of shrimp tropomyosin by inducing conformational
   2041 changes. Food Chem 274:789-795. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.068</u>
- 237. Fang L, Li G, Gu R, Cai M, Lu J (2018) Influence of thermal treatment on the characteristics of major 2042 2043 ovster allergen Cra g 1 (tropomyosin). J Sci Food Agric 98:5322-5328. 2044 https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9071
- 2045 238. de Jongh HHJ, Robles CL, Timmerman E, Nordlee JA, Lee P-W, Baumert JL, Hamilton RG, Taylor SL,
   2046 Koppelman SJ (2013) Digestibility and IgE-binding of glycosylated codfish parvalbumin. BioMed Res Int
   2047 2013:756789. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/756789</u>
- 2048 239. Li Z, Jiang M, You J, Luo Y, Feng L (2014) Impact of Maillard reaction conditions on the antigenicity of 2049 parvalbumin, the major allergen in grass carp. Food Agric Immunol 25:486-497.
   2050 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2013.838943</u>
- 2051 240. Zhao Y-J, Cai Q-F, Jin T-c, Zhang L-J, Fei D-X, Liu G-M, Cao M-J (2017) Effect of Maillard reaction on
   2052 the structural and immunological properties of recombinant silver carp parvalbumin. LWT-Food Sci
   2053 Technol 75:25-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.08.049</u>
- 2054 241. Pinto MS, Léonil J, Henry G, Cauty C, Carvalho AF, Bouhallab S (2014) Heating and glycation of β 2055 lactoglobulin and β-casein: aggregation and in vitro digestion. Food Res Int 55:70-76.
   2056 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.10.030</u>
- 2057 242. Zhao D, Li L, Le TT, Larsen LB, Su G, Liang Y, Li B (2017) Digestibility of glyoxal-glycated β-casein and
   2058 β-lactoglobulin and distribution of peptide-bound advanced glycation end products in gastrointestinal
   2059 digests. J Agric Food Chem 65:5778-5788. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01951">https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01951</a>
- 2060 243. Enomoto H, Li C-P, Morizane K, Ibrahim HR, Sugimoto Y, Ohki S, Ohtomo H, Aoki T (2007) Glycation
   2061 and phosphorylation of β-lactoglobulin by dry-heating: effect on protein structure and some
   2062 properties. J Agric Food Chem 55:2392-2398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf062830n</u>
- 2063 244. Liu F, Teodorowicz M, van Boekel MAJS, Wichers HJ, Hettinga KA (2016) The decrease in the IgG 2064 binding capacity of intensively dry heated whey proteins is associated with intense Maillard reaction,
   2065 structural changes of the proteins and formation of RAGE-ligands. Food Funct 7:239-249.
   2066 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5FO00718F

- 2067 245. Taheri-Kafrani A, Gaudin J-C, Rabesona H, Nioi C, Agarwal D, Drouet M, Chobert J-M, Bordbar A-K,
   2068 Haertle T (2009) Effects of heating and glycation of β-lactoglobulin on its recognition by IgE of sera
   2069 from cow milk allergy patients. J Agric Food Chem 57:4974-4982. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1021/if804038t">https://doi.org/10.1021/if804038t</a>
- 2070 246. Perusko M, van Roest M, Stanic-Vucinic D, Simons PJ, Pieters RHH, Cirkovic Velickovic T, Smit JJ (2018)
   2071 Glycation of the major milk allergen β-lactoglobulin changes its allergenicity by alterations in cellular
   2072 uptake and degradation. Mol Nutr Food Res 62:1800341. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201800341
- 2073 247. Yang W, Tu Z, Wang H, Zhang L, Kaltashov IA, Zhao Y, Niu C, Yao H, Ye W (2018) The mechanism of
   2074 reduced IgG/IgE-binding of β-lactoglobulin by pulsed electric field pretreatment combined with
   2075 glycation revealed by ECD/FTICR-MS. Food Funct 9:417-425. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7FO01082F
- 2076 248. Yang W, Tu Z, Wang H, Zhang L, Xu S, Niu C, Yao H, Kaltashov IA (2017) Mechanism of reduction in IgG and IgE binding of β-lactoglobulin induced by ultrasound pretreatment combined with dry-state glycation: A study using conventional spectrometry and high-resolution mass spectrometry. J Agric Food Chem 65:8018-8027. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02842
- 2080 249. Corzo-Martínez M, Soria AC, Belloque J, Villamiel M, Moreno FJ (2010) Effect of glycation on the gastrointestinal digestibility and immunoreactivity of bovine β-lactoglobulin. Int Dairy J 20:742-752.
   2082 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2010.04.002</u>
- 2083250. Bu G, Luo Y, Zheng Z, Zheng H (2009) Effect of heat treatment on the antigenicity of bovine α-2084lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin in whey protein isolate. Food Agric Immunol 20:195-206.2085https://doi.org/10.1080/09540100903026116
- 2086 251. Ma X, Gao J, Tong P, Yang H, Zu Q, Meng X, Lu J, Chen H (2015) Effects of Maillard reaction conditions
   2087 on in vitro immunoglobulin G binding capacity of ovalbumin using response surface methodology.
   2088 Food Agric Immunol 26:835-847. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2015.1039496</u>
- 2089 252. Jiménez-Saiz R, Belloque J, Molina E, López-Fandiño R (2011) Human immunoglobulin E (IgE) binding
   2090 to heated and glycated ovalbumin and ovomucoid before and after in vitro digestion. J Agric Food
   2091 Chem 59:10044-10051. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf2014638</u>
- 2092 253. Ma XJ, Chen HB, Gao JY, Hu CQ, Li X (2013) Conformation affects the potential allergenicity of
   ovalbumin after heating and glycation. Food Addit Cont Part A 30:1684-1692.
   2094 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2013.822105</u>
- 2095 254. Ma X-j, Gao J-y, Chen H-b (2013) Combined effect of glycation and sodium carbonate-bicarbonate
   2096 buffer concentration on IgG binding, IgE binding and conformation of ovalbumin. J Sci Food Agric
   2097 93:3209-3215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/isfa.6157</u>
- 2098 255. Yang W, Tu Z, Wang H, Zhang L, Song Q (2018) Glycation of ovalbumin after high-intensity ultrasound
   pretreatment: effects on conformation, immunoglobulin (lg)G/lgE binding ability and antioxidant
   activity. J Sci Food Agric 98:3767-3773. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8890</u>
- 2101 256. Hilmenyuk T, Bellinghausen I, Heydenreich B, Ilchmann A, Toda M, Grabbe S, Saloga J (2010) Effects
   2102 of glycation of the model food allergen ovalbumin on antigen uptake and presentation by human
   2103 dendritic cells. Immunology 129:437-445. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2009.03199.x</u>
- 2104 257. Ilchmann A, Burgdorf S, Scheurer S, Waibler Z, Nagai R, Wellner A, Yamamoto Y, Yamamoto H, Henle
   2105 T, Kurts C, Kalinke U, Vieths S, Toda M (2010) Glycation of a food allergen by the Maillard reaction
   2106 enhances its T-cell immunogenicity: Role of macrophage scavenger receptor class A type I and II. J
   2107 Allergy Clin Immunol 125:175-183.e111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2009.08.013</u>
- 2108 258. Enomoto H, Hayashi Y, Li CP, Ohki S, Ohtomo H, Shiokawa M, Aoki T (2009) Glycation and
   2109 phosphorylation of α-lactalbumin by dry heating: Effect on protein structure and physiological
   2110 functions. J Dairy Sci 92:3057-3068. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2014</u>
- 2111 259. Kleber N, Krause I, Illgner S, Hinrichs J (2004) The antigenic response of β-lactoglobulin is modulated
   2112 by thermally induced aggregation. Eur Food Res Technol 219:105-110.
   2113 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-004-0924-3</u>
- 260. Docena GH, Fernandez R, Chirdo FG, Fossati CA (1996) Identification of casein as the major allergenic
   and antigenic protein of cow's milk. Allergy 51:412-416. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-</u>
   <u>9995.1996.tb04639.x</u>
- 2117 261. Bloom KA, Huang FR, Bencharitiwong R, Bardina L, Ross A, Sampson HA, Nowak-Węgrzyn A (2014)
   2118 Effect of heat treatment on milk and egg proteins allergenicity. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 25:740-746.
   2119 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pai.12283</u>
- 2120 262. Dupont D, Boutrou R, Menard O, Jardin J, Tanguy G, Schuck P, Haab BB, Leonil J (2010) Heat treatment
   of milk during powder manufacture increases casein resistance to simulated infant digestion. Food Dig
   2122 1:28-39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13228-010-0003-0

- 2123 263. Dupont D, Mandalari G, Mollé D, Jardin J, Rolet-Répécaud O, Duboz G, Léonil J, Mills CEN, Mackie AR
   (2010) Food processing increases casein resistance to simulated infant digestion. Mol Nutr Food Res
   54:1677-1689. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200900582</u>
- 2126 264. Kato Y, Oozawa E, Matsuda T (2001) Decrease in antigenic and allergenic potentials of ovomucoid by
   heating in the presence of wheat flour: Dependence on wheat variety and intermolecular disulfide
   bridges. J Agric Food Chem 49:3661-3665. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0102766
- 2129 265. Kim K-B-W-R, Lee SY, Song EJ, Park JG, Lee JW, Byun MW, Kim KE, Ahn DH (2010) Changes in allergenicity of porcine serum albumin by gamma irradiation. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 30:3972131 402. <u>https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2010.30.3.397</u>
- 2132 266. Usui M, Harada A, Ishimaru T, Sakumichi E, Saratani F, Sato-Minami C, Azakami H, Miyasaki T,
  2133 Hanaoka Ki (2013) Contribution of structural reversibility to the heat stability of the tropomyosin
  2134 shrimp allergen. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 77:948-953. <u>https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.120887</u>
- 2135 267. Faisal M, Vasiljevic T, Donkor ON (2019) Effects of selected processing treatments on antigenicity of
   banana prawn (*Fenneropenaeus merguiensis*) tropomyosin. Int J Food Sci Technol 54:183-193.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13922
- 2138 268. Rolland JM, Varese NP, Abramovitch JB, Anania J, Nugraha R, Kamath S, Hazard A, Lopata AL, O'Hehir
   2139 RE (2018) Effect of heat processing on IgE reactivity and cross-reactivity of tropomyosin and other
   2140 allergens of Asia-Pacific mollusc species: identification of novel sydney rock oyster tropomyosin Sac g
   2141 1. Mol Nutr Food Res 62:1800148. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201800148
- 2142269. Bernhisel-Broadbent J, Scanlon SM, Sampson HA (1992) Fish hypersensitivity. I. In vitro and oral2143challenge results in fish- allergic patients. J Allergy Clin Immunol 89:730-737.2144https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-6749(92)90381-B
- 2145 270. Lamberti C, Baro C, Giribaldi M, Napolitano L, Cavallarin L, Giuffrida MG (2018) Effects of two
   2146 different domestic boiling practices on the allergenicity of cow's milk proteins. J Sci Food Agric
   2147 98:2370-2377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8728</u>
- 2148 271. Xu Q, Shi J, Yao M, Jiang M, Luo Y (2016) Effects of heat treatment on the antigenicity of four milk
   2149 proteins in milk protein concentrates. Food Agric Immunol 27:401-413.
   2150 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2015.1117059</u>
- 2151 272. Lee J-W, Lee K-Y, Yook H-S, Lee S-Y, Kim H-Y, Jo C, Byun M-W (2002) Allergenicity of hen's egg ovomucoid gamma irradiated and heated under different pH conditions. J Food Prot 65:1196-1199.
   2153 <u>https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-65.7.1196</u>
- 2154 273. Carrasco PR, Klug C, Swoboda I, Augustin G, Quirce S, Hemmer W (2016) Serum albumin, an
   2155 important allergen also in processed pork meat products. Allergy 71:627-627.
   2156 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12979</u>
- 2157 274. Restani P, Ballabio C, Cattaneo A, Isoardi P, Terracciano L, Fiocchi A (2004) Characterization of bovine
   2158 serum albumin epitopes and their role in allergic reactions. Allergy 59:21-24.
   2159 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2004.00568.x</u>
- 2160 275. Quirce S, Marañón F, Umpiérrez A, de laas Heras M, Jiménez A, Fernández-Caldas E, Sastre J (2000)
  2161 Identification of chicken serum albumin as a thermolabile egg allergen (Gal d 5) responsible for the
  2162 bird-egg syndrome. J Allergy Clin Immunol 105:S136-S137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-</u>
  2163 <u>6749(00)90841-8</u>
- 2164 276. Kim M-J, Lee J-W, Yook H-S, Lee S-Y, Kim M-C, Byun M-W (2002) Changes in the antigenic and immunoglobulin E–binding properties of hen's egg albumin with the combination of heat and gamma irradiation treatment. J Food Prot 65:1192-1195. <u>https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-65.7.1192</u>
- 2167 277. Azdad O, Mejrhit N, Aarab L (2018) Reduction of the allergenicity of cow's milk alpha-lactalbumin
   2168 under heat-treatment and enzymatic hydrolysis in Moroccan population. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol
   2169 50:177-183. <u>https://doi.org/10.23822/EurAnnACI.1764-1489.60</u>
- 2170 278. Liu M, Liu G-Y, Yang Y, Mei X-J, Yang H, Li Y, Cao M-J, Liu G-M (2018) Thermal processing influences
   2171 the digestibility and immunoreactivity of muscle proteins of *Scylla paramamosain*. LWT-Food Sci
   2172 Technol 98:559-567. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.09.027</u>
- 2173 279. Hu G, Zheng Y, Liu Z, Deng Y, Zhao Y (2016) Structure and IgE-binding properties of α-casein treated
   by high hydrostatic pressure, UV-C, and far-IR radiations. Food Chem 204:46-55.
   2175 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.02.113</u>
- 2176 280. Bogahawaththa D, Buckow R, Chandrapala J, Vasiljevic T (2018) Comparison between thermal pasteurization and high pressure processing of bovine skim milk in relation to denaturation and immunogenicity of native milk proteins. Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol 47:301-308.
  2179 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2018.03.016</u>

- 2180 281. Boughellout H, Choiset Y, Rabesona H, Chobert JM, Haertle T, Mounir S, Allaf K, Zidoune MN (2015)
   2181 Effect of instant controlled pressure drop (DIC) treatment on milk protein's immunoreactivity. Food
   2182 Agric Immunol 26:71-81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2013.864607</u>
- 2183 282. Kim K, Kim SJ, Lee SY, Song EJ, Ahn DH (2008) Changes in allergenicity of porcine serum albumin by
  2184 microwave, sonication, and high hydrostatic pressure. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 28:499-504.
  2185 https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2008.28.4.499
- 2186 283. Kurpiewska K, Biela A, Loch JI, Lipowska J, Siuda M, Lewiński K (2019) Towards understanding the
   2187 effect of high pressure on food protein allergenicity: β-lactoglobulin structural studies. Food Chem
   2188 270:315-321. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.07.104</u>
- 2189 284. Kleber N, Maier S, Hinrichs J (2007) Antigenic response of bovine β-lactoglobulin influenced by ultra high pressure treatment and temperature. Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol 8:39-45.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2006.05.001
- 2192285. Vanga SK, Singh A, Raghavan V (2017) Review of conventional and novel food processing methods2193onfoodallergens.CritRevFoodSciNutri57:2077-2094.2194<a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1045965">https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1045965</a>
- 2195286. Barbosa-Cánovas GV, Altunakar B (2006) Pulsed electric fields processing of foods: An overview. In:2196Raso J, Heinz V (eds) Pulsed Electric Fields Technology for the Food Industry: Fundamentals and2197Applications. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp 3-26. doi:<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-31122-71">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-31122-71</a>
- 2198 287. Ekezie F-GC, Cheng J-H, Sun D-W (2018) Effects of nonthermal food processing technologies on food
   2199 allergens: A review of recent research advances. Trend Food Sci Technol 74:12-25.
   2200 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.01.007</u>
- 2201 288. Shriver S, Yang W, Chung S-Y, Percival S (2011) Pulsed ultraviolet light reduces immunoglobulin E
   2202 binding to Atlantic white shrimp (*Litopenaeus setiferus*) extract. Int J Environ Res Public Health 8:2569 2203 2583. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8072569</u>
- 2204 289. Yang WW, Shriver SK, Chung S-y, Percival S, Correll MJ, Rababah TM (2012) In vitro gastric and intestinal digestions of pulsed light-treated shrimp extracts. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 166:1409-1422.
   2206 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-011-9534-2</u>
- 2207 290. Tammineedi CVRK, Choudhary R, Perez-Alvarado GC, Watson DG (2013) Determining the effect of
   2208 UV-C, high intensity ultrasound and nonthermal atmospheric plasma treatments on reducing the
   allergenicity of α-casein and whey proteins. LWT Food Sci Technol 54:35-41.
   2210 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2013.05.020
- 2211 291. Ham J, Jeong S, Lee S, Han G, Chae H, Yoo Y, Kim D, Lee W, Jo C (2009) Irradiation effect on α-and β caseins of milk and Queso Blanco cheese determined by capillary electrophoresis. Rad Phys Chem
   78:158-163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2008.09.008</u>
- 2214 292. Meng X, Li X, Wang X, Gao J, Yang H, Chen H (2016) Potential allergenicity response to structural
   modification of irradiated bovine α-lactalbumin. Food Funct 7:3102-3110.
   https://doi.org/10.1039/C6FO00400H
- 2217 293. Byun M-W, Lee J-W, Yook H-S, Jo C, Kim H-Y (2002) Application of gamma irradiation for inhibition of food allergy. Rad Phys Chem 63:369-370. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-806X(01)00528-X</u>
- 2219 294. Lee J-W, Kim J-H, Yook H-S, Kang K-O, Lee S-Y, Hwang H-J, Byun M-W (2001) Effects of gamma radiation on the allergenic and antigenic properties of milk proteins. J Food Prot 64:272-276.
   2221 <u>https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-64.2.272</u>
- 2222 295. Zhu X, Wang W, Shen J, Xu X, Zhou G (2019) Influence of gamma irradiation on porcine serum albumin
   structural properties and allergenicity. J AOAC Int 101:529-535. <u>https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-</u>
   0160
- 2225 296. Liu Y, Li Z, Pavase T, Li Z, Liu Y, Wang N (2017) Evaluation of electron beam irradiation to reduce the
   lgE binding capacity of frozen shrimp tropomyosin. Food Agric Immunol 28:189-201.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2016.1251394
- 2228 297. Lee JW, Seo JH, Kim JH, Lee SY, Kim KS, Byun MW (2005) Changes of the antigenic and allergenic
   properties of a hen's egg albumin in a cake with gamma-irradiated egg white. Rad Phys Chem 72:645 650. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2004.03.088</u>
- 2231 298. Yang W, Tu Z, Wang H, Zhang L, Gao Y, Li X, Tian M (2017) Immunogenic and structural properties of
   ovalbumin treated by pulsed electric fields. Int J Food Prop 20:S3164-S3176.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2017.1396479
- 2234 299. Pereira RN, Costa J, Rodrigues RM, Villa C, Machado L, Mafra I, Vicente AA (2020) Effects of ohmic
   heating on the immunoreactivity of β-lactoglobulin a relationship towards structural aspects. Food
   Funct 11:4002-4013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C9F002834J</u>

- 300. Onwude DI, Hashim N, Janius R, Abdan K, Chen G, Oladejo AO (2017) Non-thermal hybrid drying of
   fruits and vegetables: A review of current technologies. Innov Food Sci Emerging Technol 43:223-238.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2017.08.010</u>
- 301. Mañas P, Muñoz B, Sanz D, Condón S (2006) Inactivation of lysozyme by ultrasonic waves under
   pressure at different temperatures. Enzyme Microb Technol 39:1177-1182.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2005.11.053
- 302. Kim SJ, Kim K, Song EJ, Lee SY, Yoon SY, Lee SJ, Lee CJ, Park JG, Lee JW, Byun MW, Ahn DH (2009)
   Changes of pork antigenicity by heat, pressure, sonication, microwave, and gamma irradiation. Korean
   J Food Sci Anim Resour 29:709-718. <u>https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2009.29.6.709</u>
- 2246 303. Park JG, Saeki H, Nakamura A, Kim K, Lee JW, Byun MW, Kim SM, Lim SM, Ahn DH (2007) Allergenicity 2247 changes in raw shrimp (Acetes japonicus) and Saeujeot (salted and fermented shrimp) in cabbage 2248 to Kimchi due fermentation conditions. Food Sci Biotechnol 16:1011-1017. 2249 http://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/JAKO200709905797926.view
- 304. Pessato TB, Carvalho NC, Tavano OL, Fernandes LGR, Zollner RL, Netto FM (2016) Whey protein
   isolate hydrolysates obtained with free and immobilized alcalase: Characterization and detection of
   residual allergens. Food Res Int 83:112-120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.02.015</u>
- 305. Zheng H, Shen X, Bu G, Luo Y (2008) Effects of pH, temperature and enzyme-to-substrate ratio on the
   antigenicity of whey protein hydrolysates prepared by Alcalase. Int Dairy J 18:1028-1033.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2008.05.002</u>
- 306. Wróblewska B, Markiewicz LH, Szyc AM, Dietrich MA, Szymkiewicz A, Fotschki J (2016) *Lactobacillus casei* LcY decreases milk protein immunoreactivity of fermented buttermilk but also contains IgE reactive proteins. Food Res Int 83:95-101. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.02.016</u>
- 307. Sabadin IS, Villas-Boas MB, Zollner RD, Netto FM (2012) Effect of combined treatment of hydrolysis
   and polymerization with transglutaminase on beta-lactoglobulin antigenicity. Eur Food Res Technol
   2261 235:801-809. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-012-1802-z</u>
- 308. Ahmadova A, El-Ghaish S, Choiset Y, Rabesona H, Drouet M, Chobert J, Kuliev AA, Haertle T (2013)
   Modification of IgE binding to β-and αS1-caseins by proteolytic activity of *Lactobacillus helveticus* A75.
   J Food Biochem 37:491-500. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4514.2012.00664.x
- 309. Yao M, Luo Y, Shi J, Zhou Y, Xu Q, Li Z (2014) Effects of fermentation by *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG
   on the antigenicity and allergenicity of four cows' milk proteins. Food Agric Immunol 25:545-555.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2013.852163</u>
- 310. Shi J, Luo Y, Xiao Y, Li Z, Xu Q, Yao M (2014) Effects of fermentation by *Lactobacillus casei* on the
   antigenicity and allergenicity of four bovine milk proteins. Int Dairy J 35:75-80.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2013.10.010</u>
- 311. Golkar A, Milani JM, Vasiljevic T (2019) Altering allergenicity of cow's milk by food processing for
   applications in infant formula. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 59:159-172.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1363156
- 2274312. Høst A, Halken S (2004) Hypoallergenic formulas when, to whom and how long: after more than 152275years we know the right indication! Allergy 59:45-52. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2004.00574.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2004.00574.x</a>
- 313. Ballmer-Weber BK, Brockow K, Fiocchi A, Theler B, Vogel L, Ring J, Szépfalusi Z, Mazzina O, Schaller
   R, Fritsché R, Vissers YM, Nutten S (2016) Hydrolysed egg displays strong decrease in allergenicity and
   is well tolerated by egg-allergic patients. Allergy 71:728-732. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12852</u>
- 2280 314. Lin H, Li Z, Lin H, Song Y, Lv L, Hao Z (2015) Effect of pH shifts on IgE-binding capacity and
   2281 conformational structure of tropomyosin from short-neck clam (*Ruditapes philippinarum*). Food Chem
   2282 188:248-255. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.05.007</u>
- 315. Jiménez-Saiz R, Pineda-Vadillo C, López-Fandiño R, Molina E (2012) Human IgE binding and in vitro
   digestion of S-OVA. Food Chem 135:1842-1847. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.06.044</u>
- 316. Akkerdaas J, Totis M, Barnett B, Bell E, Davis T, Edrington T, Glenn K, Graser G, Herman R, Knulst A,
  Ladics G, McClain S, Poulsen LK, Ranjan R, Rascle J-B, Serrano H, Speijer D, Wang R, Pereira Mouriès L,
  Capt A, van Ree R (2018) Protease resistance of food proteins: a mixed picture for predicting
  allergenicity but a useful tool for assessing exposure. Clin Transl Allergy 8:30.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/s13601-018-0216-9
- 317. Foster ES, Kimber I, Dearman RJ (2013) Relationship between protein digestibility and allergenicity:
   Comparisons of pepsin and cathepsin. Toxicology 309:30-38.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2013.04.011

- 318. Vickery BP, Chin S, Burks AW (2011) Pathophysiology of food allergy. Pediatr Clin N Am 58:363-376.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2011.02.012</u>
- 319. Perrier C, Corthésy B (2011) Gut permeability and food allergies. Clin Exp Allergy 41:20-28.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2010.03639.x</u>
- 320. Steele L, Mayer L, Cecilia Berin M (2012) Mucosal immunology of tolerance and allergy in the
   gastrointestinal tract. Immunol Res 54:75-82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12026-012-8308-4</u>
- 321. Yu HL, Ruan WW, Cao MJ, Cai QF, Shen HW, Liu GM (2013) Identification of physicochemical properties of *Scylla paramamosain* allergen, arginin kinase. J Sci Food Agric 93:245-253.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.5748
- 2302 322. Astwood JD, Leach JN, Fuchs RL (1996) Stability of food allergens to digestion in vitro. Nat Biotechnol
   2303 14:1269-1273. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1096-1269</u>
- 2304 323. Martinez J, Sanchez R, Castellanos M, Fernandez-Escamilla AM, Vazquez-Cortes S, Fernandez-Rivas
   2305 M, Gasset M (2015) Fish beta-parvalbumin acquires allergenic properties by amyloid assembly. Swiss
   2306 Med Wkly 145:w14128. <u>https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2015.14128</u>
- 2307 324. Liu GM, Huang YY, Cai QF, Weng WY, Su WJ, Cao MJ (2011) Comparative study of in vitro digestibility 2308 of major allergen, tropomyosin and other proteins between Grass prawn (Penaeus monodon) and 2309 Pacific white shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei). J Sci Food Agric 91:163-170. 2310 https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4167
- 325. Lv L, Lin H, Li Z, Ahmed I, Chen G (2017) Determining the effect of malondialdehyde on the IgE-binding
   capacity of shrimp tropomyosin upon in vitro digestion. J Sci Food Agric 97:4588-4594.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8328
- 2314 326. Jiménez-Saiz R, Martos G, Carrillo W, López-Fandiño R, Molina E (2011) Susceptibility of lysozyme to
   2315 in-vitro digestion and immunoreactivity of its digests. Food Chem 127:1719-1726.
   2316 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.02.047</u>
- 2317 327. Yao M, Xu Q, Luo Y, Shi J, Li Z (2015) Study on reducing antigenic response and IgE-binding inhibitions
   2318 of four milk proteins of *Lactobacillus casei* 1134. J Sci Food Agric 95:1303-1312.
   2319 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6823</u>
- 2320 328. Chicon R, Belloque J, Alonso E, Lopez-Fandino R (2009) Antibody binding and functional properties
   2321 of whey protein hydrolysates obtained under high pressure. Food Hydrocolloids 23:593-599.
   2322 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.04.001</u>
- 2323 329. Villas-Boas MB, Benedé S, de Lima Zollner R, Netto FM, Molina E (2015) Epitopes resistance to the simulated gastrointestinal digestion of β-lactoglobulin submitted to two-step enzymatic modification.
   2325 Food Res Int 72:191-197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.03.044</u>
- 330. Yoshino K, Sakai K, Mizuha Y, Shimizuike A, Yamamoto S (2004) Peptic digestibility of raw and heat coagulated hen's egg white proteins at acidic pH range. Int J Food Sci Nutri 55:635-640.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/09637480412331350173
- 331. Benedé S, López-Expósito I, Giménez G, Grishina G, Bardina L, Sampson HA, López-Fandiño R, Molina
   E (2014) Mapping of IgE epitopes in *in vitro* gastroduodenal digests of β-lactoglobulin produced with
   human and simulated fluids. Food Res Int 62:1127-1133.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.05.069
- 2333 332. Takagi K, Teshima R, Okunuki H, Itoh S, Kawasaki N, Kawanishi T, Hayakawa T, Kohno Y, Urisu A, 2334 Sawada Ji (2005) Kinetic analysis of pepsin digestion of chicken egg white ovomucoid and allergenic 2335 potential pepsin fragments. Immunol 136:23-32. of Int Arch Allergy 2336 https://doi.org/10.1159/000082581
- 2337 333. Claude M, Lupi R, Picariello G, Drouet M, Larré C, Denery-Papini S, Brossard C (2019) Digestion
   2338 differently affects the ability of native and thermally aggregated ovalbumin to trigger basophil
   2339 activation. Food Res Int 118:108-114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.11.040</u>
- 334. Bublin M, Eiwegger T, Breiteneder H (2014) Do lipids influence the allergic sensitization process? J
   Allergy Clin Immunol 134:521-529. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2014.04.015</u>
- 2342
   335. Pekar J, Ret D, Untersmayr E (2018) Stability of allergens. Mol Immunol 100:14-20.

   2343
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2018.03.017</u>
- 2344 336. Luo C, Guo Y, Li Z, Ahmed I, Pramod SN, Gao X, Lv L, Lin H (2020) Lipid emulsion enhances fish allergen parvalbumin's resistance to in vitro digestion and IgG/IgE binding capacity. Food Chem 302:125333.
   2346 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125333</u>
- 2347 337. Moreno FJ, Mackie AR, Mills ENC (2005) Phospholipid interactions protect the milk allergen α 2348 lactalbumin from proteolysis during in vitro digestion. J Agric Food Chem 53:9810-9816.
   2349 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0515227</u>

- 338. Mandalari G, Adel-Patient K, Barkholt V, Baro C, Bennett L, Bublin M, Gaier S, Graser G, Ladics GS, Mierzejewska D, Vassilopoulou E, Vissers YM, Zuidmeer L, Rigby NM, Salt LJ, Defernez M, Mulholland F, Mackie AR, Wickham MSJ, Mills ENC (2009) In vitro digestibility of β-casein and β-lactoglobulin under simulated human gastric and duodenal conditions: A multi-laboratory evaluation. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 55:372-381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2009.08.010
- 339. Lv L, Lin H, Li Z, Wang J, Ahmed I, Chen H (2017) Changes of structure and IgE binding capacity of
   shrimp (*Metapenaeus ensis*) tropomyosin followed by acrolein treatment. Food Funct 8:1028-1036.
   https://doi.org/10.1039/C6FO01479H

	Tropomyosins	Parvalbumins	Arginine kinases	Caseins	Serum albumins	Glycoside hydrolase family 22	Transferrins	Lipocalins	Ovomucoids	Serpins
Size (aa)	~284	~109	355-357	190-224	607-608	129 (Gal d 4) 123 (Bos d 4)	686-690	172	210	386
MW (kDa)	34-38	11-12	40-45	20-30	60-69	~14	78-80	17-25	22.5	44
Biological function	Structural	Structural	Enzymatic/ Regulatory	Regulatory	Transport	Defence (Gal d 4) Structural (Bos d 4)	Transport	Transport	Regulatory	Regulatory Reserve
Protein structure	4D Homo- /heterodimer (coiled-coil)	3D Globular monomer	3D Monomer	Typically 2D Casein micelles (4D)	3D Globular monomer	3D Monomer	3D Monomer	4D Globular homodimer	4D (3 globular native configurations)	4D Homodimer
Crystal structures (Method: X-ray diffraction)	There are structures experimentally determined at PDB (e.g. chicken tropomyosin), but none is classified as an allergen.	SF 2	J.S. Star	Partial structure			A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONT	and the second sec	There are structures experimentally determined at PDB (e.g. turkey ovomucoid), but none is classified as an allergen.	
Example of an allergen (source)	Shrimp Pen m 1	Cod Gad m 1	Shrimp Lit v 2	Cow's Bos d 10	Cow's Bos d 6	Chicken Gal d 4	Chicken Gal d 3	Cow's Bos d 5	Chicken Gal d 1	Chicken Gal d 2
PDB accession number	NR	2MBX	4AM1	6FS4	3V03	2LYM	1N04	2Q2M	NR	10VA

### Table 1. Data on the composition and structure of proteins from the most important animal allergen families.

2360 MW, molecular weight; aa, amino acid; NR, not reported; LMW, low molecular weight; HMW, high molecular weight; PDB, Protein Data Bank, https://www.rcsb.org/.

	Tropomyosins	Parvalbumins	Arginine Kinase	Caseins	Serum albumins	Glycoside hydrolase family 22	Transferrin	Lipocalins	Ovomucoids	Serpins
Protein structure	→loss of 4D	↓,→loss of 3D, →molten globule state, ↓Ca <sup>2+</sup> /Mg <sup>2+</sup> depletion		→loss 2D, →urea treatment, ↓modification by crosslinking	→loss of 3D/2D, $\downarrow\beta$ -ME treatment, $\downarrow$ loss of S-S, $\downarrow$ modification by crosslinking	↓loss of 3D, ↓loss of S-S, ↑urea treatment, ↑molten globule state	↑exposure of hydrophobic groups ↑molten globule state, ↑urea treatment, ↓loss of S-S	↑partial unfolding, ↓loss of 3D/2D	↓loss of S-S	↓loss of 4D/3D
РТМ	→,↓,↑ glycosylation	↑acetylation	→glycosylation	↑phosphorylation↑glycosylation	NR	NR	NR	NR	→,↑glycosylation	↑phosphorylation
Ligand- binding	NR	$\uparrow$ Ca <sup>2+</sup> or Mg <sup>2+</sup>	NR	$\rightarrow$ Ca <sup>2+</sup>	NR	NR	→,↓iron	↓iron, retinoic acid	NR	NR
Glycation	↑,↓	↑,↓	Ļ	↑,↓	NR	$\downarrow$	NR	↑,↓	↑	↑,↓
Aggregation	1	1	↑,↓	↑,↓	Ļ	↑,↓	NR	↑,↓	Ļ	$\downarrow$
Heat stability	Heat stable: †boiling, frying, roasting	Heat-stable: → boiling, → autoclaving, ↓canning	Heat-labile: ↓ boiling, ↓ pasteurisation	Heat-stable: →boiling, baking	Heat-labile/ stable?: ↓,→boiling, ↓,→broiling, ↓autoclaving,	Heat-labile: ↓boiling, frying, baking, →pasteurisation	Heat-labile: ↑low T, ↓heat (T>80 °C, boiling, frying, baking) →pasteurisation	↓boiling, →,↑heating (T 50-90°C), →pasteurisation	Heat-stable: →,↓boiling or T>90°C, →boiling + acid, ↓heat + wheat proteins	Heat-labile: $\downarrow$ heat (T>90°C), $\rightarrow$ heat (T>90°C for <30 min), $\downarrow$ heat + wheat proteins, $\downarrow$ heat +acid
Pressure stability	Pressure-labile: ↓HPP, HPS ↓HHP + heat	Pressure-labile: →,↓pressure + heat		Pressure- labile/stable? ↓HHP, HPP ↑ICPD (pressure + heat	Pressure stable: →HPP	Pressure-labile/stable? →,↑HHP, HPP, →HP + ultrasound, ↓ICPD (pressure + heat), ↓HP+ heat+ultrasound	NR	Pressure- labile/stable? $\downarrow,\uparrow$ HPP, HHP, $\uparrow$ HPP + heat (T 40-50°C), $\downarrow$ HPP + heat (T>60°C), $\downarrow$ HP + glycation, $\downarrow$ HP + enzymatic hydrolysis	Pressure-stable? ↑HPP	Pressure-stable? →HPP
Light/ radiation stability	Light- labile/stable? ↓PUV, ↑low radiation dose,	Light-stable: →UV light,	↓microwave,	Light-labile: ↓UV-C, far-IR ↑low radiation dose,	Light-labile: ↓γ-radiation (> 3 kGy), ↓microwave	Light-labile: ↓UV treatment, ↓γ-radiation	NR	↓PEF + glycation,	Light-labile: $\downarrow\gamma$ -radiation (>10 kGy), $\downarrow\gamma$ -radiation + heat	Light-labile/stable? ↓γ-radiation (>10 kGy) ↑low EF, ↓high EF

## Table 2. Summary of the physicochemical parameters and their effect on the allergenicity of different animal protein families

	↓high radiation dose			↓high radiation dose)				↑low radiation dose, ↓high radiation dose		
Mechanical/ Chemical stability	1.0	+enzy marie	→ultrasound, ↓enzymatic hydrolysis/ crosslinking		→sonication, ↓enzymatic hydrolysis/ crosslinking	→ultrasound, ↓ultrasound + heat, ↓carboxymethylation, ↓enzymatic hydrolysis, ↓fermentation ↓fermentation + heat	↓ carboxymethylation, ↓chemical hydrolysis, ↓fermentation	→ultrasound, ↓ultrasound + glycation, →,↓fermentation, ↓fermentation + heat, ↓enzymatic hydrolysis, an effect dependent on the enzyme		<pre>↑ultrasound, →carboxymethylation, →urea-treatment, ↓ultrasound + glycation, ↓enzymatic hydrolysis/ crosslinking</pre>
Digestibility	→, $\downarrow$ after pepsin, →, $\downarrow$ after trypsin, $\downarrow$ after digestion deglycosylated TM, $\downarrow$ after digestion	→after pancreatic digestion,	↓after pepsin, →resistant to trypsin/ pancreatic	→, $\downarrow$ after pepsin of glycated products →, $\downarrow$ after trypsin or chymotrypsin,	Pepsin-resistant: →after pepsin 60 min, ↓after complete digestion, more evident for irradiated Sus s 1	Pepsin resistant: (Gal d 4) ↓after pepsin pH 1.5, some proteins remain intact, →partially resistant to trypsin/chymotrypsin, Pepsin-sensitive: (Bos d 4) ↓after pepsin	Pepsin-sensitive: ↓after pepsin, →protective effect of matrix components	↓after pepsin, ↓after complete digestion, but preserving reactive digested peptides, ↓after trypsin digestion of	digestion, some peptides remain reactive, →protective effect of matrix components	Pepsin-resistant: →after pepsin, ↓after complete digestion, some immunoreactive peptides, ↓after pepsin at HPP, some digested peptides conserve reactivity, →protective effect of matrix components
Epithelial transport		↑amyloid structures, aggregates	NR	NR	NR	→intact proteins	→intact proteins	↓,↑aggregates	↓heated protein	↓heated protein
Lipid interaction	Ţ	↑	NR	NR	NR	$\rightarrow$	NR	$\rightarrow$	NR	$\rightarrow$

β-ME, β-mercaptoethanol; HPP, high-pressure processing; HPS, high-pressure steaming, ICPD, instant controlled pressure drop;  $\rightarrow$  maintain IgE-binding capacity;  $\uparrow$  increase IgE-binding capacity;  $\downarrow$  decrease IgE-binding capacity;  $\uparrow\downarrow$  contradictory data about the effect on IgE-binding capacity; NR, not reported; PEF, pulsed electric fields; PUV, pulsed ultraviolet, PV, parvalbumins; S-S, disulphide bond; 2D, secondary structure; 3D,

tertiary structure; 4D, quaternary structure; TM, tropomyosin, T, temperature.

	Specific serum screening			Cellula	In vivo assays					
Families	Immunoblot/ dot blot	ELISA	RAST/EAST/ ImmunoCAP	Basophil activation test	RBL mediator release assay	T-cell proliferation	Murine IgE response	Murine anaphylaxis	Human Skin prick tests*	Human Food challenges**
Tropomyosins	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR
Parvalbumins	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	NR
Arginine kinase	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	NR
Caseins	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Serum albumins	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	NR	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Glycoside Hydrolase	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Transferrins	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	NR	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	NR
Lipocalins	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Ovomucoids	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$
Serpins	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NR	$\checkmark$

Table 3. Summary of the assays used to assess the effect of physicochemical parameters on the allergenicity of proteins from animal food families.

IL, interleukins; IFN, Interferons; RAST, radioallergosorbent test; EAST, enzyme allergosorbent test; RBL, rat basophilic leukaemia; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay;  $\sqrt{}$ , confirmation of tests performed as reported on literature; NR, no evidence found in the literature; \*, Human SPT were performed mainly with pure protein, although pure food extracts were also used. \*\*, Food challenges are normally performed using pure food extracts or entire food (either alone or hidden within a prepared matrix), respectively.

	Impact on IgE-binding capacity	Supporting evidence/Main concerns
ABUNDANCE	Low	Low abundant as well as high abundant proteins are known as potent allergens, e.g. tropomyosins (low abundant), caseins (high abundant).
BIOLOGICAL FUNCTION	High	Potent allergens display biological functions as storage, regulation, transport, and defence.
РТМ		
Glycosylation	Low	Contradictory effects are found for potent allergens. Information is limited to tropomyosins, arginine kinases, caseins, and ovomucoids.
Acetylation	Limited	Increase the IgE-binding capacity of parvalbumins. Information limited to parvalbumins
Phosphorylation	Limited	Phosphorylation increases IgE-binding capacity. Information limited to caseins and serpins
LIPID-BINDING	Limited	Reduces allergenicity. Information is limited to Bos d 5 (lipocalins).
LIGAND-BINDING	Low	Contradictory effects are found for different potent allergens. Information is limited to parvalbumins, caseins, transferrins, and lipocalins.
PROTEIN STRUCTURE		
Loss of 2D	Low	Contradictory effects. Loss of structural stability decrease (destruction of conformational epitopes) or maintain/increase (unmasking hidden linear epitopes) IgE-binding capacity.
Loss of S-S bonds	Low	Contradictory effects. Loss of structural stability decrease (destruction of conformational epitopes) or maintain/increase (unmasking hidden linear epitopes) IgE-binding capacity.
GLYCATION	Low or inconclusive	Chemical changes (formation of advanced glycation products) can decrease, maintain, or increase IgE-binding capacity (depending on protein family or within the same family). Data missing for transferrins and serum albumins.
AGGREGATION	Low or inconclusive	Structural changes (formation of aggregates and potentially new conformational epitopes) can decrease, maintain, or increase IgE-binding capacity. Data missing for transferrins.
HEAT STABILITY	Low	Heat stable allergens are potent allergens. Fails to explain potent heat-labile allergens (e.g. arginine kinase, lipocalins)
PRESSURE STABILITY	Low	Pressure alone has a limited effect on allergens, but <i>in vivo</i> evidence is needed. Maintain protein integrity. Data missing for arginine kinases and transferrins.
LIGHT/RADIATION STABILITY	High	Light/radiation stable proteins are potent allergens. High doses of radiation decrease IgE-binding capacity (promotes unfolding). Data missing for transferrins.
MECHANICAL STABILITY	Low	Most allergens are stable to mechanical processing, preserving their IgE-binding capacity. Maintain protein integrity. Data missing for caseins, transferrins, and ovomucoids.
CHEMICAL STABILITY		
Changes in protein structure	High	Reduce the IgE-binding capacity.
Changes in protein integrity (fragmentation)	High	Reduce/mitigate the IgE-binding capacity. Loss of protein primary structure.
DIGESTIBILITY		
Pepsin resistance	Low or inconclusive	Fails to explain potent pepsin-labile allergens (e.g. some members of tropomyosins)

# Table 4. Main conclusions about the adequacy of each physicochemical property as potentially shaping allergenicity.

Trypsin/chymotrypsin resistance	High	Most allergens are labile to trypsin/chymotrypsin activities.
Lipid interaction	High	Presence of lipids protects allergens from proteolysis. Maintain protein integrity.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. List of food processing technologies analysed for each parameter.

Fig. 2. Decision tree interconnecting different physicochemical parameters and their

influence for evaluating a protein allergenicity