Supplementary information to: Analysing organic micropollutant accumulation in closed loop FO-RO systems: a pilot plant study

Arnout D'Haese<sup>a,\*</sup>, Juan Carlos Ortega-Bravo<sup>b</sup>, Danny Harmsen<sup>c</sup>, Lynn Vanhaecke<sup>d</sup>, Arne R.D. Verliefde<sup>a</sup>, David Jeison<sup>e</sup>, Emile R. Cornelissen<sup>c,a,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Particle and Interfacial Technology group (PaInT), Department of Applied Analytical and 6 Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure Links 7 653, B-9000, Ghent, Belgium 8

<sup>b</sup>Centro de Gestión y Tecnologías del Agua, Universidad de La Frontera, Casilla 54D, Temuco, Chile

<sup>c</sup>KWR Water Research Institute, P.O. Box 1072, 3430 BB Nieuwegein, The Netherlands 11 <sup>d</sup>Department of Veterinary Public Health and Food Safety, Ghent University, Salisburylaan 12 133, B-9820 Merelbeke, Belgium 13

<sup>e</sup> Escuela de Ingeniería Bioquímica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Av. Brasil 2085, Valparaíso, Chile

16 <sup>f</sup>Singapore Membrane Technology Centre, 1 Cleantech Loop, CleanTech One 06-08, 637141, Singapore 17

## 1. SPE protocol 18

3

9 10

14

15

In order to concentrate analytes and remove salts from the extracts to be 19 analyzed by U-HPLC-HRMS, feed and draw solution samples were subjected to 20 Solid Phase Extraction (SPE). A calibration series was constructed consisting 21 of 11 samples between 0.05 and 40  $\mu$ g/L in approximately log2 dilution (concen-22 trations on lower end were rounded off for pipetting). All SPE samples, both 23 experimental samples and calibration series, were spiked with the same internal 24 standards, the identity and concentrations of which are specified in Table 1. An 25 identical calibration series was included for each SPE run, yielding 3 identical 26 calibration series. 27 Water samples were stored refrigerated prior to SPE. Before starting SPE, sam-

ples were equilibrated to room temperature and briefly degassed using vacuum. 29 Degassing was done in order to avoid the formation of air bubbles in the adsor-30 bent bed, which decreases extraction efficiency. Oasis HLB 10 cc SPE cartridges 31 (Waters, MA, USA) were attached to a SPE vacuum manifold and were wetted 32 using 2 mL HPLC-quality MeOH and vacuum, followed by 10 mL Milli-Q. Sub-33 sequently, samples were loaded onto the SPE cartridges using siphons (Waters, 34 USA). Sample flow rate was controlled using the vacuum manifold. Once the 35 samples had completely passed through the SPE cartridges, flow was stopped 36 while maintaining a wetted adsorbent bed. The cartridges were then washed 37 using 10 mL Milli-Q, in order to remove inorganic salts. Once washing was com-38 plete, all remaining water was sucked out of the cartridges using the vacuum 39

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

Email address: arnout.dhaese@ugent.be (Arnout D'Haese)

Table 1: Internal standards used for OMP quantification	
Internal standard	Concentration $(\mu g/L)$
Metoprolol-D7	150
Atrazine-D5	4
Diuron-D6	12
Paracetamol-D4	30
Sulfamethoxazole-13C6	60
Ketoprofen-D3	60

manifold, and cartridges were air-dried for 10 minutes using air flow. Subsequently, the manifold was fitted with sample vials under the SPE cartridges, and analytes were eluted using 2 times 4 mL MeOH of HPLC quality, yielding
8 mL eluens. In the case of the RO permeate samples, the SPE concentrate
was further concentrated by partial evaporation of the MeOH samples to a final volume of 1 mL. This was also done for the calibration series used for the
quantification of the RO permeate samples

## 47 2. HPLC-HRMS protocol

OMPs were analysed using UHPLC-HR-Orbitrap-MS (benchtop Exactive 48 Orbitrap, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, USA). An Accela autosampler, maintained 49 at 15 °C, was coupled to an Accela degasser and an Accela 1250 pump. The 50 injected extract (10 µL) was separated on a Nucleodur C18 Pyramid column 51  $(100 \ge 2 \text{ mm}, 1.8 \text{ }\mu\text{m}; \text{Macherey-Nagel}, \text{USA})$  at a constant flow of 300  $\mu\text{L/min}$ . 52 Solvents A (0.08% HCOOH in ultrapure water) and B (MeOH) were used in 53 the following gradient: 1 minute isocratically at 98% A and 2% B, increase of 54 B to a composition of 10% A and 90% B during 3.5 minutes, increase of B to a 55 composition of 100% B during 2 minutes, 1.5 minutes isocratically at 100% B 56 and finally the column was equilibrated for 1.5 minutes at the initial conditions 57 of 98% A and 2% B. Components were ionized with a H-ESI-II (Heated Elec-58 trospray ionization) interface. The sheath gas flow rate was set to 30 arbitrary units, no auxiliary gas or sweep gas was used. The spray voltage, capillary volt-60 age, tube lens voltage and skimmer voltage was set to 4000 V, 82.5 V, 120 V and 61 20 V respectively for positive ionization mode, and 4000 V, -30 V, -95 V and -26 62 V respectively for negative ionization mode. The capillary temperature was set 63 to 250 °C and the vaporizer heater temperature was set to 350 °C. Detection 64 occurred with an Orbitrap high resolution mass spectrometer, working alterna-65 tively in positive and negative modes at a switching rate of 2 Hz. The resolution 66 was set to 50000 full width at half maximum, an automatic gain control target 67 of 5.105 was used, and the scan range was 100.00 - 700.00 m/z. The high energy 68 collision dissociation cell was turned off. Analysis data was processed with the 69 Thermo Xcalibur 2.1.0.1140 package. Analytes were interpreted according to 70 their accurate precursor ion masses: [M+H]+. The maximum mass tolerance 71 was set to 5.0 ppm. 72

## 73 3. OMP concentrations during NaCl DS experiment

Below are the OMP concentrations in the FO feed, diluted and concentrateddraw solution and RO permeate obtained using NaCl as draw solute. Solid lines

 $_{76}\,$  are fits of Eq. 11, in all cases except RO permeate where points are joined

- $_{77}\,$  by straight line segments. Where the RO permeate data is missing in a plot
- <sup>78</sup> indicates that said OMP was not detected in the RO permeate.



Figure 1: NaCl DS, figure 1



Figure 2: NaCl DS, figure 2



Figure 3: NaCl DS, figure 3

## <sup>79</sup> 4. OMP concentrations during $MgCl_2$ DS experiment

80 Below are the OMP concentrations in the FO feed, diluted and concentrated

 $_{\tt 81}$  draw solution and RO permeate obtained using  ${\rm MgCl}_2$  as draw solute. Solid

<sup>82</sup> lines are fits of Eq. 11, in all cases except RO permeate where points are joined<sup>83</sup> by straight line segments. Where the RO permeate data is missing in a plot

by straight line segments. Where the RO permeate data is misindicates that said OMP was not detected in the RO permeate.



Figure 4:  $MgCl_2$  DS, figure 1



Figure 5:  $MgCl_2$  DS, figure 2



Figure 6:  $MgCl_2$  DS, figure 3

**5.** References