#### 1 Strategies to revise agrosystems and breeding for Fusarium wilt control of banana

Yasmín Zorrilla-Fontanesi<sup>1</sup>, Laurens Pauwels<sup>2,3</sup>, Bart Panis<sup>1,4</sup>, Santiago Signorelli<sup>1,5,6</sup>, Hervé
 Vanderschuren<sup>1,7\*</sup>, Rony Swennen<sup>1,4,8\*</sup>

4 1. Laboratory of Tropical Crop Improvement, Division of Crop Biotechnics, KU Leuven, B-3001 5 Leuven, Belgium 6 7 8 2. Ghent University, Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics (Technologiepark 71), 9052 Ghent, Belgium 3. VIB Center for Plant Systems Biology (Technologiepark 71), 9052 Ghent, Belgium 9 4. Bioversity International, Willem De Croylaan 42, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium 10 5. Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de la República, Av. 11 Garzón 780, Sayago CP 12900, Montevideo, Uruguay 12 6. The School of Molecular Sciences, Faculty of Science, The University of Western Australia, 35 13 Stirling Highway, Crawley CP 6009, WA, Australia 14 7. Plant Genetics Laboratory, TERRA Teaching and Research Center, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, 15 University of Liège, Gembloux, Belgium International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), C/o The Nelson Mandela African Institution 16 8. 17 of Science and Technology (NM-AIST), P.O. Box 447, Arusha, Tanzania

18 \*Corresponding authors: Rony Swennen (<u>rony.swennen@kuleuven.be</u> and <u>r.swennen@cgiar.org</u>); Hervé 19 Vanderschuren (herve.vanderschuren@kuleuven.be)

- 20 These authors contributed equally to this work: Yasmín Zorrilla-Fontanesi, Laurens Pauwels
- 21 ORCID Y.Z-F.: 0000-0002-2514-3927
- 22 ORCID L.P.: 0000-0002-0221-9052
- 23 ORCID B.P.: 0000-0001-6717-947X
- 24 ORCID S.S.: 0000-0002-1854-316 25 ORCID H.V.: 0000-0003-2102-973
- 25 ORCID H.V.: 0000-0003-2102-9737 26 ORCID R.S.: 0000-0002-5258-9043
- 20 OKCID K.S.: 0000-0002-3238-904

### 27 Abstract

The recent emergence of the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* tropical race 4 (*Foc* TR4), the deadly strain that causes Fusarium wilt of bananas, has put the banana production chain for export under threat. Here, we propose research priorities and complementary strategies and challenges for an effective and efficient mitigation management of Fusarium wilt. Our strategies include biodiversing the agrosystems to increase crop resilience, as well as using precision breeding approaches to rapidly assess and introduce disease resistance genes to develop stable and complete *Foc* resistance in commercial banana cultivars.

#### 35 Main

- 36 The recent identification of the fungus Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense tropical race 4
- 37 (Foc TR4), the most destructive and uncontrollable soil pathogen of banana (Musa spp.), in
- 38 Colombia<sup>1</sup> is sending a dreadful message to the export plantations of Central and South
- 39 America, demonstrating that this disease has become a global threat.

40 In (sub)tropical regions, banana production is significantly contributing to food security, as 41 cooking bananas and plantains are considered staple crops in more than 120 countries around 42 the (sub)tropical belt. The dessert banana 'Cavendish', which is internationally traded, is an 43 important export commodity. Over the last fifty years, the average yield of banana in the 44 world has nearly doubled, increasing from 10.6 tons/ha in 1961 to 20.2 tons/ha in 2017 45 (http://faostat.fao.org/). While the yield gain has been attributed to several factors, including climate change<sup>2</sup>, the increasing acreage of banana cropped under intensive monoculture 46 systems has significantly contributed to the increment in banana production. 47

48 Bananas originated from South(east) Asia and most of the domesticated varieties are seedless 49 triploids (2n=3x=33) developed from specific (inter- and intra-) hybridizations of two wild diploid *Musa* species (*M. acuminata* and *M. balbisiana*)<sup>3</sup>. Modern edible varieties display 50 51 genome constitutions of AAA (i.e. the cross of three *M. acuminata* genomes), as the sweet 52 dessert and East African Highland bananas; AAB (cross of two M. acuminata and one M. 53 balbisiana genomes), like starchy plantains and some dessert bananas; or ABB (cross of one 54 M. acuminata and two M. balbisiana genomes), as cooking bananas. Seedless cultivated 55 bananas that are diploids (AA or AB) exist as well.

56 In 2017, global banana production was 114 megatons, with locally consumed bananas, often 57 grown by smallholder producers, making up 80% of global production. Smallholder organic agrosystems rely on 4 to 22 banana varieties<sup>4</sup> mixed with other food crops and often with 58 trees<sup>5</sup> (Fig. 1a). This is in striking contrast with commercial plantations, where large fields 59 60 consisting of one single clone from the 'Cavendish' subgroup (Musa AAA; Fig. 1b) are 61 managed with agrochemicals, even though intermediate systems are emerging<sup>6</sup>. The 62 monoculture practice directly relies on a very narrow and inflexible genotypic background in 63 the crop. Hence, Foc TR4 creates havoc in these monocultures with genetic uniformity<sup>7</sup>. 64 However, in smallholder fields, backyard gardens or mixed agrosystems, the predecessor of 'Cavendish' called 'Gros Michel' (Musa AAA) and other varieties similarly susceptible to 65 Foc race 1 (R1) are still used even today<sup>4,8</sup>. During the first part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, 'Gros 66 67 Michel' was the most internationally traded banana due to its favorable traits, i.e. big bunch, bruise-resistant peel and ability to withstand the long journey from farm to market<sup>9</sup>. 68 69 However, the highly susceptible 'Gros Michel' variety was completely replaced by resistant 70 'Cavendish' bananas in the large-scale industrial plantations during the 1950-1960s, as 71 Fusarium wilt caused by *Foc* R1 rapidly spread across South and Central America<sup>10</sup>.



Fig. 1 Two contrasting banana cropping systems. a, Organic farm in Tanzania with 'Mchare' banana (AA genome group) intercropped with coffee and in the shade of big trees, ensuring a richer above- and belowground biodiversity. b, Conventional banana plantation in Honduras based on the monoculture of 'Cavendish' (AAA genome group). Credit: Rony Swennen.

# 77 Research priorities and complementary strategies to control Fusarium wilt of 78 banana

#### 79 Biodiverse agrosystems to increase resilience

Unlike other banana fungal diseases such as Sigatoka leaf diseases, which are largely controlled by fungicides with up to 50 sprays per year, Fusarium wilt cannot be controlled unless performing complete sterilization of the soil<sup>11</sup>, which is unaffordable and moreover destroys the soil microbiome. Therefore, an effective and efficient mitigation management of Fusarium wilt should consist of a combination of strategies including redesigned banana cropping systems conducive to higher above- and belowground biological diversity.

86 Smallholder farms tend to rely on more heterogenous agrosystems with minimal inputs<sup>3</sup>. 87 Noticeably, 'Sukari Ndizi', a local banana variety in Eastern Africa susceptible to Foc R1, can be cultivated under such heterogenous agrosystems in Foc R1 endemic regions<sup>12</sup>. Efforts 88 89 are being made to identify specific bacterial and fungal genera present in asymptomatic 90 'Sukari Ndizi' plants and Foc suppressive soils, as they were demonstrated to host a wide diversity of microorganisms<sup>12</sup>. Cover crops in industrial banana plantations are a first good 91 92 attempt to not only reduce chemical weed control, but also to reduce weevil and nematode infestations<sup>13,14</sup>. However, more research is needed to identify the ideal cover crops 93 94 contributing to pest regulation and biomass production. Such cover crops should be able to 95 grow in the shade of the banana plants and not compete with them. Banana varietal mixtures 96 are an additional option, as practices in East and Central Africa showed that banana 97 production with Foc R1 susceptible varieties is possible where Foc R1 is paramount<sup>15</sup>. It is in

98 such varietal mixtures where the Foc R1-susceptible 'Gros Michel' has not disappeared from 99 biodiverse smallholders fields in Africa - nearly 70 years after the Foc R1 epidemics 100 annihilated 'Gros Michel' plantations in Latin America. Moreover, such susceptible varieties 101 are cultivated as part of intercropped or agroforestry systems in association with small trees like coffee, but also in the shade of big trees<sup>16,17</sup> (Fig. 1a). Agricultural management practices 102 103 with increased level of biodiversity on the farm were shown to reduce the intensity of important fungal diseases in crops<sup>18</sup>, including Fusarium wilt<sup>19</sup>. The mechanisms involved in 104 these biodiverse agrosystems remain elusive. It is possible that higher biodiversity in the field 105 106 triggers, directly or indirectly, the induction of resistance mechanisms in neighbouring plants 107 through competition for resources (e.g. light, water, nutrients), the release of specific plantderived compounds, or the establishment of plant-microbiome interactions<sup>20</sup>. 108

109 In such biodiverse-rich environments, plants are exposed to different types of microbiota 110 leading to complex plant - microbiome interactions, with considerable potential to increase plant health<sup>21</sup>. Indeed, the molecular signals that trigger plant immune responses are highly 111 similar and often identical in pathogenic and beneficial microbes<sup>22</sup>. However, the beneficial 112 effects of plant-associated microbiome are usually variety- and species-specific, and reveal 113 robust habitat and genotype-dependent selections<sup>23</sup>. Therefore, functional plant - microbiome 114 115 interactions should be incorporated into breeding processes as a trait for selection<sup>24</sup>. 116 Nevertheless, further efforts need to be made in order to identify key genotype-117 microorganism interactions and candidate genes for Foc tolerance. To achieve this, a better 118 characterization of the microbiomes in relation to banana genotypes, agricultural practices 119 and environments would result in essential information to adapt banana breeding. For 120 instance, microbiome profiles from tolerant and susceptible banana plants would help 121 identifying those microorganisms and, ultimately, candidate genes associated wit Foc 122 tolerance and/or inducing resistance. Likewise, identifying Foc-resistant accessions through 123 germplasm screening would help to understand mechanisms of resistance and provide banana 124 breeders with the genetic resources to be integrated into commercial varieties. Therefore, selection of naturally resistant varieties needs to tap into the available banana diversity<sup>25</sup>. 125 126 Because soil microbiome impacts plant health, these new varieties should then be integrated 127 into agrosystems and crop management practices that stimulate soil biodiversity associated with resistance against Fusarium wilt<sup>19</sup>. 128

## 129 **Precision breeding approach**

130 With the export industry still highly dependent on its preferred 'Cavendish' varieties, it is 131 also necessary to develop Foc TR4 resistant 'Cavendish' or 'Cavendish'-like bananas. 132 However, conventional breeding is time- and labour-consuming, especially in crops with long life cycles which require large plantation areas, such as banana<sup>26</sup>. In addition, although 133 sources of resistance to Foc TR4 were found in wild banana species<sup>27</sup>, introgression of Foc 134 135 TR4 resistance genes into commercial varieties by conventional breeding remains a difficult task due to the sterile nature of 'Cavendish'<sup>26</sup>. On the other hand, mutation induction resulted 136 in 'Cavendish' and other varieties with only intermediate resistance<sup>28</sup>. Genetic transformation 137 of banana offers the opportunity to overcome the difficulties of classical breeding $^{26}$ . 138 139 Transformation of 'Cavendish' with resistance gene analog 2 (RGA2), isolated from a TR4resistant diploid banana, showed promising results<sup>29</sup>. However, acceptance of transgenic 140 141 products by consumers, particularly in the European Union, prevents adoption of transgenic technologies by the banana export industry<sup>30</sup>. Therefore, new approaches to develop 142 143 'Cavendish' varieties displaying stable and complete resistance are urgently needed.

144 New Plant Breeding Techniques (NPBTs) are opening venues to breed difficult crops such as 145 banana and can accelerate the transition towards precision breeding for crop improvement<sup>31</sup>. 146 Polyploidy in *Musa* varieties is associated with domestication, and speed breeding techniques 147 could be instrumental to rapidly reproduce domestication events and provide access to novel 148 traits, including disease resistance, for subsequent selection of improved varieties<sup>32</sup>. Precision 149 breeding using CRISPR technology also holds tremendous opportunities for rapid and direct 150 editing of current elite triploid varieties. Genome editing of banana has been established 151 using Agrobacterium-mediated stable genetic integration of a Cas9-containing transgene in the genome of sterile triploid varieties<sup>33-36</sup> (Fig. 2). Agrobacterium-mediated stable 152 153 transformation offers the advantage of a high efficiency. However, the main drawbacks are 154 the impossibility of out-crossing the T-DNA in triploid genotypes and the needed efforts to 155 select well-characterized single-insertion events (Supplementary Figure 1). Proof-of-concept 156 and optimization of genome editing methods in banana have largely relied on the inactivation 157 of the *Phytoene desaturase (PDS)* gene in triploid genotypes as shown by ourselves (Fig. 2c) and others<sup>33,35,36</sup>. However, the drastic albino phenotype of PDS knock-out in banana 158 159 significantly impedes plant regeneration and growth, thus hampering optimization of gene 160 editing methods. By contrast, the gene coding for a subunit of the chloroplast signal recognition particle (cpSRP) machinery, cpSRP43/CHAOS<sup>37</sup> appears as a suitable alternative 161 162 target to monitor genome editing efficiency and further advance genome editing protocols.

Indeed, CRISPR-Cas9 mediated knockout of *CHAOS* in banana leads to pale-green
regenerants with normal *in vitro* growth (Fig. 2c).

165 Because stable transformation of sterile banana triploids does not allow subsequent transgene removal by outcrossing like those in other edited crop species<sup>38</sup>, gene editing could also be 166 167 performed at the pre-breeding stage by editing improved diploid parents. Since the generation 168 of totipotent embryogenic cell cultures essential for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation 169 (Fig. 2a) is genotype-dependent, this approach might encounter limitations with diploids, which are often recalcitrant to embryogenic cell induction from meristems<sup>39</sup>. Initiating such 170 171 embryogenic cell cultures from immature zygotic embryos excised from seeds would, thus, provide an alternative<sup>40</sup>. Another approach that remains to be tested in diploid banana is the 172 173 haploid induction editing (HI-Edit) technology, which combines haploid induction with gene editing<sup>41</sup>. The main haploid inducer locus is known to encode MATRILINEAL (MTL) and 174 CRISPR-Cas9 knockout of MTL has been used to make haploid inducers in rice and wheat<sup>42</sup>. 175

176 The sterile nature of triploid commercial varieties and the reluctance to use transgenic banana 177 make DNA-free CRISPR-Cas delivery methods indispendable for direct gene editing. Transient T-DNA delivery using Agrobacterium<sup>43</sup> or the use of carriers such as 178 nanoparticles<sup>44</sup> can help establishing T-DNA-free edited banana. Because CRISPR-Cas9 179 180 functions as a ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP), it can also be delivered as in vitro 181 synthesized RNPs by biolistics to plant cells, as pioneered in maize and wheat<sup>45,46</sup>, or to protoplasts<sup>47</sup>. Protocols for biolistics of cells and protoplast electroporation followed by 182 plantlet regeneration were explored for banana in the past<sup>48,49</sup>. Biolistics or particle 183 184 bombardment of banana cells is a relatively simple delivery system that remains constrained 185 by low transformation efficiency and the production of chimeric plants when no selection 186 marker is used (Supplementary Figure 1). Transformation systems of banana protoplasts 187 ensure regeneration of non-chimeric plants but they are limited by the low viability of 188 protoplasts after electroporation (Supplementary Figure 1). These aforementioned limitations, 189 as well as the availability of good quality embryogenic cells cultures with low probability of 190 somaclonal variation<sup>50</sup>, will need to be addressed in order to establish routine DNA-free 191 genome editing protocols for banana. Reducing tissue culture time by direct somatic 192 embryogenesis using morphogenic regulators and by cryopreservation could limit somaclonal variation and make banana gene editing more efficient<sup>51,52</sup>. Cryopreservation would be 193 194 executed as soon as enough quantity of good quality embryogenic cells suspensions is

- 195 obtained, leading to a long term genetically stable stock of totipotent cells. Additionally,
- 196 optimizing the photoperiod and the light quality/intensity required for plant regeneration after
- 197 transformation could be applied to shorten the process $^{53}$ .



198

Fig. 2 Targeted genome editing of banana using CRISPR-Cas9. a, Embryogenic cell culture of 'Williams'
('Cavendish', AAA genome group) used for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation and genome editing. b,
Transformed embryogenic cells growing on selective regeneration medium. c, Genome-edited plants showing: i)
mutations in *Phytoene desaturase (MaPDS)* leading to albino phenotypes (left), ii) mutations in *Chlorophyll a/b binding protein harvesting–organelle specific (MaCHAOS)* leading to pale-green phenotype (middle), and iii)
wild type phenotype (right). *Ma: M. acuminata*. Credit: Yasmín Zorrilla-Fontanesi.

Progress in NPBTs for banana needs to be concomitant with the identification of candidate genes for disease resistance. Despite several years of research, identification and characterization of genes conferring resistance against *Foc* TR4, the closely related subtropical race 4 (STR4) and R1 remain scarce<sup>29,54</sup>. Recent efforts to characterize the transcriptome modulation after *Foc* TR4 inoculation have led to the identification of a number of candidate resistance genes whose validation could be accelerated by fast and

robust NPBTs<sup>55-57</sup>. Additionally, the characterization of resistance genes in other *Fusarium* 211 212 oxysporum – host plant pathosystems combined with genomics approaches might also narrow down natural resistance gene candidates in banana<sup>58,59</sup>. Strong candidates for genome editing 213 214 in banana could be either negative regulators of disease resistance genes or host susceptibility 215 genes, which have been used to generate loss-of-function mutations (knock-outs) in other 216 plant-fungal pathosystems<sup>31</sup>. However, in perennial plant species that underwent recent whole-genome duplications, such as banana<sup>60</sup>, a large proportion of genes belong to well-217 218 conserved gene families comprised of several paralogs with highly similar DNA sequence. 219 Simultaneous expression of multiple single guide RNAs targeting different paralogs would 220 allow to perform 'multiplex genome editing' (e.g., double, triple, quadruple mutants), as demonstrated in many plants, including rice<sup>31</sup>, and provide a powerful tool for addressing the 221 222 problem of genetic redundancy in banana. Likewise, the generation of gain-of-function 223 mutants (knock-ins) of resistance genes by homology-driven repair is another option, although this method still remains difficult to implement efficiently in higher plants<sup>31</sup>. 224 225 Alternatively, enhancing the expression of resistance genes in 'Cavendish'(-like) bananas, as the  $RGA^{29}$  gene, by means of CRISPR-mediated gene regulation, targeted promoter 226 mutagenesis or replacement<sup>31,38</sup> may also lead to the generation of transgene-free banana 227 228 varieties resistant to Foc TR4.

# 229 The way forward

Current challenges in banana production will require a holistic approach building on new 230 231 agronomic practices supporting biodiversity and the development of banana varieties 232 requiring lower agricultural inputs (Fig. 3). Sustainable and immediate mitigation strategies for the Foc TR4 should rely on a combination of "smart" agrosystems<sup>61</sup> and cohort-based 233 234 crop management practices<sup>62</sup>. Cohort-based banana management will also require a global surveillance system of pathogens to match banana cropping systems and risk management<sup>63</sup>. 235 236 Due to the limitations inherent to banana genetics, breeding disease resistant bananas, 237 including the 'Cavendish' dessert banana, represents a middle to long-term strategy. 238 However, the potential of banana improvement to increase the durability of banana cropping 239 systems cannot be underestimated. Concomitantly, implementation of such durable cropping 240 systems will also ensure that the newly developed resistant varieties will hold longer in the 241 field by slowing down the emergence of pathogens able to overcome the deployed resistance. 242 High-throughput sequencing technologies have helped identifying soil microbiomes associated with plant health<sup>21</sup>, and banana breeding programs could take advantage of such 243

approaches to develop resistance to Fusarium wilt (Fig. 3). Because the diversity of cultivated
banana has long been impeded by its genetic structure, breeding programs also need to take
advantage of recent progress in tools for genetic improvement to rapidly assess and introduce
disease resistance genes in susceptible banana varieties (Fig. 3).



248

249 Fig. 3 Intergrated view of the proposed strategies for Fusarium wilt mitigation management in banana. 250 Sustainable agrosystems increasing the above- and below-ground biodiversity on the farm (1a) can lead to the 251 establishment of novel plant - microbiome interactions (1b) and the discovery of candidate genes associated to 252 Foc resistance or tolerance. Concomitantly, New Plant Breeding Techniques (NPBTs), such as CRISPR genome 253 editing, can be used for precision breeding in banana (2) and the generation of improved Foc-resistant lines 254 through targeted modification of susceptibility/resistance genes either in diploid parents (pre-breeding stage) or 255 triploid varieties. Foc: Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense. HI-Edit : haploid induction editing technology ; 256 RNPs : ribonucleoproteins.

#### 257 **References**

258	1.	Garcia-Bastidas, F. et al. First report of Fusarium wilt Tropical Race 4 in Cavendish bananas
259		caused by Fusarium odoratissimum in Colombia. APS Publications. (2019).
260		https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-19-1922-PDN
261	2.	Varma, V. & Bebber, D.P. Climate change impacts on banana yields around the world. Nat.
262		<i>Clim. Change</i> <b>9</b> , 752-757 (2019).
263	3.	Simmonds, N. W. & Shepherd, K. The taxonomy and origins of the cultivated bananas. J.
264		Linn. Soc. Bot. 55, 302-312 (1955).
265	4.	Gold, C.S., Kiggundu, A., Abera, A.M.K. & Karamura, D. Diversity, distribution and farmer
266		preference of Musa cultivars in Uganda. Exp. Agric. 38, 39-50 (2002).
267	5.	Gambart, C. et al. Impact and opportunities of agroecological intensification strategies on
268		farm performance: a case study of banana-based systems in central and south-western
269		Uganda. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 23, 87 (2020).
270	6.	Wielemaker, F. In: Kema, G.H.J. and Drenth, A. (eds.). Achieving sustainable cultivation of
271		bananas. Volume 1: Cultivation Techniques. Burleigh Dodds Series in Agricultural Science.
272		Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing, Cambridge, UK (2018).
273	7.	Ordonez, N. et al. Worse comes to worst: bananas and Panama disease-when plant and
274		pathogen clones meet. PLoS Pathog. 11, e1005197 (2015).
275	8.	Ndayihanzamaso, P. et al. The development of a multiplex PCR assay for the detection of
276		Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense lineage VI strains in East and Central Africa. Eur. J.
277		Plant Pathol. (2020).
278	9.	Soluri, J. Accounting for taste: export bananas, mass markets, and Panama disease. Environ.
279		Hist. 7, 386-410 (2002).
280	10	Stover, R.H. Disease management strategies and the survival of the banana industry. Annu.
281		<i>Rev. Phytopathol.</i> 24, 83-91 (1986).
282	11.	Bubici, G., Kaushal, M., Prigigallo, M.I., Gómez-Lama Cabanás, C. & Mercado-Blanco, J.
283	10	Biological control agents against Fusarium will of banana. Front. Microbiol. 10, 616 (2019).
284	12	Kaushal, M., Mahuku, G. & Swennen, R. Metagenomic insights of the root colonizing
285		microbiome associated with symptomatic and non-symptomatic bananas in Fusarium will infected fields. Plants <b>0</b> , 262 (2020)
200	12	Mollet C. Tivier D. Lessourret E. Quiliei S. & Duvek DE New primery resources
207	15	increases predetion on a past in a barana agroadesystem Agric For Entered 14, 217, 222
280		(2012)
207	1/	Diigal D at al Cover crops alter the soil nematode food web in banana agroecosystems. Soil
291	14	Riol Riochem <b>48</b> 142-150 (2012)
292	15	Karangwa P et al Genetic Diversity of <i>Eusarium oxysporum</i> f sp <i>cubense</i> in East and
293	10	Central Africa. <i>Plant Dis.</i> <b>102</b> , 552-560 (2018).
294	16	Jassogne, L., et al. Coffee/banana intercropping as an opportunity for smallholder coffee
295		farmers in Uganda, Rwanda and Burundi. In G. Blomme, P. Van Asten and B. Vanlauwe.
296		Banana Systems In The Humid Highlands Of Sub-Saharan Africa (p.144-149). Centre for
297		Agriculture and Biosciences International. Wallingford: CABI. (2013).
298	17.	Norgrove, L. & Hauser S. Yield of plantain under different tree densities and 'slash and
299		mulch' versus 'slash and burn' management in a agrisilvicultural system in southern
300		Cameroon. Field Crops Res. 78, 185-195 (2002).
301	18	Zhu, Y. et al. Genetic diversity and disease control in rice. Nature 406, 718-722 (2000).
302	19	Deltour, P. et al. Disease suppressiveness to Fusarium wilt of banana in an agroforestry
303		system: influence of soil characteristics and plant community. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 239,
304		173-181 (2017).

305	20. Zhu, S. & Morel, J-B. Molecular mechanisms underlying microbial disease control in
306	intercropping. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 32, 20-24 (2019).
307	21. Wei, Z. et al. Initial soil microbiome composition and functioning predetermine future plant
308	health. Sci. Adv. 5, eaaw0759 (2019).
309	22. Yu, K., Pieterse, C.M.J., Bakker, P.A.H.M. & Berendsen, R.L. Beneficial microbes going
310	underground of root immunity. Plant Cell Environ. 42, 2860-2870 (2019).
311	23. Morella, N.M. et al. Successive passaging of a plant-associated microbiome reveals robust
312	habitat and host genotype-dependent selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 1148-1159
313	(2020).
314	24. Wille, L. Messmer, M.M., Studer, B. & Hohmann, P. Insights to plant-microbe interactions
315	provide opportunities to improve resistance breeding against root diseases in grain legumes.
316	<i>Plant Cell Environ.</i> <b>42</b> , 20-40 (2019).
317	25. Christelová, P. et al. Molecular and cytological characterization of the global Musa
318	germplasm collection provides insights into the treasure of banana diversity. Biodivers.
319	Conserv. 26, 801-824 (2016).
320	26. Ortiz, R., & Swennen, R. From crossbreeding to biotechnology-facilitated improvement of
321	banana and plantain. Biotechnol. Adv. 32, 158-169 (2014).
322	27. Zuo, C., et al. Germplasm screening of Musa spp. for resistance to Fusarium oxysporum f. sp.
323	cubense tropical race 4 (Foc TR4). Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 151, 723-34 (2018).
324	28. Chen, Y.F. et al. Fusarium wilt-resistant lines of Brazil banana (Musa spp., AAA) obtained
325	by EMS-induced mutation in a micro-cross-section cultural system. <i>Plant Pathol.</i> 62, 112-119
326	(2013).
327	29. Dale, J. et al. Transgenic Cavendish bananas with resistance to Fusarium wilt tropical race 4.
328	Nat Commun 8. 1496 (2017).
329	30. McFadden, B.R. The unknowns and possible implications of mandatory labeling. <i>Trends</i>
330	<i>Biotechnol.</i> <b>35</b> . 1-3 (2017).
331	31. Cheng, K., Wang, Y., Zhang, R., Zhang, H. & Gao, C. CRISPR/Cas genome editing and
332	precision plant breeding in agriculture. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. <b>70</b> , 667-697 (2019).
333	32. Zhang, Y., Pribil, M., Palmgren, M. & Gao, C. A CRISPR way for accelerating improvement
334	of food crops. <i>Nat Food</i> <b>1</b> , 200-205 (2020).
335	33. Ntui, V.O., Tripathi, J. N., Tripathi, L. Robust CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing tool
336	for banana and plantain (Musa spp.). Curr. Plant Biol. 21, 100128 (2020).
337	34. Shao, X. et al. Using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system to create MaGA20ox2 gene-
338	modified semi-dwarf banana. Plant Biotechnol. J. 1-3 (2019).
339	35. Kaur, N. et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient editing in phytoene desaturase (PDS)
340	demonstrates precise manipulation in banana cv. Rasthali genome. Funct. Integr. Genomics
341	<b>18</b> , 89-99 (2018).
342	36. Naim, F. et al. Gene editing the phytoene desaturase alleles of Cavendish banana using
343	CRISPR/Cas9. Transgenic Res. 27, 451-460 (2018).
344	37. Klimyuk, V.I. et al. A chromodomain protein encoded by the Arabidopsis CAO gene is a
345	plant-specific component of the chloroplast signal recognition particle pathway that is
346	involved in LHCP targeting. <i>Plant Cell</i> <b>11</b> , 87-99 (1999).
347	38. Zhang, Y., Malzahn, A.A., Sretenovic, S. & Qi, Y. The emerging and uncultivated potential
348 240	or CKISPK technology in plant science. <i>Nat. Plants</i> 5, 7/8-794 (2019).
349 350	59. Strosse, H. <i>et al.</i> Development of embryogenic cell suspensions from shoot meristematic tique in honores and plantaing (Margaren). Plant Sci 170, 104, 112
33U 251	40 Ecolort LV & Triccor C. Somotic ambre and shaft from in the first from it.
352	40. Escarant, J.V. & Teisson, C. Somatic embryogenesis and plants from immature zygotic embryos of species Musa acuminata and Musa halbisiana, Plant Call Pap. 7, 191, 196 (1090)
554	emory os or species musu acaminara and musu barbistana. I tani Cett Rep. 1, 101-100 (1909).

353 354	41.	Kelliher, T. <i>et al.</i> One-step genome editing of elite crop germplasm during haploid induction. <i>Nat. Biotechnol.</i> <b>37</b> , 287-92 (2019).
355 356	42.	Jacquier, N. M. A. <i>et al.</i> Puzzling out plant reproduction by haploid induction for innovations in plant breeding. <i>Nat. Plants</i> <b>6</b> , 610–619 (2020).
357	43.	Veillet, F. et al. Transgene-free genome editing in tomato and potato plants using
358		Agrobacterium-mediated delivery of a CRISPR / Cas9 cytidine base editor. Int. J. Mol. Sci.
359		<b>20</b> , 402 (2019).
360	44.	Demirer, G.S. et al. High aspect ratio nanomaterials enable delivery of functional genetic
361		material without DNA integration in mature plants. Nat. Nanotechnol. 14, 456-464 (2019).
362	45.	Svitashev, S. et al. Genome editing in maize directed by CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein
363		complexes. Nat. Commun. 16, 13274 (2016).
364	46.	Zhang, Y. et al. Efficient and transgene-free genome editing in wheat through transient
365		expression of CRISPR/Cas9 DNA or RNA. Nat. Commun. 7, 12617 (2016).
366	47.	Woo, J.W. et al. DNA-free genome editing in plants with preassembled CRISPR-Cas9
367		ribonucleoproteins. Nat. Biotechnol. 33, 1162-4 (2015).
368	48.	Sági, L. et al. Genetic transformation of banana and plantain (Musa spp.) via particle
369		bombardment. Nat. Biotechnol. 13, 481-485 (1995).
370	49.	Sági, L., Remy, S., Panis, B., Swennen, R. & Volckaert, G. Transient gene expression in
371		electroporated banana ( <i>Musa</i> spp., cv. "Bluggoe", ABB group) protoplasts isolated from
372		regenerable embryogenetic cell suspensions. <i>Plant Cell Rep.</i> <b>13</b> , 262-266 (1994).
373	50.	Oh. T.J. <i>et al.</i> Genomic changes associated with somaclonal variation in banana ( <i>Musa</i> spp.).
374		<i>Physiol Plant.</i> <b>129</b> , 766-74 (2007).
375	51.	Lowe, K. et al. Morphogenic regulators Baby boom and Wuschel improve monocot
376	011	transformation. <i>Plant Cell</i> 28, 1998-2015 (2016).
377	52.	Panis, B., Withers, L. & De Langhe, E. Cryopreservation of <i>Musa</i> suspension cultures and
378	0 _ 1	subsequent regeneration of plants. Crvo Lett. 11, 337-350 (1990).
379	53.	Ghosh, S. <i>et al.</i> Speed breeding in growth chambers and glasshouses for crop breeding and
380		model plant research. <i>Nat. Protoc.</i> <b>13</b> , 2944-2963 (2018).
381	54.	Arinaitwe, I.K. <i>et al.</i> Evaluation of banana germplasm and genetic analysis of an $F_1$
382		population for resistance to Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense race 1. Euphytica 215, 175
383		(2019).
384	55.	Sun, J., et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals resistance-related genes and
385		pathways in <i>Musa acuminata</i> banana 'Guijiao 9' in response to Fusarium wilt. <i>Plant Physiol</i> .
386		<i>Biochem.</i> 141, 83-94 (2019).
387	56.	Zhang, L. <i>et al.</i> Transcriptomic analysis of resistant and susceptible banana corms in response
388		to infection by <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>cubense</i> tropical race 4. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> <b>9</b> . 8199 (2019).
389	57.	Li, et al. Analysis of banana transcriptome and global gene expression profiles in banana
390		roots in response to infection by race 1 and tropical race 4 of <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp.
391		cubense. BMC Genomics 14, 851 (2013).
392	58	Chatteriee, M., et al. Analysis of root proteome unravels differential molecular responses
393		during compatible and incompatible interaction between chickness ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L) and
394		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. ciceri Race1 (Foc1). <i>BMC Genomics</i> <b>15</b> . 949 (2015).
395	59	Shen, Y. & Diener, A.C. Arabidopsis thaliana RESISTANCE TO FUSARIUM OXYSPORUM
396	271	2 implicates tyrosine-sulfated peptide signaling in susceptibility and resistance to root
397		infection. <i>PLOS Genet.</i> <b>9</b> : e1003525 (2013).

- 60. D'Hont, A., Denoeud, F., Aury, J. *et al.* The banana (*Musa acuminata*) genome and the
  evolution of monocotyledonous plants. *Nature* 488, 213-217 (2012).
- 400 61. Côte, F. *et al.* Agro-ecological intensification in banana and plantain (*Musa* spp.): an
  401 approach to develop more sustainable cropping systems for both smallholder farmers and
  402 large-scale commercial producers. *Acta Hortic.* 879, 457-463 (2010).
- 403 62. Tixier, P., Malezieux, E. & Dorel, M. SIMBA-POP: a cohort population model for long-term 404 simulation of banana crop harvest. *Ecol. Model.* **180**, 407-417 (2004).
- 405 63. Carvajal-Yepes, *et al.* A global surveillance system for crop diseases. *Science* 364, 1237-1239
  406 (2019).

## 407 Acknowledgements

This perspective article was supported by the Horizon 2020 Project entitled Microbial Uptakes for Sustainable Management of Major Banana Pests and Diseases (MUSA; grant agreement ID 727624). The authors thank also all donors who supported this work through their contributions to the CGIAR Fund (<u>http://www.cgiar.org/who-we-are/cgiar-fund/fund-</u> <u>donors-2/</u>), and in particular to the CGIAR Research Program Roots, Tubers and Bananas (RTB-CRP).

# 414 **Author contributions**

R.S., H.V. and Y.Z-F. led the writing of the paper. L.P., B.P. and S.S. contributed to the critical reading of the manuscript, provided suggestions and contributed to the writing of specific sections. Y.Z-F. composed Figures 1, 2 and 3. S.S. composed the Supplementary Figure. R.S. and H.V. initiated and coordinated the manuscript.

## 419 **Competing interests**

420 The authors declare no competing interests.