# REGULAR PSEUDO-HYPEROVALS AND REGULAR PSEUDO-OVALS IN EVEN CHARACTERISTIC

### J. A. THAS

ABSTRACT. S. Rottey and G. Van de Voorde characterized regular pseudo-ovals of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q), q = 2^h, h > 1$  and n prime. Here an alternative proof is given and slightly stronger results are obtained.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pseudo-ovals and pseudo-hyperovals were introduced in [10]; see also [12]. These objects play a key role in the theory of translation generalized quadrangles [6, 12]. Pseudo-hyperovals only exist in even characteristic. A characterization of regular pseudo-ovals in odd characteristic was given in [2]; see also [12]. In [8] a characterization of regular pseudo-ovals and regular pseudo-hyperovals in PG(3n - 1, q), q even,  $q \neq 2$  and n prime, is obtained. Here a shorter proof is given and slightly stronger results are obtained.

### 2. OVALS AND HYPEROVALS

A *k*-arc in  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q)$  is a set of *k* points,  $k \ge 3$ , no three of which are collinear. Any non-singular conic of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q)$  is a (q + 1)-arc. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is any *k*-arc of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q)$ , then  $k \le q + 2$ . For *q* odd  $k \le q + 1$  and for *q* even a (q + 1)-arc extends to a (q + 2)-arc; see [3]. A (q+1)-arc is an oval; a (q+2)-arc, *q* even, is a *complete oval* or *hyperoval*.

A famous theorem of B. Segre [9] tells us that for q odd every oval of PG(2, q) is a non-singular conic. For q even, there are many ovals that are not conics [3]; also, there are many hyperovals that do not contain a conic [3].

### 3. GENERALIZED OVALS AND HYPEROVALS

Arcs, ovals and hyperovals can be generalized by replacing their points with *m*dimensional subspaces to obtain generalized *k*-arcs, generalized ovals and generalized hyperovals. These have strong connections to generalized quadrangles, projective planes, circle geometries, flocks and other structures. See [6, 12, 10, 11, 2, 7]. Below, some basic definitions and results are formulated; for an extensive study, many applications and open problems, see [12].

A generalized k-arc of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , is a set of k (n - 1)-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$  every three of which generate  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ . If q is odd then  $k \le q^n + 1$ , if q is even then  $k \le q^n + 2$ . Every generalized  $(q^n + 1)$ -arc of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ , q even, can be extended to a generalized  $(q^n + 2)$ -arc.

#### J. A. THAS

If O is a generalized  $(q^n + 1)$ -arc in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ , then it is a *pseudo-oval* or generalized oval or [n - 1]-oval of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ . For n = 1, a [0]-oval is just an oval of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q)$ . If O is a generalized  $(q^n + 2)$ -arc in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ , q even, then it is a *pseudo-hyperoval* or generalized hyperoval or [n - 1]-hyperoval of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ . For n = 1, a [0]-hyperoval is just a hyperoval of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q)$ .

If  $\mathcal{O} = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{q^n}\}$  is a pseudo-oval of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ , then  $\pi_i$  is contained in exactly one (2n - 1)-dimensional subspace  $\tau_i$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$  which has no point in common with  $(\pi_0 \cup \pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \pi_{q^n}) \setminus \pi_i$ , with  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q^n$ ; the space  $\tau_i$ is the *tangent space* of  $\mathcal{O}$  at  $\pi_i$ . For q even the  $q^n + 1$  tangent spaces of  $\mathcal{O}$  contain a common (n - 1)-dimensional space  $\pi_{q^n+1}$ , the *nucleus* of  $\mathcal{O}$ ; also,  $\mathcal{O} \cup \{\pi_{q^n+1}\}$  is a pseudo-hyperoval of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ . For q odd, the tangent spaces of a pseudo-oval  $\mathcal{O}$  are the elements of a pseudo-oval  $\mathcal{O}^*$  in the dual space of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ .

### 4. REGULAR PSEUDO-OVALS AND PSEUDO-HYPEROVALS

In the extension  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q^n)$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ , consider n planes  $\xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , that are conjugate in the extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and which span  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q^n)$ . This means that they form an orbit of the Galois group corresponding to this extension and span  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q^n)$ .

In  $\xi_1$  consider an oval  $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{x_0^{(1)}, x_1^{(1)}, \cdots, x_{q^n}^{(1)}\}$ . Further, let  $x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, \cdots, x_i^{(n)}$ , with  $i = 0, 1, \cdots, q^n$ , be conjugate in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The points  $x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, \cdots, x_i^{(n)}$  define an (n-1)-dimensional subspace  $\pi_i$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i = 0, 1, \cdots, q^n$ . Then,  $\mathcal{O} = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \cdots, \pi_{q^n}\}$  is a generalized oval of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ . These objects are the *regular* or *elementary pseudo-ovals*. If  $\mathcal{O}_1$  is replaced by a hyperoval, and so q is even, then the corresponding  $\mathcal{O}$  is a *regular* or *elementary pseudo-hyperoval*.

All known pseudo-ovals and pseudo-hyperovals are regular.

### 5. CHARACTERIZATIONS

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{q^n}\}$  be a pseudo-oval in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1, q)$ . The tangent space of  $\mathcal{O}$  at  $\pi_i$  will be denoted by  $\tau_i$ , with  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q^n$ . Choose  $\pi_i, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^n\}$ , and let  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q) \subseteq \mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$  be skew to  $\pi_i$ . Further, let  $\tau_i \cap \mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q) = \eta_i$  and  $\langle \pi_i, \pi_j \rangle \cap \mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q) = \eta_j$ , with  $j \neq i$ . Then  $\{\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{q^n}\} = \Delta_i$  is an (n-1)-spread of  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q)$ .

Now, let q be even and let  $\pi$  be the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q) \subseteq \mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ be skew to  $\pi$ . If  $\zeta_j = \mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q) \cap \langle \pi, \pi_j \rangle$ , then  $\{\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_{q^n}\} = \Delta$  is an (n-1)-spread of  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q)$ .

Next, let q be odd. Choose  $\tau_i$ , with  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^n\}$ . If  $\tau_i \cap \tau_j = \delta_j$ , with  $j \neq i$ , then  $\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{i-1}, \pi_i, \delta_{i+1}, \dots, \delta_{q^n}\} = \Delta_i^*$  is an (n-1)-spread of  $\tau_i$ .

Finally, let q be even and let  $\mathcal{O} = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \cdots, \pi_{q^n+1}\}$  be a pseudo-hyperoval in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ . Choose  $\pi_i$ , with  $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, q^n+1\}$ , and let  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q) \subseteq \mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$  be skew to  $\pi_i$ . Let  $\langle \pi_i, \pi_j \rangle \cap \mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q) = \eta_j$ , with  $j \neq i$ . Then  $\{\eta_0, \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_{i-1}, \eta_{i+1}, \cdots, \eta_{q^n+1}\} = \Delta_i$  is an (n-1)- spread of  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q)$ .

**Theorem 5.1** (Casse, Thas and Wild [2]). Consider a pseudo-oval  $\mathcal{O}$  with q odd. Then at least one of the (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{q^n}, \Delta_0^*, \Delta_1^*, \dots, \Delta_{a^n}^*$  is regular if and only if they all are regular if and only if the pseudo-oval  $\mathfrak{O}$  is regular. In such a case  $\mathfrak{O}$  is essentially a conic over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ .

**Theorem 5.2** (Rottey and Van de Voorde [8]). Consider a pseudo-oval O in PG(3n-1,q) with  $q = 2^h$ , h > 1, n prime. Then O is regular if and only if all (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{q^n}$  are regular.

## 6. Alternative proof and improvements

**Theorem 6.1.** Consider a pseudo-hyperoval 0 in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ ,  $q = 2^h$ , h > 1 and n prime. Then 0 is regular if and only if all (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_i$ , with  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q^n+1$ , are regular.

*Proof.* If O is regular, then clearly all (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_i$ , with  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q^n + 1$ , are regular.

Conversely, assume that the (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{q^n+1}$  are regular. Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{q^n+1}\}$  and let  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{q^n+1}\}$  be the dual of  $\mathcal{O}$ , with  $\beta_i$  being the dual of  $\pi_i$ .

Choose  $\beta_i, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^n + 1\}$ , and let  $\beta_i \cap \beta_j = \alpha_{ij}, j \neq i$ . Then

(1) 
$$\{\alpha_{i0}, \alpha_{i1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i,i-1}, \alpha_{i,i+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i,q^n+1}\} = \Gamma_i$$

is an (n-1)-spread of  $\beta_i$ .

Now consider  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_j, \alpha_{ij}, j \neq i$ . In  $\Gamma_j$  we next consider a (n-1)-regulus  $\gamma_j$ containing  $\alpha_{ii}$ . The (n-1)-regulus  $\gamma_i$  is a set of maximal spaces of a Segre variety  $S_{1;n-1}$ ; see Section 4.5 in [4]. The (n-1)-regulus  $\gamma_j$  and the (n-1)-spread  $\Gamma_i$  of  $\beta_i$  generate a regular (n-1)-spread  $\Sigma(\gamma_j, \Gamma_i)$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1, q)$ . This can be seen as follows. The elements of  $\Gamma_i$  intersect *n* lines  $U_1, U_2, \cdots, U_n$  which are conjugate in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , that is, they form an orbit of the Galois group corresponding to this extension. Let  $\alpha_{ij} \cap U_l = \{u_l\}$ , with  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now consider the transversals  $T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_n$  of the elements of  $\gamma_j$ , with  $T_l$  containing  $u_l$ . The n planes  $T_l U_l = \theta_l$  intersect all elements of  $\gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_i$ . The (n-1)-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$  intersecting  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$  are the elements of the regular (n-1)-spread  $\Sigma(\gamma_j,\Gamma_i)$ . The elements of this spread correspond to the points of a plane  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ , with its lines corresponding to the (2n - 1)-dimensional spaces containing at least two (and then  $q^n + 1$ ) elements of the spread. Hence the q + 2elements of  $\hat{O}$  containing an element of  $\gamma_j$ , say  $\beta_i = \beta_{i_1}, \beta_{i_2}, \cdots, \beta_{i_{q+1}}, \beta_{i_{q+2}} = \beta_j$ , correspond to lines of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ . Dualizing, the elements  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \cdots, \pi_{i_{q+2}}$  correspond to points of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ .

Now consider  $\beta_{i_2}$  and  $\gamma_j$ , and repeat the argument. Then there arise *n* planes  $\theta'_l$  intersecting all elements of  $\gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_{i_2}$ . The (n-1)-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$  intersecting  $\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n$  are the elements of the regular (n-1)-spread  $\Sigma(\gamma_j, \Gamma_{i_2})$ . The elements of this spread correspond to the points of a plane  $\mathbf{PG}'(2,q^n)$ , and the lines of this plane correspond to the (2n-1)-dimensional spaces containing  $q^n + 1$  elements of the spread. Hence  $\beta_{i_1}, \beta_{i_2}, \dots, \beta_{i_{q+2}}$  correspond to lines of  $\mathbf{PG}'(2,q^n)$ . Dualizing, the elements  $\pi_{i_1}.\pi_{i_2}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+2}}$  correspond to points of  $\mathbf{PG}'(2,q^n)$ .

First, assume that  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\} \cap \{\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n\} = \emptyset$ . Consider  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \pi_{i_3}, \pi_{i_4}$ . The planes of **PG** $(3n - 1, q^n)$  intersecting these four spaces constitute a set  $\mathcal{M}$  of maximal spaces of a Segre variety  $S_{2;n-1}$  [1]. The planes  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n, \theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n$ are elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ . It follows that  $(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2 \cup \dots \cup \theta_n) \cap (\theta'_1 \cup \theta'_2 \cup \dots \cup \theta'_n) = \emptyset$ .

Consider any (n-1)-dimensional subspace  $\pi \in \{\pi_{i_5}, \pi_{i_6}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+2}}\}$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1, q)$ . We will show that  $\pi$  is a maximal subspace of  $\mathbb{S}_{2;n-1}$ . Let  $\theta_i \cap \pi_j = \{t_{ij}\}, \theta'_i \cap \pi_j = \{t'_{ij}\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{q+2}$ . If  $t_{ij_1}t_{ij_2} \cap t_{ij_3}t_{ij_4} = \{v_i\}, t'_{ij_1}t'_{ij_2} \cap t'_{ij_3}t'_{ij_4} = \{v'_i\}$ , with  $j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4$  distinct, then  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are conjugate and similarly  $v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_n$  are conjugate. Hence  $\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \langle v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_n \rangle$  defines a (n-1)-dimensional space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which intersects  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta'_n$  (over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ ). The points  $t_{ij}$ , with  $j = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{q+2}$ , generate a subplane of  $\theta_i$ , and the points  $t'_{ij}$ , with  $j = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{q+2}$ , generate a subplane of  $\theta_i$ , and the points  $t'_{ij}$ , with  $j = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{q+2}$ , generate a subplane of  $\mathbb{PG}(3n-1,q)$  defined by  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$  and  $\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n$  coincide, clearly not possible. The (n-1)-regulus  $\gamma_j$  implies that the subplanes contain a line over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , so h|v. As n is prime we have v = h, so  $2^v = q$ . Hence the 2n subplanes are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . It follows that the q + 2 elements  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+2}}$  are maximal subspaces of  $\mathbb{S}_{2;n-1}$ .

Now consider a  $\mathbf{PG}(2,q)$  which intersects  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \pi_{i_3}, \pi_{i_4}$ . The (n-1)-dimensional spaces  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+2}}$  are maximal spaces of  $\mathcal{S}_{2;n-1}$  which intersect  $\mathbf{PG}(2,q)$ ; they are maximal spaces of the Segre variety  $\mathcal{S}_{2;n-1} \cap \mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ .

Consider  $\pi_{i_1}$  and also a  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q)$  skew to  $\pi_{i_1}$ . If we project  $\pi_{i_2}, \pi_{i_3}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+2}}$  from  $\pi_{i_1}$  onto  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q)$ , then by the foregoing paragraph the q+1 projections constitute a (n-1)-regulus of  $\mathbf{PG}(2n-1,q)$ . Similarly, if we project from  $\pi_{i_s}$ , s any element of  $\{1, 2, \dots, q+2\}$ . Equivalently, if  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, q+2\}$  then the spaces  $\beta_{i_s} \cap \beta_{i_t}$ , with  $t = 1, 2, \dots, s-1, s+1, \dots, q+2$ , form a (n-1)-regulus of  $\beta_{i_s}$ .

Now assume that the condition  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\} \cap \{\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n\} = \emptyset$  is satisfied for any choice of  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \beta_{i_2}$ . In such a case every (n-1)-regulus contained in a spread  $\Gamma_s$  defines a Segre variety  $S_{2;n-1}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let us define the following design  $\mathcal{D}$ . Points of  $\mathcal{D}$  are the elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ , a block of  $\mathcal{D}$  is a set of q + 2 elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ , containing at least one space of a (n-1)-regulus contained in some regular spread  $\Gamma_s$ , and incidence is containment. Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $4 - (q^n + 2, q + 2, 1)$  design. By Kantor [5] this implies that q = 2, a contradiction.

Consequently, we may assume that for at least one quadruple  $\beta_i$ ,  $\beta_j$ ,  $\gamma_j$ ,  $\beta_{i_2}$  we have

(2) 
$$\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_n\} = \{\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \cdots, \theta'_n\}.$$

In such a case the  $q^n + 2$  elements of  $\hat{\mathbb{O}}$  correspond to lines of the plane  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{O}$  is regular.

**Theorem 6.2.** Consider a pseudo-oval 0 in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ , with  $q = 2^h$ , h > 1 and n prime. Then 0 is regular if and only if all (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{q^n}$  are regular.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{O}$  is regular, then clearly all (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \cdots, \Delta_{q^n}$  are regular. Conversely, assume that the (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \cdots, \Delta_{q^n}$  are regular. Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \cdots, \pi_{q^n}\}$ , let  $\pi_{q^n+1}$  be the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}$ , let  $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O} \cup \{\pi_{q^n+1}\}$ , let  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  be the dual of  $\mathcal{O}$ , let  $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}$  be the dual of  $\pi_i$ .

5

Choose  $\beta_i, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^n + 1\}$ , and let  $\beta_i \cap \beta_j = \alpha_{ij}, j \neq i$ . Then

(3) 
$$\{\alpha_{i0}, \alpha_{i1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i,i-1}, \alpha_{i,i+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i,q^n+1}\} = \Gamma_i$$

is an (n-1)-spread of  $\beta_i$ .

Now consider  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_j, \alpha_{ij}$ , with  $j \neq i$  and  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^n\}$ . In  $\Gamma_j$  we next consider a (n-1)-regulus  $\gamma_j$  containing  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\alpha_{j,q^n+1}$ . The (n-1)-regulus  $\gamma_j$  is a set of maximal spaces of a Segre variety  $\mathcal{S}_{1;n-1}$ . The (n-1)-regulus  $\gamma_j$  and the (n-1)-spread  $\Gamma_i$  of  $\beta_i$  generate a regular (n-1)-spread  $\Sigma(\gamma_j, \Gamma_i)$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ . Such as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 we introduce the elements  $U_l, u_l, T_l, \theta_l, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and the plane  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ . The q+2 elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  containing an element of  $\gamma_j$ , say  $\beta_i = \beta_{i_1}, \beta_{i_2}, \dots, \beta_{i_q}, \beta_j = \beta_{i_{q+1}}, \beta_{q^n+1}$ , correspond to lines of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ . Dualizing, the elements  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+1}}, \pi_{q^n+1}$  correspond to points of  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ .

Now consider  $\beta_{i_2}$  and  $\gamma_j$ , and repeat the argument. Then there arise n planes  $\theta'_l$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q^n)$  intersecting all elements of  $\gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_{i_2}$ , and a (n-1)-spread  $\Sigma(\gamma_j,\Gamma_{i_2})$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ . The elements of this spread correspond to the points of a plane  $\mathbf{PG}'(2,q^n)$ . The spaces  $\beta_{i_1},\beta_{i_2},\cdots,\beta_{i_{q+1}},\beta_{q^n+1}$  correspond to lines of  $\mathbf{PG}'(2,q^n)$ . Dualizing, the elements  $\pi_{i_1},\pi_{i_2},\cdots,\pi_{i_{q+1}},\pi_{q^n+1}$  correspond to points of  $\mathbf{PG}'(2,q^n)$ .

First, assume that  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\} \cap \{\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n\} = \emptyset$ . Consider  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \pi_{i_3}, \pi_{i_4}$ . The planes of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1, q^n)$  intersecting these four spaces constitute a set  $\mathcal{M}$  of maximal spaces of a Segre variety  $\mathcal{S}_{2;n-1}$ . The planes  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n, \theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n$  are elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ . It follows that  $(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2 \cup \dots \cup \theta_n) \cap (\theta'_1 \cup \theta'_2 \cup \dots \cup \theta'_n) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\pi \in \{\pi_{i_5}, \pi_{i_6}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+1}}, \pi_{q^n+1}\}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 6.1 one shows that  $\pi$  is a maximal subspace of  $\mathcal{S}_{2;n-1}$ . It follows that  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \dots, \pi_{i_{q+1}}, \pi_{q^n+1}$  are maximal subspaces of  $\mathcal{S}_{2;n-1}$ .

Next consider a  $\mathbf{PG}(2,q)$  which intersects  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \pi_{i_3}, \pi_{i_4}$ . The (n-1)-dimensional spaces  $\pi_{i_1}, \pi_{i_2}, \cdots, \pi_{i_{q+1}}, \pi_{q^n+1}$  are maximal spaces of  $S_{2;n-1}$  which intersect the plane  $\mathbf{PG}(2,q)$ ; they are maximal spaces of the Segre variety  $S_{2;n-1} \cap \mathbf{PG}(3n - 1,q)$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n - 1,q)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 6.1 it follows that the spaces  $\beta_{q^n+1} \cap \beta_{i_t}$ , with  $t = 1, 2, \cdots, q+1$ , form a (n-1)-regulus of  $\beta_{q^n+1}$ .

Now assume that the condition  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\} \cap \{\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n\} = \emptyset$  is satisfied for any choice of  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \beta_{i_2}, j \neq i$  and  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^n\}$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  be distinct elements of  $\Gamma_{q^n+1}$ . Then  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \beta_{i_2}$  can be chosen in such a way that  $\alpha_1 \in \beta_i, \alpha_2 \in \beta_j, \alpha_2 \in \gamma_j, \beta_{i_2} \cap \beta_j \in \gamma_j$  with  $\alpha_3 \in \beta_{i_2}$ . Hence the (n-1)-regulus in  $\beta_{q^n+1}$  defined by  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  is subset of  $\Gamma_{q^n+1}$ . From [4], Theorem 4.123, now follows that the (n-1)-spread  $\Gamma_{q^n+1}$  of  $\beta_{q^n+1}$  is regular. By Theorem 6.1 the pseudo-hyperoval  $\overline{0}$  is regular, and so 0 is regular. But in such a case the condition  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\} \cap \{\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n\} = \emptyset$  is never satisfied, a contradiction.

Consequently, we may assume that for at least one quadruple  $\beta_i, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \beta_{i_2}$  we have  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\} = \{\theta'_1, \theta'_2, \dots, \theta'_n\}$ . In such a case the  $q^n + 2$  elements of  $\hat{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}$  correspond to lines of the plane  $\mathbf{PG}(2, q^n)$ . It follows that  $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ , and hence also  $\mathbb{O}$ , is regular.

**Theorem 6.3.** Consider a pseudo-hyperoval O in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ ,  $q = 2^h$ , h > 1 and n prime. Then O is regular if and only if at least  $q^n - 1$  elements of  $\{\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{q^n+1}\}$  are regular.

*Proof.* If O is regular, then clearly all (n-1)-spreads  $\Delta_i$ , with  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q^n + 1$ , are regular.

Conversely, assume that  $\rho$ , with  $\rho \ge q^n - 1$ , elements of  $\{\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \cdots, \Delta_{q^n+1}\}$  are regular.

If  $\rho = q^n + 2$ , then 0 is regular by Theorem 6.1; if  $\rho = q^n + 1$ , then 0 is regular by Theorem 6.2.

Now assume that  $\rho = q^n$  and that  $\Delta_2, \Delta_3, \dots, \Delta_{q^n+1}$  are regular. We have to prove that  $\Delta_0$  is regular. We use the arguments in the proof of Theorem 6.2. If one of the elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , say  $\alpha_1$ , in the proof of Theorem 6.2 is  $\beta_0 \cap \beta_1$ , then let  $\gamma_j$  contain  $\beta_j \cap \beta_i, \beta_j \cap \beta_0, \beta_j \cap \beta_1$  and let  $\beta_{i_2} \neq \beta_1$ , with  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \dots, q^n + 1\}$ . Now see the proof of the preceding theorem.

Finally, assume that  $\rho = q^n - 1$  and that  $\Delta_3, \Delta_4, \dots, \Delta_{q^n+1}$  are regular. We have to prove that  $\Delta_0$  is regular. We use the arguments in the proof of Theorem 6.2. If exactly one of the elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , say  $\alpha_1$ , in the proof of Theorem 6.2 is  $\beta_0 \cap \beta_1$  or  $\beta_0 \cap \beta_2$ , then proceed as in the preceding paragraph with  $\beta_{i_2} \neq \beta_1, \beta_2$ . Now assume that two of the elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , say  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , are  $\beta_0 \cap \beta_1$  and  $\beta_0 \cap \beta_2$ . Now consider all (n-1)-reguli in  $\Delta_0$  containing  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_3$ , and assume, by way of contradiction, that no one of these (n-1)-reguli contains  $\alpha_2$ . The number of these (n-1)-reguli is  $\frac{q^n-2}{q-1}$ , and so q = 2, a contradiction. It follows that the (n-1)-regulus in  $\beta_0$  defined by  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  is contained in  $\Delta_0$ . Now we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 6.2.

### 7. FINAL REMARKS

7.1. The cases q = 2 and n not prime

For q = 2 or n not prime other arguments have to be developed.

### 7.2. Improvement of Theorem 6.3

Let  $\mathcal{D} = (P, B, \in)$  be an incidence structure satisfying the following conditions.

- (i)  $|P| = q^n + 1$ , q even,  $q \neq 2$ ;
- (ii) the elements of *B* are subsets of size q + 1 of *P* and every three distinct elements of *P* are contained in at most one element of *B*;
- (iii) Q is a subset of size  $\delta$  of P such that any triple of elements in P with at most one element in Q, is contained in exactly one element of B.

**Assumption** : Any such  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $3 - (q^n + 1, q + 1, 1)$  design whenever  $\delta \leq \delta_0$  with  $\delta_0 \leq q - 2$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** Consider a pseudo-hyperoval O in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n-1,q)$ ,  $q = 2^h, h > 1$ and *n* prime. Then O is regular if and only if at least  $q^n + 1 - \delta_0$  elements of  $\{\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{q^n+1}\}$  are regular.

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Theorem 6.3.

## 7.3. Acknowledgement

We thank S. Rottey and G. Van de Voorde for several helpful discussions.

#### References

- [1] W. Burau. *Mehrdimensionale Projective und Höhere Geometrie*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1961, 436 pp.
- [2] L. R. A. Casse, J. A. Thas and P. R. Wild.  $(q^n + 1)$ -sets of  $\mathbf{PG}(3n 1, q)$ , generalized quadrangles and Laguerre planes, *Simon Stevin* **59** (1985), 21 42.
- [3] J. W. P. Hirschfeld. Projective Geometries over Finite Fields, Oxford University Press, Oxford, second edition, 1998, xiv + 555 pp.
- [4] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas. *General Galois Geometries*, Springer-Verlag, London, second edition, 2016, xvi + 409 pp.
- [5] W. M. Kantor. Dimension and embedding theorems for geometric lattices, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 17 (1974) 173 - 195.
- [6] S. E. Payne and J. A. Thas. *Finite Generalized Quadrangles*, European Mathematical Society, Zurich, second edition, 2009, xii + 287 pp.
- [7] T. Penttila and G. Van de Voorde. Extending pseudo-arcs in odd characteristic, *Finite Fields Appl.* 22 (2013) 101 - 113.
- [8] S. Rottey and G. Van de Voorde. Pseudo-ovals in even characteristic and ovoidal Laguerre planes, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 129 (2015) 105 - 121.
- [9] B. Segre. Sulle ovali nei piani lineari finiti, Atti Accad Naz. Lincei, Rend. 17 (1954) 1 2.
- [10] J. A. Thas. The *m*-dimensional projective space  $S_m(M_n(GF(q)))$  over the total matrix algebra  $M_n(GF(q))$  of the  $(n \times n)$ -matrices with elements in the Galois field GF(q), Rend. Mat. **4** (1971) 459 532.
- [11] J. A. Thas. Generalized ovals in  $\mathbf{PG}(3n 1, q)$ , with q odd, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 7 (2011) 1007 1035 (Special Issue: In honor of Jacques Tits).
- [12] J. A. Thas, K. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem. *Translation Generalized Quadrangles*, Ser. Pure Math., vol. 6, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, 2006, xxx + 345 pp.

GHENT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KRIJGSLAAN 281, S22, B-9000 GHENT, BELGIUM *Email address*: jat@cage.ugent.be