Ferrous metallurgy from the Bir Massouda metallurgical precinct at Phoenician and Punic Carthage and the beginning of the North African Iron Age

- 3
- Brett Kaufman^{a*}, Roald Docter^b, Christian Fischer^c, Fethi Chelbi^d, Boutheina Maraoui Telmini^e
- 4 5
- a) Joukowsky Institute for Archaeology and the Ancient World, Brown University, Rhode Island
 Hall, Box 1837, Providence, RI, 02912, USA
- 8 b) Department of Archaeology, Ghent University, Ghent (BE), Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 35, B-
- 9 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- 10 c) Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles
- 11 (UCLA), 410 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, CA, 90095 1595, USA
- d) Institut National du Patrimoine, 4 Place du château, TN-1008, Tunis, Tunisia
- e) Faculté des Sciences Humaines et Sociales de Tunis, University of Tunis, Boulevard du 9
- 14 Avril 1938, Tunis, Tunisia
- 15
- 16 *Corresponding author, Tel: 312-505-0170; email: brett_kaufman@brown.edu
- 17
- 18 Excavations of the Phoenician and Punic layers at the site of Bir Massouda in Carthage have
- 19 provided evidence for ferrous metallurgical activity spanning several centuries.
- 20 Archaeometallurgical analyses of slagged tuyères, slag, and alloys using optical microscopy,
- 21 portable x-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (pXRF), and variable pressure scanning electron
- 22 microscopy coupled with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (VPSEM-EDS) show that
- 23 Carthaginian smiths were conducting primary smithing and forging of wrought iron and steel.
- Although the majority of slag specimens are remnant from ferrous production, a few select finds
- are from bronze recycling. The corpus represents the earliest known ferrous metallurgy in North
- 26 Africa. As a Phoenician colony then later as an independent imperial center, Carthage
- specialized in centrally organized ferrous technology at the fringes of the settlement in areas such
- as Bir Massouda and the Byrsa Hill from before 700 to 146 BC.
- 29

30 Keywords: Archaeometallurgy; Slag; Tuyères; North Africa; pXRF; SEM-EDS

- 3132 **1. Introduction**
- 33

The earliest current evidence of ferrous metallurgical production in North Africa was recovered 34 through excavations at the site of Bir Massouda within the urban center of Carthage (Figs. 1 and 35 2). The established chronology previous to the research presented here is that metallurgical 36 production at Carthage was only firmly dated from the late 5th-3rd centuries BC on the southern 37 slope of the Byrsa Hill (Fig 3; Niemeyer 2001; Lancel 1982, 1981, 1979), with some possible 38 earlier scattered finds (Keesmann 2001), skewing our understanding of early North African iron 39 metallurgy and Phoenician contact. Beyond the Byrsa and Bir Massouda areas, smaller-scale, 40 localized metallurgical activity is also found throughout pockets of the ancient city. There is 41 evidence of a singular later 3rd century BC workshop just northwest of the Tophet. Occasional 42 iron smelting and smithing was practiced on the west side of the channel in the area of the 43 commercial harbor (east of the Tophet) dating to ca. 400-350 BC, with some contemporary 44 45 material coming from the Ilôt de l'Amirauté in the military harbor (northeast of the Tophet), as well as slags and tuyères from a 7th century BC dump at rue Ibn Chabâat (Chelbi 2004; Essaadi 46

47 1995a, 1995b; Hurst and Stager 1978). However, Tylecote (1982) dates the channel tuyères to ca.

- 48 350-250 BC based on comparisons with the Byrsa examples.
- 49 Some material culture remains associated with metallurgy from Magon's Quarter,
- 50 dumped into a Magonid context in antiquity but that may be residual from Early Punic layers,
- have been analyzed by Keesmann (2001, 1994; Rakob 1989). Limited metallurgical activity in
- 52 Bir Massouda, including a coin mould (221-210 BC) and four slagged tuyères, dated to the 3^{rd} -
- 2^{nd} centuries BC before the destruction of the city, are either residual from earlier periods or
- 54 indicative of small-scale metallurgical activities within the urban center (Frey-Kupper 2008;
- 55 Docter 2005). The coin mould may have come from the vicinity of a nearby public space such as
- the Agora/Maqom which has yet to be identified archaeologically (Maraoui Telmini et al. 2014; Maraoui Telmini Chelhi and Desta 2014). The same from Dia Maraoud is the architect broken
- Maraoui Telmini, Chelbi, and Docter 2014). The corpus from Bir Massouda is the earliest known
 ferrous metallurgy from North Africa. Previously unpublished slag pieces from domestic
- 58 contexts underneath the *Decumanus Maximus* published here also help to supplement the picture
- 60 of early metallurgy at the Carthaginian capital.
- 61

62 2. Phoenician Iron Metallurgy and Political Economy

63

In order to place the role of North African metallurgical data from Carthage in its broader 64 Mediterranean and Near Eastern contexts, it is worthwhile to review the interactions and models 65 for material exchange between Tyrians and their Tartessian, Andalusian, Sardinian, Judean, and 66 North African counterparts. The Phoenicians were primarily interested in extracting silver 67 resources from the Iberian Peninsula to import back to Neo-Assyria and other later 68 69 Mesopotamian Empires which were hungry for luxury items such as precious metals, ivory, and purple dye (Frankenstein 1979; see Aubet 2008 for a critique of this paradigm; Aubet Semmler 70 2002a-d). In exchange for provision of mineral resources and maritime skills, the Mesopotamian 71 states granted political autonomy to Tyre (Katzenstein 1997, 163, 165, 166, 209-210, 256). To 72 maintain this arrangement, Tyre established a network of colonies such as Carthage that in turn 73 74 were themselves tasked with providing tribute back to Tyre. 75 Early Phoenician colonial activities were centrally planned around a strategy of grafting Tyrian economic demand onto previously established trade networks, in what can be called a 76 cooperative mercantile economic system that encouraged surplus production for export 77 78 (Morehart and De Lucia 2015). For example, the Tyrians were able to negotiate commercial relationships with local tribes to access the mineral wealth of the Iberian Peninsula. In the 10th 79 and 9th centuries BC, so-called "Orientalizing" influences in the Central and Western 80 Mediterranean are usually referred to as "protocolonization" or "precolonization" initiated by 81 Phoenician merchants plying foreign waters searching for mineral resources to exploit (Johnston 82 2013; Valério et al. 2013; Valério et al. 2010; Dietler 2009; van Dommelen 1998). The earliest 83 evidence of Phoenician settlers in the West comes from Huelva and the region of Tartessos by 84 the 9th century BC, if not earlier (Aubet 2008, 247; Canales, Serrano, and Llompart 2008, 648). 85 The new international economy was based on shared incentives and is characterized 86 archaeologically by an increase in metallurgical production and warehousing. 87 Evidence is widespread for iron production at other Phoenician colonies such as those in 88 the Iberian Peninsula that are contemporary with their indigenous silver producing counterparts, 89 coming from such settlements as Abdera, Cabecico de Parra, Morro de Mezquitilla, Cerro del 90

- 91 Peñón, La Fonteta, Sa Caleta, and Santa Olaia (Renzi, Montero-Ruiz, and Bode 2009; Neville
- 92 2007, 136; Ortega-Feliu et al. 2007; Renzi and Rovira 2007; Salamanca et al. 2006; Kassianidou

2003; Jurado 2002; Niemever 2002; Ramón 2002; Kassianidou 1992; Keesmann and Hellermann 93 94 1989). At Tartessian sites such as Cabezo de San Pedro, San Bartolomé, Huelva, and perhaps Almonte, silver working installations can be found that date just before the arrival of Phoenicians, 95 96 with accelerated developments following colonial contact (Ruiz Mata 2002, 265). Whereas most Phoenician sites on the Iberian Peninsula show evidence of at least localized, small-scale iron 97 production, the native tribes were unquestionably the entity behind the actual mining and 98 smelting of silver. Indigenous sites of the 8th and 7th centuries BC such as Cerro Salomón, 99 100 Quebrantahuesos, and Corta Lago have revealed extensive evidence of cupellation (Neville 2007: 140-141). The latter site may have begun producing silver in the pre-Phoenician Late Bronze 101 Age. Relationships were forged with Andalusian and Tartessian chieftains who were able to 102 increase their own status by the acquisition of finished Phoenician products in exchange for 103 silver, including iron which was unknown to them before Orientalizing contact in the Final 104 Bronze Age or no later than the 8th century BC (Dietler 2010; Dietler and López-Ruiz 2009). 105 In the Levantine homeland, Phoenicians adopted ferrous technology for agricultural and 106 military applications by at least the 10th-9th centuries BC (Mazar 2004; Dayagi-Mendels 2002; 107 Gal and Alexandre 2000), and were able to use this technology as a surplus trade good to create 108 109 amicable economic relations abroad. Horizontal cultural transmission between Phoenician and indigenous populations are attested for other knowledge types such as architectural technologies 110 which may also have been traded, such as in the example of an ashlar retaining wall engineered 111 to prevent erosion from rainfall found in the Tartessian village of San Pedro dating to ca. 800 BC. 112

Tyrian state also may have signified their good intentions and a mutualistic commercial 114 relationship to the Tartessians in the western part of the Peninsula by the establishment of a 115 temple at Gadir honoring Melgart, which would serve to symbolize the long-term economic 116 commitment of the Tyrian officials to their local counterparts in addition to being a place where 117 118 grievances and claims could be officially brought forth (Fentress 2007; Aubet Semmler 2002b, 230; and cf. Shaw 1989 for possible evidence of this type of interaction at 9th-8th centuries BC 119 Kommos, Crete). Native cultures adopted or imported Phoenician cultural elements, for example 120 at Castro dos Ratinhos including rectilinear dwellings, red slip pottery, iron, and ivory (Valério 121 122 et al. 2010, 1812).

This wall finds a parallel in Tyre which dates to ca. 850 BC (Ruiz Mata 2002, 267-9). The

113

The precipitation in the local consumption of iron "prestige-objects" stands in contrast to 123 the lack of iron production in the Iberian Peninsula during the precolonial phase. In other words, 124 Phoenicians and indigenous populations traded iron goods, but only the former produced them 125 until the technology itself was transmitted as opposed to just the objects. Ferrous technology and 126 implements are well-attested at the Phoenician settlements of Morro de Mezquitilla and Cerro 127 del Villar during the 8th century BC (Sanmartí 2009, 55; Niemever 2001). At Morro and Tejada, 128 changes in smelting technology are apparent following Phoenician contact, with iron content 129 increasing in copper alloys compared to all previous periods studied (Craddock and Meeks 1987, 130 190, table 1). In Portugal, copper, tin, and gold made their way from the hinterland mines to the 131 coast. Presence of Near Eastern populations is inferred at the Beira Alta region of Portugal, 132 where fragments of a small curved iron knife were found, as well as at Almada with additional 133 curved iron knives. These strata have been respectively radiocarbon dated (calibrated) from 134 1310-1009 cal BC (two sigma), and 994-783 cal BC (two sigma) (Margarida Arruda 2009, 121), 135 providing early evidence for exchange. Adoption of Phoenician technological practices was 136 137 selective and gradual as indigenous Portuguese communities integrated their own well-developed non-ferrous metallurgical traditions to the Phoenician political economy (Valério et al. 2013; 138

Valério et al. 2010). It has been suggested that the demand for iron in the Iberian Peninsula
gradually accelerated due to the removal of scrap bronze in commercial circulation by
Phoenicians throughout the Iron Age, further cementing the need for indigenous groups to rely
on new Phoenician imports (Aubet Semmler 2002a).

The Carthage Survey established a few valuable facts about the Carthaginian 143 hinterlands within a 30 km radius of the city (Greene 1986). In the 7th-5th centuries BC, sites 144 with Punic finds are scarce, numbering only seven. Their existence was dependent on the 145 goodwill of the Libyans. Prior to later periods (4th century BC - 9 sites, 3rd/2nd centuries BC -146 50 sites) that showed Punic settlements investing in the development of their own agricultural 147 148 surplus, the Early Punic population relied on Libyan agricultural production, or on imported cereals. Few excavations have focused on these contemporary Libyan settlements, but targeted 149 research at the inland settlement of Althiburos has provided a case study for early Carthaginian-150 Numidian interactions in the contact period. Some ferrous slag was found at Althiburos in the 9th 151 152 or 8th centuries BC, indicating contacts between the autochthonous population and Phoenician settlers including ferrous technological transmission (Sanmartí et al. 2012; Kallala and Sanmartí 153 2011; Kallala et al. 2010). A level dated to the end of the 9th century BC at Althiburos provided 154 one shapeless iron implement. The beginning of the 6th century BC witnesses accelerating Punic 155 influence, including perhaps actual Carthaginian settlers as evidenced by a Punic cistern, and 156 even a defensive wall by the 3rd century BC (Sanmartí et al. 2012, 33). 157

The model of Phoenicians exchanging their technological knowledge for food is attested historically in the Levant. Food shortages are one of the key factors in explaining the alliance between Tyrian King Hiram and Judean King Solomon, in which the former sent metalworkers, masons, and raw materials to Jerusalem to construct the palace and temple, and in return received twenty cities in northern Israel which were supposed to (but fell short) of bolstering agricultural production (I Kings 9:11).

Iron implements from as far afield as the Portuguese Atlantic coast (Margarida Arruda 164 2009; Aubet Semmler 2002a, 104), to bronze artifacts from the Sardinian S. Antioco and 165 Phoenician style brooches on the eastern coast of Sicily (van Dommelen 1998, 75) demonstrate 166 that the tribute system of metal supply to the Neo-Assyrians was not unidirectional toward 167 Mesopotamia. Local elites in the indigenous areas desired, acquired, and consumed Phoenician 168 metal craft as well as the Neo-Assyrians. It is therefore necessary to understand that the 169 170 Phoenician and Neo-Assyrian supply of base and precious metals was predicated on the corollary demand of the indigenous groups for Phoenician ferrous alloys and other technologies. 171

Excavations at Bir Massouda were undertaken to provide a fuller picture of the early 172 urban development of Carthage, a Tyrian colony founded at the strategic maritime crossroads 173 between the Eastern and Western Mediterranean. A metallurgical horizon dating just after the 174 establishment of the colony was recovered (end of the 8th through5th centuries BC), in addition to 175 later residential and public features (4th through 2nd centuries BC). Archaeometric analyses were 176 conducted on the remains of the metallurgical material culture to classify the type and scale of 177 production, and to discern how these goods were used in the Tyrian commercial network and 178 later independent Carthaginian polity. 179

180

181 **3. Materials and Methods**

183 *3.1 Archaeological context*

184

182

185 An area dedicated to metallurgical production of a minimum extent of $1,500 \text{ m}^2$ was excavated at

- 186 Phoenician and Punic Carthage (ca. 800-146 BC) between 2000 and 2005 known as Bir
- 187 Massouda (alternately spelled Messaouda; Table 1, Figs. 1 and 2; Docter et al. 2006; see also
- Docter et al. 2003; Docter 2002-2003). The metallurgical horizon was situated over an earlier
 Early Punic cemetery. Carthaginian smiths undertook ferrous metallurgy beginning as early as
- the 8^{th} century BC, but the bulk of production at Bir Massouda dates from 650-500 BC, with
- production tapering off throughout the 5th century BC (Bechtold 2010; Docter et al. 2008; Docter
- et al. 2005). By around 425-400 BC or slightly later the area was transformed into a residential
- 193 quarter, and metallurgical activity was transferred to the south slopes of the Byrsa Hill where it
- also took the place of an Early Punic cemetery. This new Byrsa metallurgical zone remained in existence from the late 5^{th} to the end of the 3^{rd} centuries BC (Lancel 1995, 1985). The two zones
- together are the only two areas with known evidence of long-term, centralized metallurgical
- industry at Carthage (Fig. 3). The remains published here are the entirety of ferrous metallurgical
- 198 material culture excavated from undisturbed and well-dated contexts; much material from
- disturbed archaeological contexts, or from trench cleaning activities is not taken into account.
- 200
- 201 *3.2 Samples*
- 202

One trench at Bir Massouda revealed extensive metallurgical material culture in the form of
furnace debris, with other finds related to metallurgy in contemporary secondary contexts such as
streets, outdoor surfaces, fills, and leveling layers. The corpus is comprised of slagged tuyères,
slag, ferrous and non-ferrous alloys, as well as other infrastructure such as a basalt anvil with
ferrous residue (Kaufman 2014, Appendix IV).

- 208
- 209 *3.2.1 Slagged tuyères*
- 210

There are 54 slagged tuyères in the corpus which range from nearly complete slagged tips to 211 heavily damaged fragments which still maintain morphology of a tuyère as discerned by 212 preserved barrels (Figs. 4 and 5), with hundreds more tuyère fragments recovered. Of the well-213 dated specimens, 36 date from ca. 800-500 BC (21 of these date specifically from ca. 700-500 214 BC); 14 are broadly dated from ca. 800-400. No slagged tuyères are attested from ca. 400-200 215 BC; four date from ca. 200-146 BC (Fig. 6). These latter four slagged tuyères dating to the last 216 half century of Punic Carthage (200-146 BC) are likely residual from earlier activities, and less 217 likely to represent a resumption of small-scale, localized metallurgical production. 218

- 219
- 220 *3.2.2 Slag*
- 221

Several kilograms of slag ranging from small, pea-sized loose pieces to hand-sized slag cakes
 were also recovered from excavations at Bir Massouda. Samples selected for analysis were those

- that could be dated definitively within distinct categories in order to aid interpretations based on
- clear chronologies and which were confirmed metallographically as slag (Fig. 7, n=11 from 800-
- 600 BC, n=2 from 550-475, and n=1 from 330-300 BC). Three additional pieces of
- 227 contemporary slag were excavated from Punic domestic contexts under the Roman Decumanus
- 228 *Maximus* by a separate team from Hamburg University (dating 700-550 BC; Niemeyer et al.
- 229 2007; cf. Fig. 1), and are published here for the first time. 33 slag samples of broad chronology

(8th-2nd centuries BC) are almost certainly slag based on their morphology judged through ocular
 observation (Girbal 2013; Soulignac and Serneels 2013).

The morphology and coloration of the slag assemblages are highly diverse. Some pieces look like simple iron corrosion which upon microscopic investigation were revealed as slag (Fig. 8i), while others were smooth and brown-black and maintaining a vitreous form from the original processing (Fig. 8ii), while still others were black (Fig. 8iii). Some were slag cakes large enough to hold with both hands (Fig. 8iv) while others were smaller slag droplets. The consistent use of tuyères over many centuries in a circumscribed area would have resulted in a greater tonnage of slag than recovered, and it is likely that this was dumped elsewhere although no slag heaps at Carthage have yet been found.

- 239 240
- 241 *3.2.3 Ferrous alloys*

Four ferrous alloys were recovered from Bir Massouda, which included two complete nails (one dated from 550-330 and the other from 300-146 BC; Kaufman 2014 Appendix IIIE), and two
fragments that were sampled for archaeometallurgical analysis and discussed in the Results and Discussion section below (one dated 650-530 and the other 550-500 BC). Two other specimens may be identified microstructurally as bloomery slag.

- 249 *3.3 Analytical techniques*
- 250

248

Materials from the Bir Massouda assemblage were characterized to examine their compositional
and microstructural traits. This allowed for identification and comparison of the various
technological practices and choices made by the city founders. The analyses were conducted
using pXRF, SEM-EDS, and metallographic light optical microscopy.

- 255
- 256 *3.3.1 Portable X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (pXRF)*
- 257

258 PXRF was used for qualitative analysis of the slagged tuyères and loose slag. This technique provided rapid, non-destructive, and minimally invasive analysis which served simultaneously to 259 characterize the metal produced and to identify anomalies. XRF is a useful tool for determining 260 bulk composition of these materials, and depending on the elements and calibration procedures 261 can range from quantitative determination of elemental composition to qualitative determination 262 that an element is simply present in a sample. The analyses here are to be considered in the latter, 263 qualitative category in nominal scale. Slag and slagged layers on tuyères are extremely 264 heterogeneous materials, and the metal and ceramic phases often form a continuum. Therefore 265 the compositions presented here generated by pXRF are not meant to be absolute wt% averages 266 of the slags, but serve rather to indicate qualitatively ferrous versus non-ferrous phases. The 267 concentrations listed are not absolute measurements, and compositional values cannot be 268 manipulated to represent quantifiable differences between artifacts or elements. 269

Samples of slagged tuyères were gently brushed to clean and expose the surfaces to be analyzed without any further preparation, often leaving some depositional accretions. For many of them, the surface corresponded to a break with a heterogeneous texture and irregular geometry which are factors known to affect the accuracy of the measurements. Although it is preferential to analyze slag in a flat cross section, many of the slagged tuyères are complete vessels so a noninvasive method was preferred. Whenever possible, several spots were analyzed and averaged, but often data could be collected only from one spot, and in some cases with a 3 mm collimation,

due to sample size limitations. In all but a few cases, the instrument was set on an upright tripodand the samples were rested on a stable platform for analysis.

279 Elemental analysis was conducted using a Thermo Niton XL3t GOLDD+ handheld XRF equipped with a silver anode tube and a large silicon drift detector (SDD) operating at a 280 maximum voltage of 50 kV and current of 200 µA with a resolution better than 160 eV and 281 producing an average spot diameter of about 8 mm. Slag and slagged ceramic samples were 282 analyzed in "Mining" mode which uses fundamental parameters calibration iterative algorithm 283 and manufacturer-set internal calibrations to convert X-ray counts into concentrations. Settings 284 for the slagged tuyères and slag was the "Mining Cu-Zn" mode, 120 seconds duration, divided 285 into four parameters of 30 seconds each for detection of elements (main, high, low, light). The 286 results are reported in wt%. 287

288289 *3.3.2 Metallography*

290

Metallographic polarized light microscopy was conducted with a Nikon Epiphot-TME 291 metallographic microscope, as well as a Leica DMRM in order to identify the microstructures of 292 both slags and alloys and corrosion products. Samples were mounted in a two-part epoxy resin, 293 ground with 240 then 600 PSA backed grit, followed by polishing with monocrystalline diamond 294 295 suspension and/or non-crystallizing colloidal silica suspension of 6 µm, then finished with 1 µm and/or 0.02 µm. Mostly optical light was used, but for some of the micrographs differential 296 interference contrast (DIC) and/or a red compensator plate was used in order to increase the 297 depth contrast of the image, as well as polarization and dark field settings. Settings were adjusted 298 appropriately in order to capture specific microstructural features that were relevant to the 299 research. Micrographs were mostly taken with a 14 MP eyepiece UCMOS series microscope 300

- digital camera, but also in some cases with a Nikon digital camera D3000.
- 302

303 3.3.3 Variable pressure scanning electron microscopy (VPSEM) and energy dispersive X-ray
 304 spectroscopy (EDS)

305

306 VPSEM-EDS analysis of selected slag and alloy materials was conducted on mounted samples in order to attain additional compositional and microstructural resolution of the phases. VPSEM-307 308 EDS was performed on selected samples of slag and slagged tuyères. EDS spots were taken to record the compositions of hammerscales and calcium-rich matrix, slag phases, and charcoal fuel 309 phases. The instrument is a FEI NovaTM NanoSEM 230 SEM with field emission gun (FEG) 310 311 and variable pressure capabilities, equipped with a Thermo Scientific NORAN System 7 X-ray 312 EDS. A gaseous analytical detector (GAD) in variable pressure was used for the detection of backscattered electrons (BSE), providing images with compositional contrast. Accelerating 313 314 voltage was kept to 15 keV, chamber pressure was set at 50 mPa, and working distance around 8 315 mm.

- 316
- 317 *3.4 Standards*
- 318

One iron ore (NIST 692), one slag standard (BCS 382/1), and two bronze standards used in the

- 320 Getty "Round Robin" were analyzed by both pXRF and VPSEM-EDS in order to evaluate
- instrument capabilities in the context of our study, using the instrument's "Mining" mode (Table

322 2; following protocol of Heginbotham et al. 2010). The bronze standards were employed in order

to confirm the presence of tin, copper, and lead that were recorded by the pXRF in the two non-

ferrous slagged tuyère specimens (1112 38082 and 1121 17470). For pXRF, the iron ore and slag

standards were analyzed over two acquisitions averaged for each standard in powder form. For
 EDS, analysis of the pelletized iron and slag standards was conducted through averaging the

EDS, analysis of the pelletized iron and slag standards was conducted through averaging the compositional results in wt% from five areas at x1500 magnification totaling an area of 0.042

 mm^2 per sample.

329

330 4. Results and Discussion

331

4.1 Smithing and smelting of wrought iron and steel

333

Archaeometallurgical results yielded a picture of early metallurgical practices at Carthage. Both 334 direct and indirect evidence for the production of wrought iron and steel was found in the Bir 335 Massouda corpus. Although some evidence indicates smelting, the practices at Bir Massouda 336 align more with primary smithing of blooms and secondary smithing into semi-finished (like 337 spitzbarren ingots) or finished products (Wolff 1986, 181-2; Tylecote 1982, 269). It is possible 338 that local smelting occurred closer to iron ore and fuel sources at sites that have yet to be 339 identified. Of the 54 slagged tuyère slag phases analyzed, 52 were ferrous and two were non-340 ferrous as determined by pXRF (Table 3). Of the slag specimens, all 17 were ferrous (Table 4). 341 This case study illustrates why systematic pXRF on large datasets is an effective way to parse 342 out anomalies (in this case the non-ferrous slagged tuyères) in an otherwise seemingly 343 homogeneous taxon of data. 344

Of all the ferrous metallurgical debris, only four ferrous alloys were recovered, providing 345 evidence that Bir Massouda is a production rather than exclusively a consumption site. These 346 347 were two iron nails (one dating to the Middle Punic and one to the and Late Punic eras) and two corroded ferrous objects. These latter two objects date to the Early Punic period and were 348 mounted for analysis. The cross section of one, 4460 49172 (800-530 BC; Kaufman 2014, 349 350 Appendix III21) showed that contained within the corrosion is a well-preserved shaft of a nail or hook. The object was thoroughly corroded with no alloy phases identified. On the other hand, 351 object 1246 (550-500 BC), also maintaining a rectangular form, contained multiple phases of 352 both metallic iron and mineralized pearlite, or corroded steel (Fig. 9). 353

Some of the slagged tuyère slag phases were smaller than the pXRF beam diameter, and 354 in such a case the fluorescence produced, particularly in light elements, will be absorbed by the 355 material and then the air. It is therefore most likely that attenuation was a major factor in the 356 results outcome, and also accounts for why the total oxide values are below 100%. Specifically, 357 the factors affecting the total values are that magnesium was not detected, an underestimation of 358 aluminum and silicon due to the partial absorption of the emitted fluorescence by air in relation 359 to the low energy of this radiation, the chemical and geometrical heterogeneity of the 360 surface/subsurface in comparison to the bulk, and possibly the small size of the sample. Tables 3 361 and 4 are ordered by chronological period and archaeological locus and report the raw 362 compositional data of the pXRF. 363

All preserved tuyères at Bir Massouda were double barreled with toggled, parallel holes running down the entirety of the nozzle from air source to furnace (Figs. 3 and 4). Tuyères were used repeatedly as the smiths poked holes through the molten slag in order to keep the airways clear. Of the 36 slagged tuyères which date to the Early Punic period, as mentioned above only two can be considered non-ferrous (Fig. 10) and the rest as ferrous. The non-ferrous phases in the
Bir Massouda slags contain both copper and tin, indicating melting or recycling activities. The
plant-like shoots in Figure 10ii are comprised of tin and copper, either delafossite with magnetite
spinels or cassiterite (cf. Hauptmann 2014 figure 5.5c; Taskinen et al. 2013; Radivojević et al.
2010 fig. 8; Bachmann 1982).

Generally speaking, slag inclusions are in local equilibria with the surrounding metal. The presence of wüstite rich inclusions occurs most frequently with ferrite and wrought iron, whereas the absence of iron oxides but the abundance of the fayalite and glassy, or just glassy phases is associated with pearlite—the key ingredient of "natural steels" (Buchwald and Wivel 1998, 83, 94; Craddock 1995, 236). While keeping in mind that the data here are not slag inclusions in an alloy matrix but actual slag, these qualitative principles can still be considered relevant in the identification of the alloy products that may have resulted from these slag phases.

380 Great variation in wüstite content is expected even within a single slag specimen, resulting from the degree of reduction versus oxidation of free iron oxides (FeO). This occurs in 381 both smelting and smithing activities, so wüstite content alone is not sufficient to identify 382 smelting versus smithing slags. The methods employed in this paper are unable to differentiate 383 between iron oxidation states, which is necessary for conclusive identification between magnetite, 384 hematite, and often primary wüstite phases. However, microstructural determinations often 385 provide helpful information, such as in the cases of secondary wüstite and general determination 386 of olivine/fayalite. Most iron oxide phases in the Bir Massouda slagged tuyères and loose slag 387 are primary or secondary wüstite phases-and perhaps some hematite or magnetite-embedded 388 in a glassy matrix often with metallic iron phases or non-ferrous ore "relict" impurities 389 (Hauptmann 2014, 101). In tandem, there are several slags that display purely glassy or 390 olivine/fayalite phases sometimes in crystalline formation, primary and secondary wüstite that 391 could be common to both smelting or smithing slags, and hammerscale that is the byproduct of 392 393 smithing and forging (Figs. 11-13).

A thick layer of hammerscales was found capping parts of the metallurgical area, 394 suggesting that secondary smithing or forging activities were prevalent (Docter et al. 2003, 44). 395 396 In secondary smithing, large amounts of metal are lost to hammering in the form of scales and prills, some of which become trapped in slag. Hammerscales form when the iron bloom or metal 397 is continually hot worked. Iron-rich oxides, as well as impurities such as slag, tend to be 398 399 hammered off (Allen 1986). Two oxidized iron hammerscales can be seen in slag specimen 8339 38255A in Figure 13 embedded in a calcium-rich matrix, providing a lens into a non-slag furnace 400 environment with possible evidence of fluxing (due to the high calcium content and abundance 401 of murex shell remains found among the metallurgical installation) and forging or secondary 402 smithing (Table 5, see also the shell in Fig. 8i). 403

As mentioned above, 17 individual slag specimens not attached to tuyères were mounted 404 and polished for analysis, as it was possible to separate these into distinct temporal categories 405 (Table 4). These loose slags are generally characterized by an abundance of secondary wüstite 406 and fayalite phases. Still, composition and morphology can also change based upon the location 407 of the slag relative to the bloom (Blakelock et al. 2009, 1745). In order to empirically determine 408 the relative proportions of steel versus wrought iron within this or any assemblage, more 409 quantitative analysis would have to be conducted on large quantities of the slag. One of the slag 410 pieces from the Early Punic domestic context under the *Decumanus Maximus*, specimen 411 KA91/496-17, was sealed in the primary destruction layer of a room and is a ferrous slag likely 412 resultant from the production of pearlite due to the exclusively favalitic and glassy phases (Fig. 413

12). Taking all the data together, the mineralized pearlite from iron alloy 1246 and the abundantfayalite phases in the slag specimens represent evidence of steel metallurgy.

No ores were recovered from the site, which would suggest a preclusion or minimization 416 417 of smelting activities at Bir Massouda. Nonetheless, the quantity and types of slag (including several large slag cakes akin to those recovered from smelting experiments, cf. Girbal 2013) 418 likely preclude forging operations alone. It is likely that purification through forging of fairly 419 420 dirty blooms that were brought into the Bir Massouda zone was the major activity (Allen 1986), 421 with some smelting as well. As for smelting evidence, Figure 14i shows two eutectics in the FeO/SiO₂ system with adjacent secondary wüstite (larger yellow globules) and fayalite phases 422 (laths by the scale bar), which are found in smelting tap slag and blooms (for comparanda cf. 423 Phelps 2013, fig. 2; Bachmann 1982: 32-33, Plate XXIVb and c). Slag adhering to the bloom 424 tends to be depleted in FeO relative to the average bloomery slag (including such varieties as 425 furnace slag and tap slag) derived from the same iron production system or smelt, a characteristic 426 427 that many of the Bir Massouda slags possess with widespread fayalite and glassy phases (artifacts 2504 45012 and 1246a in Fig. 14; Blakelock et al. 2009, 1748). 1246a showed multiple 428 429 zones with wüstite (cf. Smith 2013, figure 9 for comparandum for slag from a "nascent" bloom), as well as iron oxide and glass slag phases. The microstructure and composition of this zone 430 indicates a semi-finished product between slag and metal that could have been formed during 431 either smelting or smithing episodes (Figure 14ii, Table 5). 432 Specimen 1246a also yielded mineralized timber grains, providing evidence for the use of 433

arboreal fuel sources. The exploitation of timber fuel is illustrated by the deformed wood 434 anatomy represented by a mineralized arboreal cellular structure which pseudomorphed into the 435 iron phases (for comparanda of fossilized organics and charcoal in slag cf. Valério et al. 2013 fig. 436 7; Radivojević et al. 2010 fig. 6; Schmidt 1997 fig. 6.20). A steady fuel source was always a 437 concern for smiths, and 1246a indicates that timber fuel was used at Carthage dating 550-500 BC 438 (Fig. 14iii, 14iv shows black organic cells and iron-mineralized white cells, Table 5). Exact 439 identification of the tree species was not possible due to the warped morphology of the cells 440 induced by the heat of the furnace. 441

442

443 4.2 Spatial organization of industrial and household production

444

The ratio of ferrous to non-ferrous slagged tuyères at Early-Middle Punic Bir Massouda is 24:1 445 (n=48:2), and this can be considered a proxy for the types of metals produced in the precinct: 446 iron and steel alloys made up around 96% of the metal produced from 800-400 BC at Bir 447 Massouda. This would be expected if Carthage were close to major ore deposits such as seen in 448 the roughly contemporary iron smelting at Tell-Hammeh (Blakelock et al. 2009; Veldhuijzen and 449 Rehren 2007; Veldhuijzen and Rehren 2006). But there is no substantial evidence of early 450 Carthaginian exploitation of local mines (cf. Wolff 1986: 182-183 for a discussion). Although 451 only minimal archaeometallurgical investigation has been conducted on other slags and tuyères 452 from the slopes of the Byrsa and other sites around Carthage, Tylecote (1982:272-273) reports 453 that they are nearly all the result of iron smithing as opposed to smelting, at times with "the odd 454 particle of copper-base alloy". This pattern of nearly exclusive iron production with limited 455 bronze recycling is also borne out at Bir Massouda, meaning that wrought iron and steel 456 production was a specialty of the early colony. 457

As mentioned above, 33 specimens were determined to be slag but broadly dated to the Early Punic period. 24 of these specimens date to the period 800-500 BC, so they are useful in

understanding the intensification of centrally organized production versus household production 460

- before the 5th century BC. Taking into account only the 11 specimens confirmed 461
- metallographically as slag (Table 4), the ratio of household slag under the *Decumanus Maximus* 462
- 463 (ca. 700-550 BC) to the production facility at Bir Massouda (ca. 800-600 BC) is 3:11. When the
- excluded 24 specimens from 800-500 BC are included, the ratio becomes 3:35. This shows that 464
- centrally-organized industrial production was between four to twelve times greater than 465
- household production in Early Punic Carthage (Fig. 7). The amount of centrally organized slag 466
- production exceeds the amount of slag produced in domestic contexts, indicating state-level or 467
- centralized organization of surplus iron commodities. 468
- 469

470 **5.** Conclusions

471

The ability to produce wrought iron and steel at the Carthaginian capital-activities ranging from 472 before 700-146 BC at Bir Massouda and the slopes of the Byrsa Hill-benefited the

- 473
- Carthaginians through possessing specialization of a technology that was a valuable trade good, a 474
- strategic asset for urban growth, and that enabled agricultural and military development. Despite 475
- 476 the absence of iron ores recovered from excavations, other evidence points toward some smelting
- along with confirmed primary and secondary smithing of blooms into wrought iron and steel. 477
- Ferrous production began in the 8th century BC and lasted from at least 700/650-400 BC at Bir 478
- Massouda, at the Byrsa hill from the late 5^{th} to late 3^{rd} centuries BC, and at decentralized locales 479 across the urban area in the final decades of the city. 480
- Centrally organized industrial production of iron and steel was a major component of the 481 Carthaginian economy for at least 450 years (650-200 BC). Based upon the scale and proportion 482 of iron to bronze slagged tuyères at Bir Massouda (24:1), and the ratio of slag production 483 between domestic and industrial contexts (between 3:11 and 3:35), it is most probable that 484 485 ferrous metallurgy at Bir Massouda was not geared only toward local consumption but rather surplus production. The surplus could be bartered or provisioned as tribute to Tyre. The iron 486 could then be utilized as Tyre saw fit; paid to Neo-Assyria or Babylonia, traded for as an exotic 487 488 commodity with indigenous Mediterranean elites for silver, left in Carthage to trade for food with North African groups such as at Althiburos, or used by the Tyrian government or 489 Carthaginian residents in other ways. 490
- 491 The little evidence that exists for the North African contact period indicates that the 492 Phoenicians were dependent on imported cereals and probably traded iron from the workshops in Bir Massouda for foodstuffs in the environs of Cape Bon and further inland. Indigenous North 493 African (i.e. Amazigh, Libyan, Numidian) populations in these locations, which did not possess 494 ferrous metallurgy before Phoenician contact, tolerated and eventually encouraged the presence 495 of Phoenicians due to converging economic interests through the Middle Punic period. 496 Compared to lithic technologies prior to Phoenician contact, using iron tools for agriculture 497 would have greatly improved the Numidian food yield. One of the only excavated Numidian 498 sites during the contact period is at Althiburos, where the earliest iron and slag artifacts date to 499 the Early Punic period and perhaps precolonization contact phase. 500
- As regarding the African context of the Bir Massouda finds, we do not attempt to answer 501 the question over whether the Carthaginian iron industry influenced the trajectory of African 502 ferrous metallurgy south of the Sahara (cf. Killick 2015, 2009; Alpern 2005; Childs and Herbert 503 2005, 280; Holl 2000, 6-10 for thorough discussions). Still, several centuries of continuous 504 ferrous metallurgy at Bir Massouda with slag specimens dated to the 8th and 7th centuries BC 505

represent an early, substantial addition to the archaeometallurgical corpus of the North African
 Iron Age which for the 8th through 6th centuries BC is otherwise undocumented.

508

509 Acknowledgments

510

511 This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate

512 Research Fellowship under Grant No. DGE-0707424. The authors would like to thank the

513 Tunisian Institut National du Patrimoine for access to the materials. Thanks are due to David

- 514 Scott, Ioanna Kakoulli, and Sergey Prikhodko for access to analytical instruments, including
- those of the Molecular and Nano Archaeology Laboratory (MNA) of the Cotsen Institute of
- 516Archaeology at UCLA. Sarah Paynter (Historic England) kindly provided the iron and slag
- standards, and Arlen Heginbotham (Getty Museum) the bronze standards. The excavations on
- the Bir Massouda site were financed by the UTOPA Foundation (Voorhout/Leiden, the
- 519Netherlands). Special thanks go to Hans Koens (Amsterdam) for his first metallurgical
- 520 observations on the samples and to Babette Bechtold (Graz) for her observations on the
- 521 chronology of some of the contexts discussed here prior to full publication, as well as to John
- 522 Marston (Boston University) for providing guidance on the arboreal cell structures.

523

524 References525

- Allen, J. R. L., 1986, Interpretation of some Romano-British Smithing Slag from Awre in Gloucestershire, *Historical Metallurgy*, 20, 97-104.
- Alpern, Stanley B. 2005. Did They or Didn't They Invent It? Iron in Sub-Saharan Africa. *History in Africa* 32:41-94.
- Aubet, Maria Eugenia. 2008. Political and Economic Implications of the New Phoenician Chronologies.
 In *Beyond the Homeland: Markers in Phoenician Chronology*, edited by C. Sagona. Leuven Paris-Dudley, MA: Peeters.
- Aubet Semmler, Maria Eugenia. 2002a. Phoenician Trade in the West: Balance and Perspectives. In *The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth–Sixth Centuries B.C.E.*, edited by
 M. R. Bierling. Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.
- Aubet Semmler, Maria Eugenia. 2002b. The Phoenician Impact on Tartessos: Spheres of Interaction. In
 The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth–Sixth Centuries B.C.E.,
 edited by M. R. Bierling and S. Gitin. Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.
- Aubet Semmler, Maria Eugenia. 2002c. Some Questions Regarding the Tartessian Orientalizing Period.
 In *The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth–Sixth Centuries B.C.E.*,
 edited by M. R. Bierling. Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.
- Aubet Semmler, Maria Eugenia. 2002d. Notes on the Economy of the Phoenician Settlements in Southern
 Spain. In *The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth–Sixth Centuries B.C.E.*, edited by M. R. Bierling. Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.
- Bachmann, H. G. 1982. *The Identification of Slags from Archaeological Sites*, Occasional Publication No.
 6. London: Institute of Archaeology.
- 547 Bechtold, Babette. 2010. The Pottery Repertoire from Late 6th-Mid 2nd Century BC Carthage:
 548 Observations Based on the Bir Messaouda Excavations. *Carthage Studies* 4:1-81.
- Blakelock, Eleanor, Marcos Martinón-Torres, Harald A. Veldhuijzen, and Tim Young. 2009. Slag
 Inclusions in Iron Objects and the Quest for Provenance: An Experiment and a Case Study.
 Journal of Archaeological Science 36:1745-1757.
- Buchwald, Vagn Fabritius, and Helle Wivel. 1998. Slag Analysis as a Method for the Characterization
 and Provenancing of Ancient Iron Objects. *Materials Characterization* 40:73-96.

- Canales, González de, Leonardo Serrano, and Jorge Llompart. 2008. The Emporium of Huelva and
 Phoenician Chronology: Present and Future Possibilities. In *Beyond the Homeland: Markers in Phoenician Chronology*, edited by C. Sagona. Leuven-Paris-Dudley, MA.: Peeters.
- Charlton, Michael F., Peter Crew, Thilo Rehren, and Stephen J. Shennan. 2013. Measuring Variation in
 Iron Smelting Slags: an Empirical Evaluation of Group-Identification Procedures. In *The World of Iron*, edited by J. Humphris and T. Rehren: Archetype.
- 560 Chelbi, Fethi. 2004. Tunesische Forschungen in Karthago. In *Hannibal ad portas*. Stuttgart: Theiss.
- 561 Craddock, P. T. 1995. *Early Metal Mining and Production*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution
 562 Press.
- 563 Craddock, P.T., and N.D. Meeks. 1987. Iron in Ancient Copper. Archaeometry 29 (2):187-204.
- Dayagi-Mendels, Michal, ed. 2002. *The Akhziv Cemeteries: The Ben-Dor Excavations, 1941–1944, IAA Reports 15.* Jerusalem: Israel Antiquities Authority.
- 566 Dietler, Michael. 2009. Colonial Encounters in Iberia and the Western Mediterranean: An Exploratory
 567 Framework. In *Colonial Encounters in Ancient Iberia: Phoenician, Greek, and Indigenous* 568 *Relations*, edited by M. Dietler and C. López-Ruiz. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 569 Dietler, Michael. 2010. Archaeologies of Colonialism: Consumption, Entanglement, and Violence in
 570 Ancient Mediterranean France. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- 571 Dietler, Michael, and Carolina López-Ruiz, eds. 2009. *Colonial Encounters in Ancient Iberia: Phoenician*,
 572 *Greek, and Indigenous Relations*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 573 Docter, R. F. 2005. Een Spectaculair Einde Carthago: 246-146. *Chr. Lampas* 38:313-329.
- Docter, R. F., Hans Georg Niemeyer, A. J. Nijboer, and H. van der Plicht. 2005. Radiocarbon Dates of
 Animal Bones in the Earliest Levels of Carthage. In Oriente e Occidente: metodi e discipline a *confronto. Riflessioni sulla cronologia dell'età del ferro in Italia, Atti dell'Incontro di studi, Roma, 30–31 ottobre 2003. Mediterranea, 1 (2004)*, edited by G. Bartoloni and F. Delpino. Pisa:
 Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali.
- 579 Docter, R.F., F. Chelbi, Bouthéina Maraoui Telmini, A.J. Nijboer, J. Van der Plicht, W. Van Neer, K.
 580 Mansel, and S. Garsallah. 2008. New Radiocarbon Dates from Carthage: Bridging the Gap
 581 between History and Archaeology? In *Beyond the Homeland: Markers in Phoenician Chronology*,
 582 edited by C. Sagona. Leuven, Paris, Dudley: Peeters.
- Docter, Roald F. 2002-2003. The Topography of Archaic Carthage: Preliminary Results of Recent
 Excavations and Some Prospects. *TALANTA* XXXIV-XXXV:113-133.
- Docter, Roald F., Fethi Chelbi, Boutheina Maraoui Telmini, Babette Bechtold, Hans Koens, Karin
 Schmidt, and Wim Van Neer. 2003. Carthage Bir Massouda Preliminary Report on the First
 Bilateral Excavations of Ghent University and the Institut National du Patrimoine (2002-2003).
 BABesch. 78:43-70.
- Docter, Roald F., Fethi Chelbi, Boutheina Maraoui Telmini, Babette Bechtold, Hamden Ben Romdhane,
 Vanessa Declercq, Tijs De Schacht, Eline Deweirdt, Alain De Wulf, Lamia Fersi, Suzanne Frey Kupper, Soumaya Garsallah, Ineke Joosten, Hans Koens, Jalel Mabrouk, Taoufik Redissi, Sihem
 Roudesli Chebbi, Karen Ryckbosch, Karin Schmidt, Birgit Taverniers, Julie Van Kerckhove, and
- Lieven Verdonck. 2006. Carthage Bir Massouda: Second Preliminary Report on the Bilateral
 Excavations of Ghent University and the Institut National du Patrimoine (2003-2004). *BABesch*81:37-89.
- Essaadi, F. 1995a. 'Recherches sur l'Histoire de l'Archéologie du Fer en Tunisie', Compte Rendu de la
 Communication Présentée au 3ème Congrès des Études Phéniciennes (Tunis Novembre 1991)
 REPPAL 9:217-8.
- Essaadi, F. 1995b. Recherches sur l'Histoire et l'Archéologie du Fer en Tunisie. In Actes du IIIe Congrès
 International des Études Phéniciennes et Puniques, Tunis, 11-16 novembre 1991, edited by M. H.
 Fantar and M. Ghaki: Tunis, Institut National du Patrimoine.
- Fentress, Elizabeth. 2007. Where were North African Nundinae Held? In *Communities and Connections: Essays in Honour of Barry Cunliffe*, edited by C. Gosden. New York: Oxford University Press.

604 Frankenstein, S. 1979. The Phoenicians in the Far West: A Function of Neo-Assyrian Imperialism. In Power and Propaganda: A Symposium on Ancient Empires, edited by M. T. Larsen. Copenhagen: 605 606 Akademisk. 607 Frey-Kupper, Suzanne. 2008. A Stone-Mould for Producing Carthaginian Bronze Coins of the Second 608 Punic War from Carthage Bir Massaouda. In XVII International Congress of Classical 609 Archaeology, September 22-26 2008. British School in Rome. 610 Fumadó Ortega, I. 2013. Cartago Fenicio-Púnica. Arqueología de la forma urbana, Madrid. Gal, Zvi, and Yardenna Alexandre. 2000. Horbat Rosh Zavit: An Iron Age Storage Fort and Village, IAA 611 Reports 8. Jerusalem: Israel Antiquities Authority. 612 613 Girbal, B., 2013, Experimenting with Bowl Furnaces, in Accidental and Experimental Archaeometallurgy, D. Dungworth, and R. C. P. Doonan, eds., The Historical Metallurgy Society, Occasional 614 615 Publication No 7. 616 Greene, Joseph A. 1986. The Carthaginian Countryside: Archaeological Reconnaisance in the Hinterland of Ancient Carthage. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago, Chicago. 617 Hauptmann, A. 2014. The Investigation of Archaeometallurgical Slag. In Archaeometallurgy in Global 618 619 Perspective: Methods and Syntheses, edited by B. W. Roberts and C. P. Thornton. New York: 620 Springer. 621 Heginbotham, Arlen, Aniko Bezur, Michel Bouchard, Jeffrey M. Davis, Katherine Eremin, James H. Frantz, Lisha Glinsman, Lee-Ann Hayek, Duncan Hook, Vicky Kantarelou, Andreas Germanos 622 623 Karydas, Lynn Lee, Jennifer Mass, Catherine Matsen, Blythe McCarthy, Molly McGath, Aaron 624 Shugar, Jane Sirois, Dylan Smith, and Robert J. Speakman. 2010. An Evaluation of Inter-Laboratory Reproducibility for Quantitative XRF of Historic Copper Alloys. Paper read at 625 626 International Conference on Metal Conservation. Interim Meeting of the International Council of Museums Committee for Conservation, Metal Working Group, at Charleston, SC. 627 Holl, Augustin F. C. 2000. Metals and Precolonial African Society. In Ancient African Metallurgy, edited 628 by M. S. Bisson, S. T. Childs, P. de Barros and A. F. C. Holl. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press. 629 630 Hurst, Henry, and Lawrence E. Stager. 1978. A Metropolitan Landscape: The Late Punic Port of Carthage. 631 World Archaeology 9 (3):334–346. Johnston, Philip Andrew. 2013. Toward a Systematic Approach to the Study of Phoenician Economic 632 Activity in the Western Mediterranean. In SOMA 2012. Identity and Connectivity: Proceedings of 633 634 the 16th Symposium on Mediterranean Archaeology, Florence, Italy, 1–3 March 2012. Volume 2, edited by L. Bombardieri, A. D'Agostino, G. Guarducci, V. Orsi and S. Valentini. Oxford: 635 636 Archaeopress, BAR International Series 2581 (II). 637 Jurado, Jesús Fernández. 2002. The Tartessian Economy: Mining and Metallurgy. In The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth–Sixth Centuries B.C.E., edited by M. R. Bierling. 638 639 Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns. 640 Kallala, Nabil, Joan Ramon Torres, Joan Sanmartí Grego, M. Carme Belarte, Bouthéina Maraoui Telmini, 641 Thaïs Fadrique, Rafael Jornet i Niella, Daniel López Reves, Núria Morell Cortés, and Sílvia 642 Valenzuela Lamas. 2010. Sobre los Orígenes de la Civilización Númida y su Relación con la Colonización Fenicia. Mainake XXXII (I):279-299. 643 Kallala, Nabil, and Joan Sanmartí, eds. 2011. Althiburos I: la fouille dans l'aire du capitole et dans la 644 nécropole méridionale, DOCUMENTA 18: Université de Barcelona, Institut Català d'Arqueologia 645 646 Clàssica, Institut National du Patrimoine (Tunisie), Tarragone. Kassianidou, V. 1992. Monte Romero, a Silver-Producing Workshop of the Seventh Century B.C in SW 647 Spain. Institute for Archaeo-Metallurgical Studies (IAMS) Newsletter 18:37-47. 648 Kassianidou, V. 2003. Early Extraction of Silver from Complex Polymetallic Ores. In Mining and Metal 649 650 Production Through the Ages, edited by P. Craddock and J. Lang. London: The British Museum 651 Press. 652 Katzenstein, Hannah Jacob. 1997. History of Tyre: From the Beginning of the Second Millennium B.C.E. until the Fall of the Neo-Babylonian Empire in 538 B.C.E. Jerusalem: Ben-Gurion University of 653 654 the Negev Press.

- Kaufman, Brett. 2013. Copper Alloys from the 'Enot Shuni Cemetery and the Origins of Bronze
 Metallurgy in the Levant. *Archaeometry* 55 (4):663-690.
- Kaufman, Brett. 2014. Empire Without A Voice: Phoenician Iron Metallurgy and Imperial Strategy at
 Carthage. Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Keesmann, I., and B. Hellermann. 1989. Mineralogische und Chemische Untersuchungen an Schlacken
 von Morro de Mezquitilla. *Madrider Mitteilungen* 30:92-117.
- Keesmann, Ingo. 1994. In *Handwerk und Technologie im Alten Orient: ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Technik im Altertum*, edited by R. Wartke: Ph. von Zabern.
- Keesmann, Ingo. 2001. Untersuchungen Zur Metallurgie Im Archaischen Und Punischen Karthago.
 Mediterranean Archaeology 14 (The Origins of Iron Metallurgy):95-108.
- Killick, David. 2009. Cairo to Cape: The Spread of Metallurgy Through Eastern and Southern Africa.
 Journal of World Prehistory 22 (4):399-414.
- Killick, David. 2015. Invention and Innovation in African Iron-smelting Technologies. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 25 (01):307-319.
- Lancel, Serge. 1981. Fouilles Françaises à Carthage. La Colline de Byrsa et l'Occupation Punique (VIIe
 siècle 146 av. J.-C.). Bilan de Sept Années de Fouilles. *CRAI Comptes-Rendus des Séances de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres* 125 (2):156-193.
- 672 Lancel, Serge. 1985. La Renaissance de la Carthage Punique. Réflexions sur Quelques Enseignements de
 673 la Campagne Internationale Patronnée par l'Unesco. *CRAI Comptes-Rendus des Séances de*674 *l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres* 129 (4):727-751.
- 675 Lancel, Serge. 1995. *Carthage: A History*. Translated by A. Nevill. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Lancel, Serge, ed. 1979. *Byrsa I: Rapports Préliminaires des Fouilles (1974-1976)*. Rome: École
 française de Rome.
- Lancel, Serge, ed. 1982. Byrsa II: Rapports Préliminaires sur les Fouilles 1977-1978, Niveaux et Vestiges
 Puniques. Rome: École française de Rome.
- Maraoui Telmini, B., F. Chelbi, and R.F. Docter. 2014. Les fouilles Tuniso-Belges du Terrain Bir
 Massouda (2002-2005): Contribution à la Connaissance de la Topographie de Carthage à
 l'Époque Archaïque. In *Proceedings of the VIth Congress of Phoenician and Punic Studies, Lisbon, (25 septembre 1 octobre 2005)*, edited by A. M. Arruda: 906-916.
- Maraoui Telmini, B., R.F. Docter, B. Bechtold, F. Chelbi, and W. van de Put. 2014. Defining Punic
 Carthage. In *The Punic Mediterranean: Identifies and Identification from Phoenician Settlement to Roman Rule*, edited by J. C. Quinn and N. C. Vella. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Margarida Arruda, Ana. 2009. Phoenician Colonization on the Atlantic Coast of the Iberian Peninsula. In
 Colonial Encounters in Ancient Iberia: Phoenician, Greek, and Indigenous Relations, edited by
 M. Dietler and C. López-Ruiz. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mazar, Eilat, ed. 2004. The Phoenician Family Tomb N.1 at the Northenr Cemetery of Achziv (10th–6th
 Centuries BCE), Cuadernos de Arqueología Mediterránea 10. Barcelona.
- Morehart, C. T., and K. De Lucia, 2015, Surplus: The Politics of Production and the Strategies of
 Everyday Life, in *Surplus: The Politics of Production and the Strategies of Everday Life*, C. T.
 Morehart, and K. De Lucia, eds., University Press of Colorado, Boulder.
- Neville, Ann. 2007. *Mountains of Silver and Rivers of Gold: The Phoenicians in Iberia*. Edited by R. J. A.
 Wilson, University of British Columbia Studies in the Ancient World 1: Oxbow.
- Niemeyer, H.G., R.F. Docter, K. Schmidt, and B. Bechtold, eds. 2007. *Die Ergebnisse Der Hamburger Grabung unter dem Decumanus Maximus*. Mainz: Verlag Philipp Von Zabern.
- Niemeyer, Hans Georg. 2001. Archaeological Evidence of Early Iron Technology at Carthage and Other
 Phoenician Settlements. *Mediterranean Archaeology* 14 (The Origins of Iron Metallurgy):83-93.
- Niemeyer, Hans Georg. 2002. The Phoenician Settlement at Toscanos: Urbanization and Function. In *The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth–Sixth Centuries B.C.E.*, edited by
 M. R. Bierling. Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.

Ortega-Feliu, I., B. Gómez-Tubío, M.Á. Ontalba Salamanca, M.Á. Respaldiza, M.L. de la Bandera, and G.
 Ovejero Zappino. 2007. Gold and Electrum Jewellry in the Strategic Area of Gadir in Phoenician
 Period. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research 260:329-335.

Phelps, M., 2013, Scientific Examination of Materials Used in the Experiments, in Accidental and
 Experimental Archaeometallurgy, D. Dungworth, and R. C. P. Doonan, eds., The Historical
 Metallurgy Society, Occasional Publication No 7.

- Radivojević, Miljana, Thilo Rehren, Ernst Pernicka, Dušan Šljivar, Michael Brauns, and Dušan Borić.
 2010. On the Origins of Extractive Metallurgy: New Evidence from Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 37:2775-2787.
- Rakob, F. 1989. Karthago. Die friühe Siedlung. Neue Forschungen. *Römische Mitteilungen* 96:155-208.
- Ramón, Juan. 2002. The Ancient Colonization of Ibiza: Mechanisms and Process. In *The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth–Sixth Centuries B.C.E.*, edited by M. R. Bierling.
 Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.
- Renzi, M., and S. Rovira. 2007. Metallurgical Slags from the Phoenician Site of La Fonteta (Alicante), A
 Preliminary Report. In Avances en Arqueometría 2005. Actas del VI Congreso Ibérico de
 Arqueometría, Universitat de Girona del 16 al 19 de noviembre de 2005. Girona: Universitat de
 Girona.
- Renzi, Martina, Ignacio Montero-Ruiz, and Michael Bode. 2009. Non-Ferrous Metallurgy from the
 Phoenician Site of La Fonteta (Alicante, Spain): A Study of Provenance. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36:2584-2596.
- Ruiz Mata, Diego. 2002. The Ancient Phoenicians of the 8th and 7th Centuries B.C. in the Bay of Cádiz:
 State of the Research. In *The Phoenicians in Spain: An Archaeological Review of the Eighth– Sixth Centuries B.C.E.*, edited by M. R. Bierling and S. Gitin. Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.
- Salamanca, M.Á. Ontalba, B. Gómez-Tubío, I. Ortega-Feliu, M.Á. Respaldiza, M. Luisa de la Bandera, G.
 Ovejero Zappino, A. Bouzas, and A. Gómez Morón. 2006. External-beam PIXE Spectrometry for
 the Study of Punic Jewellery (SW Spain): The Geopgraphical Provenance of the Palladium Bearing Gold. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research* B (249):622-627.

Sanmartí, Joan. 2009. Colonial Relations and Social Change in Iberia (Seventh to Third Centuries BC). In
 Colonial Encounters in Ancient Iberia: Phoenician, Greek, and Indigenous Relations, edited by
 M. Dietler and C. López-Ruiz. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Sanmartí, Joan Grego, Nabil Kallala, M. Carme Belarte, Joan Ramon Torres, Bouthéina Maraoui Telmini,
 Rafael Jornet, Souad Miniaoui, Thaïs Fadrique, Daniel López Reyes, Núria Morell, Marta Portillo,
 Sílvia Valenzuela, Jordi Campillo, David Montanero, Francisco Cantero, and Moufida Jenène.
 2012. Filling Gaps in the Protohistory of the Eastern Maghreb: The Althiburos Archaeological
 Project (El Kef, Tunisia). *Journal of African Archaeology* 10 (1):21-44.
- Schmidt, Peter. R. 1997. Iron Technology in East Africa: Symbolism, Science, and Archaeology.
 Bloomington, Indianapolis, Oxford: Indiana University Press, James Currey.

741 Shaw, Joseph W. 1989. Phoenicians in Southern Crete. *American Journal of Archaeology* 93 (2):165-183.

- Smith, T., 2013, A Report on the Wealden Iron Research Group Smelt, in *Accidental and Experimental Archaeometallurgy*, D. Dungowrth, and R. C. P. Doonan, eds., The Historical Metallurgy Society,
 Occasional Publication No 7.Soulignac, R., and V. Serneels, 2013, Forging with Dogon Smiths
 (Mali), in *Accidental and Experimental Archaeometallurgy*, D. Dungowrth, and R. C. P. Doonan,
- eds., The Historical Metallurgy Society, Occasional Publication No 7.
- Childs, Terry S., and Eugenia W. Herbert. 2005. Metallurgy and Its Consequences. In *African Archaeology: A Critical Introduction*, edited by A. B. Stahl: Blackwell Publishing.
- Taskinen, Pekka, Markku Kaskiala, Kaisa Miettinen, and Jani Jansson. 2013. Freeze-Lining Formation
 and Microstructure in a Direct-to-Blister Flash Smelting Slag. *Journal for Manufacturing Science and Production* 13 (1-2):77-83.

Tylecote, R.F. 1982. Metallurgy in Punic and Roman Carthage. In *Mines et Fonderies Antiques de la Gaule*. Paris: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

- Valério, Pedro, Rui J.C. Silva, António M. Monge Soares, Maria F. Araújo, Francisco M. Braz Fernandes,
 António C. Silva, and Luis Berrocal-Rangel. 2010. Technological Continuity in Early Iron Age
 Bronze Metallurgy at the South-Western Iberian Peninsula A Sight from Castro dos Ratinhos.
 Journal of Archaeological Science 37:1811-1819.
- Valério, Pedro, António M. Monge Soares, Rui J.C. Silva, Maria Fátima Araújo, Paulo Rebelo, Nuno
 Neto, Raquel Santos, and Tiago Fontes. 2013. Bronze Production in Southwestern Iberian
 Peninsula: The Late Bronze Age Metallurgical Workshop from Entre Águas 5 (Portugal). Journal
 of Archaeological Science 40:439-451.
- van Dommelen, Peter. 1998. On Colonial Grounds: A Comparative Study of Colonisalism and Rural
 Settlement in 1st Milennium BC in West Central Sardinia. Leiden: University of Leiden.
- Veldhuijzen, Harald Alexander, and Thilo Rehren. 2007. Slag and the city: early iron production at Tell
 Hammeh, Jordan, and Tel Beth-Shemesh, Israel. In *Metals and Mines: Studies in Archaeometallurgy*, edited by S. L. Niece, D. Hook and P. Craddock. London: Archetype/British
 Museum.
- Veldhuijzen, Xander, and Thilo Rehren. 2006. Iron smelting slag formation at Tell Hammeh (Az-Zarqa),
 Jordan. Paper read at 34th International Syposium on Archaeometry, 3-7 May 2004, at Zaragoza.
- Waldbaum, Jane C. 1980. The First Archaeological Appearance of Iron and the Transition to the Iron Age.
 In *The Coming of the Age of Iron*, edited by T. A. Wertime and J. D. Muhly. New Haven and
 London: Yale University Press.
- Wolff, Samuel R. 1986. Maritime Trade at Punic Carthage. Ph.D. dissertation, Near Eastern Languages
 and Civilizations, University of Chicago, Chicago.
- 776 Table and Figure Captions
- 777

775

Table 1. Archaeological strata and historical chronology of Carthage, after Bechtold (2010).

- (black and white for web and print)
- **Table 2**. PXRF and EDS analytical results of iron ore, iron slag, and Getty bronze standards
- 781 (wt %). (color for web and print)
- **Table 3.** Compositional data of slag phases in slagged tuyères (pXRF). (black and white for web and print)
- **Table 4**. Composition of slag in household contexts (under Decumanus Maximus) and Bir
- 785 Massouda industrial contexts (pXRF). (black and white for web and print)
- 786 Table 5. EDS spot compositions of hammerscales and calcium-rich matrix, slag phases, and
- timber charcoal phases (see Figs. 13 and 14 for images). (black and white for web and print)
- **Figure 1**. Map of Carthage 8th-7th centuries BC with sites and metal workshops mentioned in
- the text (prepared by Joris Angenon on the basis of Fumadó Ortega 2013, Plano I). (2-column;
 color for web and print)
- 791 color for web and print)
- **Figure 2**. Plan of the Bir Massouda site with indication of the individual trenches (AutoCAD
- version prepared by D. Van Damme, 2005. Plan based on versions of the University of
- Amsterdam (A. Mezzolani, see fig. 1) and UGent/INP (Société ATHAR, 2003). Reconstruction
- of the exact position of the house architecture of 'layer IVa' in the excavations of the University
- of Hamburg is inserted (based on Niemeyer et al. 2007, Beilage 5). (2-column; color for web andprint)
- **Figure 3**. Chronological schematic synchronizing major metallurgical, archaeological, and
- historical periods at Carthage (all dates BC; scale is relative, not temporal)
- **Figure 4**. Ceramic tuyère with attached ferrous slag and hood [8091 17464]. (2-column; color
- 801 for web and print)

- **Figure 5**. A selection of tuyères with slag attached from Bir Massouda, with the tuyères in the
- top left and right corner representative of otherwise poorly preserved specimens but which still
- display barrels [left to right: top row 8091 18719, 8069 16627, 8091 38018, 8091 17482; middle
- row 8089 17486, 8091 30191, 8092 17473; bottom row 8091 38027, 8069 16621, 8069 16622].
- 806 (2-column; color for web and print)
- **Figure 6**. Slagged tuyères with ferrous and non-ferrous (copper and tin) slag phases excavated at
- 808 Bir Massouda: (36 tuyères from 800-500 BC; 14 tuyères from 800-400 BC; four tuyères from
- 809 200-146 BC). (2-column; black and white for web and print)
- 810 Figure 7. Metallographically confirmed slag excavated from the Bir Massouda industrial
- 811 precinct versus the residential zone under the *Decumanus Maximus*. (2-column; black and white 812 for web and print)
- Figure 8. A selection of slag from Bir Massouda and under the *Decumanus Maximus*. (i) On the
- left side slag piece 1249 (525-500 BC), notice the shell encrusted in the slag matrix, on the right
- side a metallograph showing primary and secondary wüstite phases; (ii) slag piece KA 91/496-17,
- see also Fig. 12 and Table 4; (iii) slag piece 8339 34910, see Table 4 and Kaufman 2014
- Appendix II8; (iv) Early Punic slag piece 7458 40819. (2-column; color for web and print)
- **Figure 9**. Mineralized pearlite in iron oxide of corroded object seen at lower (i) and higher (ii)
- magnifications, VPSEM-EDS GAD [1246]. (2-column; black and white for web and print)
- **Figure 10**. Slag phases of the two non-ferrous slagged tuyères. (i) Delafossite laths and spinel
- microstructure in glassy matrix of non-ferrous slag phase of a slagged tuyère, PLM [1121 17470];
- (ii) Leafy microstructure of tin bronze plant-like shoots, delafossite or cassiterite, SEM-EDS
- GAD [1112 38082]. (2-column; color for web and print)
- **Figure 11**. Typical phases found throughout the corpus of ferrous slag seen in PLM. (i) Slag
- phase of slagged tuyère with microstructure of secondary wüstite in a glassy matrix [8091
- 38118]; (ii) Slag with spinels of primary wüstite or magnetite in a glassy matrix with some iron
- silicates, with a white pure iron prill in the center [8360 34318]; Primary and secondary wüstite
- in fayalite and glassy matrix of a slag at (iii) further and (iv) closer magnification [8339 34955B]
- (the entire library of micrographs is available in Kaufman 2014). (2-column; color for web and
- 830 print)
- **Figure 12**. Fayalite in glassy matrix likely resultant from production of pearlite. (i-iii) The pink
- circle contains the same area at different magnifications and instruments, respectively PLM,
- 833 VPSEM-EDS GAD, and dark field; (iv) Fayalite in a crystalline formation [KA91/496-17]. (2-
- column; color for web and print)
- **Figure 13**. Corroded iron metal hammerscale trapped in a non-slag calcium-rich matrix, see
- Table 5 for spot compositions, VPSEM-EDS GAD [8339 38255A]. (2-column; color for web and print)
- **Figure 14**. Bloomery slag specimens (i) 2504 45012 and (ii-iv) 1246a. (i) PLM [2504 45012]; (ii)
- 839 Mineralized ferrite grains PLM [1246a]; (iii) Primary wüstite or magnetite slag phase in glassy
- 840 matrix can be seen on the upper right of the micrograph, with carburized and iron-mineralized
- burnt timber fuel cellular structure below, PLM [1246a]; (iv) The black, empty cells rich in
- carbon whereas the white cells have pseudomorphed into a warped metalliferous tree anatomy,
- see Table 5 for spot compositions, VPSEM-EDS GAD [1246a]. (2-column; color for web and
- 844 print)

Period	Dates BC	Description
Early Punic (EP)		
Early Punic I	760-675	colonial
Early Punic II	675-530	imperial formative
Middle Punic (MP)		
Early/Middle Punic	530-480	imperial formative
Middle Punic I	480-430	imperial
Middle Punic II.1	430-400	imperial
Middle Punic II.2	400-300	imperial
Late Punic (LP)		
Late Punic I	300-200	decline
Late Punic II	200-146	collapse

Slag BCS 382/1*	FoO	CoO	SiO	ΡΛ	SO		MnO	TiO	MaO	VO	CrO	-
Blag DCB 302/1	reo	CaU	SIO_2	1 ₂ 05	503	AI_2O_3	WIIIO	110_2	MgO	$v_2 O_5$	CI_2O_3	
Standard	25.60	40.10	13.03	3.06	0.92	3.79	7.96	0.42	3.73	0.24	0.80	
pXRF	24.84	36.34	12.39	2.64	2.01	4.58	8.22	nd	nd	nd	0.72	
EDS	26.78	43.79	11.66	2.69	0.65	5.43	6.69	0.88	1.90	0.34	0.90	
EDS σ	4.41	2.63	1.03	0.28	0.18	0.3	1.44	0.09	0.32	0.03	0.11	
												_
Ore NIST 692	FeO	CaO	SiO ₂	K ₂ O	P_2O_5	SO_3	Al ₂ O ₃	MnO	TiO ₂	MgO	Total	
Standard	76.65	0.023	10.14	0.039	0.09	0.012	1.41	0.46	0.045	0.035	88.9	
pXRF	80.17	nd	11.79	nd	nd	nd	2.78	0.52	nd	nd	95.26	
EDS	80.2	0.05	11.61	0.04	0.14	0.01	3.23	0.55	0.11	0.06	96	
EDS σ	2.18	0.03	1.53	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.36	0.04	0.02	0.03		

E - British auger cover plate (19th century)

Elements	Fe	Cu	Sn	Pb	As	Zn	Ni	Sb	Bi	Total
Getty standard	0.41	70.0	0.53	<1.22	0.29	28.0	< 0.35	< 0.12	< 0.12	101.04
pXRF	0.41	70.0	0.549	0.97	nd	28.0	0.06	0.03	0.12	100.14
pXRF (3 mm spot)	0.40	70.0	0.55	0.97	nd	28.0	0.06	0.03	0.13	100.14

K - MBH 31X B27 A (CRM)

K - WIDH JIA D27 A		,								
Elements	Fe	Cu	Sn	Pb	As	Zn	Ni	Sb	Bi	Total
Getty standard	0.31	78.2	0.92	0.24	0.03	19.9	0.04	0.04	0.06	99.74
pXRF	0.32	78.1	0.905	0.24	nd	19.8	0.04	0.03	0.06	99.50
pXRF (3 mm spot)	0.33	78.0	0.95	0.27	nd	19.7	0.04	0.04	0.07	99.4

*F of 0.1 wt% excluded from standard composition and measurements

800-500 BC														
Artifact	Dates BC	Spots	FeO	CaO	SiO ₂	K ₂ O	P_2O_5	SO ₃	Al_2O_3	MnO	CuO	SnO ₂	PbO	Total
1112 38082	650-580	2	5.8	2.1	35.4	1.1	0.3	0.3	2.5	0.2	7.3	0.3	0.037	55.3
1121 17470	760-600	1	12.7	7.1	55.6	3.0	1.6	0.6	7.7	1.3	3.0	0.2	0.022	92.9
8066 17267	700-500	1	10.7	6.6	50.4	2.5	2.0	0.2	7.5					79.9
8069 16621	700-500	1	14.1	4.9	30.1	1.7	1.1	0.1	3.5	1.0				56.5
8069 16622	700-500	2	7.2	5.4	40.4	2.4	2.0	0.8	5.6	0.4				64.1
8069 16624	700-500	1	14.9	96	38.8	15	2.5	0.2	49	1.0				73 5
8069 16627	700-500	3	14.9	61	36.0	23	14	0.5	6.2	0.4				68.0
8069 16628	700-500	1	11.8	7.6	38.6	1.9	2.6	0.5	6. <u>2</u>	1.0				70.6
8085 17471	750-650	3	93	7.0	<i>16</i> 3	1.7	2.0	0.2	6.7	0.5				70.0
8001 12670	700 500	1	11 7	11.0	70.5	1.7	2.0	0.2	0.2	0.0				528
8001 17464	700-500	2	19.5	87	23.2	1.5	2.5	0.2	2.1 6.3	0.5				52.0 72.5
0091 17404 0001 17469	700-300	2	10.5	0.1 7.4	20.6	1.9	2.5	0.1	0.5	0.5				72.5
8091 17468	700-500	2	18.0	1.4	39.0	1./	2.4	0.1	7.8	0.8		_		11.9
8091 17469	700-500	1	0.8	4.4	27.3	1.5	1.0		2.2	0.5				43.7
80911/481	/00-500	3	19.0	8.3	35.8	1.5	3.0	0.2	5.7	0.8				/4.1
8091 17482	700-500	2	9.7	10.1	46.1	2.4	1.4	0.2	8.3	0.7				78.8
8091 18719	700-500	3	15.7	9.1	38.7	1.5	2.0	0.2	6.2	1.1				74.6
8091 30191	700-500	2	15.8	6.5	44.1	2.3	3.1	—	7.7	1.0				80.4
8091 37992	700-500	1	18.8	10.6	34.7	1.3	2.4	0.2	7.0	2.1			0.005	77.1
8091 38018	700-500	3	25.7	6.9	24.5	1.5	0.7	—	4.9	0.8		—	—	65.1
8091 38027	700-500	2	9.3	11.8	39.2	1.5	3.3	0.3	7.0	0.5	—		0.028	72.9
8091 38034	700-500	1	6.1	2.2	37.1	1.5	0.9	0.4	1.0	—				49.1
8091 38038	700-500	1	6.7	1.5	39.9	1.5	0.3	0.3		—		—		50.1
3091 38039	700-500	3	8.3	9.2	48.8	3.1	2.6	_	7.6	0.3				80.0
3091 38042	700-500	3	6.8	6.9	50.2	1.8	2.1	—	7.5	0.3				75.6
8091 38096	700-500	1	6.4	3.3	24.5	0.9	0.7		1.8					37.6
8091 38111	700-500	2	9.1	6.7	46.6	2.0	1.2	_	7.9	0.5			0.005	73.9
8091 38118	700-500	3	16.4	8.9	41.2	1.7	0.8	_	8.7	0.3				78.1
3091 38212	700-500	1	27.7	7.0	17.5	0.9	0.7		2.6	0.5				57.0
3091 38214	700-500	2	8.4	7.3	50.7	1.8	0.6	0.2	8.4					77.4
3091 38215	700-500	1	26.4	4.5	46.5	3.1	1.2	0.8	10.3	0.7				93.4
8210 49138	750-600	3	11.1	12.8	37.2	14	2.9	0.1	5.8	0.7				72.1
8210 49141	750-600	2	14.0	15.5	35.1	17	31	0.1	6.4	0.5			0.013	76.5
3217 32232	800-530	1	12.0	78	<u>41</u> 4	1.8	2.2		74					727
217 32232	800-530	3	12.0	7.0	46.3	23	31	0.4	5 0	0 0		_		78 O
8217 32235	800-550	1	10.3	7.2 8.6	-0.5 50 1	2.5	J.1 ⊿ 7		5.0 7 1	0.9			0.006	837
2217 49143	800-550	1	65	6.5	18 8	2.J	+.∠ 0.6		10.4	0.9			0.000	74 4
211 47134 RAA AAA DC	000-330	1	0.0	0.0	+0.0	1.0	0.0		10.4					/4.4
Antifact	Datas DC	Smath	E-O	Can	SiO	ΚO	DО	50	110	м	C	5-0	ուս	Total
	Jales BC	Spots	reU		5IU ₂	$\mathbf{K}_2\mathbf{U}$	r ₂ O ₅	5U ₃	AI_2O_3		CuO	511O ₂	rou	
1093 38011	450-425	2	5.2	8.0	57.5	2.1	1.5	0.3	5.3	1.2			0.004	81.1
8020 17459	750-400	2	20.5	7.2	33.2	1.6	1.5	0.5	4.9	1.5			0.003	71.0
8020 17475	750-400	2	10.8	9.3	41.3	2.3	1.1	0.6	6.3	1.6			0.005	73.3
8068 37993	800-450	1	5.7	4.4	54.7	1.7	0.3	_	13.2	—		—	—	80.1
8089 16903	750-400	2	14.4	8.8	48.0	2.2	1.9	—	8.6	0.7				84.7
8089 17486	750-400	2	20.1	7.4	46.0	2.6	3.7		6.7	0.8			0.004	87.3
8089 38106	750-400	1	9.6	5.4	36.0	1.2	0.8	—	2.5	—		—		55.5
8092	750-400	1	13.5	8.1	35.9	1.5	1.0	_	3.6	1.3			_	64.9
8092 17472	750-400	2	18.3	7.0	44.9	2.5	0.8	0.3	8.0	0.6				82.4
8092 17473	750-400	2	15.5	8.3	33.6	1.6	1.0	0.2	5.9	0.9			_	66.9
8092 17479	750-400	1	6.4	4.0	49.3	2.7	1.4		10.9	0.5		_		75.3
8092 17480	750-400	2	14.0	10.3	58.3	1.9	0.5		10.3				_	95.3
8092 38015	750-400	2	31.7	10.2	31.3	13	0.9	0.2	7 5	05			0.024	83.7
	120 100	-	21.1	10.2	21.5	1.0	0.7	0.2		0.0			5.5 <i>2</i> F	00.7

8099 38086	750-400	1	5.8	4.5	42.2	1.1	0.8		2.9					57.3
200-146 BC														
Artifact	Dates BC	Spots	FeO	CaO	SiO ₂	K ₂ O	P_2O_5	SO_3	Al_2O_3	MnO	CuO	SnO ₂	PbO	Total
1060 17477	200-146	3	12.3	8.8	38.7	1.9	2.5	0.4	6.8	0.4			0.003	71.7
1060 17478	200-146	1	28.1	9.9	43.1	1.2	1.2	0.3	8.9	1.5	0.1			94.4
1078 38051	200-146	2	9.5	9.5	50.4	1.3	1.0	0.2	6.3	1.2	—		0.050	79.3
1096 38001	200-146	2	12.8	9.8	31.1	1.6	1.4	0.3	6.0	1.2			0.010	64.3

Under Decuman	us Maximus	3											
700-550 BC													
Artifact	Dates BC	Spots	FeO	CaO	SiO ₂	K_2O	P_2O_5	SO_3	Al ₂ O ₃	MnO	CuO	PbO	Total
KA86/113-61	700-675	2	11.0	6.0	53.2	2.4	5.6		6.0			_	84.2
KA88/41-1	600-550	2	43.5	10.5	15.3	1.0	6.1	0.4	2.4		0.1	_	79.2
KA91/496-17	~675	1	29.6	9.5	17.2	1.3	4.3	0.1	0.7			_	62.6
Bir Massouda													
800-600 BC													
Artifact	Dates BC	Spots	FeO	CaO	SiO ₂	K_2O	P_2O_5	SO_3	Al_2O_3	MnO	CuO	PbO	Total
3348 34318	800-700	1	9.2	3.2	25.5	2.3	1.4	0.5		0.9			42.9
8210 A	750-600	1	14.0	15.4	32.9	1.7	5.0	0.2	5.7	1.0		0.009	75.8
8210 C	750-600	2	57.6	6.8	22.7	1.0	1.2	1.8	7.4	—		0.008	98.5
8210 D	750-600	2	31.6	14.0	29.1	1.2	4.2	0.2	6.7			0.003	87.1
8339 34910	800-600	2	5.4	9.9	59.7	1.5	0.8	0.2	4.5	—		—	82
8339 34919	800-600	2	25.1	8.4	23.7	1.2	1.7	0.5		1.7			62.3
8339 34955A	800-600	1	62.6	1.4	12.2	0.6	0.5	0.8					78.1
8339 34955B	800-600	1	78.8	2.0	8.4	0.2	0.5	0.6					90.6
8339 38255A	800-600	1	61.5	6.6	13.8	0.5		0.6					83
8339 38255B	800-600	1	18.0	3.9	17.9	0.7	0.5	0.5					41.4
8360 34930	700-600	1	51.6	5.8	13.3	0.3	_	0.3		_		_	71.3
Bir Massouda													
550-475 BC													
Artifact	Dates BC	Spots	FeO	CaO	SiO ₂	K_2O	P_2O_5	SO_3	Al_2O_3	MnO	CuO	PbO	Total
1113 38057	525-475	2	51.1	5.5	8.2	0.4	2.1	0.7	0.9			0.013	68.8
1249	525-500	1	61.1	15.4	3.5	0.1	0.9	0.2				0.010	81.2
Bir Massouda													
330-300 BC													
Artifact	Dates BC	Spots	FeO	CaO	SiO ₂	K_2O	P_2O_5	SO_3	Al ₂ O ₃	MnO	CuO	PbO	Total
2504 45012	330-300	1	62.8	3.1	10.8	0.3	1.1	0.7					78.8

											-
Figure 13											-
Artifact 8339 38255A	Fe	0	С	Ca	Si	S	Al	Na	Mg	Total	
yellow dot	3.0	50.2	7.0	34.0	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.2	4.6	100.0	
pink dot	71.0	24.9	3.1	0.4	0.6		0.1		_	100.0	
blue dot	72.2	24.2	2.1	0.8	0.6		0.1		—	100.0	_
Figure 14ii											
Artifact 1246a (slag)	Fe	0	С	Si	Al	Total					
pink dot	77.3	21.2	1.1	0.2	0.1	100.0					
Figure 14iv											
Artifact 1246a (timber)	Fe	0	С	Ca	Si	K	S	Cu	Na	Mg	Т
yellow dot	4.3	8.3	71.8	1.5	13.1	0.3		0.4	0.3	0.1	10
pink dot	7.7	13.0	73.3	1.9	2.5	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.4	0.1	99
blue dot	57.9	5.2	30.0	1.5	4.6	0.2	_	0.3	0.2	0.1	10
green dot	42.7	10.7	28.5	0.4	17.4	0.1			0.1	0.1	10

Figure 1 Click here to download high resolution image







Carthage loses 3,000 citizen soldiers in battle against Timoleon in Sicily



Figure 5 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 6 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 7 Click here to download high resolution image















