ASSISTED REVERSE ELECTRODIALYSIS: A NOVEL TECHNIQUE TO DECREASE REVERSE OSMOSIS ENERGY DEMAND

 Marjolein Vanoppen, PaInT, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium marjolein.vanoppen@ugent.be, www.paint.ugent.be, Ph: +32 (0)9 264 99 11 Ella Criel, PaInT, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium Stephen Andersen, LabMET, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium Antonin Prévoteau, LabMET, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium Arne R. D. Verliefde, PaInT, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Abstract

Assisted reverse electrodialysis (ARED) was introduced as a pre-desalination technique for seawater reverse osmosis (RO) for drinking water production. ARED is comparable to an additional applied pressure along the osmotic pressure in pressure assisted osmosis; a small voltage is applied in the same direction as the open cell voltage to increase the desalination speed compared to reverse electrodialysis (RED). This decreases the required membrane area. The concentration of the dilute compartment increases significantly during ARED operation due to the increased speed of desalination. This results in an overall decrease in total cell resistance. Although the energy demand for ARED is higher than for RED, the ARED-RO process still achieves a decrease in overall energy requirements at higher RO recoveries when compared to stand-alone RO. However, ion-exchange membrane prices will have to come down to 1-10 €/m² for the ARED-RO hybrid to become economically viable at current energy prices.

Introduction

In recent years, the water-energy nexus has become increasingly studied, as the global population and environmental challenges increase. Not only are traditional fossil energy-sources running out, a shortage of potable water is also imminent (Postel et al. 1996). Seawater is attracting more and more attention as a source of potable water, but its high salt content entails a high energy demand in production of potable water using water-salt separation processes such as reverse osmosis (RO). With this technology, 2-3 kWh/m³ is required to overcome the osmotic pressure of up to 60 bar in the concentrate at a water recovery of 50% (Kalogirou 2005; Elimelech & Phillip 2011; Greenlee et al. 2009). Furthermore, the salt concentration in the produced brine is double that of the original seawater, which can cause severe ecological problems when discharged back into the sea (Latorre 2005).

Some pilot plants have shown RO operation at as low as 1.8 kWh/m³ (Semiat 2008; Schiermeier 2008), but further improvements are limited as the thermodynamic limit of specific energy consumption for seawater desalination is 1.06 kWh/m³ (considering 35 g/l total dissolved solids and 50% RO recovery) (Elimelech & Phillip 2011; Semiat 2008). Energy represents about a third of the overall operational costs of RO desalination plants, the other two thirds being attributed to capital costs and all other operational costs (maintenance, labour, etc.) (Blandin et al. 2014). Decreasing the RO energy demand is an important step to increase the economic viability of application of seawater desalination and increase its sustainability.

In 2011, Elimelech and Philip concluded that future savings in seawater RO need to focus on preand post-treatment, as current RO operations are already so close to the thermodynamic energy limit (Elimelech & Phillip 2011). Salinity gradient power and osmotic dilution represent a new opportunity to reduce energy needs in seawater desalination. In previous research, we showed that implementing reverse electrodialysis (RED) as a pre-treatment before RO significantly impacts the RO energy demand in two ways: energy is produced in RED based on the salinity gradient between seawater and a low concentration stream (e.g. treated wastewater) and the seawater concentration decreases, entailing a lower energy demand in the RO system itself (Vanoppen et al. 2014; Vanoppen et al. 2016). The hybrid scheme with RED as a pre-treatment is shown in

Figure 1. The downside of RED is the relatively high membrane area required for desalination, as the desalination is rather slow. This has an important impact on the economic viability of such a hybrid scheme.



Figure 1. Envisioned hybrid RED-RO process for the decrease in RO energy demand

To overcome this limitation of RED and increase the salt transport rate, a similar approach as in pressure retarded osmosis (PRO) or forward osmosis (FO) can be designed. In these processes water is transported (rather than ions) to produce energy (PRO) or extract water from a concentrated stream (FO). Limited desalination speeds in these processes entail a high membrane requirement. Pressure assisted osmosis (PAO) was therefore designed, in which a small additional pressure is applied to the diluted water stream to increase the water flux across the membrane and decrease the required membrane area. Blandin et al. (2014) showed that the hybrid PAO-RO process can be economically favourable over an FO-RO hybrid (Blandin et al. 2014). In RED, a similar process can be developed, where a small additional potential is applied across the membranes along with the concentration gradient, to increase the rate of salt transport from the seawater to the diluted stream. This process was called assisted RED (ARED) (Vanoppen et al. 2014; Vanoppen et al. 2016), and may decrease RO energy demand to as low as 1.2 kWh/m³.

In this study, the fundamentals of the ARED process are tested on a lab-scale set-up. The ARED process was simulated using synthetic feed and the performance was compared to theoretical expectations. The ARED-RO and RED-RO processes were modelled and a basic economic assessment performed.

Theoretical considerations

Theoretically, a curve as shown in Figure 2 (full line) is expected for the current-voltage relation in electrochemical membrane processes. This relation is based on the relationship between the voltage over the membrane stack and the current:

$$E_{\text{stack}} = E_{\text{OCV}} + i \cdot R_{\text{stack}} \tag{1}$$

With E_{OCV} the open circuit voltage (V), i the applied current (A) and R_{stack} the total stack resistance (Ω). The open circuit voltage and total stack resistance are given by equations 2 and 3:

$$R_{\text{stack}} = \frac{N}{A_{\text{m}}} \left(\frac{R_{\text{AEM}}}{1-\beta} + \frac{R_{\text{CEM}}}{1-\beta} + \left(\frac{h_{\text{C}}}{\kappa_{\text{c}} \cdot \varepsilon^2}\right) + \left(\frac{h_{\text{D}}}{\kappa_{\text{d}} \cdot \varepsilon^2}\right) \right)$$
(2)

$$E_{OCV} = N \cdot \alpha \frac{RT}{zF} ln \left(\frac{C_C}{C_D} \right)$$
(3)

With N the number of cell pairs, A_m the active membrane surface for one membrane (m²), R_{AEM} and R_{CEM} the membrane resistances (Ω .m²) for the anion-exchange membranes (AEM) and cation-exchange membanes (CEM), β the spacer shadow factor (-), h the compartment thickness (m, with C the concentrate compartment and D the dilute compartment), κ the conductivity of the solutions (S/m) and ϵ the spacer porosity (-). In equation 3, α is the membrane permselectivity (-), R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/(mol.K)), T is the temperature (K), z is the ion valence, F is the Faraday constant (96485 C/mol) and C is the concentration (mol/m³).

The full line in Figure 2 shows the ideal case, the striped line shows what is expected in reality due to concentration polarisation (CP). CP arises due to a difference in transport rate in the bulk and in the membrane, resulting in a concentration difference across the membrane as shown in Figure 3. In the electrodialysis (ED, positive current and potential) region, CP causes the resistance to increase because of a concentration increase at the membrane surface on the dilute side and a concentration decrease at the membrane surface at the concentrate side. In RED, CP is expected to be less severe because a low current is produced by the spontaneous transport of ions from the high to the low concentration side. In ARED, an additional potential difference across the membranes increases the current in the stack and thus the transport of ions. This is expected to have a bigger impact on the concentrations at the membrane interfaces, resulting in a lower driving force for ion transport. Current is increased in the system by adding a small external potential difference which results in a more severe CP and a less efficient system when compared to RED, as shown by the striped line.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the theoretical and expected current-voltage curve in electrochemical membrane processes



Figure 3. Schematic representation of the ion transport and corresponding concentrations in dilute and concentrate in ARED, RED and ED

Materials and methods

In this study, the behaviour of ARED was investigated and modelled based on continuous experiments with synthetic salt streams.

Continuous experiments

A plexiglas cell including 5 cell pairs was used for all experiments. Fujifilm type II (Fujifilm, the Netherlands) AEM and CEM with an active surface area of (7.8×11.2) cm² were used. The 11 membranes were enclosed by a stretched titanium anode with an iridium mixed metal oxide coating and a stretched titanium cathode with a ruthenium mixed metal oxide coating (Magneto, the Netherlands). In both end plates, close to the electrodes, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode was

inserted (RE-5B, BASi, USA), to measure the potential difference over the membrane stack excluding losses associated with redox reactions at the electrodes.

Different concentrations and flow velocities were compared, which will be specified when discussing the results. In each experiment, the current was adjusted step-wise, between 0 and $-1.2 \text{ A} (-137 \text{ A/m}^2)$ and the corresponding potential difference was recorded after stabilisation of the signal. The current was applied in the direction of the ion-transport according to the concentration gradient, from the dilute to the concentrate, denoted in this study as a negative current. In ED, the current applied is positive. The goal of these experiments was to compare the theoretically expected curve as shown in Figure 2 with the experimentally obtained current-voltage relation.

Economic analysis

A preliminary estimation of the economic viability of the hybrid system was performed assuming that the model fitted to the experimental data is valid for full-scale systems. The total cost was based only on membrane costs (CAPEX) and energy costs (OPEX) which allows for a comparison between stand-alone RO, RED-RO and ARED-RO and can give an indication of the viability of the hybrid systems, despite the simplification. A membrane size of (0.5×0.5) m² was assumed, with the same properties as previously discussed. The power production/requirement was estimated based on the assumed current and modelled stack voltage. The influence of the current density and degree of pre-desalination were investigated. A membrane lifetime of 7 years and cost of $\in 100/m^2$ (Daniilidis et al. 2014) were assumed for the ion-exchange membranes. For RO, a membrane lifetime of 5 years and cost of $\in 10/m^2$ were assumed. A feed flow rate of 5 m³/h was assessed, with a conventional RO flux of $0.02 \text{ m}^3/(\text{m}^2\text{h})$.

Results and discussion

Continuous experiments: comparing theory to practice

Artificial sea- and wastewater (0.5 M and 0.01 M NaCl respectively) were run through the ARED system at a flow velocity of 100 ml/min. The corresponding current-voltage curve, compared to the theoretical straight line (not including CP), is shown in Figure 4. The theoretical straight line curve is based on equations 1-3, assuming a permselectivity of 0.95 (as given by the manufacturer). The ohmic resistance is based on a constant feed solution concentration and assuming a constant membrane resistance.



Figure 4. Exploratory experiment comparing the theoretically expected current-voltage relation and the measured data points

The current density levels off at an increasing potential difference in the ED region, due to CP effects. The measured points in the RED region are positioned slightly higher than the theoretical curve, resulting in a lower current density than expected in the ideal case. In the ARED region, the measured data points are positioned below the theoretically expected curve, contrary to what is expected from the CP effects. The obtained current at a certain potential difference is higher than what would be expected and the required energy to obtain a certain desalination degree is thus less than theoretically calculated. A detail of the ARED region is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Detailed view of the ARED region in the exploratory experimente

The observed potential difference is determined by several parameters (apart from the concentration difference); the boundary layer effect (CP effect), the resistance of the bulk solutions and the resistance of the membranes. The declination observed during the experiments

indicates that there are phenomena occurring which counter the negative impact of the CP, which is expected to play a significant role here because of the applied potential difference. A drop in solution and/or membrane resistance has to occur to explain the observed decline in potential difference. It is hypothesised that because of the faster desalination (caused by the added external potential difference), the increase in bulk concentration for the low salinity compartment causes the resistance of the compartment to decrease significantly. Galama et al. (2014) showed that the concentration of the low salinity compartment determines the membrane resistance (Galama et al. 2014). Both the solution and the membrane resistance should decrease because of the increase in concentration due to desalination in ARED. As shown in Figure 6, the decrease in solution resistance (and thus total stack resistance) at increasing concentrations shows an exponential relation at low concentrations. The membrane resistance is expected to behave in a similar way. This indicates that the decrease in resistance counteracts the negative effects of concentration polarisation, resulting in the observed declination in the potential difference.



Figure 6. Relation of the solution resistance to the NaCl concentration

Figure 7 shows the effect of changing the concentration of the dilute solution (C_d , with a constant concentrate concentration C_c of 0.5 M). At a C_d of 0.005 M and 0.01 M, the curves show the same downward inflection as discussed before, indicated here by the negative second order coefficient in the polynomial fit. At 0.05 M, the curve becomes linear, with no distinguishable inflection. The effects from CP and changes in the resistances seem to balance each other out, resulting in a nearly theoretical line. An upward inflection arises when the dilute concentration is increased even further, indicated by a positive second order coefficient in the polynomial fit in that case. The CP effect overtakes the effect of the decreasing resistance at this point. The latter is explained by the relationship between the bulk concentration and its resistance, which is not linear; at low concentrations, the resistance decreases rapidly with increasing concentrations, while the decrease in resistance is negligible at higher concentrations. At a C_d of 0.1M NaCl, the change in resistance due to desalination, both of the solution and the membrane, is sufficiently small for the CP effect to take over here.



Figure 7. Current-voltage diagram for different dilute concentrations (Cd) and a constant concentrate concentration of 0.5M NaCl (cross-flow velocity = $4.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ m/s). Equations of best linear/polynomial fit are shown with their respective correlation coefficient

Economic cost of the ARED system: influence of current density and degree of pre-desalintion Permselectivity and the membrane resistance parameters were fit to Equations 2 and 3 (Introduction) to perform an economic analysis. In Figure 8, the energy and membrane cost and total cost are compared in euro per m³ of desalinated seawater. A membrane price of 100 €/m^2 (Daniilidis et al. 2014) was assumed with a desalination degree of 40%, a flow-rate of 5 m³/h and a fixed energy price of 0.1 €/kWh. Figure 8 shows the energy cost increases with an increasing current density, as the CP effect increases and the efficiency of the process thus decreases. The membrane cost decreases, as the required membrane area to reach 40% desalination decreases with an increasing current density. The optimal current density at these conditions is 88 A/m².



Figure 8. Influence of the applied current density on the energy, membrane and total ARED cost at a flow rate of 5m³/h and a predesalination degree of 40%

Figure 9 shows the influence of the chosen pre-desalination degree (the desalination degree reached in ARED before RO) on the total cost of the ARED process. The optimal current density of 88 A/m² was implemented here. The cost increases linearly with the required desalination degree, as both the energy demand and required membrane area increase at a constant current density. For the rest of the economic discussions, a pre-desalination degree of 40% is assumed.



Figure 9. Influence of the pre-desalination degree on the total ARED cost for a flow rate of 5 m³/h and a current density of 88 A/m².

Economic analysis: comparing ARED-RO, RED-RO and RO

For the comparison of both hybrid systems and stand-alone RO, an optimal current density of 88 A/m² was implemented for ARED, and of 8 A/m² (optimal based on similar calculations) for RED, both at a flow rate of 5 m³/h and a pre-desalination degree of 40%. This pre-desalination degree implies that the feed water concentration for the RO unit in the hybrid systems is decreased to 0.3 M, compared to the stand-alone RO unit, that operates on an influent concentration of 0.5 M. Both the influent concentration and the RO recovery determine the specific energy consumption (SEC) of the system under investigation, as shown in Figure 10. This shows that the SEC of the RED-RO process is the lowest of the different processes for all RO recoveries. Furthermore, it shows that the SEC for the ARED-RO hybrid process dips below that of the stand-alone RO process at RO recoveries above 40%.



Figure 10. Comparison of the specific energy consumption (top) and total desalination cost (bottom) of stand-alone RO, RED-RO and ARED-RO at different RO recoveries, with a pre-desalination degree of 40%, an ion-exchange membrane price of 100 EUR/m³ and an RO membrane cost of 10 EUR/m³. The total cost for RO varies from 0.13 – 0.35 €/m³.

The SEC is not the only factor determining the overall economic viability of the system. The lower section of Figure 10 shows the total desalination cost, including the cost for the required membrane area. The high required membrane area causes the cost for the RED-RO process to far exceed that of the ARED-RO and stand-alone RO systems. ARED-RO (0.68-3.9 \notin /m³) is less economically attractive than stand-alone RO (0.13-0.35 \notin /m³) at the conditions considered, although the difference is small at higher RO recoveries. However, the membrane cost considered (100 \notin /m²) is rather conservative and is expected to decrease. Figure 11 shows the total cost for ARED-RO and stand-alone RO at different ion-exchange membrane (IEM) costs and at an RO recovery of 50% and 80%. At 50% RO recovery, the IEM price must decrease to less than 2 \notin /m²

for the ARED-RO hybrid to compete with stand-alone RO. At a higher RO recovery of 80%, an IEM price of $10 \notin m^2$ would suffice for the system to become economically viable.



Figure 11. Comparison of the total desalination cost of stand-alone RO and ARED-RO at different ion-exchange membrane prices (pre-desalination degree = 40%, RO membrane cost = 10 EUR/m²)

Conclusions

This research focussed on ARED as a possible pre-treatment technology for RO. This technology can decrease the overall energy demand for seawater desalination, without an excessive membrane area requirement, as is the case with RED. A decrease in the total resistance during ARED operation decreased the overall energy demand of the process due to an increase in dilute compartment concentration by the desalination process. The economic analysis showed that at current membrane and energy prices, ARED-RO is not yet economically viable. Membrane prices would have to come down to 1-10 €/m³ for the system to be competitive with stand-alone RO, especially at higher RO recoveries.

Further research will focus on the investigation of ARED under different conditions. The presence of organics and multivalent ions, such as in realistic sea- and wastewater, will be looked into. This will allow a further understanding of the system as well as the refinement of the economic assessment.

References

- Blandin, G. et al., 2014. Opportunities to reach economic sustainability in forward osmosis–reverse osmosis hybrids for seawater desalination. *Desalination*. Available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0011916414006493.
- Daniilidis, A., Herber, R. & Vermaas, D. a., 2014. Upscale potential and financial feasibility of a reverse electrodialysis power plant. *Applied Energy*, 119, pp.257–265. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.12.066.
- Elimelech, M. & Phillip, W. a, 2011. The future of seawater desalination: energy, technology, and the environment. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 333(6043), pp.712–7. Available at:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21817042 [Accessed November 3, 2012].

- Galama, a. H. et al., 2014. Membrane resistance: The effect of salinity gradients over a cation exchange membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 467, pp.279–291. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.05.046.
- Greenlee, L.F. et al., 2009. Reverse osmosis desalination: water sources, technology, and today's challenges. *Water research*, 43(9), pp.2317–48. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19371922 [Accessed March 3, 2013].
- Kalogirou, S., 2005. Seawater desalination using renewable energy sources. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 31(3), pp.242–281. Available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0360128505000146 [Accessed October 26, 2012].
- Latorre, M., 2005. Environmental impact of brine disposal on Posidonia seagrasses. *Desalination*, 182(1-3), pp.517–524. Available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0011916405004662 [Accessed November 7, 2012].
- Postel, S.L., Daily, G.C. & Ehrlich, P.R., 1996. Human appropriation of renewable fresh water. *Science* (*New York*, *N.Y.*), 271(5250), pp.785–788.
- Schiermeier, Q., 2008. Water: purification with a pinch of salt. Nature, 452(7185), pp.260–261.
- Semiat, R., 2008. Energy issues in desalination processes. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 42(22), pp.8193–8201.
- Vanoppen, M. et al., 2014. Reduction of specific energy demand of seawater RO by osmotic dilution/osmotic energy recovery realistic modelling approach. In *Desalination for the Environment: Clean Water and Energy*.
- Vanoppen, M. et al., 2016. Salinity gradient power and desalination. In A. Cipollina & G. Micale, eds. *Sustainable energy from salinity gradients*. London: Woodhead Publishing-Elsevier.