The Human Rights Commission of Ethiopia and Issues of Forced Evictions- A Caseoriented Study of its Practice

Mohammed Abdo^{*}

Abstract

The right to adequate housing, including prohibition on forced eviction, has increasingly been the subject of judicial and quasi-judicial review at both the international and national levels. The emerging body of jurisprudence on housing rights along with issues of forced evictions underscores that they are indeed legally justiciable human rights. Judicial and quasi-judicial bodies should thus not shy away from dealing with cases involving such matters. Mandated to promote and protect human rights, the Human Rights Commission of Ethiopia was set up in 2000 as part of democratic institutions stipulated under the FDRE Constitution. Since its inception, the Commission has been receiving a growing number of complaints every year. Among them, a considerable number of complaints involve issues of housing rights and forced evictions. In most instances, it has rejected such complaints. Investigation of complaints on forced eviction is an exception rather than the norm. Although the reasons for the rejection of the bulk of complaints are varied, the Commission seems to avoid politically sensitive issues, such as the ones relating to forced eviction. This negates the very underpinning of the Commission. The Commission was set up as a reaction to the human rights abuses of the past and is meant to ensure that human rights and freedoms are the centre of all development initiatives. This paper, after presenting background information on the Commission and the normative framework of forced evictions, examines the handling by the Commission of complaints relating forced evictions carried out in rural and urban areas. It reviews some of the typical complaints rejected by the Commission to explore the rationale for the Commission's action. Finally, it recommends measures to be adopted to help the Commission deal with human rights complaints involving government policies and action.

^{*} PhD Candidate, Human Rights Centre, Ghent University, Universiteitstraat 6, 9000, Ghent, Belgium and Academic Staff, Institute of Federalism and Legal Studies, ESCU, p. o. Box 5648 Addis Ababa. E-mail: mohammed.mohammedabdo@UGent.be/mohammedza@yahoo.com

Keywords: Government policies, development and human rights, the right to adequate housing, forced evictions, protection of human rights, investigation of complaints, and human rights commission.

Introduction

In addition to the past endeavour to meet the MDGs, the Ethiopian government launched an ambitious program, 'Growth and Transformative Plan (2011-2015)' in 2011 to transform the country's economic growth and development. As a core part of the Plan, the economic and infrastructure sections envisage massive investment and infrastructure development. While the ultimate target is to improve socio-economic conditions and fulfil basis needs, the Plan will likely heighten massive eviction of people from their ancestral lands and thousands from their houses, and deprive many more of the traditional means of livelihood. Both the past and present development endeavours gave little attention to a human rights-approach to development. The discourse on economic growth and development tends to focus more on mere economic improvement, implying a needs-based approach. This will reinforce the notion that the fulfilment of economic, social and cultural rights is an aspiration realized by the government program, with no obligation on the part of the government.

One of the core functions of national human rights institutions (NHRIs) is to investigate complaints. The Human Rights Commission of Ethiopia (Commission) has been receiving complaints on a wide range of issues since it started rendering its quasi-judicial functions, including many complaints relating to forced evictions. The Commission has rejected the bulk of the complaints or referred them to either courts of law or/and the Ombudsman Institute on the ground that they do not involve human rights issues (i.e. they are mere administrative matters that do not qualify for its inquiry). By the same token, investigation of complaints on forced eviction is an exception rather than the norm. Disclaiming jurisdiction might shed light on the underlining issues-it might mark fear on the part of the Commission not to confront the economic and development policies of the government; or the adoption of a pre-conceived approach to issues of economic, social and cultural rights, or an erroneous interpretation of its mandate or incapacity to deal with such issues. This paper will deal with the Commission's handling of complaints pertaining to the right to housing in general and forced eviction in particular. Some of the interesting complaints handled by the Commission will be reviewed to see the underlining reasons hampering the Commission from examining the essence of specific cases. Some measures to be adopted so that the Commission could probe complaints of all sorts in general and of forced evictions in particular are hinted at.

The source of information for the study is the records of the Commission, legal instruments, both national and international, and literature.

1. Political Context of and Process in Setting up the Commission

NHRIs are usually set up following constitutional reform and/or chaotic situations ending with a peace accord¹. Regarding mode of setting up, they can be established in three ways: by constitution (or amendment of constitution), by act of parliament, and by presidential decree². The setting up of NHRIs stipulated in a constitutional text, which represents the most powerful option as it guarantees the permanence of the institutions, is found in countries that have recently undergone constitutional reforms and that were marked by grave human rights violations in the past³.

Coming to Ethiopia, the evolution of the discourse on democracy, human rights, and democratic institutions in the country took place at a time of significant legal and political change. The current ruling party, the Ethiopian Peoples' Revolutionary Democratic Front (EPRDF) staged a successful armed struggle against the former military regime, ousting it from power in 1991. Issues of democratic governance, human rights, rule of law, and decentralization emerged as central ones after the demise of the military regime⁴. The then transitional government undertook major transformative measures overhauling the political landscape and orientation, civil service, and economic policy of the nation aimed at redressing past injustices, atrocities and dire economic conditions amid high public expectations to usher in a new era⁵.

Faced with the lofty task of creating a foundation for a democratic system, those involved in crafting a new *constitution* looked to providing (intended to provide?) a rights-based constitution

¹ B. Lindsnaes and L. Lindholt, 'National Human Rights Institutions-Standard Setting and Achievements', in B. Lindsnaes, L. Lindholt and C. Yigen, eds., *National Human Rights Institutions: Articles and Working Papers*, the Danish Centre for Human Rights, 2001, pp. 14-15; see Rachel Murray, 'The Role of NHRIs at the International and Regional Levels: The Experience of Africa', *Human Rights Law in Perspective*, Vol. 11, Oxford and Portland, Hart Publishing, 2007, p. 3; see also International Council on Human Rights Policy, *Performance and Legitimacy: National Human Rights Institutions*, Versoix, Switzerland, 2000, pp. 58-62(hereinafter referred to as 'International Council on Human Rights Policy')

² Lindsnaes and Lindholt, *op.cit*.

³ Ibid, pp. 14-15

⁴ Mohammed Abdo, 'The Ethiopian Human Rights Commission: Challenges Confronting Its Effective Functioning', *Chinese Year Book of Human Rights*, Vol. 4, 2006, pp. 27-28

⁵ The measures undertaken include, *inter alia*, political pluralism as opposed to one party system, the framing of a new constitution with liberal features, inception of federal arrangement and decentralization based on ethnolinguistic factors, structural adjustment, and adoption of market-oriented economic policy.

anchored in the rule of law and limited government. A set of provisions with human rights orientation was believed to play a central role in this regard. This explicates the due regard the Constitution of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE Constitution) bestows to fundamental rights and freedoms⁶.

Appreciating that the existing courts (or other institutions, such as the House of Federation) cannot alone shoulder the protection of human rights, the framers of the *Constitution* agreed on the need for democratic institutions that would advance democratic governance⁷. The Commission was thus created as one of the rights-protective mechanisms as a response to a history of authoritarian rule in general and a notorious military dictatorship in particular that caused immense carnage⁸. Its root in the Constitution lends it public legitimacy as the Constitution was drafted and adopted following wider public participation. While a constitutional foundation does not *ipso facto* guarantee its better functioning, it provides a more secure basis than an executive decree or order, which is prone to change easily.

It was largely domestic impulse that sparked off the need for democratic institutions during the transition period. Increased international factors also opened room for conditions favouring human rights regime in general and democratic institutions in particular in the new democratic process set in motion in the same period. Arguably, the global upsurge in the number of NHRIs, dating back to the early 1990s, has thus affected Ethiopia as well⁹. Because issues of human rights entered Ethiopian political vocabulary in part through external influences at the time of transition¹⁰. It suffices to mention the policy impact of the USA, which emerged as a major supporter and donor of the incumbent party following the collapse of the former regime. In mid 1991, the Bush (Sr.) Administration adopted a new policy toward Africa, which judged

⁶ The 'Preamble' of the Constitution declares that its objective is to build a political community based on the rule of law for the purpose of ensuring lasting peace and guaranteeing a democratic order. Protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms is a key to achieve this commitment. At least a third of 106 Provisions of the FDRE Constitution are on human rights. Its Chapter Three contains a Bill of Rights. Article 13(1) makes it incumbent on all government organs at all level to respect and enforce the Constitution.

⁷ Sub-articles 14 and 15 of Article 55 of the FDRE Constitution stipulate the establishment of the Human Rights Commission and the Ombudsman Institute respectively. The setting up of the Auditor General and the National Election Board are envisaged under Articles 101 and 102 of the Constitution respectively.

⁸ This is implicit from and reflected in the document prepared, in Amharic, by the *Legal Standing Committee* of the *House of People's Representatives* to elaborate draft laws to establish the Commission and the Ombudsman, 1999, p. 1

⁹ Abdo, supra note 4, op. cit., p. 24

¹⁰The post Cold-War attachment of provision of financial and technical support of donor governments to human rights issues, the active role of the UN in promoting the idea of establishment of NHRIs and the wave of democratization engulfing countries in transition following the collapse of the former USSR in the early 1990s have also contributed, to some extent, towards considering the establishment of the democratic institutions in the new political and constitutional order set in motion in Ethiopia in the early 1990s. See Claude E. Welch, *Protecting Human Rights in Africa: Strategies and Roles of Non-Governmental Organizations*, University of Pennsylvania Press, 1995, p. 11.

governments by their stability and effective governance in order to secure U.S.A.'s economic assistance¹¹. This American policy, which centred on democracy and human rights, found one of its first applications in Ethiopia¹².

Compared to other legislation, the process of enacting the enabling statute of the Commission and the Ombudsman Institute is unprecedented, considering a wide range of public and expert participation amid heightened public expectation¹³. Specifically, it involved an input of international experts and practitioners of NHRIs, public discussion throughout the country on draft legislation and workshop of local experts on it¹⁴. Drawing on this, Parliament eventually enacted the enabling legislation of the Commissioner took place only in July 2004, in 2000. However, the nomination of the Chief Commissioner took place only in July 2004 and of the other two Commissioners a year later. The delay in the enactment of the legislation and appointment of officials was attributed to the Ethio-Eritrean War (1998-2000) that diverted the attention of the government to issues of maintaining national security¹⁵. On top of the war, the process was stalled by political and bureaucratic procedures¹⁶.

Although the Commission's enabling legislation involved (was based on) a broad-based consultation of the general public and experts, both local and international human rights NGOs

¹¹ Ibid, p. 12

¹² Ibid

¹³ Ethiopia chose to have two separate institutions-one for administrative oversight and the other for human rights issues. Gravity of both human rights abuses and administrative malpractices along with the sheer size of the country are among the major rationale for setting up two distinct institutions. This is inferred from the document prepared by Parliament to elaborate the enabling statutes of the two institutions. See supra note 8, *op. cit.*, p. 1

¹⁴ The Government arranged an international conference in 1997 that managed to bring together about 68 wellknown experts, jurists, and activists, officials of national human rights institutions of many states and other officials and representatives. The Conference was organized with a view to drawing on the experience elsewhere as the national democratic institutions were a new phenomenon in Ethiopia. The Conference and the deliberations on papers presented therein contributed significantly to the concept paper developed by parliament for the public discussions in order to eventually adopt draft legislation for the two institutions. The concept paper contains options to be chosen by the public after public discussion regarding issues such as the structure, mandate, operational powers, leadership, and accessibility of the Commission. National discussions on the concept paper were held in the capital cities of the nine units of the Federation, as well as in Addis Ababa and Ambo. Ethiopian experts made deliberations on the outcome of the discussion held on the concept paper and the choice made by the public regarding the would-be normative content of the legislation. They submitted their findings to the parliament. Building on this, the parliament made a *draft Act* and presented it to the public for deliberation. See Abdo, supra note 4, *op. cit.*, p. 27; See also Mohammed Abdo, 'Challenges Facing the New Ethiopian Ombudsman Institution', *International Ombudsman Yearbook*, Vol. 6, 2002, p. 78; see also supra note 8, *op. cit.*, pp. 1-2

¹⁵Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, 'Strategic Plan for the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission 2006-2011', the *Office of the Team of International Consultants* and the *Justice for All and Prison Fellowship Ethiopia*, 2006, p. 47

¹⁶ World Bank, Ethiopia: Legal and Judicial Sector Assessment, 2004, p. 32

were excluded from the consultation, triggering criticism¹⁷. Despite this, the setting up of the Commission can be regarded as willingness on the part of the government to change the complex human rights situation in the country¹⁸.

Because an apparent lack of appropriate social and political action and determination to condemn and sanction social norms abusive of human rights have been of concern in the country for a long time¹⁹.

Upon its establishment, there was high expectation of what the Commission would offer. This is unsurprising in a nation where the immense violation of dignity of citizens at the hands of the brutal military junta was still fresh in the memory of millions of people.

2. Structure and Composition of the Commission

Both a statutory and constitutional body, the Commission is an independent autonomous institution accountable to Parliament (the House of People's Representatives). Compared to NHRIs elsewhere, it is a relatively small Commission, composed of a Chief Commissioner, a Deputy Chief Commissioner and a Commissioner for Children and Women, and other commissioners as may be deemed necessary, and the necessary personnel²⁰. Given the large number of ethno-linguistic groups in the country, coupled with limited posts for officials, one may not obviously expect the Commission to replicate such diversity at a time.

Increasing its outreach, the Commission, in 2011, set up branch offices in different parts of the country, with most of them in the Capital cities of different States²¹. Apart from advancing the promotion and protection of human rights at the local level, the decentralization has enhanced the

¹⁷ Human Rights Watch criticized the exclusion of local and international NGOs from the whole process and described the act as a worrying matter from the early inception of the Commission. See Human Rights Watch, *Protectors or Pretenders?: Government Human Rights Commissions in Africa*, 2001, p. 60

¹⁸ Sarah Vaughan and Kjetil Tronvoll, *The Culture of Power in Contemporary Ethiopian Political Life*, SIDA Studies No. 10, 2005, p. 57

¹⁹ Ibid

²⁰The Australian Human Rights Commission has 6 commissioners, including the President. The South African Human Rights Commission has at least five Commissioners.

²¹Hawassa, Bahr Dar, Mekele, Gambella and Jijiga and another regional town, Jimma, are where the branch offices were set up in 2011. Parliament approved the proposed establishment of these branch offices in December 2010. Seven more branch offices will be established in the fiscal year 2011/2012. See Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Bulletin, Vol. 1, No. 05, 2011, p. 2.

Commission's staff diversity and pluralism as local staff and local vernaculars are used to conduct their respective activities²². While regional offices mean better access, physical access remains a barrier for much of the rural population.

The Proclamation provides a number of rules guaranteeing the institutional independence of the Commission in terms of allocation of funding, and appointment and dismissal of and immunity to its officials²³. Other guarantees of independence in the form of its authority to recruit, and employ staff and to adopt working rules and procedures are provided under the legislation²⁴.

Generally, the Proclamation meets, at least theoretically, the requirement of the Paris Principles regarding the independence of NHRIs. The issue is however whether the officials appointed to run the institution are, in practice, truly independent of party politics and the executive while discharging their functions. This is significant given the fact that the country did not, to a large extent, have institutions that were and are capable of functioning independently of the government of the day²⁵. That apparently is why scepticism was raised, at the very inception of the Commission, as regards the independence of the first officials that assumed office²⁶.

Taking the existing procedure and practice as backdrop, the appointment of party members or affiliates is inevitable in a country where the government of the day controls all the institutions. Especially, the appointment of the Chief Commissioner, who usually leads the Commission, may ultimately depend on the will of the political party in power²⁷.

²² Training activities used to be handled by the Head Office are nowadays run by the branch offices and complaints are also being entertained by the same. See the UNDP, Democratic Institutions Program, Annual Report, 2011, p. 18 ²³ It provides that the budget of the Commission is to be drawn and submitted to the parliament by itself (Article

²⁵ It provides that the budget of the Commission is to be drawn and submitted to the parliament by itself (Article 19(2)). The executive agencies do not have a say in this regard, which helps to avoid financial manipulation by them and secures the independence of the institution. To ensure the independent appointment of officials, the law sets up an independent Committee, the 'Nomination Committee' (Article 11 of the Proclamation).

²⁴ Article 35 of the enabling act of the Commission provides that the Commissioners may not be held civilly or criminally liable for any act done or omitted, observations made or opinions issued, in good faith and in the exercise of their functions. This immunity protects the independence of the members to carry out their functions without fear of prosecution. Article 19 of the legislation also indicates that institution is entitled to hire its staff and come up with its operational rules and procedures.

²⁵ Abdo, supra note 4, op. cit., p. 34

²⁶The Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights, 'Ethiopia: Human Rights Defenders under Pressure: Report: International Fact-Finding Mission', 2005, p. 18

²⁷ Two of the incumbent officials were actually members of the ruling party made of a coalition of four parties- one is from Tigray People's Liberation Front (Berhane Woldekiros, Deputy Chief Commissioner), and the other from Amhara People's Democratic Party (Asmaru Berhanu, Commissioner for Women and Children), enough to doubt the true independence of the Commission. Once they assume office, they are normally supposed to resign from the party. No information is available on their relation with the Party since they assumed office. Although not clearly

Although apparently in full compliance with the Paris Principles, the Commission is not accredited as yet. Its attempt to get accredited commenced in 2010. It was supposed to submit its application along with requisite documents for accreditation purpose but failed to act within a schedule fixed by the International Coordination Committee of National Human Rights Institutions (ICC)²⁸. Its second application was scheduled for scrutiny by ICC in November 2012 but has been deferred for one year, after which it was accredited with "B" status²⁹.

3. Mandate and Power of the Commission

As provided under Article 6 of the Proclamation, the Commission has a very broad mandate to promote and protect human rights³⁰.

²⁸ The ICC was set up by NHRIs themselves for the accreditation purpose. To facilitate the accreditation process, it set up a sub-committee entirely devoted to this process. The ICC is not a UN agency; it is rather a global association of NHRIs that coordinates the relationship between NHRIs and the UN human rights system. It is composed of 16 members from each of four regions, America, Africa, Asia Pacific, and Europe in order to ensure fair representation of each region. The ICC liaisons with the UN human rights bodies and encourage coordination among institutions. The National Institution Unit under the Office of the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights acts as a permanent secretariat to the ICC and assists it in, among others, organizing its meetings and its accreditation process. The ICC generally meets during the annual sessions of the Human Rights Council and holds biennial international conferences. The accreditation status is reviewed at least every five years. Accreditation increases NHRI's national and international legitimacy and also entitles participation rights in diverse UN forums depending on their ranking.

The Commission's application for accreditation was supposed to be reviewed by the Sub-Committee on the Accreditation in a schedule fixed for accreditation purpose, which was 11-15 October 2010. See the schedule of the Sub-Committee on Accreditation of the International Coordination Committee of National Human Rights Institutions, 2009 (Available at: http://www.ohchr.org/en/countries/nhri/pages/nhrimain.aspx

²⁹Official date for considering the Commission's application for accreditation is set for 18-22 November 2013. (any news on this See the website of the ICC sub-committee on Accreditation (SAC). nhri.ohchr.org/EN/AboutUS/ICCAccreditation/Page/NextSession.aspx. See also nhri.ohchr.org/EN/AboutAccreditation/Documentss/SCA%20Report%20November%202012%20%28English%29.p df. The Commission was given 'B' status after review of its application for first accreditation in the schedule fixed (November 2013). See_ohchr.org/Documents/Countries/NHRI/Chart Status Nis.pdf(Accessed on 20 March 2014). It means that the Commission is not fully in compliance with the Paris Principles or has not yet submitted sufficient documents to make that determination. ³⁰ It is mandated to educate the public about human rights with a view to raising awareness and fostering the tradition

 30 It is mandated to educate the public about human rights with a view to raising awareness and fostering the tradition of respect for human rights, to provide consultancy service on human rights, and to provide opinion on Government reports submitted to international human rights bodies. Sub-articles 3, 6 and 7 of Article 6 and Article 19(2)(d) of the Proclamation. It also is authorized to investigate, upon complaint or *suo moto*, human rights violations and to

identified as party member, the Chief Commissioner, Tiruneh Zena, is thought to be affiliated to the ruling party. Interviews with many experts of the Commission underscore this fact. It is important to note that although all the Commissioners are appointed by Parliament, it is only the Chief Commissioner who is directly accountable to Parliament. The other Commissioners are accountable to the Chief Commissioner. See Article 13(2) of the Proclamation. All commissioners are to be appointed for a fixed term of five years of office, with the possibility of one reappointment. See Article 14(2) of the Proclamation.

Apart from government authorities, the Commission's reach extends to individuals and non-state entities. Accompanied by an umbrella clause, which entitles it to perform such other functions as it may consider necessary for achieving its functions, the Commission thus not only has all the functions the Paris Principles prescribe but also a potentially wider mandate³¹. The fact that the Ombudsman's mandate is confined to maladministration issues only, making it a prototype of a classical ombudsman, signifies the inclusiveness of the Commission's mandate on human rights issues³².

Such inclusive mandate is advantageous. On top of considering scarcity of resources, it allows for the application of an integrated and consistent human rights approach to different human rights issues. In other words, it is more cost-effective than dispersing the fiscal resources across several new human rights bodies, especially for a poor country like Ethiopia.

The Commission's reach is not without limitation however. It does not have the power to scrutinize alleged human rights violations pending before the House of People' Representatives, the House of Federation, or courts of law at any level³³. It is remarkable that the statute subjected the military, security, and police forces that are closely associated with human rights abuses in the past to its power³⁴.

4. Investigation and Enforcement Power

propose revision, enactment of laws and formulation of policies relating to human rights (Article 6(4 and 5). In addition, it is empowered to ensure that laws, decisions and practices of the government are in harmony with human rights enshrined under the Constitution and to also make sure that human rights are respected by government as well as other entities(Article 6(1 and 2).

³¹ Article 6(11) of the Proclamation

 $^{^{32}}$ It is implicit from Article 6 of the enabling legislation of the Ombudsman Institute that it is a classical Ombudsman. See The Ombudsman Institute Establishment Proclamation No. 211/2000.

³³ Article 7 of the Proclamation

 $^{^{34}}$ Abdo, supra note 4, *op. cit.*, p. 37. The Commission is different from the Ombudsman in that the latter does not have the power to investigate matters related to national security and defence forces. See Article 7 of the Proclamation that set up the Ombudsman Institute, Proclamation No. 211/2000. However, in reporting on matters related to national security, the Commission is obliged to take caution with a view to not endangering national security and the same applies to secret matters related to the well-being or to protecting individual lives (Article 39(3).

The Commission is empowered to investigate complaints, upon individual complaints or *suo* $moto^{35}$. The rules on filing a complaint to the Commission make it easy for complainants to access it as the Commission can be moved to take action by a complainant in person or by someone on his/her behalf in any language and format³⁶.

The Commission is given a range of powers to investigate a complaint submitted to it, including the investigative power of subpoena, giving it theoretically adequate powers necessary for the examination of a complaint³⁷. Any person asked to appear for the purpose of furnishing information or production of document or record should cooperate with the Commission. Failure to do so is punishable by sentence and/or fine³⁸.

The Commission, as a matter of general rule, is supposed to settle complaints through amicable means, seeking an agreement between the parties (Article 26(1). Emphasis on amicable means of settlement reflects the reality regarding dispute resolution in the country. The fact that more than 84% of the population lives in rural areas where the traditional system has a strong authority on individual as well as communal matters makes the reflection of such system in the Commission's power significant.

Made in an advisor capacity, the Commission's recommendations are not legally binding. It is not explicitly authorized to initiate court proceedings either in its own name or on behalf of an aggrieved party either³⁹. However, it is under obligation to notify the concerned organs of the crimes or administrative faults committed, if it believes that such occurred in due course of or after its investigation⁴⁰.

The enforcement mechanisms it uses to ensure compliance are to publicize, be it in annual or special report as may be necessary, and to finally report to the parliament on its recommendations

³⁵ See Articles 6 and 24 of the Proclamation

 $^{^{36}}$ A Complaint may be instituted by a person who alleges that his/her right is violated or by his/her spouse, or family member or representative or a third party (Article 22(1)). The Commission may also receive anonymous complaints (Article 22(3)). A complaint may be lodged, free of charge, in writing, orally or in any other means and may be in the working language of the Commission, which is Amharic, or in any other language. See Articles 23(1 and 3).

³⁷ The Commission is empowered to compel the attendance of witnesses to give testimony, or force the production of evidence by those in possession of them (Article 25 of the Proclamation)

³⁸ See Article 41 of the establishment Proclamation.

³⁹ Ibid

⁴⁰ Article 28 of the Proclamation

in particular and on its overall activities in general⁴¹. Another instrument of enforcement is a criminal sanction⁴². Serving to strengthen its enforcement powers, such sanction is an incentive to the Commission's autonomy. In addition, it may collaborate with other organs, for instance, media and NGOs, to mount pressure on government authorities to heed its opinions.

The Commission possesses only persuasive powers to issue recommendation or initiate negotiation or mediation in order to resolve grievances. While this may, at first glance, appear to relegate the Commission to a back-seat role in promoting and protecting human rights abuses, it might bring with it freedom of movement and action in investigation of complaints and promotion of human rights.

5. NHRIs and Forced Evictions

Before reviewing the practice of the Commission in handling forced evictions, it is good to make a few quick remarks on forced evictions under international human rights law.

As far as international human rights instruments are concerned, the most important document for discussing issues related to the right to adequate housing and forced evictions is the ICESCR (in full). The right to adequate standard of living, including adequate housing, serves as affirmative provision of the ICESCR prohibiting the violation of this right in the case of forced evictions⁴³.

Forced evictions can be broadly defined as the permanent or temporary removal against their will of individuals, families and/or communities from homes and/or land which they occupy or depend on, without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protection⁴⁴. Forced evictions occur in both urban and rural areas, each with varying

⁴¹ Article 39 of the Proclamation

 $^{^{42}}$ If a person without good cause fails to comply with a recommendation issued by the Commission or fails to offer a reasoned justification for not doing so within three months from receipt of the recommendation, he/she commits a criminal offence and is liable to punishment if found guilty. The person could face imprisonment from three to five years or a fine from 6000-10000 Ethiopian Birr or both. See Article 41(2) of the Proclamation.

 $^{^{43}}$ See Article 11(1) of the ICESCR

⁴⁴See UN, 'Basic Principles and Guidelines on Development-based Evictions and Displacement', presented in the report of the UN Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to adequate standard of living, 2007, paragraph 4 (hereinafter referred to as 'UN Basic Principles and Guidelines'); see also Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'The right to adequate housing (Art.11.1): forced evictions-General Comment 7, 05/20/1997', Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1997, paragraph 3(hereinafter referred to as 'General Comment 7').

justifications. Urban renewal, demolition of slums, preparation for mega-events (such as major sport events) and other 'for public interest' reasons are often used to justify forced eviction in urban areas⁴⁵. In rural and remote areas, forced evictions could take place owing to, among others, large scale development projects (infrastructures, dams, and roads), mining, extractive and other industrial activities⁴⁶. Forced eviction can also happen in connection with forced population transfers, internal displacement, and forced relocations in the context of armed conflict⁴⁷. In all of these contexts, the right to adequate housing and not to be subjected to forced eviction may be violated through a wide range of acts or omissions attributable to state parties⁴⁸.

The UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights clarified the normative and legal content of the right to adequate housing and forced evictions. One of the key aspects of the requirements of adequacy is legal security of tenure. In unequivocal terms, the Committee made it clear that all persons should possess a degree of security of tenure which guarantees legal protection against forced eviction, harassment and other threats⁴⁹. It has argued that forced evictions are prima facie incompatible with the requirements of the ICESCR⁵⁰. Reinforcing this stance, the former UN Commission on Human Rights pronounced that the practice of forced evictions constitutes a gross violation of a broad range of human rights, in particular the right to adequate housing⁵¹. Not all evictions are prohibited under international human rights law, however. They can be justified in the most exceptional circumstances, and in accordance with the relevant principles.

Given the interdependence of all human rights, forced evictions frequently violate other human rights, including both civil and political rights⁵². The violations may occur when two conditions, one substantive and the other procedural, are met. They may occur because of the absence of

⁴⁵ General Comment 7, *op. cit.*, paragraph 7

⁴⁶ Ibid

⁴⁷ Ibid, paragraph 5

⁴⁸ Ibid

⁴⁹ Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'The Right to Adequate Housing

⁽Art. 11 (1) of the Covenant-, General Comment 4, E/1992/23', Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1991, paragraph 8

⁵⁰ Ibid, paragraph 18

⁵¹UN Commission on Human Rights, 'Prohibition of forced evictions: Resolution 28/2004', E/CN.4/RES/2004/28, 2004, Paragraph 1; see also UN Commission on Human Rights, 'Forced evictions: Resolution 77/1993', E/CN.4/RES/1993/77, 1993, paragraph 1

⁵² While manifestly breaching the rights enshrined in the ICESCR, the practice of forced evictions may also result in violations of civil and political rights, such as the right to life, the right to security of the person, the right to non-interference with privacy, family and home and the right to the peaceful enjoyment of possessions. See UN Basic Principles and Guidelines', supra note 44, *op. cit.*, Paragraph 6; see also General Comment 7, supra note 44, *op., cit.*, paragraph 4

justification/legality for the eviction, and the way the eviction is carried out: i.e., the way the evictions are carried out is not compatible with the relevant human rights standards⁵³.

Forced evictions of individuals and communities from their homes and habitats destroy lives and livelihoods. While forced evictions adversely impact all segments of the society, groups of people that suffer disproportionately from the practice of forced evictions include women, children, youth, older persons, and indigenous people, ethnic and other minorities⁵⁴. The Principles of non-discrimination and substantive equality are of particular importance in ensuring that the rights of these vulnerable groups are protected.

The practice of forced evictions can be countered through, among others, a strong political will of the national government to carefully implement development endeavors, a concerted action of human rights defenders, the use of international as well as national human rights norms, and the effective monitoring role of judicial and quasi-judicial bodies.

As entities empowered to enforce human rights and to ensure that laws, policies and practices of the government adhere to human rights standards, judicial and quasi judicial bodies are competent to deal with complaints arising from forced evictions. However, a preventive approach to forced evictions is more efficient than trying to resolve cases once the process commences. In other words, addressing the legal and structural problems that could prevent the recurrence of forced evictions in the first place is more important that handling individual complaints. Endowed with power to launch investigation by their own initiative and to conduct public inquiry, NHRIs are ideally best-positioned to recommend legal and policy measures to be adopted in the medium and long run to avert forced evictions. Their opinions could serve as a basis for a dialogue with various stakeholders. Furthermore, using their promotional mandate NHRIs could sensitize the general public and government authorities of the need to attend to the right to adequate housing in general and the protection against forced evictions specifically.

6. The Commission and Forced Evictions

⁵³ See General Comment 7, *op. cit.*, paragraphs 13-15. The UN Basic Principles and Guidelines provide basic principles governing forced evictions and only sanction them under "exceptional circumstances". Some basic principles that need to be met include: i) valid justification for the project and no other possible alternatives to the eviction; ii) consultation and participation of affected people and communities; iii) adequate notification, due process, effective and legal recourse; iv) prohibition of actions resulting in homelessness or deterioration of the housing and living conditions, and v) provisions of adequate relocation and/or adequate compensation before evictions are carried out. See the UN Basic Principles and Guidelines', supra note, *op. cit*, paragraph 6

⁵⁴ See General Comment 7, *op. cit.*, paragraph 10

While the Commission is said to have been fully operational in 2005, it started exercising its quasi-judicial function of investigating complaints in 2006. There has been a steady growth in the number of cases submitted to the Commission over the years following the Commission's promotion campaigns to raise awareness about itself and human rights⁵⁵. However, the total number of complaints submitted to the Commission since its inception, which exceeds a little over 6000, is rather small given the sheer size of the country and its poor human rights records⁵⁶. At the outset, it is important to note that the exact number of complaints submitted to the Commission in general is difficult to come by. This is largely attributable to the poor file management and recording of cases prior to 2010, before the Commission reformed its business process. The reform, launched in 2009 and completed in 2010, culminated in changing its organizational structure. It resulted in, among others, rearranging the original departments and also created new sections and posts, one of which is the Registrar at the Investigation Directorate⁵⁷. One can observe an improvement in the delivery of services in general and file management in particular after the Registrar went operational⁵⁸.

The recent statistics indicates a marked increase in the number of cases submitted to the Commission. More than 1427 complaints submitted to the Commission in 2012/2013 represent an even greater increase over the 65 cases received in its first year of operation⁵⁹.

Broadly catalogued, the types of cases submitted to the Commission since it commenced discharging its functions are pertaining to employment-related matters, interpersonal land disputes, property, security of person, freedom of movement, equality and non-discrimination,

⁵⁵ The Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, *Inaugural Report*, 2011, p. 91(hereinafter referred to as 'Inaugural Report Inaugural Report'); see also the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2009/2010, pp. 14-15(hereinafter referred to as 'Annual Report 2009/2010').

⁵⁶Ethiopia is a vast country with over 85 million people. The poor human rights record of the country is welldocumented by human rights NGOs, both local and international. Reports issued by, among others, the US State Department, Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch and the Ethiopian Human Rights Council attest to this fact. Based on its own documents, the Commission received a little over 6000 complaints since its inception. The rather low number of complaints has to do with the sheer size of the country accompanied by a lack of branch offices until recently or the inadequacy of promotion works by the Commission and/or a lack of awareness regarding the Commission's function or lack of interest in the Commission as it lacks executive powers. Among others, a sustained promotion by the Commission about itself and the newly opened branches offices and the likely increase in the number of such offices in the near future will possibly increase the number of complaints coming to the Commission.

⁵⁷ Owing to the lack of Registrar prior to April 2010 cases ended up in the hands of individual investigators as there was no practice of a centralized system of recording and admitting cases. See Inaugural Report, supra note 55, *op. cit.*, pp. 55-56

⁵⁸ See the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2010/2011, p. 8(hereinafter referred to as 'Annual Report 2010/2011').

⁵⁹ See the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2012/2013(hereinafter referred to as 'Annual Report 2012/2013).

condition of detention in prisons and police stations, inter-ethnic conflicts, election-related issues, and forced eviction from land and house⁶⁰.

In terms of their number, forced eviction-related complaints feature high among complaints that keep on appearing before the Commission annually. Broadly put, they relate to arbitrary eviction from house and/or land without prior notice and consultation, or without prior arrangement to relocate or provide them compensation. Most of the forced evictions were carried out for the purpose of making way to undertake infrastructure development (road construction and real estate) and for commercial farming. The complaints were mostly made against government authorities. In few cases, they were related to rental terms and conditions and complaints were lodged against private individuals or entities. For instance, a tenant who could not afford rent increment by the landlord was forced out of his house. He filed a complaint arguing that the action of the landlord leading to the loss of shelter constitutes violation of the right to housing. He was directed to the Ombudsman.

The investigation of complaints on forced eviction is an exception rather than the norm. The Commission managed to investigate few complaints on forced eviction, referring the greater part of them to the Ombudsman and a few to courts of law. Those investigated relate to the ones in the context of civil strife involving communal or ethnic violence.

6.1. Investigation of Individual and Group Complaints over Forced Evictions in Urban Areas

The Commission has been receiving complaints involving the right to adequate housing and issues of forced eviction. One important case filed to the Commission that could have given rise to important human rights issues in general and government policy on housing matters in particular is worth mentioning. The case is over forced eviction from and final demolition of houses built without permit. Sadly, the Commission rejected the case on the ground that it lacks jurisdiction over such matter. It is good to have some background on the case to shed light on how it could have given rise to human rights issues related to the right to housing in general and forced evictions in particular, and the obligation of the State in this regard.

⁶⁰ Inaugural Report, supra note 55, *op. cit.*, 89-96; Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2011/2012, p. 22(hereinafter referred to as 'Annual Report 2011/2012); see also Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, A Consolidated Five Year Report, 20011, p. 36(hereinafter referred to as 'Consolidated Five Year Report').

Land is a scare resource in urban areas, especially around Addis Ababa owing to rapid urbanization. Problems of land-management and bureaucratic hurdles making the provision of land to ordinary citizens difficult, the souring cost of renting, the unbearable cost of the available land along with the failure of the successive governments to address the longstanding housing problems in urban areas have forced citizens to get a roof over their head by means of their choice⁶¹. It thus forced citizens to engage in the construction of houses without permit, known locally as 'Chereka Bet', which has become a recurrent problem in big cities, especially Addis Ababa. The Government has been cracking down on such activities, which gained momentum following its ambitious quest to make land available for, *inter alia*, industries, real estate, and for construction of government-financed houses.

Mindful of the cause of construction without permit and housing problems in urban areas, the government has recently been trying to address the predicament through, among others, engaging in massive construction of houses, especially for low-income citizens, to alleviate their chronic shortages. Despite this, the problem still persists.

Forced-eviction is aggravated following a policy change by the incumbent ruling party (EPRDF). Putting rural development as its core economic development policy, the Party did not have an urban development policy for more than a decade since it assumed power in 1991. The adoption of its Urban Policy in 2003 followed by sustained economic growth led to a face-lift of many big cities in the country, especially Addis Ababa. The expansion of different business activities lead to scramble for land acquisition, spurring massive increase of its price and exacerbating the pending availability problem.

One of the means to alleviate chronic shortage of housing is the introduction of urban renewal, which calls for demolition of slums in different parts of Addis Ababa, affecting many poor communities living in them. Of course many of the poor communities were relocated to low-cost houses (called locally 'Condominium'). The transfer has not only affected their livelihood but also their social bonds. It affected the petty commerce they used to engage in, subjected them to transportation difficulties and their long-established social schemes such as Idir and Iqub. The eviction thus puts not only the right to housing at stake but also the right to protection of property, livelihood, and social and cultural issues.

⁶¹ Tegegne Gebre-Egziabher, *Livelihood and Urban Poverty Reduction in Ethiopia: Perspectives from Small and Big Towns*, Organization for Social Science Research in Eastern and Southern Africa (OSSREA), 2010, p. 14

The case could have given the chance to the Commission to see the obligation of the state in relation to housing rights and forced evictions, and policy and administrative measures undertaken by it to address the problem. The FDRE constitution does not guarantee the right to housing *per se* in explicit terms. However, it is incumbent upon the government to allocate resources to give social services including education and health (Article 41(3). The social service referred to here could include housing as the provision is not meant to be exhaustive. One of the 'National Policy Principles' included in the constitution obliges the state to adopt policies and measures so long as resources permit, to provide social service to citizens, including housing (Article 90(1). Furthermore, as Ethiopia is a state party to the ICESCR, the Commission could have ample justifications to look at the case and its policy and administrative implications to the obligations of the state in this regard. At least, it would, as a face-saving measure, have been better for the Commission to refer the matter to the Ombudsman than to reject it since administrative decision was involved in carrying out the action.

Admittedly, while forced eviction from land occupied and constructed carried out without permit is justifiable, subject to conditions, the Commission failed to examine whether the case at hand falls under such instances.

In connection with forced eviction some complaints could also have provoked issues of adequate standard of living and the right to adequate housing. A complaint, filed by a group of 19 complainants, was over houses given to them as replacement for their demolished ones. They alleged that the new houses do not have basic amenities, such as toilet and water, falling short in standard to the ones they used to live in. In another more or less similar complaint, applicants alleged that new houses given to them do not meet necessary standard, are smaller in size than they used to live in and far from shopping areas, forcing them to incur unexpected and unbearable expenses. The Commission preferred to provide advice in both cases instead of living and to adequate housing.

6.2. Investigation of Communal or Ethnic Violence Causing Forced Evictions

Many instances of forced evictions are associated with violence, such as evictions resulting from international armed conflicts, internal strife and communal or ethnic violence⁶². The Commission has investigated alleged human rights abuses arising from communal violence in different parts

⁶² See General Comment 7, supra note 44, *op. cit.*, paragraph 6

of the country. Investigation of such issues is the hallmark of the Commission's quasi-judicial function. The Commission is quick to dispatch its ad hoc Committee to deal with such matters and issue recommendations. This is probably because abuses arising from violent communal conflict may not directly implicate government authorities and its agents. The Commission has invested its energy in investigating private matters and non-state entities in areas such as labour and conflict rather than abuses attributable to government authorities and their agents⁶³.

To mention just one example, communal violence giving rise to multiple claims of human rights abuse, including forced evictions, occurred in the Southern part of the country.

In an ethnic conflict that flared up in the southern part of the country, the Commission launched an investigation, following the submission to it of a complaint, to determine whether human rights violations had actually occurred and to recommend an appropriate legal and professional advice to resolve the problem⁶⁴. The complaint submitted by a group of people purporting to act on behalf of the Guji ethnic group alleged that fellow members of their ethnic group were subject to a consistent torture, forced disappearance, death, detention, eviction from their house and land, and destruction of their livelihood since a referendum was held in the area to decide whether to put the area within the South Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Regional State or Oromia National Regional State⁶⁵. They alleged that in the latest violent attacks against them by the Sidama ethnic group, some people were killed and others disappeared, many houses were set ablaze, some household animals were looted and killed, and farms were set on fire for the sole reason that they happen to be ethnic *Guji* living among the *Sidamas*. They added that the local administration dominated by the *Sidamas* was complicit in the attacks targeting them.

The Commission did not however find that there was a deliberate and systemic policy to discriminate and attack the *Guji* community that was put in place by the local administration dominated by the *Sidamas*⁶⁶. However, it found out that security forces failed to take adequate

⁶³ Mohammed Abdo, 'National Human Rights Institution and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: An Examination of the Mandate and Practice of the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission', in Eva Brems, Gauthier de Beco and Wouter Vandenhole eds., *National Human Rights Institutions and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, Intersentia, 2013, p. 144

⁶⁴ See the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, 'Report on the Investigation of Human Rights Violation Complaints in Wondogenet Area, Sidama Zone, South Regional State', March 2011, pp 1 - 2

⁶⁵ Some areas inhabited by *Guji* were put within Oromia National Regional State and some areas where *Guji* are the minority were put under the administration of the South Regional State.

⁶⁶ See the Report on the investigation, supra note 64, op. cit., p. 21

measures before full-fledged violence erupted, which could have averted the crisis⁶⁷. It finally recommended measures to be adopted to solve inter-ethnic conflicts in the area⁶⁸.

To bring down forced evictions in the area, the Commission, in its specific recommendation, said that a combination of administrative, political and traditional mechanisms had to be deployed to address the root cause of the conflict so that lasting peace may prevail in the area⁶⁹. It also called upon the local administration to reinforce its endeavour to repair damaged house and/ or to rebuild houses burnt down⁷⁰.

The recommendation is remarkable in that it used strong words against the police and security forces, blaming them for not acting prudently to avert the crisis in the first place and also in calling for the usage of traditional means in conjunction with formal laws in dealing with the root cause of the problem.

6.3. Monitoring and Investigating Complaints in the Context of Development Policy-Grand Failure of the Commission

Before examining complaints indicating the fiasco of the Commission to face up to challenging government policies impinging on human rights, it is important to offer a hasty (brief) view of the recent economic and development policies in force.

Despite making impressive economic growth in the last couple of years, Ethiopia remains one of the poorest nations in the world. Food insecurity, hunger and malnutrition are traits associated with Ethiopia and continue to plague the lives of a considerable portion of its population. Ensuring food security in order to tackle deaths stemming from hunger as well as malnourishment should inevitably be the key development and economic policies of the government. Safety-net program, promotion of small-scale irrigation scheme by local farmers and attracting foreign direct investment in commercial farming, and resettlement of population are

⁶⁷ Ibid

⁶⁸ It called upon the two States to bring the two communities together to resolve the longstanding grievances through traditional means to be led by independent elders; and to bring to justice individuals who mobilize the community and incite violence between the two communities, and to distribute aid to the displaced fairly. The report on the investigation, *op. cit.*, pp. 22-23

⁶⁹ Ibid, p. 18

⁷⁰ Ibid, pp. 22

some of the major long-term development policies adopted by the incumbent government to ensure food security and food productivity.

To reinforce its development endeavour aimed at, among others, economic growth in general and securing food security in particular, the government has given an ideological clout to it. The notion of 'developmental state' has thus gained a growing traction in the political discourse and vernacular of the ruling party, particularly since the debacle of the 2005 general election, which plunged the country in political turmoil⁷¹. To put the notion in concrete economic policy and plan, the government came up with the 'Growth and Transformation Plan' in 2011. No matter (Although) it mentions public participation, transparency and good governance, the Plan seems to favour a needs-based approach to development issues rather than a rights-based approach⁷². Because the term development is linked almost exclusively to economic targets (i.e., the growth of GDP), it glosses over critical issues such as human rights, democratic participation by civil society and groups, and the protection of local populations.

Among the government development policies, foreign investment in agriculture and the resettlement program account for the bulk of the rapidly growing spate of forced evictions in rural areas.

Regarding foreign investment, Ethiopia is often cited as one of the countries where 'landgrabbing' is occurring. It has been handing out huge tracts of land to foreign investors drawn from different countries, including India, Saudi Arabia, and Djibouti. The bulk of fertile land transferred to investors is situated in less populated low-land areas,, including Gambella and Benishangul Gumuz Regions where much of the remaining intact forest in the country is situated. In many instances, local communities were driven out of their ancestral land to give way to commercial farming allegedly without prior consultation with the affected communities and/or inadequate compensation. (Please add reference to substantiate this claim)

⁷¹Asnake Kefale, 'Narratives of Developmentalism and Development in Ethiopia: Some preliminary explorations', 2011, p. 8 Available at: <u>http://www.nai.uu.se/ecas-4/panels/41-60/panel-57/Asnake-Kefale-Full-paper.pdf) (accessed on 13 June 2013)</u>

⁷² The ambitious five-year growth Plan aims to achieve Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth of 11-15% per annum from 2010 through 2015. The emphasis from the first page of the Plan is on economic progress and the overall objective is to achieve GDP growth. It mentions the need to consider public participation, transparency and good governance while attaining economic aspirations. See Ministry of Finance and Economic Development, 'Growth and Transformation Plan 2011-2015', 2010, pp. 57-60

The recent resettlement program has focused on four regional states, namely Somali, Afar, Benishangul-Gumuz, and Gambella. At the heart of the program is the settlement of people in selected core areas so that better education, health, water and other facilities can be made available to a sizeable population. It entails the eviction of people from the area they inhabit and restricts them to newly formed villages. Admittedly, it is largely a voluntary and participatory exercise. However, some suggest that these conditions were undermined by requirements to fulfil quotas for the program⁷³.

Looked at from a human rights perspective, issues of participation, transparency, accountability and effective access to remedies are to be given attention in the implementation of any government development policy in general and during forced evictions in particular. Investigating and monitoring whether the transfer of land to foreign investors and resettlement programs are implemented in ways not trampling on human rights of citizens are within the ambit of the Commission. Unfortunately the Commission, as an independent institution, could not discharge its functions in this regard. It failed to examine individual complaints arising from development policies let alone launch inquiry by its own initiative to probe such important human rights issues.

The Commission appears to be more comfortable with the protection of human rights where the issues involved do not have political overtones and are politically not sensitive⁷⁴. Where issues involved in a complaint have political overtones, the Commission tends to avoid them by disclaiming competence to handle them⁷⁵. Two cases marking the Commission's inability to entertain politically sensitive matters confronting human rights deserve attention here.

The Gambella Case

The Commission rejected, for want of jurisdiction, an interesting complaint filed by a representative of people living in a protected forest that could have given rise to important human rights issues such as participation, transparency, and provision of effective remedies with specific human rights issues including the right to housing, the right to work and protection of the

⁷³ UN Economic Council, Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food, Jean Ziegler, Mission to Ethiopia, E/CN.4/2005/47/Add.1, 8 February 2005, p. 15

⁷⁴ Abdo, supra note 63, *op. cit.*, p. 144

⁷⁵ Ibid

environment⁷⁶. The Complaint was instituted by Tamiru Ambello, acting on behalf of the community living in the area, to challenge the decision of the Gambella National Regional State, which decided to hand over the forest area to an investor for the purpose of tea farming⁷⁷. The complainants alleged that they were not consulted before the decision was made and that the clearing of the forest to give way to tea farming would have a devastating impact on the environment as well as on their livelihood based on the forest. Their attempt to get remedy of injunction from the local administration and regional government institutions was in vain.

Upon learning that the investor had already cleared a sizeable chunk of the forest, the complainants submitted a written request to the President of the country to intervene in the matter and help them halt the clearing of the forest. Sympathetic with their case, the President asked the Commission to intervene and investigate their complaint. They submitted their complaint to the Commission along with the letter of the President and other supporting documents. The investigation team at the Commission accepted the case but needed, before launching investigation, a professional advice on environmental issues at stake in the case and put their request informally to some experts and local organizations working on environmental issues such as Pact Ethiopia and Forum for Environment. The experts and the organizations asked the investigators to make a formal request, i.e. to produce a written letter of the Commission. The leadership of the Commission and ordered a referral of the case to the Ombudsman Institute, which in its turn remanded the case back to the Commission. In the end, both institutions failed to deal with the case.

There is no provision that precludes the Commission, or Ombudsman, from investigating such complaint as it is not considered by courts and other institutions⁷⁸. The Commission's action contravenes its obligations under the enabling statute to investigate any complaint related to human rights violations so long as the matter is not pending before other organs. Perhaps, the leadership of the Commission, as well as that of the Ombudsman, felt that the issues involved in the case pit them against the policy of the government to transfer large chunks of land to investors on the one hand and allegations of so called 'land-grabbing' for which the Ethiopian

⁷⁶ The facts of the complaint and the informal decision to reject the case were narrated by the investigator, who wants to remain anonymous, involved in handling the case. I had the privilege to look at the file of the complaint, including the documents produced by the complainant and the correspondence between the investigator and others. ⁷⁷ The complaint was submitted to the Commission in May 2011.

⁷⁸ Although not detailed, there is a general procedural rule to avoid potential overlap of jurisdiction between the Commission and the Institute. Article 29 of the Proclamation provides that the two institutions should settle overlap of jurisdiction by mutual agreement and in case this does not work, the institution to which a complaint is lodged first shall have the power to see it.

government has been criticized by experts and international organizations on the other⁷⁹. The government appears to be very sensitive on matters of allocation of massive swathes of land to foreign investors. It has always been denying allegations that 'land-grabbing' has taken place and argues that its action is part of its long-term bid for economic development, to attract foreign investment and technology transfer, to spur employment opportunity, and to help eradicate hunger and thereby ensure food self-sufficiency⁸⁰. The Commission seems to realize the sensitivities involved in the case and decided not to confront the government by investigating the matter, constituting a self-imposed restraint.

The Gura Farda Case

The Commission was tight-lipped over the recent forced eviction of thousands of people from the Gurafarda (area?), situated in Southern part of the country. The South Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Regional State decided to evict thousands of people who were accused of illegally occupying land without permit, causing deforestation and damaging the ecosystem. As a result, many of the victims claimed to have been evicted without advance notice and time to even collect their personal belongings let alone agricultural produce. Some of them even alleged to have been forcibly loaded onto trucks and buses and dropped in Addis Ababa, from where they were finally sent to their place of origin, situated in some part of the Amhara National Regional State. The action of the government caused fury among human rights NGOs, both local and international, and the opposition parties operating both locally and abroad, becoming a headline issue for some time⁸¹. Some people go so far as saying the action was part of a systemic attack singling out the Amhara $people^{82}$.

During a Parliamentary session, the late Prime Minister Meles Zenawi angrily denied the accusation that it was a systemic action targeting the Amharas. He argued that it was a simple act

⁷⁹ For instance, see the study funded by Oxfam International on land grabbing in Africa in which Ethiopia is indicated as one of the counties where 'land-grabbing' is taking place and its impact on small farmers and women and the violation of human rights involved in it. See Tinyade Kachika, Land Grabbing in Africa: A Review of the Impacts and the Possible Policy Response, 2011.

⁸⁰ Dessalegn Rahmato, 'Land to Investors: Large-Scale Land Transfers in Ethiopia', Forum for Social Studies, 2011, pp. 4-5 & p. 13

⁸¹See <u>http://www.ethiolion.com/article/041312</u> Gura Ferda and crimes against Humanity.html: http://www.ethiosun.com/2012/04/15/gura-ferda-and-crimes-opposite-humanitytesfay-atsbeha-and-kahsay-berhe/ http://www.ethiopianreview.com/content/tag/ethiopia-gura-ferda http://ethsat.com/2012/04/06/mass-eviction-of-amahras-stirs-controversy/

http://www.zenaddis.com/2012/04/11/shiferaw-shigute-dismisses-report-of-eviction ⁸² Assefa Negash, 'Why Have the Amharas Once Again Become Victims of Ethnic Cleansing by TPLF?' April 2012. See http://tassew.files.wordpress.com/2012/04/amaras_ethnic_cleansing_by_tplf_dr_assefa_negash.pdf

to deter people from flocking to the area illegally and pointed out that settlement from one State to another had to be coordinated between the two States involved⁸³. Bolstering the argument of the Federal government, the local administration pointed out that those evicted were the ones who settled in the area illegally after 2005 and the ones who arrived before that period had already been given permission to settle⁸⁴.

Some of the victims of the eviction lodged a group complaint to the Commission, alleging that the eviction was arbitrary, that they were subjected to violence and lost their entire fortune. Apparently fearing the sensitivities involved in the matter, the Commission refrained from taking any action on the case. By the same token, the Commission also failed to act on individual complaints from some of the victims in the case at hand. It opted to refer the matter to the Ombudsman, disclaiming jurisdiction over the case.

The rejection of Gambella and Gurafarda cases begs the question of whose interest the Commission is serving. Actually, it negates the very underpinning for the establishment of the institution.

Respect for and protection of human rights is the cornerstone of the FDRE constitution. The Commission is one of the institutional means to realize this. The basic rationale for its establishment is to achieve a permanent shift from the autocratic polities of the past to a just and democratic political arrangement in which the supremacy of law and good governance flourishes⁸⁵. The institution is thus meant to serve as the frontline mainstay for ensuring that the new status quo does not slide back to the human rights abuses of the past by seeing to it that the fundamental human rights and freedoms of citizens remain the constant centre of all developmental endeavours being made⁸⁶. Quite contrary to its inherent objective, the failure of the Commission to investigate the case means that it failed to test whether the development policy of the government is in line with the human rights ethos espoused by the Constitution.

⁸³ The current government curbed settlement of people from one Region to another, in a sharp contrast to the past Ethiopian regimes. It limited settlement within a Region. This policy emanates from the very policy of the government which promotes ethnic groups to control matters in their respective Region and fear that inter-regional settlement or villagization will disturb the ethnic makeup of Regions, consistent with the foundation of the current federal arrangement based largely on ethno-linguistic factors. He (the Prime Minister) reiterated the same during a Parliamentary session held on 17 April 2012.

⁸⁴ People who settle there were given certificate proving possession of land for farming and house. See Ethiopian Reporter, 1 April 2012; see also: http://www.zenaddis.com/2012/04/11/shiferaw-shigute-dismisses-report-of-eviction/

⁸⁵ Inaugural Report, supra note 55, op. cit., p. 27

⁸⁶ Ibid

Whenever the Commission dares to investigate politically sensitive matters, it relies on a behindthe-scene approach rather than on its formal powers in the form of naming and shaming. Actually, a clandestine mode of engagement with government authorities is the hallmark of the Commission's enforcement mechanism⁸⁷. Using informal means of communication, the Commission has been able to secure remedies for claimants in some instances. For instance, concerning forced eviction, the Commission's intervention helped to secure remedy to people who were forcibly removed from their houses⁸⁸. In another case, the Commission's intervention helped victims to resettle in the land from where they were removed to give way to a hydropower and irrigation project in the Tana Beles area⁸⁹.

Actually, such informal engagement is inevitable in an authoritarian tradition. Formal enforcement mechanisms in the form of naming and shaming does not?, as argued by commentators, in authoritarian countries with a controlled media and a frail judiciary⁹⁰. In such system, informal communication produces far better results than usage of formal enforcement powers granted to NHRIs⁹¹. While informal means help offer remedy in individual cases and keep the channel of communication with the government open, it undermines inherent powers and thereby put the Commission's credibility at risk.

6.4. Lack of Systemic Inquiry

The power to initiate *suo moto* and/or systemic investigation can help NHRIs meet the needs of individuals or communities who may not otherwise be heard⁹². This is because disadvantaged and

⁸⁷ Mohammed, supra note 63, op. cit., pp. 140-141

⁸⁸ The Commission has relied on informal method of conveying information to government officials through means such as informal contact persons instead of written letter or publication of information through the media or other means. One case where such method proved successful is worth mentioning here. Many people were arrested following clashes between security forces of the government and the Ogaden National Liberation Front (ONLF), an insurgent group claiming to fight for the self-determination of the Ogaden people in the Somali National Regional State of Ethiopia. Following the clashes, the Somali National Regional State launched a campaign to arrest people without warrant and refused to bring them before court and also disregarded bail issued by court. It also carried out arbitrary search of house and seizure of property by forcefully evicting occupants. The campaign was part of the initiative to dislodge the alleged members of the ONLF, which was locked up in fierce clashes with the government in 2007 and 2008. The intervention of the Commission managed to help provide remedies to some families who were forcibly evicted from their houses. See Consolidated Five Year Report, supra note 60, *op. cit.*, p. 36; see also Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2006/2007, p. 22

⁸⁹ Annual Report 2011/2012, supra note 60, op. cit., p. 17

⁹⁰ Li-Ann Thio, 'Panacea, Placebo, or Pawns? The Teething Problems of the Human Rights Commission of Malaysia(Suhakam)', *George Washington International Law Review*, Vol. 40, 2009, p.1298; see also Catherine Renshaw and Kieren Fitzpatrick 'NHRIs in the Asia Pacific Region', 2011, p.28

⁹² UN Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Handbook for National Human Rights Institutions', Professional Training Series No. 12, UN, New York and Geneva, 2005, p. 49(hereinafter referred to as 'Professional Training Series No. 12')Ibid; see also UN Office of the High

vulnerable sections of society are not likely in a position to access judicial and quasi judicial bodies. It gives such groups a public voice, making human rights violations to become a matter of general knowledge and concern, which is a requisite step towards dealing with them⁹³.

The Commission is not active in the area of conducting both *suo moto* investigation and systemic inquiry into legal, policy, administrative and institutional issues that give rise to recurring human rights problems in the country. The failure to do so is attributed predominantly to the Commission's inability to confront government policies and to lack of capacity to some degree. Be that as it may, the Commission conducted limited *suo moto* investigation, most of which relate to the alleged killings of individuals in conflict situations and/or in an isolated incident, and orphanage facilities in different parties of the country⁹⁴.

Potentially reversing its lack of pro-activism the Commission plans to conduct inquiry into some issues, including issues of large-scale land transfer to investors as well as religious conflicts⁹⁵. It appears that a torrent of criticism against the government decision to allocate a whopping portion of land to investors has spurred the Commission to plan this. In addition, the Commission intends to do so apparently to off-set its failure to investigate such matters in a group complaint submitted to it in 2011, for which it was fiercely denigrated. Such proposed *suo moto* investigation is akin to public inquiry, although the details of how to go about conducting it are not yet available. Be that as it may if the outcome of the study is going to be presented for public discussion, it could reshape public view regarding such matter, which in the long-run might shape policy on it.

(The Commission has not dared to sensitize issues of the right to adequate standard of living in general and of forced eviction to the specific) unclear statement, please rephrase despite the practice of forced eviction has become a widespread and recurrent problem, both in urban and rural areas.

Concluding Remarks

Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), 'National Human Rights Institutions: History, Principles, Roles and Responsibilities', Professional Training Series No. 4(Rev.1), 2011, p. 79

⁹³ Ibid

⁹⁴ Some relate to the investigation of violent conflict between religious groups, and inter-ethnic clashes between *Sidama* and *Oromo* in around *Wondogenet*, and riots in some part of the Country. See Annual Report 2010/2011 supra note 69, *op. cit.*, p. 71. On inquiry into orphanage facilities see Annual Report 20011/2012, supra note, 60, *op. cit.*, pp. 24-25; Inaugural Report, supra note, 55, *op cit.*, pp. 77-78; see also Consolidated Five Year Report, supra note 60, *op. cit.*, p. 39

⁹⁵ Annual Report 2011/2012, op. cit., p. 20

The NHRIs are institutions whose role is to realign State behaviour by constantly criticizing the government's wrongful acts that trample on human rights, offering inside perspective to it. By the very nature of their mandate, it is inevitable for NHRIs to be on collusion course with the government. If there is no more friction between the institutions and their respective governments, such institution is not part of NHRI anymore⁹⁶. It is thus vital for the legitimacy of particular NHRI not to yield to pressure, direct or indirectly, from a government agency in carrying out its role even if it pits it against the government⁹⁷. Indeed, tackling controversial issues even if it brings the institution on collision course with the government or its agencies is the public legitimacy litmus test for a NHRI⁹⁸.

Avoiding confrontation with the government, the Commission refused to act on complaints alleging important questions of human rights violations, notably on politically sensitive issues, by disclaiming jurisdiction. Its failure to handle such matters has seriously undermined its standing, giving rise to perception of lack of independence.

The failure of the Commission to hold the government to account is rooted partly in the authoritarian political tradition that tightly controls all government institutions and the rampant culture of impunity. When authoritarianism is deeply-embedded, the accountability mechanisms such as tribunals and NHRIs have a limited role in constraining government powers.

Be that as it may, the Commission, as an independent institution, was set up for the purpose of protecting human rights. The real test of its independence lies in its ability to challenge the government by investigating human rights violations appearing before it. The Commission should not thus shy away from probing politically sensitive matters.

NHRIs need to have clear legal criteria and procedures in place when operating with a controversial case, especially in a delicate political and legal environment⁹⁹. In its operational procedure, the Commission needs to enunciate clear procedure on considering sensitive and high profile cases in order to avoid selectivity in launching investigation on matters. No matter crucial policies are involved in government development ventures, the obligation to protect implies an active role on the part of the state and its institutions to ensure that policies and actions taken to effect government programs are carried out in conformity with human rights norms and relevant principles.

⁹⁶ Buhm-Suk Baek, 'Do we Need National Human Rights Institutions? The Experience of Korea', Doctoral Student Paper, Law School, Cornell University, 2010, p. 9

⁹⁷ Anne Smith, 'The Unique Position of National Human Rights Institutions', *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 28, 2006, p. 936

⁹⁸ Ibid

⁹⁹ Ibid

As Ethiopia is still at an early stage in the transition towards democracy, there is a need for democratic institutions to hold the government accountable. This is significant given the fact that human rights abuses, particularly forced evictions, are a recurring problem in the country. It is thus incumbent upon the Commission to challenge the government when instances for doing so materialize. Also, the Commission ought to regularly conduct human rights training for relevant authorities involved in or concerned with forced evictions. Such endeavour could help prevent human rights violations within the context of forced evictions. Additionally, the Commission has to conduct systemic investigation on the practice and publicize its findings so as to trigger debate on the subject-matter.

References

Abdo, Mohammed, 'National Human Rights Institution and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: An Examination of the Mandate and Practice of the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission', in Eva Brems, Gauthier de Beco and Wouter Vandenhole eds., *National Human Rights Institutions and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, Intersentia, 2013

Abdo, Mohammed, 'The Ethiopian Human Rights Commission: Challenges Confronting Its Effective Functioning', *Chinese Year Book of Human Rights*, Vol. 4, 2006

Abdo, Mohammed, 'Challenges Facing the New Ethiopian Ombudsman Institution', *International Ombudsman Yearbook*, Vol. 6, 2002

Baek, Buhm-Suk, 'Do We Need National Human Rights Institutions? The Experience of Korea', Doctoral Student Paper, Law School, Cornell University, 2010

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2012/2013

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2011/2012

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, A Consolidated Five Year Report, 20011

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Inaugural Report, 2011

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Bulletin, Vol. 1, No. 05, 2011

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, 'Report on the Investigation of Human Rights Violation Complaints in Wondogenet Area, Sidam Zone, South Regional State', March 211

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2010/2011

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, Annual Report 2009/2010

Ethiopian Human Rights Commission, 'Strategic Plan for the Ethiopian Human Rights Commission 2006-2011', the Office of the Team of International Consultants and the Justice for All and Prison Fellowship Ethiopia, 2006

Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia, Ministry of Finance and Economic Development, 'Growth and Transformation Plan 2011-2015', 2010

Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia, *Legal Standing Committee* of the *House of People's Representatives* to elaborate draft laws to establish the Commission and the Ombudsman, 1999

Gebre-Egziabher, Tegegn, Livelihood and Urban Poverty Reduction in Ethiopia: Perspectives from Small and Big Towns, Organization for Social Science Research in Eastern and Southern Africa (OSSREA), 2010

Human Rights Watch, Protectors or Pretenders?:Government Human Rights Commissions in Africa, 2001 International Council on Human Rights Policy, Performance and Legitimacy: National Human Rights Institutions, Versoix, Switzerland, 2000

Kachika, Tinyade, Land Grabbing in Africa: A Review of the Impacts and the Possible Policy Response, 2011

Kefale, Asnake, 'Narratives of Developmentalism and Development in Ethiopia: Some preliminary explorations', 2011, aavailable at: <u>http://www.nai.uu.se/ecas-4/panels/41-60/panel-57/Asnake-Kefale-Full-paper.pdf</u>) (accessed on 13 June 2013)

Koo, Jeong-Woo and Ramirez, Francisco O., 'National Incorporation of Global Human Rights: Worldwide Expansion of National Human Rights Institutions, 1966-2004', *Social Forces*, Vol. 87, No. 3, 2009

Lindsnaes, B. and Lindholt, L., 'National Human Rights Institutions – Standard Settings and Achievements', in B. Lindsnaes, L. Lindholt and K. Yigen (eds.), *National Human Rights Institutions: Articles and Working Papers*, Danish Center for Human Rights, 2001

Murray Rachel, 'The Role of NHRIs at the International and Regional Levels: The Experience of Africa', *Human Rights Law in Perspective*, Vol. 11, Oxford and Portland, Hart Publishing, 2007

Negash, Assefa, Why Have the Amaras Once Again Become Victims of Ethnic Cleansing by TPLF?' April 2012. See <u>http://tassew.files.wordpress.com/2012/04/amaras_ethnic_cleansing_by_tplf_dr_assefa_negash.p</u> <u>df</u>.

Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights, 'Ethiopia: Human Rights Defenders under Pressure: Report: International Fact-Finding Mission', 2005

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), 'National Human Rights Institutions: History, Principles, Roles and Responsibilities', Professional Training Series No. 4(Rev.1), 2011

Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Handbook for National Human Rights Institutions', Professional Training Series No. 12, UN, New York and Geneva, 2005

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'The right to adequate housing (Art.11.1): forced evictions-General Comment 7, 05/20/1997', Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1997

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'The Right to Adequate Housing (Art. 11 (1) of the Covenant-, General Comment 4, E/1992/23', Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1991

Rahmato, Desalegn, 'Land to Investors:Large-Scale Land Transfers in Ethiopia', Forum for Social Studies, 2011

Renshaw Catherine, and Fitzpatrick, Kieren, 'NHRIs in the Asia Pacific Region', 2011

Smith, Ann, 'The Unique Position of National Human Rights Institutions', Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 28, 2006

Thio, Li-Ann, 'Pacacea, Placebo, or Pawns? The Teething Problems of the Human Rights Commission of Malaysia(Suhakam)', *George Washington International Law Review*, Vol. 40, 2009

Vaughan Sarah and Tronvoll, Kjelit, *The Culture of Power in Contemporary Ethiopian Political Life*, SIDA Studies No. 10, 2005

UN, 'Basic Principles and Guidelines on Development-based Evictions and Displacement', 2007

UN Commission on Human Rights, 'Prohibition of forced evictions: Resolution 28/2004', E/CN.4/RES/2004/28, 2004

UN Commission on Human Rights, 'Forced evictions: Resolution 77/1993', E/CN.4/RES/1993/77, 1993

UN Economic Council, Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food, Jean Ziegler, Mission to Ethiopia, E/CN.4/2005/47/Add.1, 8 February 2005

Vaughan, Sarah and Tronvoll, KJelit, *The Culture of Power in Contemporary Ethiopian Political Life*, SIDA Studies No. 10, 2005

Welch, Claude E., Protecting Human Rights in Africa: Strategies and Roles of Non-Governmental Organizations, University of Pennsylvania Press, 1995

World Bank, Ethiopia: Legal and Judicial Sector Assessment, 2004

Internet and other Sources

http://www.ethiolion.com/article/041312_Gura_Ferda_and_crimes_against_Humanity.html:

http://www.ethiosun.com/2012/04/15/gura-ferda-and-crimes-opposite-humanitytesfay-atsbehaand-kahsay-berhe/

http://www.ethiopianreview.com/content/tag/ethiopia-gura-ferda

http://ethsat.com/2012/04/06/mass-eviction-of-amahras-stirs-controversy/

http://www.zenaddis.com/2012/04/11/shiferaw-shigute-dismisses-report-of-eviction

nhri.ohchr.org/EN/AboutUS/ICCAccreditation/Page/NextSession.aspx.

nhri.ohchr.org/EN/AboutAccreditation/Documentss/SCA%20Report%20November%202012%20%28English%29.pdf.

ohchr.org/Documents/Countries/NHRI/Chart_Status_Nis.pdf

Ethiopian Reporter, issue of 1 April 2012