Common Genetic Determinants of Lung Function, Subclinical Atherosclerosis and Risk of Coronary Artery Disease



Maria Sabater-Lleal¹*, Anders Mälarstig², Lasse Folkersen^{1¤}, María Soler Artigas^{3,4}, Damiano Baldassarre^{5,6}, Maryam Kavousi⁷, Peter Almgren⁸, Fabrizio Veglia⁶, Guy Brusselle^{7,9,10}, Albert Hofman⁷, Gunnar Engström⁸, Oscar H. Franco⁷, Olle Melander^{8,11}, Gabrielle Paulsson-Berne¹², Hugh Watkins¹³, Per Eriksson¹, Steve E. Humphries¹⁴, Elena Tremoli^{5,6}, Ulf de Faire¹⁵, Martin D. Tobin^{3,4}, Anders Hamsten¹

1 Atherosclerosis Research Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, 2 Pfizer Worldwide Research and Development, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 3 Genetic Epidemiology Group, Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom, 4 National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, United Kingdom, 5 Dipartimento di Scienze Farmacologiche e Biomolecolari, Università di Milano, Milan, Italy, 6 Centro Cardiologico Monzino, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Cattere Scientifico, Milan, Italy, 7 Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Center - University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, 8 Department of Clinical Sciences, Lunds University, Malmö, Sweden, 9 Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center - University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, 10 Inspectorate for Health Care, The Hague, the Netherlands, 11 Department of Internal Medicine, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, 12 Cardiovascular Research Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, 13 Department of Cardiovascular Medicine and the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, 14 Center for Cardiovascular Genetics, Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, London, United Kingdom, 15 Division of Cardiovascular Epidemiology, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) independently associates with an increased risk of coronary artery disease (CAD), but it has not been fully investigated whether this co-morbidity involves shared pathophysiological mechanisms. To identify potential common pathways across the two diseases, we tested all recently published single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with human lung function (spirometry) for association with carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) in 3,378 subjects with multiple CAD risk factors, and for association with CAD in a case-control study of 5,775 CAD cases and 7,265 controls. SNPs rs2865531, located in the *CFDP1* gene, and rs9978142, located in the *KCNE2* gene, were significantly associated with CAD. In addition, SNP rs9978142 and SNP rs3995090 located in the *HTR4* gene, were associated with average and maximal cIMT measures. Genetic risk scores combining the most robustly spirometry-associated SNPs from the literature were modestly associated with CAD, (odds ratio (OR) (95% confidence interval (Cl₉₅) = 1.06 (1.03, 1.09); P-value = 1.5×10^{-4} , per allele). In conclusion, our study suggests that some genetic loci implicated in determining human lung function also influence cIMT and susceptibility to CAD. The present results should help elucidate the molecular underpinnings of the co-morbidity observed across COPD and CAD.

Citation: Sabater-Lleal M, Mälarstig A, Folkersen L, Soler Artigas M, Baldassarre D, et al. (2014) Common Genetic Determinants of Lung Function, Subclinical Atherosclerosis and Risk of Coronary Artery Disease. PLoS ONE 9(8): e104082. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104082

Editor: Giuseppe Novelli, Tor Vergata University of Rome, Italy

Received April 3, 2014; Accepted July 6, 2014; Published August 5, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Sabater-Lleal et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that, for approved reasons, some access restrictions apply to the data underlying the findings. Because of restrictions based on privacy regulations and informed consent of the participants, we want to state that data cannot be made freely available in a public repository. Data can however be obtained upon request. Requests For RS data should be directed towards the management team for the Rotterdam Study (secretariat.epi@ erasmusmc.nl), which has a protocol for approving data requests; to Anders Hamsten (Anders.Hamsten@ki.se) for PROCARDIS data; to Fabrizio Veglia (Fabrizio. veglia@ccfm.it.) for IMPROVE data; to Olle Melander (olle.melander@med.lu.se) for MDC data; to Per Eriksson (per.eriksson@ki.se) for ASAP data; and to Gabrielle Paulsson-Berne (gabrielle.berne@ki.se) for BIKE data.

Funding: On behalf of all the authors, Dr. Sabater-Lleal would like to state that the authors received no specific funding for this work, however Pfizer provided support in the form of salary for author Dr. Mälarstig, but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The specific roles of this author are articulated in the 'author contributions' section.

Competing Interests: With regards to conflicts of interest, the authors have the following interests. Anders Mälarstig is employed by Pfizer Worldwide Research and Development and holds Pfizer stocks and options. Dr. Engström has disclosed being formerly employed by AstraZeneca R&D. Dr. Folkersen is currently employed at Novo Nordisk A/S, Denmark although all his contributions in this manuscript were done when employed at Karolinska Institutet. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors.

¤ Current address: PharmakoGenetics department, Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark

^{*} Email: maria.sabater.lleal@ki.se

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a condition characterised by impaired airflow to the lungs that worsens over time [1]. The primary risk factor for COPD is long-term exposure to noxious particles and gases, in particular from cigarette smoking, which has been shown to trigger inflammation and abnormal immune responses in the small airways [2]. Local inflammation in the lung may, in turn, trigger systemic inflammatory reactions, such as production of acute-phase proteins in the liver, with potential adverse consequences for non-respiratory organs [3]. The incidence proportion of COPD of any severity grade in smokers reported by observational studies ranges between 15%–40%. The corresponding rates in non-smokers are 8%–15% [4,5]. As not all smokers contract COPD, it is believed that susceptibility to COPD is highly variable between individuals, and that some of the variability may be explained by genetics, environment and lifestyle, and interactions between these factors [6].

In pulmonary function testing with spirometry, a reduced postbronchodilator FEV₁/FVC ratio indicates the presence of airflow limitation and is required for the diagnosis of COPD. To study the genetic component of COPD, genome-wide association (GWA) studies have attempted to identify genetic determinants of human lung function in healthy subjects, using spirometry data on Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV)₁ and its ratio to Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) (FEV₁/FVC). To date, a total of 26 genetic loci for human lung function have been identified, some of which also seem to be associated with COPD susceptibility, such as the loci at *TNS1*, *RARB*, *FAM13A*, *GSTCD*, *HHIP*, *ADAM19*, *HTR4*, *AGER*, *GPR126*, *C10orf11* and *THSD4* [7,8,9,10,11].

Multiple studies have reported that cardiovascular disease (CVD), including coronary artery disease (CAD), congestive heart failure, stroke and peripheral arterial disease, is a major contributor to mortality and morbidity in COPD. A recent meta-analysis sought to quantify the CVD risk in COPD using literature data, and observed a 2-5 fold increased CVD risk in patients with COPD compared with age- and sex-matched controls without COPD [12]. The difference persisted after adjustment for known risk factors. Amongst several possible explanations for the strong co-morbidity is that COPD and CAD not only progress in parallel, but also share some common etiologically relevant biological pathways, involving e.g. oxidative stress, matrix remodelling and innate and adaptive immune responses. In the present study, we sought to address this hypothesis by testing genetic loci for spirometric measures as determinants for carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) and susceptibility to CAD.

Methods

SNP selection

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) attaining genome-wide significance in four recent GWA studies for either FEV₁ or the ratio of FEV₁ to FVC [13,14,15,16] were selected for crossreference analysis with CAD susceptibility and cIMT. In particular, we selected 26 lead SNPs, representing 26 loci robustly associated with spirometry measures, through a literature search (Table 1).

Association with cIMT measures

The database and biobank of a large, multicenter, European prospective cohort study (acronym: IMPROVE (Carotid Intima

Media Thickness (IMT) and IMT-PRogression as Predictors of Vascular Events in a High-Risk European Population) was used for studying SNP associations with various cIMT measures. The IMPROVE study was set up for the study of cIMT measures as predictors of incident coronary events, and enrolled 3,711 subjects with at least three independent CAD risk factors. Detailed descriptions of IMPROVE, including the protocols for carotid ultrasound measures have been reported [17,18]. In the present study, a total of 3,378 subjects were available for the genetic association analyses, which included the mean and maximum IMT of a common carotid segment excluding the first cm proximal to the bifurcation (CC-IMT_{mean} and CC-IMT_{max}), mean and maximum IMT in the internal carotid arteries (ICA-IMT_{mean} and ICA-IMT_{max}), and the mean and maximum IMT of the bifurcation (Bif-IMT_{mean} and Bif-IMT_{max}). Composite IMT variables considering the whole carotid tree, derived from the segment-specific measurements (IMT_{mean}, IMT_{max}, and IMT_{mean}max (the average of IMT maxima recorded at the different segments)) were also tested for association.

Six of the SNPs had previously been genotyped on the Illumina CardioMetabochip array. The CardioMetabochip interrogates $\approx 200,000$ SNPs located in regions identified by previous GWA studies of metabolic and cardiovascular traits and diseases. For eight of the lead SNPs, we selected proxy SNPs ($r^2 \ge 0.85$) that were present on the CardioMetabochip array. Proxies were selected using SNAP software [19] using 1000 genomes pilot 1 CEU samples as reference. The remaining 12 SNPs were genotyped with TaqMan probes from Applied Biosystems. Quality control procedures for the CardioMetabochip array in IMPROVE have been described [20].

We performed linear regression analyses between the 26 lung function-associated SNPs and different cIMT measures using PLINK (v1.07) [21], assuming an additive genetic model and adjusting for age, gender, body-mass index and the first 3 multidimensional scaling (MDS) dimensions to account for population stratification (based on CardioMetabochip genotype data, see details in [20]). All cIMT variables were logarithmically transformed before statistical analysis because of skewed distributions. All P-values were Bonferroni-corrected (statistical significance set at a P-value ≤ 0.00192).

Replication

Replication of the rs3995090 association with cIMT measures was pursued in the Rotterdam Study (RSI and RSII) and in the Malmö Diet and Cancer Cohort (MDCC). A description of the samples used for all analyses is included in Section S1. Only measures of CC-IMT_{mean} were available in all replication cohorts. In addition, CC-IMT_{max} measures were available for RSI and RSII. Results from the three replication cohorts were metaanalyzed by using an inverse-variance model with fixed effects as implemented in METAL [22]. Statistical significance for this SNP was set at a P-value ≤ 0.05 .

Association with CAD

We also sought association *in silico* of the 26 lung function SNPs with CAD in 5,775 CAD cases and 7,265 controls using GWA data from the PROCARDIS [23] and Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC) collections [24]. Association was tested by logistic regression analysis assuming an additive model and adjusting for age, gender, and country using STATA version 11 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Since PROCARDIS contains related individuals (see Section S1), relatedness was taken into account by setting families as clusters.

on-associated SNPs selected in the present study [13,14,15,16].	
ible 1. Information of the 26 lung function-	

Symble Ch Goest gene A1 frag Measure Exp. // frag Measure Exp. // frag Exp. // frag <th></th> <th></th> <th>IMPROVE</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			IMPROVE			
rs23e4746 1 MFAP2 6 0.23 FEVI/FVC 0.006 7.5×10 rs23e7744 1 7.6F82 1 6 0.23 FEVI/FVC 0.034 0.005 1.16×10 rs2371445 2 7K51 6 0.60 FEVI/FVC 0.034 0.005 1.16×10 rs123771445 2 AMR 7 7 0.033 0.006 1.16×10 rs12357143 2 AMR 7 0.20 FEVI/FVC 0.034 0.005 2.95×10 rs123575 3 MECM 1 0.20 FEVI/FVC 0.034 0.005 2.95×10 rs13950905 4 HHP 1 0.56 FEVI/FVC 0.033 0.007 1.91×10 rs135505 5 HMA 1 0.56 FEVI/FVC 0.034 0.005 2.03×10 rs135505 6 MC 7 0.23 0.005 1.01×10 0.005 0.001 1.01×10 rs135504628<		proxy_LD	SNP	۶	2	A1 freq n
1 1 76#2 1 0.31 FEV/FVC 0.035 0.006 114×10 r5271445 2 7X5 4 7X5 4 0.005 111×10 r5271445 2 7X5 6 0.005 FEV/FVC 0.035 0.005 111×10 r5125671 3 RAMB C 0.23 FEV/FVC -0.034 0.006 131×10 r5125675 4 RAM13A T 0.23 FEV/FVC -0.034 0.005 255×10 r51365655 4 RAM13A T 0.26 FEV/FVC -0.035 0.005 243×10 r51369509 5 HMM T 0.56 FEV/FVC -0.037 0.011 648×10 r5289509 5 FEV/FVC 0.035 0.005 211×10 235×10 r539509 6 FEV/FVC 0.035 0.007 237×11 207×11 r539510 5 FEV/FVC 0.035 0.007 237×11	0.005 7.5×10^{-16}	0.87	rs6657613	F	A	0.47 3378
rdddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddd	0.006 1.16×10 ⁻⁸	1.00	rs993925	U	A 0	0.29 3276
s12477314 2 HDA(4 1 0.20 FEVI/FVC 0.041 0.006 168×10 s1329572 3 R4RB C 0.33 FEVI/FVC -0.048 0.006 337×10 s134555 3 MECOM T 0.21 FEVI/FVC -0.034 0.006 337×10 s134555 4 AM13A T 0.51 FEVI/FVC -0.034 0.006 337×10 s135955 4 AM13A T 0.55 FEVI/FVC -0.034 0.006 2.18×10 s1257027 5 ADM19 A 0.71 FEVI/FVC -0.034 0.005 2.18×10 s1257027 5 ADM19 A 0.73 FEVI/FVC -0.031 0.007 1.19×10 s1357128 6 APR1 7 0.25 FEVI/FVC -0.031 0.007 1.19×10 s1381728 6 APR1/FVC 0.031 FEVI/FVC -0.031 0.007 1.19×10 s1381728	0.005 1.11×10^{-12}	1.00	rs2571445	A	ט	0.40 3378
rsi23672 3 RRB C 033 FEVI/FVC -0.048 0006 255/10 1314555 3 MECOM 1 0.01 EVI/FVC 0.035 0.005 255/10 1314555 4 KMI3A 1 0.01 0.01 0.005 255/10 151361656 4 KMI3A 1 0.05 0.005 0.005 243/10 151361656 4 KMI3A 1 0.61 0.64 0.005 0.005 243/10 15136165 5 ADMM9 1 0.55 FEVI/FVC 0.037 0.011 548/10 1513616 5 ADM19 1 0.55 FEVI/FVC 0.037 0.011 30/70 1513916 5 AFR12 0.55 FEVI/FVC 0.037 0.005 243/10 153317035 6 AFR17 0.037 0.005 0.005 243/10 153317035 6 AFR17 0.038 1.17/70 0.033	0.006 1.68×10^{-12}	1.00	rs12477314	U	A 0	0.22 3255
s134455 3 MECOM T 0.21 FEV1 -0.034 0.005 2.65×10 r5286967 4 637C0 6 657C0 6.035 0.007 191×10 r5286967 4 637C0 6 0.06 FEV1 0.035 0.007 191×10 r51269628 4 HHP T 0.55 FEV1/FVC 0.035 0.007 933×10 r51269620 5 ADMMI9 A 0.71 FEV1/FVC 0.035 0.007 933×10 r52377027 5 ADMM19 T 0.55 FEV1/FVC 0.035 0.007 933×10 r5395106 6 6 7.2 0.40 FEV1/FVC 0.035 0.007 93×10 r5395105 6 6 7.2 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.035 0.007 1.17×10 r5395105 6 6 7.2 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.035 0.011 1.07×10 r5397105 6	0.006 3.97×10^{-14}	1.00	rs1529672	υ	A	0.18 3281
rs286967 4 FMI13 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC 0.035 0.007 1.91×10 rs10516526 4 657C0 6 670 0.03 0.007 0.191×10 rs12504628 4 657C0 6 0.06 FEV1 0.038 0.007 993×10 rs12504628 5 ADMM19 7 0.35 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.007 993×10 rs23955000 5 ADM19 7 0.35 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.007 933×10 rs3957030 5 ADM19 7 0.35 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.007 933×10 rs3957030 6 AGR1 7 0.55 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.001 307×10 rs3977036 6 AGR3 7 0.05 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.011 307×10 rs3977038 6 AGR3 7 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.011 307×10 rs3977030 <td< td=""><td>0.006 2.65×10^{-8}</td><td>1.00</td><td>rs1344555</td><td>U</td><td>A 0</td><td>0.20 3266</td></td<>	0.006 2.65×10^{-8}	1.00	rs1344555	U	A 0	0.20 3266
Index Index <th< td=""><td>0.007 1.91×10^{-7}</td><td>1.00</td><td>rs2869967</td><td>υ</td><td>Т</td><td>0.39 3226</td></th<>	0.007 1.91×10^{-7}	1.00	rs2869967	υ	Т	0.39 3226
IS204628 4 HIP T 0.56 FEVI,FWC -0.077 0.011 6.48×10 S2277027 5 ADMI9 A 0.71 FEVI,FWC 0.045 0.077 993×10 rs3955090 5 HTR4 C 0.40 FEVI,FWC 0.038 0.006 429×10 rs3955090 5 SPATA9 T 0.55 FEVI,FWC 0.031 0.005 2.012×11 rs3817928 6 GFR126 A 0.78 FEVI,FWC 0.031 0.005 2.012×11 rs3817928 6 AGER 7 0.55 FEVI,FWC 0.033 0.005 2.012×11 rs3817928 6 AGER 7 0.55 FEVI,FWC 0.033 0.011 3.07×10 rs3817928 6 AGER 7 0.23 EVI/FWC 0.033 0.011 2.01×10 rs381705 6 AGER 7 0.23 EVI/FWC 0.033 0.012 5.34×10 <	0.009 2.18×10^{-23}	1.00	rs10516526	U	A 0	0.06 3203
s2277027 5 ADAMI9 A 0.71 FEV1/FVC 0.045 0.007 9.93×10 r3395090 5 HTR4 C 0.40 FEV1 0.038 0.006 4.29×10 r3395090 5 SPATA9 T 0.55 FEV1/FVC -0.031 0.005 2.012×11 r3317328 6 GFR126 A 0.78 FEV1/FVC -0.050 0.008 1.17×10 r3317328 6 AGER T 0.05 FEV1/FVC -0.051 0.007 3.97×10 r3317328 6 AGER T 0.05 FEV1/FVC -0.051 0.007 9.35×10 r32070600 6 ACR3 T 0.05 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.007 9.35×10 r32070600 6 ACR3 T 0.18 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.011 3.07×10 r32070600 6 ACR3 T 0.18 FEV1/FVC 0.029 0.011 1.19×10 <	0.011 6.48×10^{-13}	0.97	rs13147758	U	A 0	0.45 3378
rs395000 5 HTr4 C 0.40 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.006 4.29×10 rs3317328 6 <i>SPATA9</i> 1 0.55 FEV1/FVC -0.031 0.005 2012×11 rs3817328 6 <i>GFR126</i> A 0.78 FEV1/FVC -0.050 0.008 1.17×10 rs3817328 6 <i>GFR126</i> A 0.78 FEV1/FVC -0.051 0.005 2.012×11 rs3817328 6 <i>AGER</i> T 0.58 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.006 2.28×10 rs2070660 6 <i>ARMC2</i> 1 0.18 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.005 2.19×10 rs20706805 1 <i>ARMC2</i> 1 0.19 EV1/FVC 0.001 3.35×10 rs20805805 10 <i>CDC13</i> 1 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.005 0.005 5.34×10 rs1001819 10 <i>CDC13</i> 1 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.003 0.001 2.32×10 <td>0.007 9.93×10^{-11}</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>rs2277027</td> <td>U</td> <td>A 0</td> <td>0.36 3235</td>	0.007 9.93×10^{-11}	1.00	rs2277027	U	A 0	0.36 3235
s153916 5 SPATA9 T 0.55 FEV1/FVC -0.031 0.005 2012×11 r3317228 6 <i>GFR126</i> A 0.78 FEV1/FVC -0.050 0.008 1.17×10 r32070600 6 <i>AGER</i> T 0.05 EEV1/FVC 0.037 0.007 2.17×10 r52070600 6 <i>AGER</i> T 0.05 EEV1/FVC 0.037 0.007 2.28×10 r52070600 6 <i>ACR3</i> 5 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.007 1.19×10 r520857595 6 <i>ANC2</i> T 0.18 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.007 1.19×10 r520866 10 <i>ZVGSUAJZVF323</i> G 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 5.34×10 r51609896 10 <i>CDC123</i> T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 5.34×10 r51068966 10 <i>CDC133</i> T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 5.34×10<	0.006 4.29×10 ⁻⁰⁹	1.00	rs3995090	υ	A	0.43 3283
r331 7928 6 <i>GPR126</i> A 0.78 FEV1/FVC -0.050 0.008 11.7×10 r2070600 6 <i>AGER</i> T 0.05 FEV1/FVC 0.058 0.011 307×10 r2070600 6 <i>AGER</i> T 0.05 FEV1/FVC 0.088 0.011 307×10 r52857595 6 <i>NCR3</i> G 0.81 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.006 228×10 r56903823 6 <i>XSSCAN3ZNF323</i> G 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.007 815×10 r56903823 9 <i>PTCH1</i> A 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.017 534×10 r5090896 10 <i>CDC123</i> T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10 r5068966 10 <i>CDC123</i> T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10 r5068966 10 <i>CDC123</i> T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10	0.005 2.012×10^{-8}	1.00	rs153916	A	ט	0.44 3292
52070600 6 AGER T 0.05 FEV1/FVC 0.08 0.011 307×10 52857595 6 NCR3 6 NCR3 6 0.037 0.006 2.28×10 56903823 6 XSSCAN3ZNF323 6 0.31 0.007 0.19×10 56903823 6 XSSCAN3ZNF323 6 0.25 FEV1/FVC 0.001 0.007 8.35×10 56903823 6 ARMC2 1 0.18 FEV1/FVC 0.007 0.19×10 57068966 10 CDC123 1 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 5.3×10 57068966 10 CDC123 1 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 5.3×10 57068966 10 CDC123 1 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 5.3×10 57068966 10 CDC123 1 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 5.3×10 511101819 10 CDO7111	0.008 1.17×10^{-09}	1.00	rs11155242	υ	A 0	0.19 3378
r2857595 6 NCR3 G 0.81 FEV1/FVC 0.037 0.006 228×10 r8603823 6 ZSCAN3ZNF323 G 0.25 FEV1/FVC -0.021 0.007 119×10 r52798641 6 ARMC2 T 0.18 FEV1/FVC -0.041 0.007 8.35×10 r52798641 6 ARMC2 T 0.18 FEV1/FVC -0.041 0.007 8.35×10 r57068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10 r57068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 5.34×10 r57068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 5.34×10 r57068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 5.34×10 r511001819 10 CDCr13 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 1.24×10	0.011 3.07×10^{-15}	1.00	rs2070600	F	υ	0.04 3370
5603823 6 XSCAN3ZNF323 6 0.007 119×10 152798641 6 ARMC2 T 0.18 FEV1/FVC -0.021 0.007 8.35×10 152798641 6 ARMC2 T 0.18 FEV1/FVC -0.041 0.007 8.35×10 151690989 9 PTCH1 A 0.90 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.002 5.34×10 1516909806 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.002 5.34×10 157068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.003 0.004 2.98×10 151101819 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 1.24×10 151101819 12 LPP1 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 1.24×10 1511172113 12 LPP1 T 0.023 0.006 0.006 1.24×10 15113213 12 LP	0.006 2.28×10^{-10}	1.00	rs2857595	A	ט	0.17 3378
rs2798641 6 ARMC2 T 0.18 FEV1/FVC -0.041 0.007 8.35×10 rs16909898 9 PTCH1 A 0.90 FEV1/FVC 0.059 0.012 5.34×10 rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10 rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10 rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.004 282×10 rs11102113 12 LRP1 0 0.023 0.005 0.006 1.24×10 rs1112113 12 LRP1 0 0.01 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 1.24×10 rs11122113 12 LRP1 0 0.01 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 1.24×10 rs11122113 12 CRDC38 1 0.50 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 1.24×10 rs1138	0.007 1.19×10^{-3}	0.95	rs6912584	υ	т	0.17 3378
rs16909898 9 PTCH1 A 0.90 FEV1/FVC 0.012 5.34×10 rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10 rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.004 2.38×10 rs1101819 10 CD00r11 G 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.004 2.98×10 rs11172113 12 LRP1 G 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.004 2.38×10 rs11122113 12 CR011 G 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.006 1.24×10 rs11122113 12 LRP1 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 1.24×10 rs11329429 15 TH5D4 G 0.85 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.006 1.77×10 rs245531 16 GFP1 T 0.22 0.038 0.007 0.359×10 rs245531 16	0.007 8.35×10^{-9}	1.00	rs2768551	A	ט	0.18 3378
rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.005 6.13×10 rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.004 2.82×10 rs11001819 10 CJ0orf11 G 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.004 2.98×10 rs11172113 12 LPP1 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC -0.032 0.006 1.24×10 rs1015819 12 CFD7 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC -0.032 0.006 2.38×10 rs1172113 12 CFD3 T 0.20 6.124×10 2.98×10 rs1172113 12 CFD3 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 2.3×10 ⁻¹ rs1036429 15 7450 G 0.85 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.006 2.3×10 ⁻¹ rs12885531 16 CFDP1 T 0.42 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 rs285531 <	0.012 5.34×10^{-07}	0.85	rs16909981	U	9	0.12 3378
rs7068966 10 CDC123 T 0.52 FEV1 0.029 0.004 282×10 rs11001819 10 C10orf11 G 0.52 FEV1 -0.029 0.004 298×10 rs11172113 12 LRP1 G 0.52 FEV1 -0.023 0.006 1.24×10 rs11172113 12 LRP1 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC -0.032 0.006 1.24×10 rs1036429 12 T 0.20 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.006 2.3×10 ⁻¹ rs1036429 15 TH5D4 G 0.85 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.006 2.3×10 ⁻¹ rs12865531 16 CEDP1 T 0.42 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.007 3.59×10 ⁻¹ rs2865531 16 MMP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.007 3.59×10 ⁻¹ rs2847034 16 MMP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.007 3.59×10 ⁻¹	0.005 6.13×10^{-13}	1.00	rs7068966	A	ט	0.48 3249
rs11001819 10 C10orf11 G 0.52 FEV1 -0.029 0.004 298×10 rs11172113 12 LPP1 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC -0.032 0.006 1.24×10 rs1036429 12 LPP1 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC -0.032 0.006 1.24×10 rs1036429 12 CCDC38 T 0.20 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.006 2.3×10 ⁻ rs10386531 15 TH5D4 G 0.85 FEV1/FVC 0.0560 0.008 7.24×10 rs2865531 16 CFDP1 T 0.42 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 rs2865531 16 MMP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 3.59×10 rs2865531 16 MP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 3.59×10 rs2847804 16 MP15 T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.007 3.59×10 </td <td>0.004 2.82×10^{-12}</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>rs7068966</td> <td>A</td> <td>ט</td> <td>0.48 3249</td>	0.004 2.82×10 ^{-12}	1.00	rs7068966	A	ט	0.48 3249
rs11172113 12 LPP1 T 0.61 FEV1/FVC -0.032 0.006 124×10 rs1036429 12 CCDC38 T 0.20 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.006 23×10 ⁻ rs12899618 15 TH5D4 G 0.85 FEV1/FVC 0.036 0.006 23×10 ⁻ rs2865531 16 CFDP1 T 0.42 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 rs2865531 16 MPP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 rs2865531 16 MPP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 rs2865531 16 MPP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 3.59×10 rs2865531 16 MPP15 T 0.16 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 3.59×10 rs8978142 21 KCNE2 T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.008 2.65×10	0.004 2.98×10^{-12}	1.00	rs11001819	υ	Т	0.44 3312
Irs1036429 12 CCDC38 T 0.20 FEV1/FVC 0.038 0.006 23×10 ⁻ Irs12899618 15 <i>THSD4</i> G 0.85 FEV1/FVC 0.060 0.008 7.24×10 Irs12895613 16 <i>CFDP1</i> T 0.42 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 Irs2865531 16 <i>MMP15</i> T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 Irs2865531 16 <i>MMP15</i> T 0.21 FEV1/FVC 0.033 0.007 3.59×10 Irs2865531 21 <i>KCNE2</i> T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.038 0.007 3.59×10 Irs2847804 16 <i>MMP15</i> T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.007 3.59×10 Irs2978142 21 <i>KCNE2</i> T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.008 2.65×10	0.006 1.24 $\times 10^{-8}$	1.00	rs11172113	U	T	0.38 3377
rs1289618 15 THSD4 G 0.85 FEV1/FVC 0.060 0.008 7.24×10 rs2865531 16 CFDP1 T 0.42 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 rs12447804 16 MMP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC -0.038 0.007 3.59×10 rs978142 21 KCNE2 T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.008 2.65×10	0.006 2.3×10^{-11}	1.00	rs1036429	μ	υ	0.19 3378
Is2865531 16 CFDP1 T 0.42 FEV1/FVC 0.031 0.005 1.77×10 Is12447804 16 MMP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC -0.038 0.007 3.59×10 Is978142 21 KCNE2 T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.008 2.65×10	0.008 7.24 $\times 10^{-15}$	0.92	rs7172592	μ	U	0.18 3378
Irs12447804 16 MMP15 T 0.21 FEV1/FVC -0.038 0.007 3.59×10 Irs9978142 21 KCNE2 T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.008 2.65×10	0.005 1.77×10^{-11}	0.93	rs4888378	A	ט	0.43 3378
rs9978142 21 KCNE2 T 0.16 FEV1/FVC -0.043 0.008 2.65×10	0.007 3.59×10^{-8}	1.00	rs12447804	U	A 0	0.21 3282
	0.008 2.65×10^{-8}	0.91	rs973754	ט	A 0	0.14 3378
Columns 1 to 9 reter to nequencies, beta and p values for the association of owrs with fund function renotypes as found in the interfacture. Columns 10 to 15 reter to the inequencies and total sample sizes of the same of proxy-sives that were looked up in IMPROVE.	nd in the literature. Columns 10	to 15 refer to the	frequencies and to	tal sample si	zes of the	same or prox)
SNP ID: rs number for the SNPs selected from literature. Chr: chromosome, A1: coded allele, A1 freq: frequency of the coded allele, Measure reported: phenotype for which the SNP reached genome-wide significant association. SE: standard reports. Proventer for association with measure reported, provy DS: linkage disequilibrium between SNP ID from literature (column 1) and the proxy used for replication in IMPROVE (column 11), proxy SNP: rs number for the account SNP resolution with measure reported, provide the disequilibrium between SNP ID from literature (column 1) and the proxy used for replication in IMPROVE (column 11), proxy SNP: rs number for the account SNP resolution in IMDEDVIE.	oded allele, Measure reported: J I literature (column 1) and the p	ohenotype for wi roxy used for rep	hich the SNP reache dication in IMPROVE	d genome-w (column 11)	vide signifi 1, proxy SN	cant associatio P: rs number f

All P-values were Bonferroni-corrected (statistical significance set at a P-value≤0.00192).

Association with Gene Expression

SNP rs3995090 was further analyzed, first with respect to its association with expression levels of HTR4, and then in relation to the level of expression of adjacent genes (located within ± 500 kilobases (kb) of HTR4) in a secondary extended search, using data from the Advanced Study of Aortic Pathology (ASAP) and Biobank of Karolinska Endarterectomies (BiKE) data sets [25]. In the ASAP study, mRNA was extracted from biopsies of ascending thoracic aorta intima-media (n = 138), aortic adventitia (n = 133), mammary artery (n = 89), heart (n = 127), and liver (n = 211) from patients undergoing aortic valve surgery. In the BiKE study, RNA was extracted from human plaque tissue (n = 126) and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (n = 96) from patients referred for surgical treatment of severe carotid artery stenosis. Associations between SNP genotype and gene expression level were examined using additive linear models. Rs3995090 was genotyped in both studies with the Illumina 610w-Ouad BeadArray.

Genetic Risk Scores

We calculated weighted and unweighted genetic risk scores (GRS) based on the significant SNPs from the FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁ GWAs in the literature and used it as a continuous predictor in logistic/linear regression models with CAD and cIMT-related phenotypes. Unweighted GRS were built considering the number of risk alleles, while weighted GRS were built considering the number of risk alleles weighting them for the beta values reported in literature. Specifically, the GRS for FEV1/FVC was built on the following SNPs and beta values (in brackets) derived from [13,14,15,16]: rs153916 (0.031), rs2277027 (0.045), rs12447804 (0.038), rs2857595 (0.037), rs2070600 (0.088), rs2869967 (0.035), rs11172113 (0.032), rs12477314 (0.041), rs1690989 (0.059), rs3817928 (0.05), rs2865531 (0.031), rs7068966 (0.033)rs2284746 (0.04), rs9978142 (0.043), rs993925 (0.034),(0.037), rs12899618 (0.06), rs1529672 rs1036429 (0.048)rs12504628 (0.077) and rs2798641 (0.041). The GRS for FEV1 was built on the following SNPs and beta values: rs2571445 (0.035), rs6903823 (0.037), rs10516526 (0.089), rs3995090 (0.038), rs11001819 (0.029), rs1344555 (0.034) and rs7068966 (0.029). Figure S1 shows the frequencies of the number of risk alleles used to calculate unweighted GRS within PROCARDIS and IM-PROVE cohorts. Since weighted GRS result from the product of the number of risk alleles and their effect size, the resulting units are arbitrary. For the sake of clarity, weighted GRS were divided in intervals representing total number of possible risk alleles to be comparable to the "increased OR per risk allele" that was calculated for the unweighted scores.

Results

Associations with cIMT-related measures

We tested the association between the 26 selected SNPs (or good proxies) and the different cIMT-associated phenotypes. After adjustment for age, gender and the first three MDS, a SNP located in the HTR4 gene (rs3995090) and a proxy for rs2865531 (located in CFDP1) were found to be consistently associated with several of the cIMT-associated phenotypes (Table 2, Table S1). The strongest associations were observed with IMT_{mean} (rs3995090) and IMT_{mean-max} (rs2865531), both composite cIMT variables considering the whole carotid tree and derived from the segmentspecific measurements. There was very little change in association

		CC-IMT	CC-IMTmean	CC-IMI	Гтах	CC-IMTmax ICA-IMTmean	mean	ICA-IMTmax	Tmax	Bif-IMT	Bif-IMTmean	Bif_IMTmax	nax	IMTmean	an	IMTmax		IMTmean-max	in-max
SNP	A1	A1 beta P	٩	beta P	٩	beta	٩	beta	4	beta P	4	beta	٩	beta	4	beta	٩	beta	а.
rs3995090	υ	0.003	C 0.003 0.088 0.002 0.380 0.010	0.002	0.380	0.010	0.004	0.012 0.007	0.007	0.010	0.010 0.002 0.010 0.017	0.010	0.017	0.007	0.007 2.63E-04 0.010	0.010	0.010 0.007 0.002	0.007	0.002
rs4888378	A	-0.005	0.009	-0.007	0.010	-0.011	A -0.005 0.009 -0.007 0.010 -0.011 0.001	-0.016	-0.016 4.41E-04 -0.013 6.14E-05 -0.018 8.74E-06 -0.009 3.93E-06 -0.019	-0.013	6.14E-05	-0.018	8.74E-06	-0.009	3.93E-06	-0.019	5.10E-07 -0.010 2.87E-06	-0.010	2.87E-06
Chr: chromosol carotid in a seg maximum IMT	me, A1: c iment ex of the bi	coded alle coluding ti furcation,	ile, P: p-val he first cm IMT _{mean} : é	ue for ass proximal average IN	ociation v to the bi AT compo	with IMT, C ifurcation, ssite value	C-IMT _{mean} : (ICA-IMT _{mean} considering	iverage IN average i the whole	AT of the com IMT of the int e carotid tree	mon carc ternal cari derived 1	otid in a seg otid, ICA-IM from the se	ment exclu T _{max} : maxi gment-spe	uding the first mum IMT of i scific measure	cm proxi the intern ments, IN	mal to the bi al carotid, Bif T _{max} : Maximu	furcation, CC [.] -IMT _{mean} : ave um IMT meas	-IMT _{max} : max trage IMT of ture consider	timum IMI the bifurc ing the w	Chr. chromosome, A1: coded allele, P: p-value for association with IMT, CC-IMT _{mean} : average IMT of the common carotid in a segment excluding the first cm proximal to the bifurcation, CC-IMT _{max} : maximum IMT of the common carotid in a segment excluding the first cm proximal to the bifurcation, CC-IMT _{max} : maximum IMT of the common carotid in a segment excluding the first cm proximal to the bifurcation, CC-IMT _{mean} : average IMT of the common carotid in a segment excluding the first cm proximal to the bifurcation, CC-IMT _{mean} : average IMT of the internal carotid, ICA-IMT _{max} : maximum IMT of the internal carotid, Bif-IMT _{mean} : average IMT of the bifurcation, IMT of the bifurcation, IMT of the bifurcation, IMT of the bifurcation, IMT of the composite value considering the whole carotid tree derived from the segment-specific measurements, IMT _{mean} : average IMT composite value considering the whole carotid tree derived from the segment-specific measurements, IMT _{mean} : average IMT composite value considering the whole carotid tree derived from the segment-specific measurements, IMT _{mean} : average IMT composite value considering the whole carotid tree derived from the segment-specific measurements, IMT _{mean} : average IMT composite value considering the whole carotid tree derived from the segment-specific measurements. IMT _{mean} average IMT composite value considering the whole carotid tree derived from the segment-specific measurements. IMT _{mean} average IMT composite value considering the whole carotid tree derived from the segment-specific measurements.

measurements

different IMT

SNPs showing significant associations with

ň

Table

considering the SNP

segmentderived from

tree derived from thole carotid tree

the whole

for whole

of the IMT_{max} values

nax: average

nean

MT IMT

from the segment-specific measurements,

derived

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104082.t002

the

segment-specific measurements. rs4888378 was used as proxy

for rs286553

after further adjustment for smoking (pack-years) (data not shown). Results stratified by smoking-status are shown in Tables S2–S3.

A regional look-up to assess the association between other SNPs located in the *HTR4* gene (rs10077690, rs17720191, rs11168048, rs10061244, rs13359903, rs2278392, rs1422636, rs4336354, rs1833710, rs7700268 and rs888961) did not uncover any other significant cIMT association within this gene.

Associations were also investigated under a model where all established CAD risk factors were included, using a stepwise model in SPSS (using log-transformed IMT_{mean} as phenotype). Altogether, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, waist-hip ratio, triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, and LDL-cholesterol explained 7.5% of the variance in this cIMT phenotype, after adjusting for MDS1–3, age and sex. After adjustment for all these covariates, rs3995090 and rs2865531 remained significantly associated with the cIMT phenotypes (Table S4).

GRS-based analyses using the significant SNPs from the FEV_1/FVC and FEV_1 GWAs in literature were not significant for association with cIMT phenotypes (Table S5).

Association with CAD

The minor allele of the SNP located in the *CFDP1* gene (rs2865531T) was associated with a lower risk of CAD (OR(CI₉₅) = 0.85(0.79–0.92); P-value = 5.36×10^{-5}). The minor allele of the SNP located in *KCNE2* (rs9978142T) was associated with increased risk of CAD (OR(CI₉₅) = 1.22 (1.10, 1.35); P-value = 1.23×10^{-4}). In addition, the GRS assessing the global effect of all the 7 FEV₁–robustly associated SNPs from the 4 previous GWAs in literature showed a moderate effect but significant association with CAD risk, OR(CI₉₅) for weighted score = 1.05 (1.02, 1.08); P-value = 0.002; OR(CI₉₅) for unweighted score = 1.06 (1.03, 1.09); P-value = 1.5×10^{-4} per allele).

The GRS assessing the global effect of the 20 FEV_1/FVC robustly associated SNPs from the 4 previous GWAs in literature did not prove to be significantly associated with CAD. Association results for all SNPs is shown in Table S6.

Replication

Among the two spirometry SNPs that showed significant associations with cIMT measures, rs2865531 has been previously reported as a determinant of cIMT and CAD risk [20]. Likewise, the associations between rs9978142 and rs2865533 and CAD susceptibility were previously established in a large case-control study of CAD [26]; hence, replication was not pursued.

Therefore, we concentrated further replication efforts on SNP rs3995090. Replication of rs3995090 was sought in a total of 12,803 individuals with CC-IMT_{mean} and in 6,679 individuals with CC-IMT_{max} measures. The rs3995090A allele was associated with increased CC-IMT_{max} (beta = 0.006, P-value = 0.044).

Association with gene expression

Expression levels of HTR4 in the heart and vessel wall tissues were lower than average (below the 30% percentile of all genes). In peripheral blood mononuclear cells and carotid plaque, the gene was expressed at the 60% percentile of all genes. SNP rs3995090 was not associated with mRNA expression levels of HTR4 in any of the tissues tested in the ASAP and BiKE studies, although a trend was observed in aortic adventitia at P=0.0826. In a further expanded search including other neighbouring genes (±500 Kb), rs3995090 was not associated with mRNA levels of other neighbouring genes, after multiple-testing correction for 7 genes in 7 data sets (Table S7).

Discussion

COPD is the fourth largest cause of death worldwide [27]. Comorbidities between COPD and other common complex diseases such as CAD may suggest that shared genetic and/or environmental risk factors exist. Several epidemiologic studies have suggested before that CAD is a major contributor to mortality and morbidity in COPD, and that the association between COPD measures and CAD goes beyond the fact that both diseases share common environmental risk factors, such as poor diet, sedentary lifestyle and smoking (reviewed in [28]). Although these studies cannot demonstrate a causal relationship between COPD and CAD, strong evidence suggests that the increased systemic inflammation and oxidative stress associated with COPD contribute to the increased risk of cardiovascular events, and it is plausible that multiple other still unknown pathophysiologic pathways may contribute to the development of both diseases (reviewed in [29]).

In order to explore potential common genetic variants influencing risk of both COPD and cardiovascular disease, we tested 26 SNPs with robust association with human lung function for association with CAD. Since cIMT is considered a robust biomarker for early atherosclerosis, we also tested these 26 lung function-associated SNPs with different measures of cIMT. Of note, inverse relationships between pulmonary function measures adjusted for other risk factors and cIMT have been found in several studies [30,31,32], indicating that cIMT may be a robust biomarker for determining cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in COPD [29].

In agreement with our hypothesis that common genetic factors exist between the COPD and CAD, we found two lung functionassociated SNPs (rs2865531, located in the CFDP1 gene and rs9978142 located in the KCNE2 gene) that were also associated with CAD, the minor allele being associated with lower (rs2865531T) risk and increased risk of CAD (rs9978142T), respectively. In addition, the latter, along with SNP rs3995090 located in the HTR4 gene, showed strong associations with several cIMT measures. Finally, a GRS, assessing the global effect of all the 7 FEV1-associated SNPs from the literature, showed an association with CAD risk. In all, these results indicate that common genetic pathways may exist between COPD and cIMT and CAD, and these are probably independent from the most classical associated factors, such as systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, waist-hip ratio, triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, and LDL-cholesterol, since further adjustment for these covariates did not alter the associations found in the present study.

Among the SNPs associated with both diseases, the SNP located in *KNCE2* (rs9982601, proxy for rs973754 ($r^2 = 0.81$)) has previously been associated with early-onset myocardial infarction (MI) in a GWA study of 2,967 cases and 3,075 matched controls (OR(CI_{95%})=1.19 (1.13, 1.27), P=2×10⁻⁹) [26]. *KNCE2*, located on chromosome 21, codes for a potassium voltage-gated channel, and mutations in this gene cause inherited arrhythmias [33]. The rare allele of the SNP located in *CFDP1* was recently found to be associated with higher cIMT measures in a genecentric meta-analysis [20]. Interestingly, this SNP was not associated with expression levels of *CFDP1*, although a strong association was found between rs4888378 alleles and expression levels of a nearby gene (*BCAR1*), which has been implicated in cellular adhesion, migration and proliferation/survival of smooth muscle cells [20,34,35].

Our results for rs3995090, located in the HTR4 region, do not provide solid evidence of an association with a specific gene. The SNP is located in HTR4, which is a member of the family of serotonin receptors. However, expression analyses showed that there are no allelic-specific differences in the expression of this gene by rs3995090 genotype. Other mechanisms might be present that explain the effect of rs3995090 in HTR4, possibly involving changes at a protein level. Further studies are needed to elucidate the role of this SNP.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive look-up of human lung function robustly-associated loci for association with CAD and cIMT. Although several epidemiologic studies have suggested shared pathophysiologic pathways between both diseases, the present study clearly demonstrates that some human lung function-associated loci are also associated with CAD and cIMT. While further functional studies are warranted to elucidate the role of these genes in the pathophysiology of COPD and CAD, the overall findings made in this and previous studies suggest that there are some shared genetic pathways involved in airway obstruction and cardiovascular risk. This notion opens new interesting perspectives in understanding the co-morbidity of two important, common complex diseases.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Frequencies of the FEV1 and FEV1/FCV number of risk alleles in IMPROVE and PROCARDIS. (PDF)

Table S1 Association between all lung function-associated SNPs from 4 GWA studies in the literature and IMT phenotypes in IMPROVE.

(DOCX)

Table S2Association between all lung function-associatedated SNPs from 4 GWA studies in the literature and IMTphenotypes in smokers from IMPROVE.(DOCX)

Table S3Association between all lung function-associatedated SNPs from 4 GWA studies in the literature and IMTphenotypes in non-smokers from IMPROVE.(DOCX)

Table S4 Association between all lung function-associated SNPs from 4 GWA studies in the literature and IMT phenotypes in IMPROVE after adjusting for age, sex, MDS1-3, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, waist-hip ratio, tryglicerides, HDL-cholesterol, and LDL-cholesterol.

(DOCX)

Table S5 Association between weighted Genetic Risk Scores (GRS) and IMT phenotypes in IMPROVE, after adjustment for age, sex and the three first multidimensional scaling (MDS) dimensions. (DOCX)

(DOCA)

Table S6 Association between all lung function-associated SNPs from 4 GWA studies in the literature and CAD risk in PROCARDIS+WTCCC (5,775 CAD cases and 7,265 controls). (DOCX)

Table S7Association between rs3995090 and HTR4expression levels in different tissues.(DOCX)

Section S1 Sample descriptions. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The IMPROVE study was supported by the European Commission (Contract number: QLG1-CT-2002-00896), the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation, the Swedish Research Council (projects 8691 and 0593), the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, the Foundation for Strategic Research, the Stockholm County Council (project 592229), the Strategic Cardiovascular and Diabetes Programmes of Karolinska Institutet and Stockholm County Council, the Academy of Finland (Grant #110413), the British Heart Foundation (RG2008/08, RG2008/014) and the Italian Ministry of Health (Ricerca Corrente).

PROCARDIS was supported by the European Community Sixth Framework Program (LSHM-CT-2007-037273), AstraZeneca, the British Heart Foundation, the Wellcome Trust (Contract No. 075491/Z/04), the Swedish Research Council, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation, the Torsten and Ragnar Söderberg Foundation, the Strategic Cardiovascular and Diabetes Programs of Karolinska Institutet and Stockholm County Council, the Foundation for Strategic Research and the Stockholm County Council. This study made use of data generated by the WTCCC; a full list of the investigators who contributed to the generation of the data is available at www.wtccc.org.uk.

The Rotterdam GWA study was funded by the Netherlands Organisation of Scientific Research (NWO) Investments (nr. 175.010.2005.011, 911-03-012), the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (014-93-015; RIDE2), the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)/Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging (NCHA) project nr. 050-060-810. The Rotterdam Study is funded by the Erasmus Medical Center and the Erasmus University, Rotterdam, Netherlands Organization for the Health Research and Development (ZonMw), the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (RIDE), The Netherlands Heart Foundation, the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports, the European Commission (DG XII), and the Municipality of Rotterdam. Maryam Kavousi is supported by the AXA Research Fund. Oscar H. Franco works in ErasmusAGE, a center for aging research across the life course funded by Nestlé Nutrition (Nestec Ltd.); Metagenics Inc.; and AXA. Nestlé Nutrition (Nestec Ltd.); Metagenics Inc.; and AXA had no role in design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review or approval of the manuscript.

The Malmö Diet and Cancer (MDC) study was funded by the Swedish research council 2011–3891, and the Swedish Heart and Lung foundation (20130249). OM was supported by grants from the European Research Council (StG-282255), Swedish Medical Research Council, the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, the Novo Nordisk Foundation, the Medical Faculty of Lund University, Malmö University Hospital, the Albert Påhlsson Research Foundation, the Crafoord Foundation, the Ernhold Lundströms Research Foundation, the Region Skane, Hulda and Conrad Mossfelt Foundation and King Gustaf V and Queen Victoria Foundation, the Lennart Hanssons Memorial Fund and the Marianne and Marcus Wallenberg Foundation.

The research undertaken by MDT and MSA was part-funded funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. MDT holds a Medical Research Council Senior Clinical Fellowship (G0902313).

Finally, we would like to acknowledge Prof. John Öhrvik for his helpful statistical advice.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MS-L AM MSA MDT A. Hamsten. Analyzed the data: MS-L LF MSA DB MK PA FV. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GB AH GE OHF OM GPB HW PE SEH ET UdF. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: MS-L AM MSA DB GE SEH MDT A. Hamsten. Performed statistical analyses: A. Hofman.

References

- Silverman EK, Speizer FE (1996) Risk factors for the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Med Clin North Am 80: 501–522.
- Wright JL, Hobson JE, Wiggs B, Pare PD, Hogg JC (1988) Airway inflammation and peribronchiolar attachments in the lungs of nonsmokers, current and exsmokers. Lung 166: 277–286.
- Gan WQ, Man SF, Senthilselvan A, Sin DD (2004) Association between chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and systemic inflammation: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. Thorax 59: 574–580.
- Eisner MD, Anthonisen N, Coultas D, Kuenzli N, Perez-Padilla R, et al. (2010) An official American Thoracic Society public policy statement: Novel risk factors and the global burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 182: 693–718.
- Lokke A, Lange P, Scharling H, Fabricius P, Vestbo J (2006) Developing COPD: a 25 year follow up study of the general population. Thorax 61: 935–939.
- Silverman EK (2006) Progress in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease genetics. Proc Am Thorac Soc 3: 405–408.
- Castaldi PJ, Cho MH, Litonjua AA, Bakke P, Gulsvik A, et al. (2011) The association of genome-wide significant spirometric loci with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease susceptibility. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 45: 1147–1153.
- Cho MH, Boutaoui N, Klanderman BJ, Sylvia JS, Ziniti JP, et al. (2010) Variants in FAM13A are associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Nat Genet 42: 200–202.
- Pillai SG, Ge D, Zhu G, Kong X, Shianna KV, et al. (2009) A genome-wide association study in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): identification of two major susceptibility loci. PLoS Genet 5: e1000421.
- Soler Artigas M, Wain LV, Repapi E, Obeidat M, Sayers I, et al. (2011) Effect of five genetic variants associated with lung function on the risk of chronic obstructive lung disease, and their joint effects on lung function. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 184: 786–795.
- Wilk JB, Shrine NR, Loehr LR, Zhao JH, Manichaikul A, et al. (2012) Genomewide association studies identify CHRNA5/3 and HTR4 in the development of airflow obstruction. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 186: 622–632.
- Mullerova H, Agusti A, Erqou S, Mapel DW (2013) Cardiovascular Comorbidity in COPD: Systematic Literature Review. Chest 144: 1163–1178.
- Repapi E, Sayers I, Wain LV, Burton PR, Johnson T, et al. (2010) Genome-wide association study identifies five loci associated with lung function. Nat Genet 42: 36–44.
- Soler Artigas M, Loth DW, Wain LV, Gharib SA, Obeidat M, et al. (2011) Genome-wide association and large-scale follow up identifies 16 new loci influencing lung function. Nat Genet 43: 1082–1090.
- Hancock DB, Eijgelsheim M, Wilk JB, Gharib SA, Lochr LR, et al. (2010) Metaanalyses of genome-wide association studies identify multiple loci associated with pulmonary function. Nat Genet 42: 45–52.
- Wilk JB, Chen TH, Gottlieb DJ, Walter RE, Nagle MW, et al. (2009) A genomewide association study of pulmonary function measures in the Framingham Heart Study. PLoS Genet 5: e1000429.
- 17. Baldassarre D, Hamsten A, Veglia F, de Faire U, Humphries SE, et al. (2012) Measurements of carotid intima-media thickness and of interadventitia common carotid diameter improve prediction of cardiovascular events: results of the IMPROVE (Carotid Intima Media Thickness [IMT] and IMT-Progression as Predictors of Vascular Events in a High Risk European Population) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 60: 1489–1499.

- Baldassarre D, Veglia F, Hamsten A, Humphries SE, Rauramaa R, et al. Progression of carotid intima-media thickness as predictor of vascular events: results from the IMPROVE study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 33: 2273– 2279.
- Johnson AD, Handsaker RE, Pulit SL, Nizzari MM, O'Donnell CJ, et al. (2008) SNAP: a web-based tool for identification and annotation of proxy SNPs using HapMap. Bioinformatics 24: 2938–2939.
- Gertow K, Sennblad B, Strawbridge RJ, Ohrvik J, Zabaneh D, et al. (2012) Identification of the BCAR1-CFDP1-TMEM170A locus as a determinant of carotid intima-media thickness and coronary artery disease risk. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 5: 656–665.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, et al. (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 81: 559–575.
- Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR (2010) METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics 26: 2190–2191.
- Clarke R, Peden JF, Hopewell JC, Kyriakou T, Goel A, et al. (2009) Genetic variants associated with Lp(a) lipoprotein level and coronary disease. N Engl J Med 361: 2518–2528.
- Peden J, Hopewell JC, Saleheen D (2011) A genome-wide association study in Europeans and South Asians identifies five new loci for coronary artery disease. Nat Genet 43: 339–344.
- Folkersen L, van't Hooft F, Chernogubova E, Agardh HE, Hansson GK, et al. (2010) Association of genetic risk variants with expression of proximal genes identifies novel susceptibility genes for cardiovascular disease. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 3: 365–373.
- Myocardial Infarction Genetics C, Kathiresan S, Voight BF, Purcell S, Musunuru K, et al. (2009) Genome-wide association of early-onset myocardial infarction with single nucleotide polymorphisms and copy number variants. Nat Genet 41: 334–341.
- Murray CJ, Lopez AD (1997) Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet 349: 1436–1442.
- Maclay JD, McAllister DA, Macnee W (2007) Cardiovascular risk in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respirology 12: 634–641.
- Bhatt SP, Dransfield MT (2013) Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cardiovascular disease. Transl Res.
- Crawford DC, Carlson CS, Rieder MJ, Carrington DP, Yi Q, et al. (2004) Haplotype diversity across 100 candidate genes for inflammation, lipid metabolism, and blood pressure regulation in two populations. Am J Hum Genet 74: 610–622.
- Ebrahim S, Papacosta O, Whincup P, Wannamethee G, Walker M, et al. (1999) Carotid plaque, intima media thickness, cardiovascular risk factors, and prevalent cardiovascular disease in men and women: the British Regional Heart Study. Stroke 30: 841–850.
- Schroeder EB, Welch VL, Evans GW, Heiss G (2005) Impaired lung function and subclinical atherosclerosis. The ARIC Study. Atherosclerosis 180: 367–373.
- Abbott GW, Sesti F, Splawski I, Buck ME, Lehmann MH, et al. (1999) MiRP1 forms IKr potassium channels with HERG and is associated with cardiac arrhythmia. Cell 97: 175–187.
- Tang DD (2009) p130 Crk-associated substrate (CAS) in vascular smooth muscle. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 14: 89–98.
- Tikhmyanova N, Little JL, Golemis EA (2010) CAS proteins in normal and pathological cell growth control. Cell Mol Life Sci 67: 1025–1048.