



Coastlab12

4th

International Conference of the
Application of Physical Modelling
to Port and Coastal Protection




BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS

Editors:

- Peter Troch
- Vasiliki Stratigaki
- Sieglie De Roo



ISBN: 978-9-09-027444-7



Book of Proceedings
of the 4th International Conference on the
Application of Physical Modelling
to Port and Coastal Protection – Coastlab12

Department of Civil Engineering, Ghent University
Ghent, Belgium, September 17 – 20, 2012

edited by

Peter Troch, Vasiliki Stratigaki and Sieglien De Roo



Papers

1. Structures	77
REINFORCEMENT SOLUTIONS FOR COASTAL STRUCTURES IN RELATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE-INDUCED SEA LEVEL RISE: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY	
Giovanni Mattarolo, Maryline Luck, Jean-Romain Delisle, Michel Benoit	79
HYDRODYNAMIC BEHAVIOUR OF LOW-CRESTED SLOPES	
Victor Lander, Peter Troch	89
COMPLEX REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS APPLIED TO STEEP SLOPING STRUCTURES	
Fritz Büsching	99
2D ANALYSIS OF THE HYDRODYNAMIC BEHAVIOUR OF COASTAL STRUCTURES USING IMAGE PROCESSING TOOLS AND NUMERICAL MODELS	
Hugo Guedes Lopes, Rui China Periera, Francisco Taveira-Pinto, F. Veloso Gomes, Rafael Molina Sánchez, Maria Graça Neves, Maria Teresa Reis, Jorge Filipe Gadelho	109
HYDRODYNAMIC LOAD ON BUILDING CAUSED BY OVERTOPPING WAVE	
Xuexue Chen, Wim Uijttewaai, Bas Jonkman, Toon Verwaest	119
INFLUENCE OF WAVE AND STRUCTURE PARAMETERS ON THE TRANSFORMATION OF SOLITARY WAVES AT SUBMERGED REEFS USING A NONLINEAR FOURIER TRANSFORM (NLFT)	
Markus Brühl, Anja Gossel, Hocine Oumeraci	127
SLAMMING WAVE IMPACT ON CYLINDRICAL STRUCTURES: MODEL TESTS INCLUDING A RIGID AND DEFORMABLE CYLINDER	
Diederik Van Nuffel, Kameswara Sridhar Vepa, Ives De Baere, Julien De Rouck, Wim Van Paepegem, Joris Degrieck	137

DYNAMIC PRESSURES UPON A LARGE MONO-PILE UNDER MULTI-DIRECTIONAL NON-BREAKING WAVES	
Tri Cao Mai, Torsten Schlurmann	147
WAVE RUN-UP ON OFFSHORE WIND TURBINE FOUNDATIONS	
Elisabeth Baden, Jesper Skourup, Thomas Lykke Andersen, Peter Frigaard	157
3D HYDRAULIC MODEL STUDIES OF PIPELINE SECONDARY STABILIZATION MEASURES	
Scott Baker, Andrew Cornett, Paul Knox	167
WAVE OVERTOPPING OF THE NEW RUNWAY AT THESSALONIKI AIRPORT	
Theodora Giantsi, B. Papathanassiou, S. Azorakos, Constantinos Moutzouris	177
WAVE OVERTOPPING AND WAVE-INDUCED LOADING ON A HORIZONTAL QUAY STRUCTURE IN BEIRUT, LEBANON	
Kerstin Hinze, Andreas Kortenhaus, Hocine Oumeraci, Norbert Peetz	187
WAVE FORCE ACTING ON HORIZONTAL PLATE ABOVE STILL WATER LEVEL DUE TO BREAKING WAVE	
Susumu Araki, Shun-Ichiro Kitagawa, Ichiro Deguchi	197
EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON PERMEABILITY EFFECT ON FINAL PROFILE OF DYNAMICALLY RESHAPING BRAKWATER	
Peyman Aghtouman	207
LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS OF WAVE INDUCED MASS FLUXES AT EMERGENT RUBBLE-MOUND BREAKWATERS	
Lorenzo Cappietti, Andreas Kortenhaus, Hocine Oumeraci	217
WAVE ENERGY DISSIPATION DUE TO PERCOLATION WITH IN FLOATING BREAKWATER	
Luana Kann Kelch Vieira, Tiago Zenker Gireli	225
COASTAL PROTECTION BY VERKALIT®-REVTMENT BLOCKS	
Fabian Gier, Dmitry Tokarev, H. Rubin, Y. Agnon, Holger Schüttrumpf, Hillel Rubin, Jens Mönnich	232

3D PHYSICAL MODEL TESTING OF THE LOWERING OF A CAISSON INTO WATER AT THE TANGIER MED 2 DEVELOPING PROJECT	
Pedro Lomonaco, Andres Mendoza, Alvaro Alvarez, Emmanuel Berger, Melanie Mazet	244
EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THE RESPONSE OF MOORED SHIPS: APPLICATION TO THE LEIXÕES OIL TERMINAL, PORTUGAL	
Paulo Rosa Santos, Francisco Taveira Pinto, Fernando Veloso Gomes	254
PHYSICAL MODELLING OF SEAWATER INTAKE AND OUTFALL CHANNELS ON A CORAL REEF	
Gabriel Mengin, Nicolas Garcia, Henning Lauridsen	264
2. Erosion/Scour	275
INVESTIGATION OF SCOUR DEVELOPMENT UNDERNEATH OFFSHORE GRAVITY FOUNDATIONS DURING LOWERING	
Nannina Horstmann, Matthias Kudella, Stefan Schimmels, Hocine Oumeraci	277
EXTENDED VALIDATION OF DYNAMIC DESIGN FORMULA FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AROUND MONOPILES	
Leen Baelus, Peter Troch, Nicolas Loosveldt, Kenneth Vannieuwenhuyse, Leen De Vos, Annelies Bolle	287
INVESTIGATION OF HYDRODYNAMICS ON LOCAL SCOUR BY SHAPE OF SINGLE SPUR DIKE IN RIVER BEND	
Alireza Masjedi, Elaheh Peymani Foroushani	296
EFFECTS OF WAVE-DRIVEN COASTAL SEEPAGE ON BEACH STABILIZATION IN SWASH ZONE	
Miyatake Makoto, Kimura Katsutoshi, Ochi Masashi	305
ARTIFICIAL HEADLANDS TO PREVENT BEACH EROSION	
Mikio Sasaki	312
EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF A DETACHED BREAKWATER IN A SANDY BEACH	
Francisco Taveira Pinto, Rui China Pereira, Raquel Silva, Luciana Das Neves	322

3. Measuring Techniques	331
WAVE RUN-UP OF BREAKING AND NON-BREAKING WAVES WITH LONGSHORE CURRENT	
Antje Bornschein, Reinhard Pohl	333
A NON INTRUSIVE APPROACH TO FLOATING STRUCTURES SIMULATION: SMALL SCALE REAL-TIME CAISSON MONITORING & CONTROL SYSTEM	
Miguel Cabrerizo, Rafael Molina, Joaquin Vaquero, Susana Borromeo, M Cristina Rodriguez-Sanchez, Alberto Rodriguez, Alberto Camarero	343
NON INTRUSIVE INSTRUMENTAL TECHNIQUES COMBINATION FOR OVERTOPPING FLOW PROPERTIES MEASUREMENT: NIOVE PROMES PROJECT	
Alberto Llana, Rafael Molina, Jose Damián López, Alberto Camarero	351
WAVE LOADING ON WAVE RETURN WALLS WITH SHALLOW FORESHORES: A CASE STUDY FROM THE FLEMISH COAST	
William Veale, Toon Verwaest, Corrado Altomare, Tomohiro Suzuki, Koen Trouw, Jonas Vermander	360
USE OF 3D LASER SCANNING IN DETERMINING BREAKWATER DAMAGE PARAMETERS	
Tom Rigden, Terry Stewart	370
LASER SCANNER TECHNIQUE TO QUANTIFY RANDOMNESS IN CUBE AND CUBIPOD ARMOR LAYERS	
Jorge Molines, Maria Piedad Herrera, Tomas Javier Perez, Vicente Pardo, Josep Ramon Medina	380
MAPPING OF 3D-BATHYMETRIES AND STRUCTURES USING STEREOGRAPHY THROUGH AN AIR-WATER-INTERFACE	
Tim Raaijmakers, Freek Liefhebber, Bas Hofland, Paul Meys	390
LAYOUT OF WAVE GAUGE ARRAY FOR ESTIMATION OF 3D WAVES	
Morten M. Jakobsen, Peter Frigaard	400

OBTAINING HIGH ACCURACY WAVE HEIGHT MEASUREMENTS USING CAPACITANCE WAVE HEIGHT GAUGES	
Peter Laurich, Bamdad Sadjadi	408
WAVE MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES FOR THE NEW LARGE-SCALE DELTA FLUME	
Bas Hofland, Rob Hoffman ¹ , Roderik Lindenberg ²	417
FLOW SIMILARITY OF BOUNDARY LAYER FLOW INDUCED BY A SHOALING SOLITARY WAVE PROPAGATING OVER A SLOPING BOTTOM	
Chang Lin, Shih-Chun Hsieh, Min-Shiuan Yu, Rajumar V. Raikar, Ming-Jer Kao	427
4. Waves	431
NUMERICAL MODELLING OF WAVE GENERATION IN SOUTHERN ADRIATIC SEA	
Eva Ocvirk, Goran Loncar, Mateja Blaževic	433
NUMERICAL MODEL OF TSUNAMI WAVE PROPAGATION AROUND AN ISLAND	
Houssam Eddine Touhami, Mohammed Cherif Khellaf LEGHYD laboratory, ALGIERS, Algeria	437
NUMERICAL STUDY OF WIND-GENERATED WAVES IN THE RED SEA	
Natacha Fery, Gerd Bruss, Abdullah Al-Subhi, Roberto Mayerle	446
LABORATORY GENERATION OF SOLITARY WAVES: AN INVERSION TECHNIQUE TO IMPROVE AVAILABLE METHODS	
Alessandro Romano, Marco Guerrini, Giorgio Bellotti	456
WAVE MODELLING IN NAVIGATION CHANNELS	
Daniel Dusseljee, Coen Kuiper, Gert Klopman	465
PHYSICAL MODELLING OF WAVES INSIDE THE NEW HARBOUR OF OSTEND	
Wael Hassan, Toon Verwaest, Marc Willems	475

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANALYTICAL WAVE THEORIES AND FULLY NONLINEAR NUMERICAL MODELS IN SHALLOW WATER CONDITIONS	
David Aknin, Johannes Spinneken	485
A CONTRIBUTION TO THE STUDY OF WAVE PROPAGATION AND WAVE BREAKING: PHYSICAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING	
José Conde, Conceicao Fortes, Eric Didier, Diogo Neves & L.A.M. Endres	494
TRANSMISSION OF LARGE SOLITARY WAVES OVER A SUBMERGED BREAKWATER	
Valeri Penchev, Zuo Qihua, Shirin Shukrieva, Wang Den Ting, Pan Jun Ning	504
NUMERICAL MODELLING OF INFRA-GRAVITY PERIOD OSCILLATIONS IN A MARINA	
Darshani Thotagamuwage, Charitha Pattiaratchi	514
LONG PERIOD WAVES IN THE OUTER BASIN OF RIA DE FERROL (NW SPAIN)	
Mario López, Gregorio Iglesias, Ivan Lopez	524
OVERTOPPING OF A POROUS STRUCTURE USING A SMOOTHED PARTICLE HYDRODYNAMICS NUMERICAL MODEL	
Diogo R.C.B. Neves, Eric Didier, Maria T. Reis, Maria G. Neves	531
IMPLEMENTING THE AWASYS WAVE ABSORPTION SYSTEM IN A PECULIAR WAVE FLUME	
Rui Capitaó, Jose Conde	541
STROKE, VELOCITY AND ACCELERATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PISTON-TYPE FLUME WAVE GENERATORS	
Ivo Wenneker Deltares, DELFT, The Netherlands	551
EXPERIMENTAL MODELLING OF LANDSLIDE-GENERATED TSUNAMI	
Colin Whittaker, Roger Nokes	561

5. Sediment transport & Erosion Scour	571
EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF NEGATIVELY BUOYANT SEDIMENT PLUMES RESULTING FROM DREDGING OPERATIONS Boudewijn Decrop, Tom De Mulder, Peter Troch, Erik Toorman, Marc Sas	573
EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF THE INFLUENCE OF BREAKWATERS IN WAVE ACTION DOWNSTREAM OF HARBOURS M. Koufali, V.K. Tsoukala, TH. Giantsi, C.I. Moutzouris	583
PHYSICAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING OF SUSPENDED SEDIMENT SPREADING WITH APPLICATION TO DREDGE PLUME FORECAST AND CONTROL Valeri Penchev, Antoaneta Kirova	592
6. Structures & Result interpretation	601
INTEGRAL DESIGN OF HARD SEA DEFENSE OF MAASVLAKTE 2; PART I: DESIGN TAILORED TO RE-USE OF MATERIAL & CONSTRUCTABILITY Gerard Loman, J.G. Poot, Stephan Van der Biezen, Rene Roels	603
INTEGRAL DESIGN OF HARD SEA DEFENSE OF MAASVLAKTE 2; PART II: PHYSICAL MODEL TESTING OF CUBE REVETMENT & REEF Gerard Loman, Bas Hofland, Stephan Van der Biezen, J.G. Poot	613
Physical modelling of two adjacent rubble mound breakwaters - Optimal design for the Punta Langosteira Port (A Coruña, Spain) Enrique Peña, E. Macineira, Javier Ferreras, F. Costa, Félix Sánchez-Tembleque, A. Ruiz, J. Sande, F. Noya, V. Bajo	623
STABILITY CONFIRMATION TESTS OF A RUBBLE MOUND BREAKWATER ROUNDHEAD Andrés Mendoza Munizaga, Pedro Lomonaco Tonda	635

7. Ocean Renewable Energy 645

PHYSICAL MODELLING OF AN OWC WAVE ENERGY CONVERTER

Ivan Lopez, Gregorio Iglesias, Mario Lopez, Victor Ramos, Rodrigo Carballo 647

OSCILLATING WATER COLUMN (OWC) WAVE POWER CAISSON BREAKWATERS - PRESENT STATUS, DEVELOPMENTS NEEDED

Kannapiran Thiruvengkatasamy, Pengzhi Lin 657

PHYSICAL MODELLING OF AN OFFSHORE OWC WAVE ENERGY CONVERTER MOUNTED ON A WINDMILL MONOPILE FOUNDATION

Carlos Pérez, Gregorio Iglesias 666

SITE OPTIMIZATION IN TIDAL STREAM ENERGY

Gregorio Iglesias, Marcos Sanchez, Rodrigo Carballo, Victor Ramos 676

8. Scale Effects & Structures 687

NON-CONVENTIONAL MATERIALS FOR MOVABLE-BED COASTAL PHYSICAL MODELS AT HIGH SCALE OF REDUCTION

Valentina Petruzzelli, Antonio Felice Petrillo, Francesc Xavier Gironella I
Cobos, Andrea Marzeddu 689

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF A MULTIPLE-ROW PILE BREAKWATER

Theoharris Koftis, Panayotis Prinos, Michael Aftias 699

PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL MODELLING ON DUNE EROSION

Felice D'Alessandro, Giuseppe Roberto Tomasicchio 708

9. Intercomparison modeling tools 717

PREDICTION OF WAVE TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENT BY COMBINING EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS AND NUMERICAL MODELLING

Michalis Chondros, Constantine Memos 719

NUMERICAL MODELLING OF COASTAL DEFENCES USING THE SMOOTHED PARTICLE HYDRODYNAMIC METHOD

A.J.C. Crespo, Corrado Altomare, Benedict Rogers, J.M. Dominguez, Xavier Gironella

728

NUMERICAL WAVE PENETRATION MODELLING AND COMPARISON WITH PHYSICAL MODEL AND FIELD MEASUREMENTS FOR THE HARBOUR OF ZEEBRUGGE

Vincent Gruwez, Annelies Bolle, Toon Verwaest

738

WAVE PENETRATION INTO A SHALLOW MARINA - CASE STUDY FOR BLANKENBERGE, BELGIUM

Tomohiro Suzuki, Vincent Gruwez, Annelies Bolle, Toon Verwaest

748

10. Large scale experiments

759

DETAILED HYDRAULIC MODEL FOR THE PORT OF ZEEBRUGGE: PHYSICAL MODEL AS A RESEARCH TOOL TO STUDY ACCESSIBILITY AND SILTATION

Marc Willems, Boris Van Dingenen, Toon Verwaest

761

IMPACT LOADS ON A VERTICAL WALL DUE TO OVERTOPPING BORE - LARGE SCALE PHYSICAL MODEL EXPERIMENTS

Karunya Ramachandran, Stefan Schimmels, Hocine Oumeraci, Koen Van Doorslaer

769

WAVE REFLECTION ON DISSIPATIVE QUAY WALLS: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

Corrado Altomare, Xavier Gironella, Agustin Sanchez-Arcilla, Joaquim Sospedra

779

DEVELOPMENT OF A POINT ABSORBER WAVE ENERGY CONVERTER FOR INVESTIGATION OF ARRAY WAKE EFFECTS IN LARGE SCALE EXPERIMENTS

Vasiliki Stratigaki, Peter Troch, Tim Stallard, David Forehand, Marc Vantorre, Matt Folley, Jens Peter Kofoed, Aurélien Babarit & Michel Benoit

787

VEGETATIVE WAVE ATTENUATION THROUGH IDEALIZED FLEXIBLE BEDS

Mary Anderson, Jane Smith

797

11. Sediment Transport	807
A NEW EXPRESSION TO ESTIMATE THE LONGSHORE SEDIMENT TRANSPORT RATE	
Giuseppe Barbaro, Giandomenico Foti, Carmelo Luca Sicilia	809
MOVABLE BED MODEL OF A SYSTEM OF DETACHED BREAKWATERS	
Theodora Giantsi, John Karpadakis, Fotis Chatzimichalakis, Constantinos Moutzouris	818
12. Natural Hazards	829
COMPUTER VISION APPLIED TO FLEXIBLE OIL BOOM TESTS	
Alberte Castro, Gregorio Iglesias, Oscar Ibañez	831
A LABORATORY EXPERIMENT SIMULATING THE 2011 TOHOKU EARTHQUAKE TSUNAMI WAVEFORM	
Nobuyuki Iwamae, Takako Fukuyama, Satoshi Inagaki, Yoshinobu Akiyama, Tsuyoshi Ikeya	837
DESIGN OF STORM RETURN WALLS FOR THE MASTERPLAN FOR COASTAL SAFETY: FROM CONCEPTUAL TO DETAILED DESIGN	
Koen Trouw, Tina Mertens, Jonas Vermader, Toon Verwaest, Annelies Bolle, Koen Van Doorslaer, Julien De Rouck	844
13. Currents & large scale experiments	855
NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF WATER MIXING AND RENEWAL IN THE BARCELONA HARBOUR AREA	
Anthony Galea, Manel Grifoll, Federico Roman, Marc Mestres, Vincenzo Armenio, Agustin Sanchez-Arcilla	857
HYDRODYNAMICS OF A GENERATED WAVE-CURRENT BOUNDARY LAYER	
Duncan Bryant, Joe Gailani, Ernest Smith	867

WAVE REFLECTION ON DISSIPATIVE QUAY WALLS: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

CORRADO ALTOMARE ^(1,2), X. GIRONELLA ⁽¹⁾, A. SANCHEZ-ARCILLA⁽¹⁾ & J. SOSPEDRA⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾*Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,
C/ Jordi Girona 1-3, Campus Nord, Edifici D1, Barcelona, 08034, Spain. corrado.altomare@upc.edu,
xavi.gironella@upc.edu, agustin.arcilla@upc.edu, joaquim.sospedra@upc.edu*

⁽²⁾*Flanders Hydraulics Research,
Berchemlei 115, Antwerp, 2140, Belgium. corrado.altomare@mow.vlaanderen.be*

Abstract

The paper discusses the influence of slope porosity and model scale for a low reflective vertical quay. Physical model tests were carried out in the small and large scale facilities at Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, in Barcelona, with regular waves. Matteotti (1991) conducted experiments for similar kind of quay. The results for small scale agree with the Matteotti's ones, but the large scale tests lead to smaller reflection. This is due to the presence of scale effect at small scales. The authors applied the Burcharth et al (1999) approach to treat scale effects, as shown in the paper.

1. Introduction

Several technical solutions can be adopted to build berthing structures in harbor basins; among them a pile wharf and a vertical quay represent ones of the most widespread: the former is a low reflective structure because of the rubble mound at the toe of the piles that dissipates a huge part of the incoming wave energy even though those structures can occupy huge areas in the port basin; the latter reduces the occupancy in the basins but can present severe problems related to the operating conditions, the structural strength and the safety due to the high reflection of the wall. Often a compromise must be found to keep the use of the harbor areas as better as possible and reduce the wave agitation inside the basins. No-conventional vertical structures can represent an alternative. Antireflective quays and dikes are featured as porous or open structures, and have been experimentally studied over the years (Jarlan 1961,1965; Ijima et al. 1976; Matteotti 1991; Fugazza and Natale 1994; Tanimoto and Takahasi 1994; Suh et al. 2006; Garrido et al. 2010). This paper deals with the reflection response of low reflective vertical quays, whose upper wall, exposed to the incoming waves, is replaced by dissipative cells with rubble mound inside (Figure 1).

The study aimed to well characterize the relationship between the reflection coefficient and the main hydraulic and structural parameters involved in the phenomena. An exhaustive literature is not yet available for such kind of structure: Matteotti (1991) carried out physical tests with monochromatic waves; Faraci et al. (2012) studied how the changes in rubble mound inside the chamber can affect the reflection coefficient. In both cases the experiments have been carried out in small scale facilities. Interest of the authors is also a preliminary analysis of the scale effects by means of large scale tests.

Large and small scale tests have been carried out in the Laboratori d'Enginyeria Marítima of the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, in Barcelona (Spain) to study the response of the quay in a wide range of wave heights and periods. Results of small and large scale tests have been compared to analyze the influence of scale effects.




Figure 1. Scheme of the quay as in Matteotti (1991)

2. Laboratory experiment setup

The studied quay can be considered as a mixed structural type between a completely impermeable vertical face and a rock sloping breakwater. Figure 2 shows the cross section of the tested quay in prototype scale.




Figure 2. Cross section of the quay tested at LIM/UPC (prototype scale)

Small scale tests (1:33) have been conducted in the so-named CIEMito flume. CIEMito is 18 long, 0.38 m wide and 0.56 m high and is equipped with a piston paddle capable to generate waves up to 0.28m wave heights and wave periods up to 2 sec (Figure 3).




Figure 3. CIEMito wave flume lateral view (a) and piston wave generator (b)

Large scale experiments (1:4) have been carried out in the CIEM flume (Canal d'Investigació I Experimentació Marítima), 100 m long, 3 m wide and 5 m height (Figure 4). The CIEM flume has a wedge type paddle, that allows to generate waves up to 1.5 m wave heights. Figure 5 shows a snapshot of the models in both flumes.




Figure 4. CIEM wave flume: wedge wave generator (a) and overview of the flume (b)

Each regular wave attacks were 20 wave periods long. Wave motions were measured in both scales by means of resistive wave gauges, with accuracy of 1mm: an array of three sensors has been positioned in front of the caisson in order to get incident and reflected waves components; two wave gauges more have been also displaced closed to the wave paddle to measure and check the wave generation. Depending on the scale, more sensors have been installed along flumes for further controls.

Two different values for the rubble mound porosity at each model scale were tested, to investigate the influence of this parameter on the reflection. The chosen values for the small scale are in the same range of the values at large scale to assess the presence of scale effects. In fact, even if the porosity is similar in small and large scale, the fluid viscosity is not scaled and could affect in a different way the flows inside the rubble mound, leading to a different response of the structure as is expected.




Figure 5. Snapshot of the physical tests in the small scale flume (a) and large scale flume (b) at LIM/UPC

Table 1 shows the prototype characteristics of the regular waves and the values of porosity that have been considered for each model scale.

Table 1. Experimental test setup.

Scale	H (m)	T (s)	Depth (m)	Porosity of rubble mound (-)	# of tests
1:33	1.27	6.17-10.72	9.08 9.70	0.319	21
	1.5			0.441	21
	1.8				
1:4	1.27	5.17-9.19	9.28 9.44	0.357	24
	1.5			0.451	24
	1.8				

3. Scale effects in wave reflection

The wave reflection is usually expressed by the reflection coefficient, defined as:

$$C_r = \frac{H_r}{H_i} = \frac{\overline{E_r}}{\overline{E_i}} = \frac{H_r}{H_i} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{E_r}}{\overline{E_i}}} \quad [1]$$

where H_r and H_i are the wave height of reflected and incident waves, respectively, and E_r and E_i are the related energies. The reflection coefficient can vary between 0 and 1, where 1 defines total reflection. Generally vertical dikes or jetties present values closed to 1, breakwaters or

rubble mounds can show C_r around 0.3, but it should be properly assessed for each structure by means of physical model tests. The choice of the experimental setup can be crucial: small scale models require to treat possible scale effects in those cases where the scale could be very important and affect the results.

Porous structures dissipate wave energy within structure's voids, where turbulent flows are triggered. A geometrically scale physical model following the Froude law may lead to low Reynolds number and large viscous forces (Burchart et al, 1999); the resulting flows can be laminar instead of being fully turbulent within the structure's void. As consequence the model reflects more energy than the equivalent prototype (Wilson and Cross 1972, Hughes 1995).

In the present work the Burcharth's method has been applied to calculate a corrected and increased value for the stone nominal diameter in small scale. Burcharth et al. (1999) started from the knowledge of the wave induce pore pressure distribution: through this, the flow field can be estimated that is necessary to choose realistic flow velocities to be used in the scaling procedure. The characteristic pore velocity is calculated as the average value in the most affected area of the rubble mound slope.

Starting from the value of 0.357 for the porosity of the rubble mound slope at large scale model, the corrected nominal diameter in small scale led to a porosity of 0.441 at small scale. In order to compare how similar values of porosity could lead to different results in small and large scale models, the values of 0.451 and 0.319 for the rubble mound porosity were modelled, respectively at large and small scale. In this way two values of porosity for each scale were tested and compared (see Table 1).

4. Results

Due to the monochromatic nature of the wave trains for which just the spectral Mansard & Funke method (1980) can result poorly reliable, the authors chose use and compare both Mansard & Funke (1980) and Goda & Suzuki (1976) methods to calculate the reflection coefficient C_r for each test. Figure 6 shows the variability of the reflection coefficient C_r with the wave period T .




Figure 6. Reflection coefficient *vs* wave period

Both small and large scale test results are shown in comparison with those given in the Matteotti's work. The reflection depends strongly on the wave period, as expected, with variation of up to 40% for the same wave height and different wave periods.

The mean value for the reflection coefficient varies from 0.43 (large scale, $p=0.451$) up to 0.55 (small scale, $p=0.319$) where Matteotti found 0.47.

The results of small scale tests agree well with the Matteotti's measurements, carried out in a small scale flume too; on the other hand, the large scale experiments lead to reflection values smaller than those obtained at the reduced scale. Furthermore, a greater porosity leads to a smaller reflection although its influence seems less important numerically than the model scale. The small scale tests with larger porosity (green points in Fig. 6), where the scale effects have been treated, show values for C_r very close to large scale ones (blue points in Fig.6), confirming the relevance of the model scale. The result of the small scale model tests with the lower porosity (orange points in Fig. 6) show the highest values for the reflection coefficient. In that case the laminar flows into the rubble mound are predominant respect to the turbulent ones and the dissipation results lower than in the other three cases.




Figure 7. Reflection coefficient vs wave height

The dependence on the wave height does not seem very important if compared with the wave period, in agreement with the Faraci et al. (2012) results. Looking at Figure 7, it can be seen that for the same wave period, differences in the wave high affected slightly the response, leading to variations of C_r of about 8-10%.

5. Discussion of the results and conclusions

Pile structures or vertical walls are largely used as berthing structures or quays in harbor basins. The former type present low reflection but very high occupancy of the basin; the latter one is preferred because of its relatively low occupancy but can lead to very high oscillations inside the harbor. Therefore the research of the last decades is addressed to find a trade-off, by means of new kind of low reflective structure. The wave reflection of a low reflective vertical quay has been analyzed in the present work. The quay is a vertical wall where the upper part,

exposed to the waves, is replaced by an open chamber with rubble mound inside. The dissipation of the waves into the voids among the rubble mound units should assure low reflection values.

In detail the work has been focused on the influence of slope porosity and hydraulic model scale. Both small and large scale tests show that a larger porosity leads to a smaller reflection, but the influence doesn't seem so important as well as the choice of model scale. The small scale tests agree with the Matteotti ones, but the results of the large scale tests show a different behaviour, leading in general to smaller values of the reflection coefficient.

Once the scale effects are treated by a correction of the scale of the nominal diameter of the armour stones by applying the Burcharth et al (1999) approach, the results get closer to the ones at the large model scale.

The paper demonstrates that the model scale affects the response of the structure and small scales generally overestimate the wave reflection of porous low reflective quays. It is thus recommended to carry out some of the physical experiments in a large model, to avoid scale effects that should lead to reflections larger at smaller scales than the real ones and simulate the behaviour as well as in prototype.

References

- Burcharth, H. F.; Liu, Z.; Troch, P., 1999. Scaling of core material in rubble mound breakwater model tests. *Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Coastal and Port Engineering in Developing Countries (COPEDEC V)*, Cape Town, South Africa.
- Faraci C., Cammaroto B., Cavallaro L., Foti E., 2012. Wave reflection generated by caissons with internal rubble mound of variable slope. *33rd International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, Santander, Spain. Book of Abstract.
- Fugazza, M. and Natale, L. 1992 Hydraulic Design of Perforated Breakwaters. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering*, Vol. 118, 1-14.
- Garrido, J.M., Ponce de Leon, D., Berruguete, A., Martínez, S., Manuel, J., Fort, L., González-Escrivá, J.A., Medina, J.R. 2010. Study of reflection of new low-reflectivity quay wall caisson. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, No 32.
- Goda, Y. and Suzuki, Y. 1976. Estimation of Incident and Reflected Waves in Random Wave Experiments, *Proc. 15th International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, pp 828÷845.
- Ijima, T., Tanaka, E., and Okuzono, H. 1976 Permeable seawall with reservoir and the use of warock. *Proceedings 15th International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, ASCE, 2623-2641
- Hughes S.A., 1995. Physical Models and Laboratory Techniques in Coastal Engineering. *Advanced Series on Ocean Engineering*. Vol. 7. World Scientific.
- Jarlan, G.E., 1961 A perforated vertical wall breakwater. *The Dock and Harbour Authority*, 41, n. 486, 394-398.
- Jarlan, G.E. 1965 The Application of Acoustic Theory to the Reflective Properties of Coastal Engineering Structures. *Quart Bulletin*, National Research Council Canada, 23-64.
- Mansard, E. and Funke, E. 1980. The measurement of incident and reflected spectra using a least squares method. *Proceedings, 17th International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, Sidney, Vol. 1, pp.154÷172.
- Matteotti G., 1991. The reflection coefficient of a wave dissipating quay wall. *Dock and Harbour Authority*, v 71 n 825, Feb 1991, 285÷291
- Suh, K., Park, J.K., Park, W.S. 2006 Wave reflection from partially perforated wall caisson breakwaters. *Journal of Ocean Engineering*, 33, 264-280.

- Tanimoto, K. and Takahashi, S. 1994 Design and construction of caisson breakwaters - the Japanese experience. *Coastal Engineering*, 22, 57-77.
- Wilson K.W., Cross R.H., 1972 Scale effects in rubble mound breakwaters. *Coastal Engineering Proceedings*, No 13.

Author Index

Aftias, M.	699
Aghtouman, P.	207
Agnon, Y.	232
Akiyama, Y.	837
Aknin, D.	485
Al-Subhi, A.	446
Altomare, C.	360, 728, 779
Alvarez, A.	244
Anderson, M.	797
Araki, S.	197
Armenio, V.	857
Azorakos, S.	177
Babarit, A.	787
Baden, E.	157
Baelus, L.	287
Bajo, V.	623
Baker, S.	167
Barbaro, G.	809
Bellotti, B.	456
Benoit, M.	79, 787
Berger, E.	244
Blaževic, M.	433
Bolle, A.	287, 738, 748, 844
Bornschein, A.	333
Borromeo, S.	343
Brühl, M.	127
Bryant, D.	867
Buesching, F.	99
Bruss, G.	446
Cabrerizo, M.	343
Camarero, A.	434, 351
Capitao, R.	541
Cappietti, L.	217
Carballo, R.	647, 676
Castro, A.	831
Chatzimichalakis, F.	818
Chen, X.	119
China Pereira, R.	109, 322
Chondros, M.	719
Conde, J.M.P.	494, 541
Cornett, A.	167
Costa, F.	623
Crespo, A.J.C.	728
D'Alessandro, F.	708
Das Neves, L.	322
De Baere, I.	137
De Mulder, T.	573

De Rouck, J.	137, 844
De Vos, L.	287
Decrop, B.	573
Degrieck, J.	137
Deguchi, I.	197
Delisle, J.-R.	79
Denting W.	504
Didier, E.	494, 531
Dominguez, J.M.	728
Dusseljee, D.	465
Endres L.A.M	494
Ferreras, J.	623
Fery, N.	446
Fortes, C.	494
Foti, G.	809
Frigaard, P.	157, 400
Folley, M.	787
Forehand, D.	787
Fukuyama, T.	837
Gadelho, J.F.	109
Gailani, J.	867
Galea, A.	857
Garcia, N.	264
Giantsi, T.	177, 583, 818
Gier, F.	232
Gironella, X.	728, 779
Gironella I Cobos, F.X.	689
Gossel, A.	127
Graça Neves, M.	109
Grimm C.	232
Grifoll, M.	857
Gruwez, V.	738, 748
Guerrini, M.	456
Hassan, W.	475
Herrera, M.P.	380
Hinze, K.	187
Hoffman, R.	417
Hofland, B.	390, 417, 613
Horstmann, N.	277
Hsieh, S.-C.	427
Ibañez, O.	831
Iglesias, G.	524, 647, 666, 676, 831
Ikeya, T.	837
Inagaki, S.	837
Iwamae, N.	837
Jakobsen, M.M.	400
Jonkman, S	119
Junning P.	504
Kann Kelch Vieira, L.	225
Kao, M.-J.	427
Karmpadakis, J.	818

Khellaf, M.C.	437
Kimura K.	305
Kirova, A.	592
Kitagawa, S.-I.	197
Klopman, G.	465
Knox, P.	167
Kofoed, J.P.	787
Koftis, T.	699
Kortenhaus, A.	187, 217
Koufali, M.	583
Kudella, M.	277
Kuiper, C.	465
Laurich, P.	408
Lauridsen, H.	264
Liefhebber, F.	390
Lin, C.	427
Lindenbergh, R.	417
Llana, A.	351
Loman, G.	603, 613
Lomonaco, P.	244, 634
Loncar, G.	433
Loosveldt, N.	287
Lopes, H.	109
Lopez, I.	524, 647
López, J.D.	351
López, M.	524, 647
Luck, M.	79
Lykke Andersen, T.	157
Macineira, E.	623
Mai, T.C.	147
Marzeddu, A.	689
Masashi, O.	305
Masjedi, A.	296
Mattarolo, G.	79
Mayerle, R.	446
Mazet, M.	244
Medina, J.R.	380
Memos, C.	719
Mendoza, A.	244
Mendoza Munizaga A.	634
Mengin, G.	264
Mertens, T.	844
Mestres, M.	857
Meys, P.	390
Miyatake M.	305
Molina, R.	343, 351
Molines, J.	380
Molina Sanchez R.	109
Mönnich, J.	232
Monteiro Cabral J.	109
Moutzouris, C.	177, 583, 818

Neves, D.R.C.B.	494, 531
Neves M.G.	531
Nokes, R.	561
Noya, F.	623
Ocvirk, E.	433
Oumeraci, H.	28, 127, 187, 217, 277, 769
Papathanassiou, B.	177
Pardo V.	380
Pattiaratchi, C.	514
Peetz, N.	187
Peña, E.	623
Penchev, V.	504, 592
Pengzhilin	656
Pérez, C.	666
Perez, T.J.	3880
Petrillo, A.F.	689
Petruzzelli, V.	689
Peymani Foroushani, E.	296
Pohl, R.	333
Poot, J.G.	603, 613
Prinos, P.	699
Qihua, Z.	504
Raaijmakers, T.	390
Raikar, R.V.	427
Ramachandran, K.	769
Ramos, V.	647, 676
Reis, M.T.	109, 531
Rigden, T.	370
Rodriguez-Sanchez, M.C.	343
Rodriguez, A.	343
Roels, R.	603
Rogers, B.	728
Roman, F.	857
Romano, A.	456
Rosa Santos, P.	254
Rubin, H.	232
Ruiz, A.	623
Sadjadi, B.	408
Sanchez-Arcilla, A.	779, 857
Sánchez-Tembleque, F.	623
Sanchez, M.	676
Sande, J.	623
Sas M.	573
Sasaki, M.	312
Schimmels, S.	277, 769
Schlurmann, T.	147
Schüttrumpf, H.	232
Shukrieva, S.	504
Sicilia, C.L.	809
Silva, R.	322
Skourup, J.	157

Smith, E.	867
Smith, J.	797
Sospedra, J.	779
Spinneken, J.	485
Stallard, T.	787
Stewart, T.	370
Stratigaki, V.	787
Suzuki, T.	360, 748
Taveira Pinto, F.	109, 254, 322
Thiruvengkatasamy, K.	656
Thotagamuwage, D.	514
Tokarev, D.	232
Tomasicchio, G.R.	708
Toorman, E.	573
Touhami, H.E.	437
Troch, P.	89, 287, 573, 787
Trouw, K.	360, 844
Tsoukala, V.	583
Uijttewaai, W.	119
Van der Biezen, S.	603, 613
Van der Meer, J.	15
Van Dingenen, B.	761
Van Doorslaer, K.	769, 844
Van Nuffel, D.	137
Van Paepegem, W.	137
Vannieuwenhuyse, K.	287
Vantorre, M.	787
Vaquero, J.	343
Veale, W.	360
Veloso Gomes, F.	109, 254
Vepa, K.S.	137
Vermader, J.	360, 844
Verwaest, T.	119, 360, 475, 738, 748, 761, 844
Victor, L.	89
Wenneker, I.	551
Whittaker, C.	561
Willems, M.	475, 761
Yu, M.-S.	427
Zenker Gireli, T.	225