# 1 Title

2	Charcoal identification in species-rich biomes: a protocol for Central Africa optimised for the
3	Mayumbe forest
4	Author names and affiliations
5	Wannes Hubau <sup>1,2</sup> , Jan Van den Bulcke <sup>1</sup> , Peter Kitin <sup>2</sup> , Florias Mees <sup>2</sup> , Joris Van Acker <sup>1</sup> , Hans
6	Beeckman <sup>2</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Ghent University, Department of Forest and Water Management, Laboratory for Wood
9	Technology, Coupure Links 653, B- 9000 Gent, Belgium
10	wannes.hubau@ugent.be,jan.vandenbulcke@ugent.be,joris.vanacker@ugent.be
11	
12	<sup>2</sup> Royal Museum for Central Africa, Laboratory for Wood Biology, Leuvensesteenweg 13, B-3080
13	Tervuren, Belgium
14	florias.mees@africamuseum.be,peter.kitin@africamuseum.be,hans.beeckman@africamuseum.be
15	Corresponding author
16	Hubau Wannes
17	Ghent University

- 18 Department of Forest and Water Management
- 19 Laboratory for Wood Technology
- 20 Coupure Links 653
- 21 B-9000 Gent
- 22 Belgium
- 23

24	Tel: 0032 9 264 61 23
25	Gsm: 0032 485 44 59 84
26	Fax: 0032 9 264 90 92
27	E-mail: Wannes.Hubau@UGent.be
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	

#### 47 Abstract

Direct evidence for Central African vegetation history is mostly derived from palynology and 48 49 palaeolimnology. Although anthracology has proven worthwhile for palaeovegetation 50 reconstructions in temperate regions and South America, charcoal analysis has hardly been applied 51 for Central Africa. Moreover, a transparent charcoal identification procedure using large databases 52 and well defined characters has never been developed. Therefore, we present a Central African 53 charcoal identification protocol within an umbrella database of species names and metadata, 54 compiled from an on-line database of wood-anatomical descriptions (InsideWood), the database of 55 the world's largest reference collection of Central African wood specimens (RMCA, Tervuren, 56 Belgium) and inventory and indicator species lists. The 2909 Central African woody species covered by this database represent a large fraction of the total woody species richness of Central 57 58 Africa. The database enables a directed search taking into account metadata on (1) anatomical 59 features, (2) availability of thin sections within the reference collection, (3) species distribution and 60 (4) synonymy. The protocol starts with an anatomical query within this database, focussing on 61 genus rather than species level, proceeds with automatic extension and reduction phases of the 62 resulting species list and ends with a comparative microscopic study of wood reference thin sections 63 and charcoal anatomy. In total, 76.2% of the Central African species in the database are taken into 64 consideration, focussing on indicator and inventory species. The protocol has a large geographical 65 applicability, as it can be optimised for every research area within Central Africa. Specifically, the protocol has been optimised for the Mayumbe region and applied to radiocarbon dated (2055-2205 66 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP) charcoal collections from a pedoanthracological excavation. The validity of the protocol 67 68 has been proven by the mutual consistency of charcoal identification results and the consistency of 69 these identification results with vegetation history based on phytogeographical and palynological 70 research within and around the Mayumbe. As such, anthracology complements palynology and a 71 combination of both can lead to stronger palaeobotanical reconstructions.

# 72 Keywords

- 73 pedoanthracology; wood anatomy; charcoal analysis; Central Africa; vegetation history;
- 74 palaeoenvironment

# 75 Abbreviations

- 76 SEM: Scanning Electron Microscopy
- 77 RLM: Reflected Light Microscopy
- 78 TLM: Transmitted Light Microscopy
- 79 RMCA: Royal Museum for Central Africa (Tervuren, Belgium)
- 80 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation
- 81 IAWA: International Association of Wood Anatomists
- 82 FAO: Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations
- 83 Tw(followed by a number): Tervuren wood specimen label
- 84 Tv: transversal direction (in wood)
- 85 Tg: tangential direction (in wood)
- 86 R: radial direction (in wood)
- 87
- 88
- 89
- 90
- 91
- 92

## 93 1 Introduction

African vegetation history is not yet fully understood. Indirect evidence is mostly based on 94 95 phytogeographic and palaeolimnological research (Sosef, 1996; Verschuren et al., 2000; Leal, 2004; 96 Russell et al., 2009; Tchouto et al., 2009). Direct evidence is mostly based on palynological 97 research (Maley, 1996, 2004; Ngomanda et al., 2009; Hessler et al., 2010) while charcoal analysis has only sporadically been applied (Dechamps et al., 1988; Schwartz et al., 1990; Hart et al., 1996). 98 99 Yet, soil macrocharcoal analysis (pedoanthracology) is spatially more precise than palynology 100 because pollen are easily transported by wind over a long distance (Clark, 1988; Scott & Glasspool, 101 2007; Di Pasquale et al., 2008). Moreover, pollen types are rarely identifiable down to species level, 102 which complicates interpretation of the results. Finally, species can be underrepresented 103 (entomophilous taxa) or overrepresented (anemophilous taxa) in pollen diagrams (Elenga et al., 104 2000; Lebamba et al., 2009). 105 Charcoal is a chemically nearly inert material and extremely slowly affected by chemical 106 weathering, thus remaining in soil profiles for a long period (Cope & Chaloner, 1980; Skjemstad et 107 al., 1996; Forbes et al., 2006; Scott & Glasspool, 2007). Charcoal is especially valuable for 108 palaeobotany and archaeology due to preservation of the anatomical structure during the 109 charcoalification process. Thereby, it is feasible to identify charcoal using the same anatomical 110 features as wood (Figueiral & Mosbrugger, 2000; Scheel-Ybert, 2000; Di Pasquale et al., 2008). 111 Yet, absolute measurements have to be interpreted with caution as some features (e.g. vessel diameter) can change significantly due to heat shrinkage (Prior & Gasson, 1993; Braadbaart & 112 113 Poole, 2008). Microscopic features for hardwood identification are thoroughly described and 114 numbered by a Committee of the International Association of Wood Anatomists (IAWA 115 Committee, 1989). Furthermore, the on-line search database 'InsideWood' archives photo-116 micrographs and wood anatomical descriptions applying these internationally accepted numbered 117 features (InsideWood, 2011; Wheeler, 2011).

118 The most important challenge for Central African charcoal identification is coping with the extreme 119 diversity of woody species. The species-richness in tropical regions such as Central Africa contrasts 120 significantly with the relatively poor species diversity in temperate regions such as Europe or arid 121 regions such as North Africa, where anthracology has been developed and applied regularly 122 (Figueiral & Mosbrugger, 2000; FAO, 2005; Mutke & Barthlott, 2005; Höhn & Neumann, 2011). 123 The few attempts for Central African pedoanthracology were based on personal expertise that did 124 not make use of formal protocols, well defined characters and large wood anatomical databases 125 (Dechamps et al., 1988; Schwartz et al., 1990; Hart et al., 1996). An identification protocol as used 126 by Höhn & Neumann (2011) for the Sahara and the Sahel region and by Scheel-Ybert et al. (1998) 127 for South America has never been developed for Central Africa to the knowledge of the authors. 128 Therefore, the main objective of this article is the development of a transparent and scientifically 129 sound charcoal identification protocol taking into account a large number of Central African woody 130 species. To do so, the authors compiled an umbrella database (Woody Species Database, WSD) 131 composed of (1) the InsideWood database, (2) the digitized reference collection database of the 132 xylarium of the RMCA (Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium) and (3) indicator 133 species lists (Lebrun & Gilbert, 1954; Leal, 2004). In order to optimize the protocol for the study 134 area, (4) species from inventory lists were added to the database. The protocol starts with a directed 135 anatomical search in the WSD and ends with a comparative microscopic study of thin sections from the reference collection. A second objective of this article is the application, validation and 136 137 evaluation of the protocol. To do so, charcoal fragments have been collected in a 138 pedoanthracological excavation and analysed using the protocol.

#### 139 2 Study area

140 Little is known on the evolution of species distribution patterns during the Pleistocene and

141 Holocene in Africa. Senterre (2005) describes the phenomenon of choro-ecological transgressions.

142 Particularly, certain species had a tendency to spread in several vegetation types and several

143 geographical regions. On the other hand, due to e.g. forest regression phases, species disappear in

144 certain regions (Sosef, 1996; Senterre, 2005). However, these tendencies are not yet fully mapped.

145 Therefore, the protocol presented here does not take into account only those species currently

146 occurring in the Mayumbe, but all species native to Central Africa.

147 The Central African forest complex can be divided into the Lower Guinean and Congolian forest

regions, demarcated respectively as 'LG' and 'C' in Figure 1 (White, 1983; Leal, 2004; Senterre,

149 2005). The Lower Guinean is separated from the Congolian forest by the marshes of the Congo and

150 Ubangi rivers. The Congolian forest is separated from East Africa by the Albertine highland rift and

151 Great Lakes (r&l). The Central African forest complex is surrounded by a transition zone of

152 savanna types to the north (TN) and to the south (TS). The Lower Guinean forest is currently

153 separated from the West African forest complex (WA) by savanna types in the 'Dahomey Gap' (dg)

154 in Togo and Benin (Leal, 2004). Maley (1996) and Salzmann & Hoelzmann (2005) assume that this

155 gap might have been overgrown by forest during the Holocene Maximum. As such, those West-

156 African endemics are excluded and only species native to LG, C, TN and/or TS are taken into

157 account for final identification.

158 The Mayumbe forest ('M' in Figure 1) is part of the Lower Guinean forest complex. It is an 159 assumed sub-mountainous glacial forest refuge located on the hills alongside the Atlantic coast,

160 ranging from south Gabon down to the Luki reserve in the Bas-Congo, Democratic Republic of

161 Congo (Sosef, 1996; Maley, 1996). The Luki reserve (indicated in **Figure 1**) has been selected as

162 research area because it shelters an important forest relic located on the southernmost Mayumbe

163 forest edge. Pedoanthracological sampling was conducted in the well-documented experimental

164 UH48 forest stand (Donis, 1948; Donis & Maudoux, 1951; Couralet, 2010).



#### 167 **3 Material and Methods**

#### 168 **3.1 Pedoanthracology**

#### 169 **3.1.1 Sampling**

170 In stand UH48, a relatively flat and dry area was chosen, which was probably not susceptible to 171 human disturbance, erosion or deposition of colluvium, as recommended by Carcaillet & Thinon 172 (1996). Next, prospection was conducted with an Edelmann auger, down to one meter. One pedoanthracological excavation (surface of 100 cm x 150 cm) was conducted on a spot where 173 174 prospection yielded charcoal remains on a depth of at least 40 cm and where the soil was relatively 175 dry and penetrable. Macro-charcoal fragments (largest width > 2 mm) were carefully collected by 176 hand per interval of 10 cm. Specific anthracomass was calculated as described by Carcaillet & 177 Thinon (1996). One kg of mixed disturbed soil was taken per two intervals for soil moisture content 178 and organic matter content measurements (Ball, 1964). Also, thin sections were prepared from 179 undisturbed soil samples embedded in polyester using standard procedures (Murphy, 1986) and 180 micromorphological features were described applying polarisation microscopy, using the concepts 181 and terminology of Stoops (2003). Finally, three charcoal fragments from different profile intervals were sent to the Poznán Radiocarbon Laboratory (Poland) for AMS <sup>14</sup>C measurement. 182

183

#### **3.1.2** Detection of charcoal types and species-richness within the profile interval

For profile intervals with <50 charcoal fragments, all fragments were analysed using Reflected Light Microscopy (RLM) (e.g. Scheel-Ybert, 2000; Boutain et al., 2010). Based on microscopic features (IAWA Committee, 1989), most charcoal fragments were grouped in primary charcoal types, of which each type represents normally one species and sometimes several species. Some unidentifiable fragments originated from bark, juvenile wood or fruits. These might be originating from the same species represented by the primary types. Therefore, these fragments are grouped in secondary charcoal types which are not taken into account for further interpretation.

191 However, analysing all fragments is very time-consuming when charcoal fragments are numerous, 192 e.g. >500 per layer. In our opinion, to retrieve the most important palaeobotanical data such as the 193 total species-richness and species composition, there is no need to analyse all fragments as species-194 richness in a small pedoanthracological interval ( $< 0.3 \text{ m}^3$ ) is limited. The total number of charcoal types (= c) is considered to reach saturation after a certain number of analysed charcoal fragments 195 196 (= X). Practically, the estimated total amount of charcoal types  $(\hat{C})$  in the intervals was calculated 197 with the CatchAll software (Bunge, 2011) for each record of X and c. Once  $\hat{C}$  approximates c, 198 saturation has been reached. From every layer, an arbitrary initial amount of 50 charcoal fragments 199 was studied and more charcoal fragments were added until saturation.

200

#### **3.1.3** Anatomical description of charcoal types

201 For each charcoal type, a large fragment containing all diagnostic features was mounted on a stub 202 for Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). While studying SEM micrographs, charcoal types are 203 described with the same numbered anatomical features as used on the on-line InsideWood database 204 (IAWA Committee, 1989; Wheeler, 2011; InsideWood, 2011). The final result of the charcoal type 205 description consists of two strings of numbered features. A first string represents primary features 206 which are easily visible. A second string represents secondary features which are variable or 207 unclear. Some anatomical features change during charcoalification, as illustrated by Bustin & Guo 208 (1999) and Braadbaart & Poole (2008). Specifically, shrinkage has been taken into account while 209 describing charcoal type anatomy (e.g. Prior & Gasson, 1993). According to Braadbaart & Poole 210 (2008), tangential diameter shrinkage of vessels can amount to 50%. Moreover, also possible 211 shrinkage of intervessel pits has been taken into account. Finally, some hardwood features are hard 212 to see in charcoal. As such, following numbered IAWA features (IAWA Committee, 1989) are 213 never used as primary features: growth rings (features 1-2), arrangement of intervessel pits (20-23), 214 vestured pits (29), vessel-ray pitting (30-35), druses (144-148), other crystal types (149-158), silica (159-163). 215

216

#### **3.2** Development of the Woody Species Database (WSD)

217

#### 3.2.1 A composed 'umbrella' database

218 Two databases and four species lists have been combined into a comprehensive excel file called 219 'Woody Species Database', further 'WSD'. This WSD contains a list of species names followed by 220 a wide range of metadata concerning the presence of thin sections in the RMCA, anatomical 221 features, distribution area, ecology and synonymy. Within this umbrella database, a protocol has 222 been developed using the excel column filter function and additional formulas. 223 First of all, the reference collection database of the xylarium of the RMCA has been used. This is 224 one of the largest collections of wood specimens in the World and possibly the largest collection of Central African wood specimens (Lynch & Gasson, 2010). Large effort was put into digitizing all 225 226 metadata of the species names and specimens, which resulted in (1) an on-line search database (Tervuren Xylarium Wood Database, 2011) and (2) an excel spreadsheet of species names with 227 228 several columns of metadata. For every species name, this database provides metadata on the 229 provenance of its specimens and the presence of thin sections in the RMCA collection. 230 A second database which has been used to create the WSD is the InsideWood search database, described by Wheeler (2011). On the 11<sup>th</sup> of July 2011, all 5910 modern wood descriptions have 231 232 been downloaded from the InsideWood database in excel format. This database mentions, per 233 species, the presence or absence of microscopic hardwood features (1-163) described by the IAWA 234 Committee (1989, pp.1-320). Furthermore, features 164-188 provide information on geographical 235 species distribution (IAWA Committee, 1989, pp. 320-321). 236 Inventory species lists of the Mayumbe and, more specifically, the Luki reserve have been 237 incorporated as well (Donis, 1948; Donis & Maudoux, 1951; Maudoux, 1954; Monteiro, 1962; 238 Pendje, 1992; Couralet, 2010; Maloti Masongo, unpublished results). Inventories provide detailed 239 information on current species composition of the research area. Finally, indicator species lists are

240 incorporated. A first list contains indicator species for all Central African vegetation types described

by Lebrun & Gilbert (1954). These vegetation types range from dense evergreen rainforest to
sclerophyllous dry forest and edaphic and secondary forest types (see also Mayaux et al., 2000). A
second list contains Caesalpinioideae which are indicators for old-growth rainforest in the Lower
Guinean and the Congolian rainforest according to Leal (2004).

#### 245 **3.2.2** Synonymy, distribution area and species ecology

Each row in the WSD represents a unique species name, listed in the first column. Metadata of all
combined databases are listed in subsequent columns. Next, large effort was put into the problem of
synonymy. Within a group of synonyms, each species name has a certain name status: only one
synonym is regarded as 'accepted' and the rest as 'unaccepted'. When no consensus has been
reached yet, name status is marked 'uncertain'. Name status has been derived from the African
Plants Database of The Conservatory and Botanical Gardens of the City of Geneva (African Plants
Database, 2011).

253 Furthermore, the provenance area of reference collection specimens does not always fall within the 254 native distribution area of the species, as species from all over the world have been introduced in Central Africa since the onset of Portuguese explorations in the 15<sup>th</sup> century and the foundation of 255 256 coastal trade posts. Therefore, the distribution pattern of all species recorded as 'Central African' in 257 the WSD has been verified by the information available on the African Plants Database (2011), the 258 'Flore du Congo Belge et du Ruanda-Urundi' (INEAC, 1948-1963), the 'Flora of West Tropical 259 Africa' (Hutchinson & Dalziel, 1954-1972), the 'Flora of Tropical Africa' (Oliver, 1830-1916), and 260 'The Useful Plants of West Tropical Africa' (Burkill, 1985). Five separate columns have been added mentioning natural occurrence of the species in regions M, LG, C, TN, TS and/or WA, 261 262 presented in Figure 1. Finally, several columns have been added describing ecology, temperament 263 and morphology for the Central African species.

264

#### 3.2.3 Adding thin sections and descriptions to the WSD

New anatomical descriptions have been added to the WSD. These descriptions will also be added to the InsideWood database once they have been optimised. Specifically, those Central African species were selected from which the genus is not present on the InsideWood database and from which wood specimens are available at the RMCA. Additionally, thin sections have been prepared from those indicator and inventory species previously lacking thin sections at the RMCA.

270

# **3.3 The Identification Protocol**

A flow-chart of the identification protocol is presented in Figure 2. A first block presents the 271 272 composition of the WSD. This database contains 163 columns representing all anatomical 273 hardwood features, which are recorded as being 'present', 'absent' or 'variable' (InsideWood, 2011; 274 Wheeler, 2011). The second block in Figure 2 presents the anatomical query and a subsequent series of extension phases. A third block presents a series of reduction phases. The WSD and the 275 276 protocol as such are not publicly available on the internet. However, the RMCA collection is online as the search platform Tervuren Xylarium Wood Database (2011) which provides direct links 277 278 to micrographs of thin sections and to descriptions on the on-line InsideWood database. Those who 279 are interested can contact the authors for access to the RMCA collection.

280

Figure 2 (TIFF file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm)

#### **3.3.1** Anatomical guery and extension phases

The availability of a vast amount of reference thin sections in the RMCA collection offers the opportunity to consider much more species than only those present on the InsideWood search database. Based on morphological resemblances, including wood-anatomical resemblances of species, the science of plant taxonomy groups certain species into genera. Therefore, the first phase of the protocol (IP1 in **Figure 2**) is designed to search genera, not species, on the InsideWood

database, which is embedded in the WSD. Specifically, the excel filter function in the WSD is 288 289 applied to the primary anatomical charcoal features. This query considers species from all over the 290 world because some genera occur in several continents. The resulting species names are marked 291 manually in a separate column (= results list) in the WSD. During a second identification phase, the 292 resulting species name list is extended in three subsequent steps, for which the sequence is very 293 important. In a first step, all synonyms of the species names found after the query, including the 294 accepted names, are added to the results list applying an excel formula (IP2.a in Figure 2). For 295 certain species, synonyms belong to several genera. Next, excel adds all species belonging to the 296 genera found after IP2.a (IP2.b). Finally, all synonyms of these species names are added to the 297 results list (IP2.c). The resulting species name list is now at its maximum but covers many 298 synonyms from species from all over the world. Moreover, some species lack reference material.

299

#### **3.3.2** Reduction phases and comparative microscopy

300 During a third identification phase (IP3 in Figure 2) excel rejects all 'unaccepted' names (retaining 301 only the 'accepted' or 'uncertain' name per species). Furthermore, all species which do not occur in 302 Central Africa and all species without reference material or anatomical descriptions are rejected as 303 well. Finally, thin sections of the species retained after IP3 are taken from the alphabetically 304 ordered reference collection, stored in cupboards in the Laboratory for Wood Biology in the 305 RMCA. Using Transmitted Light Microscopy (TLM) for the thin sections and SEM and RLM for 306 the charcoal, wood anatomy is compared to the charcoal type anatomy. During this phase (IP4), 307 species are rejected based on the secondary and tertiary charcoal anatomy features. Furthermore, 308 this in-depth comparative microscopic phase offers the possibility to take into account anatomical 309 features which are not described by the IAWA Committee (1989). These features are listed and 310 described in Table 1. The final result of the charcoal identification protocol is a small group of 311 species, which are all given a probability ranking. Specifically, a 10-point grading system, subject 312 to the user's opinion, is used. Half of the points of the ranking system consider primary and

313	secondary anatomical features as well as features described in <b>Table 1</b> : if a species resembles the
314	charcoal anatomy perfectly, 5 points should be attributed. The other 5 points of the ranking system
315	consider the distribution area ( <b>Figure 1</b> ): occurrence in 'M' = 5 points; 'LG'=4 points; 'C' =3
316	points; 'TS'=2 points; 'TN'=1 point. The charcoal type gets a 9-character label consisting of the
317	three first letters of respectively family, genus and species name of the best ranked species.
318	
319	Table 1 (XLS file, 1 column wide = 8.9 cm)
320	4 Results
321	4.1 Woody Species Database: quantities
322	Quantities of the WSD are presented in Figure 3. In total, the list covers 5521 genus names and
323	36844 species names. 19090 (= 51.8%) of these are unaccepted names, as synonyms of 12832 (=
324	34.8%) accepted names. The 4922 uncertain names are treated as accepted names. As there is only
325	one accepted or uncertain name per species, quantities of accepted and uncertain names are
326	equivalent to quantities of species. For the accepted names, metadata of all synonyms has been
327	taken into account.
328	The database contains 4162 African species, from which the identification protocol presented in this
329	article considers the 2909 Central African species. Inventory and indicator species lists cover 677
330	species. Specifically, 320 of these are indicator species mentioned by Lebrun & Gilbert (1954), 210
331	species are indicator Caesalpinioideae mentioned by Leal (2004) and 294 species were listed during
332	inventories in the Mayumbe.
333	Furthermore, for 2086 (= 71.7%) of all Central African species, at least one transversal thin section
334	has been produced and stored in the xylarium of the RMCA and for 649 (= 22.3%) of all Central
335	African species, a wood anatomical description is available on InsideWood.
336	
337	Figure 3 (TIFF file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm) 14

#### 338 4.2 **Sampling results**

339 In the UH48 block within the Luki reserve, one pedoanthracological profile has been excavated on a 340 spot where prospection (Edelmann auger) yielded charcoal fragments down to 100 cm. Pedological 341 and anthracological results are presented in Figure 4. Roots become less abundant from top to 342 bottom of the profile. Stones are absent. Few horizons are distinguishable. This is confirmed by the study of micromorphological features (cf. Stoops et al., 2010), including a darker micromass in the 343 0-40 cm interval above a transitional zone (40-60 cm), and indications for the presence of 344 345 lithological discontinuities around 20 and 40 cm depth. Throughout the profile, the soil shows 346 various features related to bioturbation, in varying abundance. Figure 4 also presents the total number of charcoal fragments and absolute and specific 347 348 anthracomass (cf. Carcaillet & Thinon, 1996). Only the four intervals between 10-50 cm contain 349 more than 100 fragments. The two intervals between 30-50 cm contain more than 700 fragments. 350 Small pottery fragments were found in the 30-40 cm interval, which is the second most charcoal-351 rich: 23.15 g charcoal in 0.15 m<sup>3</sup> (= 121 ppm). Radiocarbon dating yielded radiocarbon ages of  $2055 \pm 30^{14}$ C yr BP for the 30-40 cm interval,  $2205 \pm 35^{14}$ C yr BP for the 80-90 cm interval and 352  $2140 \pm 35$  <sup>14</sup>C yr BP for the 120-130 cm interval. As these dates are very close, the charcoal 353 fragments could be considered to be the result of the same fire event. 354

355

#### Figure 4 (TIFF file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm) 356

#### 4.3 **Charcoal types and identification results** 357

358 A total amount of 374 charcoal fragments are grouped into 19 charcoal types. Table 2 presents the 359 number of fragments per type and the number of species (names) retained after each phase of the 360 protocol. The number of species names in the results list is always at its maximum at the end of the extension phase (IP2.c in Figure 2 and Table 2), but is reduced drastically during subsequent 361 362 extension phases (IP3.a-IP3.c).

Three unidentifiable types consist of bark, fruit or juvenile wood, which might belong to one of the identifiable types. These 3 types are therefore classified as secondary charcoal types. The 2 other unidentifiable types consist of monocotyl wood and mature hardwood and are clearly different from the 14 identifiable types. As a result, the overall interval is composed of 16 primary charcoal types which belong to at least 16 different species. The charcoal types are randomly spread in all profile intervals, confirming the presumption that all charcoal fragments in all intervals have been formed during the same fire event.

370 One charcoal type, represented by a large number of fragments, is clearly derived from oil palm nut 371 shells (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.). All other 13 primary identifiable charcoal types are clearly wood-372 derived and identified applying the protocol. For each charcoal type, the group of species retained 373 after application of the protocol is presented in Table 3, which specifies whether identification was 374 very successful or not. Less successful identification can be due to a low amount of available 375 charcoal (ULM HOL GRA and APO TAB IBO) or due to unclear charcoal anatomy (DIC DIC MAD). Species names are accepted according to the African Plants Database (2011). Probability 376 377 ranking is given in a separate column. Table 3 also provides information on distribution (cf. Figure 1), species ecology, temperament and morphology for every species (Oliver, 1830-1916; INEAC, 378 379 1948-1963; Hutchinson & Dalziel, 1954-1972; Burkill, 1985; African Plants Database, 2011). 380 Finally, **Table 3** also presents the taxonomic level down to which Elenga et al. (2000) and Lebamba 381 et al. (2009) identified pollen from modern soil samples. Data of Elenga et al. (2000) is derived 382 from study sites in the Mayumbe and those of Lebamba et al. (2009) from sites all over the Lower 383 Guinea. Also, **Table 3** presents the relative abundance of the pollen type in the pollen record of 384 Elenga et al. (2000).

385

**Table 2 (XLS file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm)** 

Table 3 (XLS file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm). This table should probably be splitted into
"Table 3" and "Table 3 (continued)", in order to fit on the pages. Please, repeat column
headings if splitted.

391

### 4.4 Estimation of species-richness

392 Figure 5 is an example of a charcoal type saturation curve. It presents the evolution of the number 393 of studied charcoal types (= *c*) and the estimated total amount of charcoal types (=  $\hat{C}$ ) in the 30-40 cm profile interval.  $\hat{C}$  approached c very closely when 40 charcoal fragments were analysed. 394 395 However, as saturation was not yet fully reached, 50 additional fragments from this 30-40 cm 396 interval were analysed. Only 2 new types were found, resulting in a total number of 11 charcoal types in the interval. Furthermore, the estimated total amount of charcoal fragments did not change 397 398 significantly over the last 25 fragments. Specifically, after 100 charcoal fragments, CatchAll 399 predicted the presence of slightly more than 1 charcoal type left to find in the interval: an estimated 400 amount of 12.4 types versus an observed amount of 11 types. Theoretically, there is a chance that 401 another type can be present in the 30-40 cm interval. Indeed, 6 out of the 16 primary types in the 402 overall profile were not recorded in the 30-40 cm interval. 2 of these types are very rare in the 403 profile. These rare types are represented by few (<6) and very small fragments, which impedes 404 proper visualisation and identification.

If the 366 charcoal fragments belonging to the 16 primary charcoal types in the overall profile are considered, the CatchAll software estimates a total species-richness of 16.7 species in the overall profile. Based on these CatchAll estimates, the chance that a new charcoal type can be found by analysing more charcoal fragments is considered small enough to stop adding fragments, both for the 30-40 cm interval as for the overall profile. The same conclusion could have been drawn after analysis of the first 50 charcoal fragments in the 30-40 cm interval.

411

#### 412 Figure 5 (TIFF file, 1 column wide = 8.9 cm)

413

#### 4.5 Refining identification results: probability ranking

#### 414 **4.5.1 IRV IRV SMI**

415 Charcoal type IRV IRV SMI has clear parenchyma bands of more than 3 cells wide, wood rays with 416 mostly procumbent ray cells (sporadically a row of square top cells), rays of 2 or 3 cells wide and 417 medium sized intervessel pits (Plate I). Species retained after application of the protocol are 418 presented in Table 3. Bauhinia rufescens Lam., Bauhinia petersiana Bolle and Caesalpinia 419 welwitschiana (Oliv.) Brenan are ranked lowest because their rays are regularly unicellular with 420 rather large and irregular ray cell width. Furthermore, both *Bauhinia* spp. occur only in the margins 421 of the Central African forest complex (region TS in Figure 1). Next, Schefflerodendron 422 gilbertianum J. Leonard & Latour, Schefflerodendron adenopetalum (Taub.) Harms and Quassia 423 undulata (Guill. & Perr.) D. Dietr. are ranked low because their intervessel pits and their vessels 424 seem to be too small and because they do not exhibit radial vessel groupings (up to 3) regularly. 425 Guarea cedrata (A. Chev.) Pellegr. resembles the charcoal type anatomy very well, but its fibre 426 lumina seem to be too wide, the parenchyma bands too narrow and there are too many upright 427 marginal ray cells. Finally, there is no anatomical feature which is sufficiently diagnostic to 428 distinguish Irvingia smithii Hook. f. from Irvingia robur Mildbr. Both are ranked highest and 429 resemble the charcoal type anatomy almost perfectly. As an illustration of the agreement between 430 the charcoal type anatomy and the wood anatomy, **Plate I** presents SEM images of charcoal type 431 IRV IRV SMI, compared to TLM images of a wood specimen of I. smithii. 432 I. smithii is mentioned by Lebrun & Gilbert (1954) as an indicator species for riverine rainforest and 433 gallery forest. It is a relatively high and light-demanding tree. On the contrary, *I. robur* is described 434 by the African Plants Database (2011) as a rainforest tree on dry land. Both species occur in the 435 Mayumbe and more specifically in the Luki reserve according to several inventories (Table 3).

436

#### 437 Plate I (TIFF file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm)

#### 4.5.2 DIC DIC MAD

439 Charcoal type DIC DIC MAD is very unclear, as illustrated by the SEM images in PLATE II. 440 Growth rings are not discernible on the charcoal fragments. The few vessels which are measurable 441 are  $40 \pm 5 \,\mu\text{m}$  wide (Tg diameter on Tv section in **PLATE II.1** and **II.2**), but the number of vessels mm<sup>-2</sup> is unknown as most of the vessels are very small and difficult to distinguish from parenchyma 442 cells on the Tv section. Vessels seem to be rare and mostly solitary; sometimes they occur as 443 444 radially aligned couples. Perforation plates seem to be exclusively simple (Tg and R). Intervessel 445 pits and vessel-ray pits are not discernible. Parenchyma is very unclear but seems to be scanty 446 paratracheal or vasicentric. Possibly it is diffuse or banded (up to 3 rows). It is certainly not lozenge aliform. Rays are mostly 3 or 4 cells wide, not very high (up to 1 cm) and not storied. Body ray 447 448 cells are procumbent or square and up to 2 rows of upright marginal ray cells are discernible. Ray 449 cells are wider than fibre lumina. Fibres are very thick-walled. Canals are not discernible.

450 After application of the identification protocol, 8 species have been retained and presented in **Table** 451 3. Leptactina arnoldiana De Wild. and Erythrococca bongensis Pax are ranked lowest because their 452 rays are not large enough. Furthermore, E. bongensis does not occur in the Lower Guinea. Both Aulacocalyx spp. and Schumanniophyton magnificum (K. Schum.) Harms are ranked low because 453 454 they exhibit too many (>10) upright marginal ray cells. Euadenia eminens Hook.f. resembles well, 455 but its rays are too high. Also, Cassipourea gummiflua Tul. resembles well but its parenchyma 456 seems to be too abundant compared to the absence of a clear parenchyma pattern in the charcoal. 457 Finally, Dichapetalum madagascariense Poir. is the best match, although its rays seem to be slightly too high. D. madagascariense is a lianescent shrub and occurs all over Central Africa in a 458 large range of habitats. 459

460

#### 461 **PLATE II (TIFF file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm)**

#### 462 **5 Discussion**

463

### 5.1 Protocol Validation: identification results vs. forest history

464

#### 5.1.1 Mutual consistency of identification results

For most charcoal types, the species retained and ranked during the last identification phase belong 465 466 to several vegetation types (Tables 3 and 4). The best ranked species for charcoal types RUB COR 467 PAN, CAE TET BIF, MYR COE BOT, CAE GIL MAY, MEL GUA CED, ANN XYL AUR, HUA HUA GAB and APO TAB IBO occur only in rainforest environments. All these species are small 468 469 (0-20m) or large (>20m) shade-bearing or light-tolerant trees (**Table 3**). For charcoal type ULM 470 HOL GRA, 5 species were retained, which all occur in a rainforest environment (Table 3). 471 Moreover, nearly all species retained for charcoal type CAE GIL MAY and the best ranked species of CAE TET BIF belong to the family of Caesalpinoideae and are typical old-growth rainforest 472 473 species according to Leal (2004), including the best ranked species, *Gilbertiodendron mayumbense* 474 (Pellegr.) J. Léonard. Also, I. robur is a rainforest species and one of the best ranked species for 475 type IRV IRV SMI. The best ranked species for IRV KLA GAB, MYR SYZ GUI and DIC DIC MAD are characterised by a large ecological amplitude, which also comprises rainforest. As a 476 477 conclusion, identification results suggest a rainforest environment in the southern Mayumbe around 2055-2205 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP. The results seem to be consistent, confirming the validity of the identification 478 479 protocol.

480

#### 5.1.2 The presence of oil palm as a bottleneck?

Only the presence of *E. guineensis* seems contradicting the other identifications as the oil palm is an
important pioneer species which is thought to play a major role in recolonisation of savanna (Maley
& Giresse, 1998, Maley & Chepstow-Lusty, 2001). *E. guineensis* has been detected in several
palynological records from the Lower Guinea (including the Mayumbe), indicating arid and cool
palaeoclimatic phases characterised by forest regression. These records date back to the Eocene and

the Miocene, indicating the indigenous nature of the species in the area (e.g. Maley & Brenac, 1998;
Maley & Giresse, 1998; Maley & Chepstow-Lusty, 2001). However, only nut shell fragments have
been found in the interval. Furthermore, the charcoal fragments in the profile interval were
associated with pottery sherds, indicating human influence. Also, Neumann et al. (2011) mention a
long tradition in the use of oil palm nuts by humans. This indicates that the fire which produced the
charcoal fragments could have been a result of human activity and was either a wild-burning fire or
a bonfire.

493

### 5.1.3 The Mayumbe during the Holocene Cool Period

494 By comparing ages of different Early Iron Age sites from Cameroon and Congo, Schwartz et al. (1990) found that iron smelting and thus human occupation spread relatively fast, down to the 495 southern Mayumbe at the end of the Holocene cool period, between 2200 and 2100 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP. This 496 497 may have been due to a greater extension of savanna. More specifically, archaeological, palynological and phytogeographical results suggest the existence of a complex and shifting forest-498 499 savanna mosaic pattern in the southern Mayumbe during the Holocene Cool period between 2500 and 2000 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP (Schwartz et al., 1990; Maley & Brenac, 1998; Vincens et al., 1998; Leal, 2004; 500 501 Ngomanda et al., 2009). This mosaic pattern was characterised by a complex mixture of savanna, 502 pioneer forest, secondary forest, primary rainforest and a broad range of intermediate phases within 503 the forest succession cycle. As such, it is possible that the humans entering the primary rainforest 504 brought along pots and oil palm nuts from nearby regenerating forest. Hence, the consistency of the 505 identification results with forest history seems to confirm the validity of the identification protocol.

506

#### 5.2 **Protocol Evaluation**

507 The ultimate goal of search databases such as InsideWood (2011) and an umbrella database with an
508 identification protocol as presented here, is to standardize identification of charcoal fragments
509 between different analysts (e.g. Mitchener et al., 1997). Previous charcoal identification attempts

for Central Africa were based upon the experience of individuals and did not address the
complexity of species-richness, synonymy, or the limitation of the reference collection capacity
(Dechamps et al., 1988; Schwartz et al., 1990; Hart et al., 1996). To the knowledge of the authors,
this article presents the first attempt to quantify the possibilities and limitations of charcoal
identification in Central Africa.

515

### 5.2.1 Species-richness of the Woody Species Database

Central Africa as presented by regions LG, C, TN and TS (Figure 1) covers 5 countries completely 516 517 (DRC, Congo, Cameroon, Gabon, Equatorial Guinea) and 3 countries partly (Nigeria, Central 518 African Republic, Angola). According to Figure 3, the WSD contains 2909 species from these 519 countries. Data of the Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations can serve as a good 520 comparison. FAO (2005) has been monitoring the world's forests at 5 to 10 year intervals since 1946. Furthermore, FAO (2005) uses a broad definition of 'tree', including bamboo, palm and other 521 522 woody species. Specifically, countries from West and Central Africa reported a maximum of 2243 523 native woody species per country. Assuming that there is a very large overlap in woody species composition between neighbouring countries, the total woody species diversity in Central Africa 524 525 will probably not exceed multiples of this number. As such, the WSD presented here covers already 526 a large percentage of the total Central African woody species-richness. Furthermore, the highest 527 tree species diversity is recorded for South America, where Brazil reports more than 7880 native 528 tree species (FAO, 2005). Indeed, Mutke & Barthlott (2005) confirm that the African continent is 529 less diverse than South America and South-East Asia, although numbers go up to 4000 vascular 530 plant species per 10000 km<sup>2</sup> in the Lower Guinea.

531

#### **5.2.2** Power of the identification protocol

By searching on genus level in the InsideWood database, the protocol takes into account 2399 (=
82.5%) of the Central African species recorded in the WSD (Figure 6). However, reference

534 material, being anatomical descriptions and/or thin sections, is needed for further consideration of these species during comparative microscopy. This is the case for 1937 (= 66.6%) of the Central 535 536 African species. These species represent the combined power of InsideWood and the RMCA 537 reference collection (**Figure 6**). Furthermore, for 266 (= 9.1%) of the Central African species, the genus is not present on the InsideWood database, although thin sections are available at the RMCA 538 539 (Figure 6). Additionally, for 15 inventory and indicator species, thin sections had to be prepared 540 from wood samples available in the RMCA. For these 281 species, anatomical descriptions have 541 been added to the WSD. Finally, the total power of the protocol accounts for 76.2% of the 2909 542 Central African species in the WSD (Figure 6). This is substantial compared to charcoal 543 identification protocols for other research areas.

544

### 545 Figure 6 (TIFF file, 2 columns wide = 18.4 cm)

546

547 As a comparison, a computer-aided key to charcoal identification for a southern Brazilian coastal 548 research area takes into account more than 900 species (Scheel-Ybert et al., 1998; Scheel-Ybert, 2000). Another example is the identification protocol for the upper northern Andes developed by Di 549 550 Pasquale et al. (2008), which takes into account only 32 species described for the first time by the 551 authors. The species composition in the upper Andes is well-defined and limited, in contrast to the complexity inherent in species composition in the Central African rainforest. Finally, 552 pedoanthracology has been developed and since long been applied in Europe (Carcaillet & Thinon, 553 1996; Figueiral & Mosbrugger, 2000; Théry-Parisot et al., 2010). FAO (2005) reports a maximum 554 555 of only 280 native tree species per country in Europe, indicating the convenience of European 556 anthracology relative to Central African anthracology.

#### **557 5.2.3** Flexibility

558 Another important advantage is the flexibility of the WSD. First of all, the quantities presented in 559 this article are growing constantly, as wood descriptions are regularly added to the InsideWood 560 database (Wheeler, 2011) and thin sections are regularly prepared and added to the RMCA 561 reference collection. Secondly, an important advantage is the applicability of the protocol within a 562 large geographical context. If a small amount of information is added to the excel spreadsheet in the 563 form of inventory or indicator species lists, the protocol can be optimised for specific research areas 564 all over Central Africa. As an illustration of the importance of inventory and indicator lists, the best 565 ranked species for charcoal type HUA HUA GAB is a Luki inventory species which has been 566 described by the authors for the first time. Moreover, a lot of the retained species (Table 3) occur in the indicator list of Lebrun & Gilbert (1954) and nearly all retained species for charcoal type CAE 567 568 GIL MAY occur in the indicator list of Leal (2004).

569

#### 5.2.4 Uncertainty

570 The WSD is not complete in terms of species. Moreover, there are significant gaps in the metadata 571 of the species names. These gaps are sources of uncertainty in the identification protocol. As 572 presented in Figure 3, for 2161 (= 12.2%) accepted and uncertain species names recorded in the 573 WSD no provenance continent has been registered. Therefore, these species are excluded. 574 Furthermore, name status is still registered as 'uncertain' for 182 (= 6.2%) of the Central African 575 species names. Next, a third source of uncertainty is the lack of thin sections or anatomical 576 descriptions (Figure 6). 577 Next to these quantifiable sources of uncertainty, a more complex problem is linked to the 578 'readability' of charcoal anatomy. After the last identification phase (comparative microscopy), a 579 group of species is selected for which anatomy matches the charcoal fragment. Sometimes, it is

580 very difficult to distinguish the best matching species, as illustrated for charcoal types ULM HOL

581 GRA, APO TAB IBO and DIC DIC MAD in Table 3 and PLATE II. Furthermore, one mature

hardwood type was not identifiable at all (Table 2) and a secondary charcoal type originated from

583 very young (juvenile) wood, which may exhibit different characteristics than mature wood.

584 However, 10 wood-derived charcoal types have a very distinct and legible anatomy and clearly

585 originated from mature wood, as illustrated for IRV IRV SMI on Plate I.

586 A third source of uncertainty is inherent in categorizing and coding naturally variable features.

587 Categories are not always compatible with the wide range of varieties nature may produce.

588 Moreover, individuals may code the same characters differently. These problems are partly solved

589 by the manual comparative microscopy in the end where wrongly included taxa are eliminated.

590 However, it is well possible that matching taxa do not enter the protocol because they are coded in a

way that they do not appear during the search, even though they have a matching anatomy. A finalsource of uncertainty is due to imperfections in metadata of RMCA specimens and in descriptions

593 on InsideWood (e.g. Wheeler, 2011).

594

#### 5.2.5 Compatibility of anthracology and palynology

For most species presented in **Table 3**, the pollen type is only identifiable down to family level or is not defined at all by Elenga et al. (2000) and Lebamba et al. (2009). Only few species are identifiable down to genus level and very few down to species level. Also, charcoal types cannot always be attributed to one single species. However, charcoal identification down to genus level is mostly feasible as the best ranked species mostly belong to the same genus. Therefore, charcoal identification is often taxonomically more precise than pollen identification.

An advantage of palynology is the fact that pollen abundance is a good indication for the actual abundance of that taxon in the surrounding vegetation. However, a lot of the species presented in **Table 3** belong to the families of Annonaceae and Caesalpiniodeae. Those are insect-pollinated plants which are mostly underrepresented or not represented at all in pollen spectra (Elenga et al., 2000). In contrast, all woody species are detectable by anthracology, although some light and porous woods might burn mainly to ashes. On the other hand, the pollen type *Syzygium* is

prominently present in the pollen diagram of Elenga et al. (2000), although *Syzygium* spp. were not represented massively in accompanying floristic inventories. One of the reasons is the fact that *Syzygium* spp. produce a massive amount of pollen compared to other (e.g. entomophilous) species. The species composition of charcoal collections from several pits all over a research area may specify the relative abundance of taxa detected in pollen spectra. As such, anthracology and palynology are highly compatible.

#### 613 6 Conclusion

614 The WSD enables a directed search taking into account metadata on (1) anatomical features, (2) availability of thin sections within the reference collection of the RMCA, (3) species distribution 615 616 and (4) synonymy. Numbers reported by FAO (2005) indicate that the 2909 Central African woody 617 species covered by this database are a substantial percentage of the total woody species richness of 618 Central Africa. The Central African charcoal identification protocol presented here starts with an 619 anatomical query within the WSD, proceeds with automatic extension and reduction phases of the 620 resulting species list and ends with a comparative microscopic study of wood reference thin sections 621 and charcoal anatomy.

622 2218 (= 76.2%) of the 2909 Central African species are considered by the identification protocol.

623 This is substantial compared to existing identification protocols for South America and Europe.

624 Additionally, the protocol has a large geographical applicability, as it can be optimised for every

625 research area within Central Africa if inventory and indicator species lists are available. Moreover,

626 as the reference collection and InsideWood databases are growing on a regular basis, the power of

627 the protocol is still increasing. Finally, anthracology could confirm the presence of taxa which are

- 628 underrepresented in pollen spectra and specify the abundance of overrepresented taxa. As such, a
- 629 combination of both disciplines can produce stronger palaeobotanical reconstructions.

The protocol has been optimised for the Mayumbe (DRCongo) and applied on charcoal from a
 radiocarbon dated (2055 - 2205 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP) soil profile in the Luki reserve. 13 out of 16 charcoal

types originated clearly from mature hardwood and could be identified. All best ranked species 632 633 occur in rainforest and the best ranked species of one type, Gilbertiodendron mayombense, is an 634 indicator species for old-growth rainforest. This is a consistent result and a first evidence for the 635 validity of the protocol. Furthermore, the presence of nut shells of the pioneer species *Elaeis* 636 guineensis in the same profile can be explained by the presence of humans that used those nuts. The 637 presence of humans is confirmed by the finding of pottery sherds. Probably, humans entered the rainforest carrying pots and oil palm nuts from regenerating forest located nearby. This also seems 638 639 to confirm the existence of a complex and shifting forest-savanna mosaic pattern in the southern 640 Mayumbe, as proposed by several authors.

### 641 7 Acknowledgements

642 This paper is dedicated to Kembo, one of the WWF-eco-guards in the Luki reserve who has 643 regretfully perished performing a dangerous but indispensable job. We are indebted to the Special 644 Research Fund of Ghent University for financing the PhD project of Wannes Hubau. We thank the Commission for Scientific Research (Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University) and the 645 King Leopold III Fund for financially supporting the fieldwork. Furthermore, we thank the World 646 647 Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), the École Régionale post-universitaire d'Aménagement et de 648 gestion Intégrés des Forêts et Territoires tropicaux (ERAIFT, DRCongo) and the Institut National 649 pour l'Étude et la Recherche Agronomique (INERA, DRCongo) for organisational and logistic 650 support. Specifically, we thank Geert Lejeune, Bruno Pérodeau and Laurent Nsenga (WWF) for 651 their services and discussions in the field and all WWF-eco-guards who guided us through the Luki 652 reserve. We thank the Royal Museum for Central Africa (Tervuren, Belgium) for financing 653 radiocarbon dating and for organising the SEM sessions. We are indebted to Prof. Dr. Dirk 654 Verschuren (Ghent University) for proof-reading, to Wim Tavernier (RMCA) for organising and 655 digitizing the reference collection and to Piet Dekeyser (Ghent University) for preparing the thin

- 656 sections. The authors also wish to thank the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (FWO-Belgium)
- 657 for the postdoctoral funding granted to Jan Van den Bulcke.

#### 658 8 References

- 659 African Plants Database, 2011. African Plants Database Version 3.3.3, Conservatoire et Jardin
- botaniques de la Ville de Genève and South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Published on the internet [accessed 10th January 2011 26th July 2011], from <a href="http://www.ville-ge.ch/musinfo/bd/cjb/africa/">http://www.ville-ge.ch/musinfo/bd/cjb/africa/</a>.
- 663 Ball, D.F., 1964. Loss-On-Ignition as an estimate of organic matter and organic carbon in non-
- calcareous soils. European Journal of Soil Science, 15, 84-92.
- 665 Boutain, J.R., Brown, A.R., Webb, D.T., Toyofuku, B.H., 2010. Simplified procedure for hand
- 666 fracturing, identifying, and curating small macrocharcoal remains. IAWA Bull. n.s., 31, 139-147.
- 667 Braadbaart, F., Poole, I., 2008. Morphological, chemical and physical changes during
- 668 charcoalification of wood and its relevance to archaeological contexts. Journal of Archaeological
- 669 Science, 35, 2434-2445.
- 670 Bunge, J., 2011. Estimating the number of species with CatchAll. In: Proceedings of the Pacific
- 671 Symposium on Biocomputing. World Scientific Publishing Company, Hawaii, pp. 121-130.
- 672 Burkill, H.M., 1985. The Useful Plants of West Tropical Africa. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. 1<sup>st</sup>
- 673 edition, 6 Volumes.
- 674 Bustin, R.M., Guo, Y., 1999. Abrupt changes (jumps) in reflectance values and chemical
- 675 compsitions of artificial charcoals and inertinite in coals. International Journal of Coal geology, 38,
- 676 237-260.
- 677 Carcaillet, C., Thinon, M., 1996. Pedoanthracological contribution to the study of the evolution of
- the upper treeline in the Maurienne Valley (North French Alps): methodology and preliminary data.
- 679 Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 91, 399-416.

- 680 Clark, J.S., 1988. Particle motion and the theory of charcoal analysis: source area, transport,
- deposition and sampling. Quaternary Research, 30, 67–80.
- 682 Cope, M.J., Chaloner, W.G., 1980. Fossil charcoal as evidence of past atmospheric composition.
  683 Nature, 283, 647–649.
- 684 Couralet, C., 2010. Community dynamics, phenology and growth of tropical trees in the rain forest
- reserve of Luki, Democratic Republic of Congo. PhD Thesis. Faculty of Bioscience Engineering,

686 Ghent University, 173 pp.

- 687 Dechamps, R., Lanfranchi, R., Le Cocq, A., Schwartz, D., 1988. Reconstruction d'environnements
- 688 quaternaires par l'étude de macrorestes vegetaux (Pays Bateke, R.P. du Congo). Palaeogeography,
- 689 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 66, 33-44.
- 690 Di Pasquale, G., Marziano, M., Impagliazzo, S., Lubritto, C., De Natale, A., Bader, M.Y., 2008. The
- 691 Holocene tree line in the northern Andes (Ecuador): First evidence from soil charcoal.
- 692 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 259, 17-34.
- 693 Donis, C., 1948. Essai d'économie forestière au Mayumbe. Publications de l'Institut National pour
- 694 l'Etude Agronomique du Congo Belge (INEAC), Bruxelles, Belgique. Série scientifique, 37, 92 pp.
- 695 Donis, C., Maudoux, E., 1951. Sur l'uniformisation par le haut Une méthode de conversion des
- 696 forêts sauvages. Publications de l'Institut National pour l'Etude Agronomique du Congo Belge
- 697 (INEAC), Bruxelles, Belgique. Série scientifique, 51, 77 pp.
- 698 Elenga, H., de Namur, C., Vincens, A., Roux, M., Schwartz, D., 2000. Use of plots to define pollen-
- 699 vegetation relationships in densely forested ecosystems of Tropical Africa. Review of Palaeobotany
- 700 and Palynology, 112, 79-96.
- FAO, 2005. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005: main report. FAO Forest Paper 147, FAO,
  Rome, 350 pp.
- 703 Figueiral, I., Mosbrugger, V., 2000. A review of charcoal analysis as a tool for assessing Quaternary
- and Tertiary environments: achievements and limits. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
- 705 Palaeoecology, 164, 397-407.

- Forbes, M.S., Raison, R.J., Skjemstad, J.O., 2006. Formation, transformation and transport of black
- carbon (charcoal) in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Science of the Total Environment, 370, 190-206.
- 709 Hart, T.B., Hart, J.A., Dechamps, R., Fournier, M., Ataholo, M., 1996. Changes in forest
- 710 composition over the last 4000 years in the Ituri basin, Zaire. In: van der Maesen, L.J.G., van der
- 711 Burgt, X.M., van Medenbach de Rooy, J.M. (Eds.), The Biodiversity of African plants. Kluwer
- 712 Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, pp. 545-563.
- 713 Hessler, I., Dupont, L., Bonnefille, R., Behling, H., González, C., Helmens, K.F., Hooghiemstra, H.,
- 714 Lebamba, J., Ledru, M.,-P., Lézine, A.,-M., Maley, J., Marret, F., Vincens, A., 2010. Millennial-
- scale changes in vegetation records from tropical Africa and South America during the last glacial.
- 716 Quaternary Science Reviews, 29, 2882-2899.
- 717 Höhn, A., Neumann, K., 2011. Shifting cultivation and the development of a cultural landscape
- during the Iron Age (0-1500 AD) in the northern Sahel of Burkina Faso, West Africa: Insights from
- 719 archaeological charcoal. Quaternary International, In Press.
- 720 Hutchinson, J., Dalziel, J.M., 1954-1972. Flora of West Tropical Africa. Crown agents for oversea
- 721 governments and administrations, London. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 3 Volumes.
- IAWA Committee, 1989. IAWA list of microscopic features for hardwood identification. IAWA
  Bull. n.s., 10, 219–332.
- 724 INEAC, 1948-1963. Flore du Congo Belge et du Ruanda-Urundi. Spermatophytes. Publications de
- <sup>725</sup> l'Institut National pour l'Etude Agronomique du Congo Belge (INEAC), Bruxelles, Belgique. 1<sup>st</sup>
- edition, 10 Volumes.
- 727 InsideWood, 2011. The InsideWood Working Group (IWG), 2004-onwards. Published on the
- 728 Internet [accessed 11th July 2011, 14:30 GMT], from <a href="http://insidewood.lib.ncsu.edu/search">http://insidewood.lib.ncsu.edu/search</a>
- 729 Leal, M.E., 2004. The African rain forest during the Last Glacial Maximum, an archipelago of
- forests in a sea of grass. PhD Thesis. Wageningen University, Wageningen, 96 pp.

- 731 Lebamba, J., Ngomanda, A., Vincens, A., Jolly, D., Favier, C., Elenga, H., Bentaleb, I., 2009.
- 732 Central African biomes and forest succession stages derived from modern pollen data and
- functional plant types. Climate of the Past, 5, 403-429.
- 734 Lebrun, J. & Gilbert, G., 1954. Une classification écologique des forêts du Congo. Publications de
- 135 l'Institut National pour l'Etude Agronomique du Congo Belge (INEAC), Bruxelles, Belgique. Série
- scientifique 63, 89 pp.
- 737 Lynch, A.H., Gasson, P.E., 2010. Index Xylariorum Edition 4. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.
- Published on the internet [accessed 26th July 2011, 14:30 GMT], from
- 739 <http://www.kew.org/collections/wood-index/Index\_Xylariorum4.htm>
- 740 Maley, J., 1996. Le cadre paléoenvironnemental des refuges forestiers africains: quelques données et
- 741 hypothèses. In: van der Maesen, L.J.G., van der Burgt, X.M., van Medenbach de Rooy, J.M. (Eds.),
- 742 The Biodiversity of African plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, pp. 519-535.
- 743 Maley, J., 2004. Les variations de la végétation et des paléoenvironnements du domaine forestier
- africain au cours du Quaternaire récent. In: Renault-Miskovsky, J., Semah, A.M. (Eds.), Guide de la
- 745 préhistoire mondiale. Artcom'/Errance, Paris, pp. 143-178.
- 746 Maley, J., Brenac, P., 1998. Vegetation dynamics, palaeoenvironments and climatic changes in the
- forests of western Cameroon during the last 28,000 years B.P. Review of Palaeobotany and
- 748 Palynology, 99, 157-187.
- 749 Maley, J., Chepstow-Lusty, A., 2001. Elaeis guineensis Jacq. (oil palm) fluctuations in central Africa
- during the late Holocene: climate or human driving forces for this pioneering species? Vegetation
- History and Archaeobotany, 10, 117-120.
- 752 Maley, J., Giresse, P., 1998. Etude d'un niveau argileux organique du Mayombe (Congo occidental)
- riche en pollens d'Elaeis guineensis et daté d'environ 2800 ans BP. Implications pour les
- 754 paléoenvironnements de l'Afrique Centrale. In: Vicat, J.P., Bilong, P. (Eds). Géosciences au
- 755 Cameroun. Publication occas GEOCAM n°1, Presses Universitaires, Yaoundé, pp. 77-84.

- 756 Maudoux, E., 1954. La Régénération naturelle dans les Forêts remaniées du Mayumbe. Extrait du
- 757 Bulletin agricole du Congo Belge, 45, 403-422.
- 758 Mayaux, P., De Grandi, G., Malingreau, J.-P., 2000. Central African Forest Cover Revisited : A
- 759 Multisatellite Analysis. Remote Sensing of Environment, 71, 183-196.
- 760 Mitchener, W.K., Brunt, J.W., Helly, J.J., Kirchner, T.B., Stafford, S.G., 1997. Nongeospatial
- 761 metadata for the ecological sciences. Journal of Applied Ecology, 7, 330-342.
- Monteiro, R.F.R., 1962. Le massif forestier du Mayumbe angolais. Bois et Forêts des Tropiques, 82,
  3-17.
- 764 Murphy, C.P., 1986. Thin Section Preparation of Soils and Sediments. AB Academic Publishers,
- 765 Berkhamsted, U.K., 149 pp.
- 766 Mutke, J., Barthlott, W., 2005. Patterns of vascular plant diversity at continental to global scales.
- 767 Biologiske Skrifter, 55, 521-531.
- 768 Neumann, K., Bostoen, K., Höhn, A., Kahlheber, S., Ngomanda, A., Tchiengué, B., 2011. First
- 769 farmers in the Central African rainforest: A view from southern Cameroon. Quaternary
- 770 International, In Press.
- 771 Ngomanda, A., Chepstow-Lusty, A., Makaya, M., Favier, C., Schevin, P., Maley, J., Fontugne, M.,
- 772 Oslisly, R., Jolly, D., 2009. Western equatorial African forest-savanna mosaics: a legacy of late
- Holocene climatic change? Climate of the Past, 5, 647–659.
- 774 Oliver, D., 1830-1916. Flora of Tropical Africa. L. Reeve and Co., London. 1<sup>st</sup> edition, 8 Volumes.
- Pendje, G., 1993. Croissance et productivité de deux essences forestières plantées au Mayombe,
- 776 Zaïre: le limba (*Terminalia superba* Eng. et Diels) et le bilinga (*Nauclea diderrichii* (De Wild)
- 777 Merrill). International journal of tropical geology, geography and ecology, 17, 101-120.
- Prior, J. & Gasson, P., 1993. Anatomical changes on charring six African hardwoods. IAWA Bull.
- 779 n.s., 14, 77-86.

- 780 Russell, J.M., McCoy, S.J., Verschuren, D., Bessems, I., Huang, Y., 2009. Human impacts, climate
- change, and aquatic ecosystem response during the past 2000 yr at Lake Wandakara, Uganda.
- 782 Quaternary Research, 72, 315-324.
- Salzmann, U., Hoelzmann, P., 2005. The Holocene History of the Dahomey Gap: A climatic induced
  fragmentation of the West African Rainforest. The Holocene, 15, 190-199.
- 785 Scheel-Ybert, R., 2000. Vegetation stability in the Southeastern Brazilian coastal area from 5500 to
- 1400 14C yr BP deduced from charcoal analysis. Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, 110, 111-138.
- 788 Scheel-Ybert, R., Scheel, M., Ybert, J.-P., 1998. Atlas Brasil Databank for Charcoal Analysis and
- 789 Computerized Key to Charcoal Determination. Version 1.8. CD-ROM, in Portuguese, English and
- 790 French, 1500 entries.
- 791 Schwartz, D., de Foresta, H., Dechamps, R., Lanfranchi, R., 1990. Découverte d'un premier site de
- <sup>792</sup> l'âge du fer ancien (2110 B.P.) dans le Mayombe congolais. Implications paléobotaniques et
- pédologiques. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, série II, 310, 1293-1298.
- 794 Scott, A.C., Glasspool, I.J., 2007. Observations and experiments on the origin and formation of
- inertinite group macerals. International Journal of Coal Geology, 70, 53-66.
- 796 Senterre, B., 2005. Recherches méthodologiques pour la typologie de la végétation et la
- phytogéographie des forêts denses d'Afrique tropicale. Thèse de doctorat, Université Libre de
  Bruxelles, 345 pp.
- 799 Skjemstad, J.O., Clarke, P.J., Taylor, A., Oades, J.M., McClure, S.G., 1996. The chemistry and
- 800 nature of protected carbon in soil. Australian Journal of Soil Research, 34, 251-271.
- 801 Sosef, M.S.M., 1996. Begonias and African rain forest refuges: general aspects and recent progress.
- 802 In: van der Maesen, L.J.G., van der Burgt, X.M., van Medenbach de Rooy, J.M. (Eds.), The
- 803 Biodiversity of African plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, pp. 602-611.
- 804 Stoops, G., 2003. Guidelines for Analysis and Description of Soil and Regolith Thin Sections. Soil
- 805 Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 184 pp.

- Stoops, G., Marcelino, V., Mees, F., 2010. Interpretation of Micromorphological Features of Soils
  and Regoliths. Elsevier, 752 pp.
- 808 Tchouto, M.G.P., de Wilde, J.J.F.E., de Boer, W.F., van der Maesen, L.J.G., Cleef, A.M., 2009. Bio-
- 809 indicator species and Central African rain forest refuges in the Campo-Ma'an area, Cameroon.
- 810 Systematics and Biodiversity, 7, 21-31.
- 811 Tervuren Xylarium Wood Database, 2011. Tervuren Wood Collection database of the Royal
- 812 Museum for Central Africa. RMCA, Tervuren, Belgium. Published on the internet [accessed 10th
- 813 January 2011 26th July 2011], from
- 814 <a href="http://www.africamuseum.be/collections/browsecollections/naturalsciences/earth/xylarium">http://www.africamuseum.be/collections/browsecollections/naturalsciences/earth/xylarium</a>.
- 815 Théry-Parisot, I., Chabal, L., Chrzavzez, J., 2010. Anthracology and taphonomy, from wood
- 816 gathering to charcoal analysis. A review of the taphonomic processes modifying charcoal
- 817 assemblages, in archaeological contexts. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 291,
  818 142-153.
- 819 Verschuren, D., Laird, K.R., Cumming, B.F., 2000. Rainfall and drought in equatorial east Africa
- 820 during the past 1,100 years. Nature, 403, 410-414.
- 821 Vincens, A., Schwartz, D., Bertaux, J., Elenga, H., de Namur, C., 1998. Late Holocene Climatic
- 822 Changes in Western Equatorial Africa Inferred from Pollen from Lake Sinnda, Southern Congo.
- 823 Quaternary Research, 50, 34-45.
- Wheeler, E.A., 2011. InsideWood a web resource for hardwood anatomy. IAWA Bull. n.s., 32,
  199-211.
- 826 White, F., 1983. The vegetation of Africa, a descriptive memoir to accompany the
- 827 UNESCO/AETFAT/UNSO vegetation map of Africa. UNESCO, Natural Resources Research, 20,
  828 1-356.

# 829 Captions

830	Figure 1: map of the Central and West African forest complexes; localisation of the Mayumbe
831	forest and the Luki reserve.
832	
833	Figure 2: flow-chart of the identification protocol; A: constitution of the anatomical search
834	database; B: anatomical query and extension phases; C: reduction phases.
835	
836	Figure 3: quantities of the Woody Species Database.
837	
838	Figure 4: profile in UH48 (Luki reserve); visual representation of pit structure, profile description
839	and anthracomass per soil layer.
840	
841	Figure 5: charcoal type saturation curve; comparison between the amount of observed charcoal
842	types (c) and estimated total amount of charcoal types ( $\hat{C}$ ) in the interval, in function of the number
843	of observed charcoal fragments (X) for the interval between 30 and 40 cm depth: $c=f(X)$ and
844	$\hat{C}=f(X)$ . For every X<22, the total amount of analysed fragments was too small for reliable species-
845	richness estimation with the CatchAll software. For every 22 <x<72, model<="" non-parametric="" td="" the=""></x<72,>
846	Chao1 has been selected for calculation of $\hat{C}$ (Bunge, 2011). Finally, for every X>72, the best model
847	proposed by the CatchAll software was used.
848	
849	Figure 6: power of the identification protocol.
850	
851	Table 1: descriptions of anatomical hardwood features used during comparative microscopy and
852	not described by the IAWA committee (1989).
853	

**Table 2:** number of studied fragments per profile interval per charcoal type; number of species

855 (names) per identification phase per charcoal type.

856

Table 3: identification results of very successful and less successful charcoal types. Species
retained after application of the protocol, per charcoal type found in the UH48 profile. Best ranked
species are marked in grey for each charcoal type.

860

861 Plate I. LEFT: Scanning Electron Micrographs (SEM) of charcoal type IRV IRV SMI; 1:

862 Transversal direction (scale bar =  $100\mu$ m); **2:** Radial direction (scale bar =  $100\mu$ m); **3:** Tangential

direction (scale bar =  $100\mu$ m); 4: Tangential detail of intervessel pits (scale bar =  $10\mu$ m); RIGHT:

864 Transmitted Light Micrographs (TLM) of *Irvingia smithii* Hook. f. (Tw 13339); **5:** Transversal

direction (scale bar =  $200\mu$ m); 6: Radial direction (scale bar =  $200\mu$ m); 7: Tangential direction

866 (scale bar =  $200\mu$ m); 8: Tangential detail of intervessel pits (scale bar =  $50\mu$ m).

867

868 Plate II. LEFT: Scanning Electron Micrographs (SEM) of charcoal type DIC DIC MAD; 1:

869 Transversal direction (scale bar =  $200\mu$ m); **2:** Transversal detail of vessel and parenchyma (scale

bar =  $20\mu$ m); **3:** Radial direction (scale bar =  $200\mu$ m); **4:** Tangential direction (scale bar =  $200\mu$ m);

871 RIGHT: Transmitted Light Micrographs (TLM) of Dichapetalum madagascariense Poir. (Tw

872 32792); **5:** Transversal direction (scale bar =  $250\mu$ m); **6:** Transversal detail of vessel and

parenchyma (scale bar =  $50\mu$ m) 7: Radial direction (scale bar =  $250\mu$ m); 8: Tangential direction

```
874 (scale bar = 250\mum).
```



			A. Woo	dy Species Databas	se (WSD) =	=								
			[	1. Inside Wood data	base (Inside	Wood, 2011; Wheel	er, 2011)							
		-	[	2. RMCA reference	collection d	atabase (Tervuren X	ylarium Wood Database, 2011)							
			[	3. Inventory and Ind	licator spec	ies lists								
	B. Identification Protocol: anatomical query and extension phases													
IP1		anatomical query:	the user	user manually adds species names found applying only primary anatomical criteria (IAWA, 1989)										
IP2	.a .b	extension phases:	excel excel	automatically automatically	adds adds	all synonyms species names	of the species names found after IP1 belonging to the genera found after IP2.a							
	.c		excel	automatically	adds	all synonyms	of the species names found after IP2.b							
			C. Ident	tification Protocol:	reduction	phases								
IP3	.a	reduction phases:	excel	automatically	rejects	unaccepted names								
+	.b .c		excel excel	automatically automatically	rejects rejects	species species	which do not occur in Central Africa with no information on wood anatomy (thin sections or descriptions)							
IP4	.a .b	comparative microscopy:	the user the user	manually manually	rejects ranks	species species	from which thin sections do not match charcoal type anatomy based on anatomical features and current distribution area							

QUANTITIES OF THE WS	5D (chapter 4.1)	
GENERA :	5521 genera Q1	
SPECIES NAMES:	36844 P <sub>a</sub>	Q2
UNACCEPTED SPECIES NAMES:	51.8% 19090 P <sub>b</sub>	$P_c$ Q3
← ACCEPTED SPECIES NAMES:	$34.8\%$ 12832 $P_a = (Q2)$	/Q1) x 100
← UNCERTAIN SPECIES NAMES:	$13.4\%$ 4922 $P_b = (Q3)$	/Q1) x 100
	$\mathbf{P}_{c} = (\mathbf{Q3})$	/Q2) x 100
→ ACCEPTED AND UNCERTAIN SPECIES NAMES :	48.2% 17754 species Q = Qua	ntities
CONTINENT UNKNOWN :	12.2% 2161 species P = Perc	entages
AFRICA :	23.4% 4162 species	
CENTRAL AFRICA:	69.9% 2909 species	
UNCERTAIN SPECIES NAMES:	181 species	
INVENTORIES & INDICATOR SPECIES:	677 species	
GILBERT & LEBRUN (1954) :	320	species
LEAL (2004) :	210	species
LUKI INVENTORIES :	294	species
THIN SECTIONS OR INSIDEWOOD DESCRI	PTIONS AVAILABLE : 75.7% 2203 species	
THIN SECTIONS AVAILABLE IN RMCA	A: 71.7% 2086	species
INSIDEWOOD DESCRIPTIONS AVAILA	BLE : 22.3% 649	species



(a) drawing of the profile; legend is given under the figure

(b) percent (Volume%) stones and roots in total interval volume

(c) soil color based on Munsell Soil Color Chart

(d) total soil Organic Matter (OM), based on Loss On Ignition (LOI) method (mass %)

(e) total soil moisture content (mass %)

(f) microscopic features related to groundmass texture (c/f related distribution pattern) - sp = single spaced porphyric, dp = double spaced porphyric, cp = close porphyric

(g) microscopic features recording bioturbation - ch = channels, gr = granular structure, pl = pellet structure, si = sediment infillings

(h) m<sub>char,o75</sub> = oven-dry anthracomass [mg] (cf. Carcaillet & Thinon, 1996): charcoal dried at 75°C for 48h

(i)  $\rho_{char,o75}$  = oven-dry specific anthracomass [ppm = mg-1 kg-1] (cf. Carcaillet & Thinon, 1996): charcoal dried at 75°C for 48h



	POWER OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROTOCOL (chapter 5.2.2	)				
CEN	TRAL AFRICA:	2909	species			
	CENTIS IN INSIDEWOOD -	87 50/	2300 51	necies		
	GENUS IN INSIDE WOOD :	02.570	2399 5	pecies		
<b>POWER</b> (1) :	THIN SECTIONS OR IW DESCRIPTIONS AVAILABLE :	66.6%		1937	species	
	NO THIN SECTIONS IN RMCA AND NO INSIDEWOOD DESCRIPTI	IONS :		462	species	
	WOOD SPECIMEN AVAILABLE IN RMCA :				97	species
<b>POWER</b> (2) :	NEW THIN SECTIONS FROM INVENTORY & INDICATOR SPECIES :	0.4%				12 species →
	NO GENUS IN INSIDEWOOD :	17.5%	510 sj	pecies		
<b>POWER</b> (3) :	THIN SECTIONS AVAILABLE :	9.1%		266	species	
	NO THIN SECTIONS IN RMCA:			244	species	
	WOOD SPECIMEN AVAILABLE IN RMCA :				56	species
<b>POWER</b> (2) :	NEW THIN SECTIONS FROM INVENTORY & INDICATOR SPECIES :	0.1%				3 species
	TOTAL POWER OF THE PROTOCOL:	76.2%	2218 sj	pecies	←	
POWER (1) :	combined power of InsideWood & xylarium of the RMCA (Tervuren, Belgium)					
<b>POWER</b> (2) :	added power by OWN anatomical descriptions on OWN thin sections from Inventor	y and Indica	tor species			
POWER (3) :	added power by OWN anatomical descriptions on EXISTING thin sections					

Non-IAWA anatomical feature	Description
axial parenchyma difficult to recognise	axial parenchyma could be diffuse, scanty paratracheal or vasicentric, but it is
	difficult to recognise due to charcoalification
Paratracheal axial parenchyma incomplete aliform	aliform parenchyma forming wings on two opposite sides of a vessel whithout touching each other; fibres touch the vessel on 2 radially aligned sides
ray cell lumina width << fibre lumina width	on Tg section
ray cell lumina width = fibre lumina width	on Tg section
ray cell lumina width >> fibre lumina width	on Tg section
rays 100-80% uniseriate	a portion of 0-20% of the ray is 2-seriate
rays 80-50% uniseriate	a portion of 20-50% of the ray is 2-seriate
rays 50-0% uniseriate	a portion of 50-100% of the ray is 2-seriate
presence of uniseriate rays	
presence of 2-seriate rays	-
presence of 3-seriate rays	-
presence of 4-seriate rays	-
presence of 5-seriate rays	
presence of 6-seriate rays	-

				Primary charcoal types														Secon	ıdary	types								
			AUN XYL AUR	ARE ELA GUI	CAE GIL MAY	CAE TET BIF	HUA HUA GAB	IRV IRV SMI	IRV KLA GAB	MEL GUA CED	MYR COE BOT	MYR SYZ GUI	RUB COR PAN	APO TAB IBO	DIC DIC MAD	ULM HOL GRA	unidentifiable - monocotyl	unidentifiable - mature wood	unidentifiable - bark	unidentifiable - juvenile wood	unidentifiable - fruit	its belonging to primary types	ts belonging to secondary types	died fragments	ilable fragments	charcoal types	ry charcoal types	80
Profile interval depth [cm]	14C ;	r BP						# stu	idied fi	agmen	ıts in p	rofile ii	nterva	ls per c	harcoa	ıl type						# fragmen	# fragmen	total # stu	total # ava	# primary	# seconda	total # typ
0-10		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	1	1
10-20		-	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	13	12	0	2	1	3	8	2	2	0	0	48	2	50	>200	10	1	11
20-30		-	0	6	1	6	1	2	1	0	0	30	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	50	0	50	>100	9	0	9
30-40	2055	± 30	2	7	19	0	8	30	13	11	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	98	2	100	>700	10	1	
40-50			0	1	4	3	2	7	5	4	0	2	8	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	30	0	39	>700	11	0	0
60-70			0	1	3	1	3	4	0	11	0	õ	ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	0	23	ő	23	23	6	ő	6
70-80		-	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	15	3	0	3
80-90	2205	± 35	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	11	11	3	0	3
90-100		-	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	6	4	0	4
100-110		-	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	8	3	0	3
110-120	21.40	-	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	6	3	0	3
120-130	2140	± 35	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	6	1	2
All profile layers			5	25	54	12	14	55	31	68	13	50	8	3	11	5	10	2	5	2	1	361	8	374	>1800	15	3	18
Identification phase								# 0	necies	nomee	) throu	about	the Ide	ntifica	tion nk	19505												
IP1 (anatomical query)			31		71	108	23	58	104	41	124	91	50	132	107	47						species	names					
IP? a (extension phase)			72	-	166	273	71	131	208	133	255	222	91	334	243	98	-		-	-	-	species	names					
IP2 h (extension phase)			442		2149	2430	440	1781	2765	1443	1906	2614	453	2006	2402	1833						species	names					
IP2 c (extension phase)			615		2880	2974	640	2700	4000	2320	2547	3806	622	2768	3478	2552			-			species	names					
IP 2.c (extension phase)			230	-	1062	1127	231	2709	1245	613	1164	1260	260	1037	1312	034	-	-	-	-		species	names					
IF 3.a (reduction phase)			230	-	1003	240	231	124	1245	015	1104	1200	209	1037	1312	934 02	-	-	-	-	-	species						
IF 3.0 (reduction phase)			04 55	-	140	192	61	124	195	63 67	149	115	50	103	191	75	-	-	-	-	-	species						
IF 3.c (reduction phase)			35	-	109	185	00	105	185	6/	12/	12	50	150	181	15	-	-	-	-	-	species						
IP4.a (after comparative i	nicrosco	py)	10	-	11	9	,	9	8	2	8	12	11	9	8	6	-	-	-	-	-	species						
IP4.b (ranking)			4	-	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	3	1	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	best ma	tening s	species				

			ranking distribution ecology										жgy			te	mpera		n	orph	ology		databases & lists						pollen			
CHARCOAL TYPE	Family	Species	distribution ranking ( /5)	anatomy ranking (75)	Ranking (/10)	Mayumbe (M)	Lower Guinea (LG)	Congolia (C)	Transition South (TS) Transition North (TN)		moist evergreen forest	most semi-deciduous forest drv deciduous forest	margin forest-savanna	woodland savanna	tree sa va nna	shrub savanna	pioneer species	light demanding	light/shade tolerant	shade bearing	high tree (>20m)	small tree (5-20m)	shrub (0-5m)	Lianescent	RMCA wood sample	RMCA thin sections	Insue wood Database Inventer lists Laki	Indicator old forest	Indicator forest type	relative abundance	taxonomic level	taxonomic level
								(a)					(b	)				(1	)			(b)	)		(c)	(d) (	e) (f	) (g)	(h)	(i)	(j) (	(k)
					VERY	SUCC	ESFU	L ID	ENTIF	ICAT	ION						_							_								
ANN XYL AUR	Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae Annonaceae	Xiopa parufora (X. Ref.) Biemin. Xiopa aitana Cityo (X. Ref.) Biemin. Xiopa aitana Cityo (X. R. T. Durand Xiopa giberri Boninge et al. (X. Ref.) Pipontingan gascriadatum (De Wild.) Boningue et R.E. Fr. Polycernicenya gascowieri (Eucli) Boninge Eredita is commopriada (Eucli) Boninge Friendelisia doorden (Benh.) Verde. Kiopai nonmono: Exell	5 4 4 5 5 5 2 5 2 5 2	4 4 4 3 2 1 4 1 4	9 8 8 7 6 6 6 6	P ? ? P P a ?	P P P P P P A P ?	P a P P P a P ?	P F a 2 p 1 p 2 p 2 p 2 p 2 p 2 p 2 p 2 p 2	р ? ? а а р	a P P P P a P a	а р а ра ра р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	p a a ? a p a p	p a a a a p a p	p a a a a p a p	a a a a ? a a a a a a	a a a a ? ? a a a	? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?	? p p ? a p a	a p p a a a a a a a a	p p a p a p a a p a a	p a a p a p p p	a a a p p a p a	p p p p p p p p p	a p p p p p p p	P F a p a a a a a a a a a a a a	a a a a a a a a a a a a a	a a a a a a a a a a a a	-	f f f f f f f f f	t f f f f f f f f
	Arecaceae Caesalpinioideae	Elaeis guineensis Jacq. Gilbertiodendron grandistipulatum (De Wild.) J. Léonard	5	4	10 9	P	P	P ?	P P	<u> </u>	P	p p p p	 	P a	p a	a	 a	p a	a ?	P .	a	P	a	a	P	p p	a p a a	p a	 a	++	s f	5
CAE GIL MAY	Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Mimosoideae Caesalpinioideae	Gilberrindendrom marcombrane (Pellegr.) J. Léonard Anthonodo harciro, Do Wild. J. Léonard Anthonodo marcorophylla P. Beave. Anthonodo paracerili Co Wild.) J. Ecola H. Hile. Berlining groundform (Yah) Huch. & Dabiel Brachspräge lancerkeit Hams Brachspräge lancerkeit (Baker J. Toroe K. Hile. Tetrophynen kernynbergeit (Baker J. Toroe K. Hile. Tetrophynen kernynberg (Schumk. & Thom, J. Tah. Pachychane Kernynmin (Hams) Hams	5 5 5 5 4 2 5 4	4 3 3 2 2 4 1	9 8 8 7 6 6 5	P P P P ? a P ?	P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	? P P a P a P a P	a 2 P F P F a 2 p 2 P F a 2	a p p a a a p a	P a P P P P P	р р р р р р р р р р р р р р р р р р р ?	а ? Р а а Р а	а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а	a a a a a a a p a a	a a a a a p a a a	a ? a a a a ? a a ?	a ? a P ? a ? P a	? P P P	p ? p p a ? p a a p	a ? a a P P a P P P	p ? a p p a a p a a p a	a P P a a P a a a	a ? a a a a a a a a a a	, p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p	р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р	a p a a p p a a p a a a p p a a	р р р р р р р а а	a a a p a p a a a a	· · · · · ·	f f f f f f f f f f f f	5 56 55 55 55 ff f s f
	Caesalpinioideae	Tetraberlinia bifoliolata (Harms) Hauman Anhanocalya micronhyllus (Harms) Wieringa	5	4	9	P	P	a	? 1	?	P I	p p	a 	a	a	a	a	a ?	?	?	p	a	a	a	P	p :	pa aa	p	a		f	f
CAE TET BIF	Caesapinioudeae Mimosoideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Mimosoideae	Apministrati, a mariphysia is strained of the second approximation of the second approximation (Balli) L&ever Perturbed Perturbed Science De Wilk & F. Durand Aphanocity herbity (Pelleg): Witting a Terminalia superbat Engl. & Deles Terminalia superbat Engl. & Deles Terminalia dise J. Leonard, Odeman & de Wit Nactonia adurcitique (Pelleg), Kasy	5 5 4 5 2 2 1	3 3 3 1 1 0	8 8 7 6 3 2 2	р р ? р а а ?	P P P P a a ?	P P P P a a ?	P F a F a P F a F a F a F a F a F a F	P ? ? P P ?	P P P a P P	P P P P P P P P P P P ? P P	p ? P a a	* * * * * * *	****	a a a a a a	a P a P a a a	: a p ? p a a a	: ? a ? a ? ? ? ?	: ? a ? a ? ? ?	P P P P P P P P	a p a p p a p p a		***	P P P P P P P P	P P P P P P P	a a a p a p a p a a a	a a a a a a a	a P a P a a a	-   	s f f	f s f g f f f
8	Huaceae	Hua gabonii Pierre ex De Wild. Airsontha schweinfurthii (Taub.) Brummitt	5	4	9	P	P	p	a a	a	P I	p p	2	a	a	a	a	a ?	?	p	a	p	a	a	P	p D	a p	a	a		۰ f	e f
HUA HUA G	Icacinaceae Icacinaceae Annonaceae Clusiaceae	Desmostachys brevipes (Engl.) Sleumer Desmostachys vogelii (Micro) Stapf Isolona campunatita Engl. & Diels Allamblackia parviffora A. Chev. Monanthotaris Toogani Engl. & Diels	4 4 5 4 4	3 3 2 2	77765	? ? P a ?	P P P P	a a p p		? ? ?	P   P   P	rr pa pp pp a p2	2 2 2 2		a a a a	a a a	? a a a	?	? ? ? P	? P P P	a a p	a a P P	a p a a	P P a a	P P P P	P P a P	a a pa pa	a a a	a a a		- f g	f f f g
	Irvingiaceae	Irvingia robur Mildbr.	5	4	9	P	P	P	P F	 P	P I	p a		a	a	a	a	a	?	P	р	a	a	a	P	P	a p	a	a	++	g	
IRV IRV SMI	Irvingiaceae Meliaceae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae Caesalpinioideae	Irringia multiti Hook, E. Gamera colttato, K., Chevy Pellegz. Cancadpinia webvitechiama (Oliva) Brenan Schollerschauftuno adenoporalaun (Taab) Harms Quastia multitati (Gaill, & Perra). D. Dietr. Schollerschauftuno Bille Baubinia prietersiama Bolle Baubinia prietersiama Bolle	5 5 5 4 4 2 1	4 3 2 2 2 2 1 1	9 7 6 6 3 2	P P P ? ? a a	P P P P P a a	P P a ? P ? a	P F P F P Z a F a Z P Z	p p a a a p	P P ? a P a a	PP PA PP AA PP AA AA	p p p a a	a a p p a p	a a ? P a P	a a ? a P p	р а а а а ? а	p a ? a ? ?	a P ? P ? ?	a P ? a P ? a a	P a a p a a	p a p p a p	a a p a p p	a p a a a a	P P P P P P	P P P P P P	a p p p a a a a a a a a p a	a p a a a a	p a a a a a a	** - + + - + - - -	g f f f f f f f	f f f f f f
	Irvingiaceae	Klainedoxa gabonensis Pierre ex Engl.	5	4	9	р	р	р	P F	p	P I	p p	р	р	a	a	a	р	a	a	р	a	a	a	Р	P	P F	a	р		-	
IRV KLA GAB	Caesalpinoodeae Irvingiaceae Irvingiaceae Caesalpinioideae Moraceae Rhamnaceae	Cynometra mannı Ouv. Irvingia gaolomozii (Auby-Lacomte ex ORorke) Baill. Irvingia grandhöla (Engl.) Engl. Irvingia vomboli vermosen Scoradophoteur zenkeri Harms Ficus thomingii Bame Pseudolachostylis maproaneifolia Pax	5 5 4 5 4 2	3 2 2 3 2 2 3	8 7 7 7 6 5	p p a p a a	P P P P P a	a P P P P a	a z a p p z p z p z	a p p a a	P a P a a	pa ppp pa aa a?	a a a a ?	a a a a p p	a a a p p	a a a p ?	a a a a ?	P a a a P ?	P ? ? P a ?	a P P P a a	a P P P a a	P a P P P P	a a a p p	a a a a a a a a	P P P P P P	P P P P P P	a a P F P F a a P F a a	a a p a a	a a a P a a	 	t g g f g f	t s f g f
Yn: o	Meliaceae	Guarea laurentii De Wild.	4	4	8	P ?	P P	p p	P F a a	p a	P I P I	pa pa	 	a	a	a	a	a	a	P P	P P	a a	a a	a	P	P P	p p p a	a	p			f
MEL G CEI	Meliaceae Simaroubaceae Sapindaceae Myristicaceae	Guarea thompsonii Sprague & Hutch. Quassia undulata (Guill & Perr.) D. Dietr. Zanha golungensis Hiern Coelocaryon bortyoides Vermoesen	5 4 5 5	3 3 1	8 7 6 9	р ? р	P P P	Р ? Р	P F a F P F	р р р ?	P a a P	pa aa <u>PP</u>	a p p	a P P a	a P ? a	a ? a a	a a a	a a ? a	a P ? P	P P a ?	P a P	a P P a	a p a	a a a	P P P	P P P	P P P P a P	a a a	p a a	  +	g	f f g
BOT	Myristicaceae Flacourtiaceae	Coelocaryon preussii Warb. Oncoba yilgiana Sprague	5	4	9	p	p	p a	PF	p	p i	p p	a	a ?	a	a 2	a 2	a ?	?	?	p	a	a p	a	p	p p	P F	a	2	+	g	g
MYR COE I	Euphorbiaceae Euphorbiaceae Flacourtiaceae Flacourtiaceae Euphorbiaceae	Concours age, and a spengate Antidesma locationm Müll. Arg. Antidesma vogelianum Müll. Arg. Oncoba creptiniana De Wild. & T.Durand Oncoba subtomentosa (Gig) S. Hul & Breteler Fluerson viron (Roch z. Wild) Voiet	5 5 3 3 2	2 2 4 3 2	7 7 7 6 4	p p a a	Р Р ? а	P P P P	P F P F P F	p p a p	P I P I P I	PPP PP a? PP aa	P ? P P	a a p a p	a a ? a	a a a a	a a ? ? a	· ? ? ? .	? ? ?	" ? a a	a a P a	P P P P P	Р Р ? а	a a a a	P P P P	P P P P	aa pa aa		a a a a	-	f f -	g g f f
-	Myrtaceae	Syzygium guineense (Willd.) DC.	5	4	9	р	p	p	PF	P	P 1	p p	p	р	P	?	a	P	P 2	P 2	p	p	p	a	P	P	p a	a	р	++	g	g
MYR SYZ GUI	Myraceae Moraceae Moraceae Hypericaceae Ulmaceae Ulmaceae Moraceae Moraceae Moraceae	From fourth Lebras & Boutique es Boutique & LLéonard From Joreu Vall Vonnia offinio Oliv, Colti geomological Delance Colti geomological Delance From condum Thumb. Morra messocytic Stapf Thiefpinium madigenerations: Thomare es DC.	4 5 5 5 5 5 2 5 5 5 5	4 3 2 1 4 1 1	8 8 7 6 6 6 6 6	P P P P a P	P P P P P P P a P P	P P P a P a P P	a F P F P F P F P F P F P F	p p p p p p p p	P P a P a P P	Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р Р а а а Р Р Р Р Р	а Р Р а а Р	а рр р а ? ?	a P ? a a P a a	a a a a p a a a	a a a a ? a a a a	a ? ? a a ? a P	? ? ? P P a P a P a	: ? ? ? a a a a a a	p p p a p a a p	a p p a a p p	a a p a p a a a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	P P P P P P P P	P P P P P P P P	a a p a a a p p p a p a p p	a a a a a a a a	a a a P P a P	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	80 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	80 50 50 - 50 50 50 f f
	Sapotaceae Rubiaceae	Inhambanella guereensis (Aubrév. & Pellegr.) T.D. Penn. Corynanthe pachyceras K. Schum.	1	3	4	a p	a P	a ?	a p ? p	p	p i	p a p p	a a	a	a	a	a	a	?	?	p a	a p	a	a	P	p p	a a p a	a	<u>a</u>	+	f -	f
RUB COR PAN	Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae Rubiaceae	Conjunite providenta N. Semin. Conjunite providenta Wellen. Pananysvala kubich Vernham Pananysvala kubich Vernham Pananysvala kubich Vernham Pananysvala kubich Vernham Pananysvala kubich Vernham Pananysvala kubich Vernham Pananysvala kubich Vernham Minagyuna inomit Wellen (Kabina) Thema. Hallen ardwanyslanda (K. Scham), J.F. Lenny Genetania imperialia (K. Scham), J.F. Down	5 5 4 4 4 4 4 2 1 1	4 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3	9 9 9 8 7 7 6 5 4	р р а а а а а а	P P P P P P P P P ? a ?	? ? ? ? P P a ? ?	? F P 2 P 2 P 2 P 2 P 2 P 2 P 2 P 2 P 2 P 2	p a a a ? a a a	p p p a a a a	P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	a a a p ? P	a a a p p ? p p	a a a a p p ? a a	a a a p a ? a a a	a a a ? a ? a a 2	a ? P ? P ? P ?	? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?	??? a? a? a ? a ? a	a p p p a a ? a a	p a a a p ? p a	a a a p a ? p p p	а а а а а а а а а а а	p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p	р р р р р р р р	рар арар араа араа ааа		a a p a p a a p a	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		f f f f f f f f f
(a)	distribution:	cf. Figure 1, data are derived from African Plants Database (2011), INEAC (19	48-19	963), 1	Hutchi	a nson &	Dalzi	el (19	54-197	2), Oli	/er (18	830-19	16), E	Plurkill	(1985	i)		P		-		٢	٢	-	P.	r			Ľ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
(b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k)	ecol., temp., morph.: RMCA wood sample: RMCA thin sections: Inside Wood Database: Inventory lists Luki: Indicator of forest: Indicator of forest type: relative abundance taxonomic level: taxonomic level:	data are derived from African Plants Database (2011), NEAC (1984-1963), IN presence (a) or absence (a) of a wold sample of this species in the sylatim of presence (b) or absence (a) of him sections (Tv, Tg and R) of this species in presence (b) or absence (a) of a wold annotical description of this species on presence (b) or absence (a) of a wold annotical description of this species on findicates whether this species is (b) or is not (a) one of the Casadhinoideace to indicates whether this species is (b) or is not (a) one of the casadhinoideace to indicates whether this species is (b) or is not (a) one of the casadhinoideace to relative absundance of oblem spin Emdocrismon on slamples (Elegene et al. 2000). taxoomic level or poline identification (Lengue et al. 2000). " $\tau^{c}$ fimily level statement of the casadhinoideace of the spin et al. 2000; the spin	utchin the R e xyla the o ng fro onside r a cer ; "g"= ; "g"= vel; "g	ason & coyal l rium n-line om inv red as rtain ( not d genu ("= ge	k Dalz Museu of the l entoris indica central letected is level nus let	iel (195 m for C Royal M Wood es in or ator spe african d; "-": d i; "s"= s vel; "s":	4-197 entral duseu Datab aroun cies fo fores ietecte pecies = spec	2), Ol Afric m for sase (j ad the l or old- t type ad but s level cies lev	iver (18 a in Te Central uly, 20 Luki re growth describ very sc ; "-"= r vel; "-"=	830-19 rvuren 1 Africa (11) serve n rainfo sed by l arce; " no defii = no de	16), B Belgi in Te rest by Lebrur ⊦"= de ied po fined	urkill ( ium (T rvuren r Leal ) n & Gi stected ollen ty pollen	(1985) ervurs , Belg (2004) lbert ( in mo pe ava type :	n Xyl jum ( ) 1954) iderate iilable <u>ivailab</u>	arium Tervu quan	Wood ren Xy tities; '	Datab larium	ase, 20 Wood abunda	11) Datab	ase, 20	11)											

			r	ankin	g		distr	ibutio	on				ecolo	gy			temperament					morț	holog	y	databases & lists							pollen			
CHARCOAL TYPE	Family	Species	distribution ranking ( /5)	anatomy ranking (/5)	Ranking (/10)	Mayumbe (M)	Lower Guinea (LG)	Congolia (C)	Transition South (TS) Transition North (TN)	moist evergnen fonst	moist semi-deciduous forest	dry deciduous forest	e margin forest-savanna	woodland savanna	tree savanna	shrub savanna	pioneer species	light demanding	light/shade tolerant	shade bearing	high tree (>20m)	small tree (5-20m)	shrub (0-5m)	Lianescent	RMCA wood sample	RMCA thin sections	Inside Wood Database	) Invenory lists Luki	Indicator old forest	Indicator forest type	) relative abundance	) taxonomic level	taxonomic level		
								(a)					(0)						0)				(0)		(c)	(a)	(e)	(1)	(g) (	n)	0)	0)	(K)		
			_		LESS	succ	ESFUI	L IDE	INTIFIC	ATIO	N										_			_	_										
	Apocynaceae	Tabemanthe iboga Baill.	4	3	7	?	р	р	??	р	р	р	а	а	а	а	а	?	р	?	a	р	р	а	р	р	а	а	а	a			f		
-	Rubiaceae	Antidesma membranaceum Müll. Arg.	5	2	7	Р	Р	Р	p p	P	Р	Р	р	Р	Р	а	а	Р	Р	а	а	Р	р	а	P	Р	р	а	а	a	-	f	g		
8	Rubiaceae	Antidesma vogelianum Müll. Arg.	5	2	7	Р	р	р	p p	Р	р	р	?	а	а	а	а	?	?	?	а	р	р	а	Р	р	р	а	а	a	-	f	g		
Ē	Rubiaceae	Polysphaeria pedunculata K. Schum. ex De Wild.	3	3	6	а	?	р	??	a	а	а	?	Р	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Р	р	а	а	а	a		-	f		
1	Flacourtiaceae	Homalium letestui Pellegr.	5	1	6	Р	Р	Р	? p	P	Р	Р	?	а	а	а	а	?	?	?	P	Р	а	а	P	Р	р	а	а	a		-	g		
9	Flacourtiaceae	Homalium longistylum Mast.	5	1	6	P	р	Р	P P	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	а	а	а	?	?	?	Р	а	а	а	Р	Р	р	а	а	a		-	g		
- A	Rubiaceae	Ancylanthos rubiginosus Desf.	2	3	5	а	а	а	p a	a	а	а	а	Р	Р	р	а	?	?	а	а	а	р	а	P	Р	а	а	а	a		-	f		
	Flacourtiaceae	Casearia stipitata Mast.	4	1	5	?	р	Р	P P	P	Р	Р	а	а	а	а	а	?	?	?	a	р	а	а	Р	Р	а	а	а	a		-	g		
	Verbenaceae	Vitex congolensis De Wild. & Durand	3	1	4	?	?	Р	? ?	a	Р	Р	а	а	а	а	а	Р	Р	а	?	?	?	а	Р	Р	а	а	а	Р			g		
	Dichapetalaceae	Dichapetalum madagascariense Poir.	5	3	8	р	р	р	p p	р	р	р	р	р	р	р	а	?	?	?	a	р	р	р	р	р	а	а	а	a					
9	Rhizophoraceae	Cassipourea gummiflua Tul.	4	3	7	?	Р	Р	p ?	a	а	Р	а	а	а	а	а	?	?	?	P	Р	а	а	P	р	р	а	а	a		-	-		
	Capparaceae	Euadenia eminens Hook.f.	5	2	7	Р	р	Р	??	P	Р	?	?	а	а	а	а	?	?	?	a	а	р	а	Р	Р	а	а	а	a		-	f		
5	Rubiaceae	Aulacocalyx jasminiflora Hook. f.	5	0	5	Р	Р	а	p ?	P	Р	Р	?	а	а	а	а	а	Р	Р	а	Р	р	а	P	р	а	а	а	a		-	f		
ā	Rubiaceae	Schumanniophyton magnificum (K. Schum.) Harms	4	1	5	?	Р	?	??	P	Р	Р	?	а	а	а	а	а	?	?	а	а	р	а	P	р	а	а	а	a		-	f		
2	Rubiaceae	Aulacocalyx talbotii (Wernham) Keay	4	0	4	?	Р	?	??	P	Р	Р	?	а	а	а	а	а	Р	Р	а	Р	р	а	P	Р	а	а	а	a		-	f		
-	Euphorbiaceae	Erythrococca bongensis Pax	3	1	4	а	а	Р	? p	a	а	а	а	Р	Р	р	а	а	Р	а	а	а	р	а	P	Р	а	а	a	P	-	f	f		
	Rubiaceae	Leptactina arnoldiana De Wild.	3	0	3	?	?	р	? ?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Р	р	а	а	a	a		-	f		
2	Ulmaceae	Celtis mildbraedii Engl.	5	3	8	Р	р	Р	p p	P	Р	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	Р	а	P	а	а	а	P	Р	р	р	а	P	+	g	g		
9	Ulmaceae	Celtis philippensis Blanco	5	3	8	P	р	Р	P P	P	Р	Р	Р	P	а	а	а	а	?	?	Р	а	а	а	P	Р	Р	а	a	a	+	8	8		
5	Ulmaceae	Celtis prantlii Priemer ex Engl.	5	3	8	Р	р	Р	p p	a	Р	р	р	Р	а	а	а	?	?	?	a	р	а	а	P	Р	р	а	а	a	+	g	g		
Ξ	Ulmaceae	Celtis tessmannii Rendle	5	3	8	Р	Р	Р	p p	Р	Р	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	?	?	Р	а	а	а	Р	Р	р	р	a	P	+	8	8		
2	Ulmaceae	Holoptelea grandis (Hutch.) Mildbr.	5	3	8	Р	р	р	p ?	a	р	р	р	a	a	a	a	р	а	а	р	а	а	а	P	р	р	р	a	Р			5		
2	Boraginaceae	Cordia subcordata Lam.	2	3	5	a	a	a	a p	a	а	a	a	а	Р	р	?	?	?	?	a	а	р	а	Р	Р	р	а	а	a			f		
(a)	distribution:	ct. Figure 1, data are derived from African Plants Database (2011), INEAC (	948-1	963), 1	tutchin	nson &	Dalzie	el (19)	54-1972)	, Olive	r (183	0-191	16), B	urkill	(1985	)																			
(b)	ecol., temp., morph.:	data are derived from African Plants Database (2011), INEAC (1948-1963),	Iutchin	ison &	: Dalzi	el (195	4-1972	2), Oli	iver (183	0-1916	), Bu	kul (1	1985)																						
(c)	RMCA wood sample:	presence (p) or absence (a) of a wood sample of this species in the xylarium	f the F	toyal ?	Museur	m for C	entral.	Africa	a in Terv	uren, B	elgiu	m (Te	rvure	n Xyl	arium	Wood	Datab	ase, 2	011)																
(d)	RMCA thin sections:	presence (p) or absence (a) of thin sections (Tv, Tg and R) of this species in	he xyla	inum (	of the F	Coyal ?	duseur	n tor (	Central A	Africa i	n Ten	ruren,	Belg	ium (	Tervu	ren Xyl	larium	Woo	1 Dat	ibase,	2011)														
(e)	Inside Wood Database:	presence (p) or absence (a) of a wood anatomical description of this species of	n the c	n-line	Inside	Wood	Datab	ase (ji	uly, 2011	)																									
(f)	Inventory lists Luki:	presence (p) or absence (a) of this species in one (or several) of the lists resul	ing fro	om inv	entorie	ts in or	around	d the I	Luki rese	rve																									
(g)	Indicator old forest:	indicates whether this species is (p) or is not (a) one of the Caesalpinioideae	onside	red as	indica	tor spe	cies fo	r old-;	growth r.	anfore:	st by I	.eal (2	2004)																						
(h)	Indicator forest type:	indicates whether this species is (p) or is not (a) one of the indicator species i	or a ce	rtain C	entral	atricar	torest	type	described	1 by Le	brun a	& Gill	bert (	1954)																					
(i)	relative abundance	relative abundance of pollen type in modern soil samples (Elenga et al., 2000	): ""=	not d	etected	1; "-": c	letected	d but	very scar	ce; "+"	= dete	ected i	in mo	derate	e quan	tities; "	+++"=	abund	ant																
(j)	taxonomic level:	taxonomic level of pollen identification (Elenga et al., 2000): "f'= family lev	:1; 'g'	genu	s level	; "s"= :	species	Ievel	;= no	define	d poll	en typ	e ava	utable																					
(k)	taxonomic level:	taxonomic level of pollen identification (Lebamba et al., 2009): "f"= family l	:vel; ";	g"= ge	nus lev	el; "s"	= speci	tes lev	vel; "-"=:	no defi	ned pr	ollen t	type a	wailal	ble																		_		



