Accepted Manuscript

Title: Relative response factor determination of β -artemether degradants by a dry heat stress approach

Authors: Bart M.J. De Spiegeleer, Matthias D'Hondt, Elien Vangheluwe, Kirsten Vandercruyssen, Bart V.I. De Spiegeleer, Herwig Jansen, Ilse Koijen, Jacques Van Gompel



To appear in: Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis

 Received date:
 3-4-2012

 Revised date:
 23-5-2012

 Accepted date:
 5-6-2012

Please cite this article as: B.M.J. De Spiegeleer, M. D'Hondt, E. Vangheluwe, K. Vandercruyssen, B.V.I. De Spiegeleer, H. Jansen, I. Koijen, J. Van Gompel, Relative response factor determination of *rmbeta*-artemether degradants by a dry heat stress approach, *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2012.06.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Highlights

- We examined the HPLC-UV relative response factors for degradants of β-artemether.
- A dry heat stress approach under different conditions is proposed.
- A mean relative response factor for β-artemether degradants of 21.2 is obtained.
- Ames testing did not indicate a genotoxic qualification risk for the degradants.

1	Relative response factor determination of β -					
2	artemether degradants by a dry heat stress					
3	approach.					
4 5	Bart M.J. De Spiegeleer ^{a*} , Matthias D'Hondt ^a , Elien Vangheluwe ^a , Kirsten Vandercruyssen ^a , Bart V.I. De Spiegeleer ^b , Herwig Jansen ^c , Ilse Koijen ^d and Jacques Van Gompel ^d					
6						
7	^a Drug Quality and Registration (DruQuaR) group, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent					
8	University, Harelbekestraat 72, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium.					
9 10	^b Department of Telecommunications and Information Processing, Ghent University, St- Pietersnieuwstraat 41, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium.					
11	^c Dafra Pharma International, Slachthuisstraat 30, B-2300, Turnhout, Belgium.					
12	^d Janssen Research & Development, a division of Janssen Pharmaceutica N.V.,					
13	Turnhoutseweg 30, B-2340, Beerse, Belgium.					
14	(O/Ref. 2011-480l)					
15	* Corresponding author: B. De Spiegeleer, Drug Quality and Registration (DruQuaR) group,					
16	Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent University, Harelbekestraat 72, B-9000 Ghent,					
17	Belgium. Tel: +32 9 264 81 00; Fax: +32 9 264 81 93; E-mail address:					
18	Bart.DeSpiegeleer@UGent.be.					

19 ABSTRACT

20 During the stability evaluation of β -artemether containing finished drug products, a consistent 21 and disproportional increase in the UV-peak areas of β -artemether degradation products, 22 when compared to the peak area decline of β -artemether itself, was observed. This suggested 23 that the response factors of the formed β -artemether degradants were significantly higher than 24 β -artemether. Dry heat stressing of β -artemether powder, as a single compound, using different temperatures (125 °C - 150 °C), times (10 min - 90 min) and environmental 25 26 conditions (neutral, KMnO₄ and zinc), resulted in the formation of 17 degradants. The vast majority of degradants seen during the long-term and accelerated ICH stability study of the 27 28 drug product, were also observed here. The obtained stress results allowed the calculation of the overall average relative response factor (RRF) of β -artemether degradants, *i.e.* 21.2, 29 30 whereas the individual RRF values of the 9 most prominent selected degradants ranged from 4.9 to 42.4. Finally, Ames tests were performed on β -artemether as well as a representative 31 32 stressed sample mixture, experimentally assessing their mutagenic properties. Both were 33 found to be negative, suggesting no mutagenicity problems of the degradants at high 34 concentrations. Our general approach and specific results solve the developmental quality 35 issue of mass balance during stability studies and the related genotoxicity concerns of the key 36 antimalarial drug β -artemether and its degradants.

- 38 *Keywords*: β-artemether; relative response factor (RRF); dry heat stress stability; genotoxicity
- 39 (AMES); stability mass balance

40

41 1. Introduction

42

In 2009, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported 225 million cases of malaria,
caused by the Plasmodium falciparum parasite, leading to approximately 781 000 deaths [1].
Current treatment protocols entail the use of artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), in
which one of the active components is an artemisinin derivative, mostly β-artemether [2].
This ACT strategy improves treatment outcome and reduces Plasmodium falciparum
resistance.

49 Artemisinin is extracted from the herbal plant Artemisia annua, and its derivatives, e.g. 50 dihydroartemisinin (DHA) and β -artemether, are obtained using one or two synthetic steps 51 [3]. The structures of β -artemether, DHA and artemisinin can be seen in Figure 1. All three 52 compounds have an endoperoxide moiety, which is essential for the anti-malarial activity [4]. 53 β-artemether can be seen as a prodrug form of DHA, with addition of an O-methyl ether 54 group in beta position. In-vivo, β -artemether is metabolized to DHA, both having similar 55 parasiticidal activity, which is higher than that of the artemisinin parent compound [5]. β -56 artemether, due to its low water solubility properties, is currently administered as a tablet, oral 57 suspension or as an oily intramuscular injection. As these finished pharmaceutical products (FPP) are used in regions characterized by relatively high temperatures and humidity (climate 58 59 zones III and IV, as defined by WHO), accurate knowledge regarding short-, mid- and long-60 term stability of β -artemether is crucial. However, until now, only β -artemether assay 61 methods and results have been reported, with no comprehensive details about its degradants [6-9]. Several of the artemisinin derivates, in particular dihydroartemisinin are prone to 62 63 temperature induced degradation [10]. Knowledge of degradants is of great importance not, 64 just from a pharmaceutical and regulatory point but also from a clinical and safety point of 65 view.

Therefore, these FPP were stored in ICH-compliant climate controlled storage cabinets and 66 67 periodically analyzed for β-artemether assay and degradant levels by a stability-indicating 68 ultra-high pressure liquid chromatography method coupled to ultra-violet diode array 69 detection (UPLC-UV/DAD). The stability samples were characterized by a consistent and 70 disproportional increase in the peak areas of β -artemether degradation products, when 71 compared to the peak area decline of β -artemether itself, making peak area balance as 72 currently requested by the pharmaceutical regulatory authorities impossible. Without the 73 proper knowledge of the response factors (RF) of these unknown degradation compounds, this 74 led to a peak area mass balancing problem [11]. In addition, in view of the number and peak 75 areas of degradant peaks, mutagenic risks are to be evaluated [12]. Both aspects are to be 76 solved as quickly and efficiently possible in early development of drugs, *i.e.* without complete 77 isolation, identification and synthesis of each of the observed degradation impurity peaks.

This problem was efficiently approached by short-term stressing β -artemether in its dry form, as a single compound, under various time, temperature and environmental conditions. Since all the degradant peaks formed were directly related to β -artemether, absence of placebo peaks could be ascertained. Using a mathematical approach, the corresponding RF could be calculated, thus solving the peak area mass balance question. Further analysis permitted to obtain evidence that the mutagenic potential of the degradants was absent and hence solved a major issue in the β -artemether impurity qualification.

- 85
- 86
- 87

2. Materials and methods

⁸⁸ *2.1 Materials*

90 Acetonitrile (LC-MS grade) was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Aalst, Belgium). Water 91 was purified using an Arium 611 purification system (Sartorius, Gottingen, Germany) 92 yielding \geq 18.2 M Ω .cm quality water. Monobasic potassium phosphate was bought from 93 Sigma Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium), potassium permanganate and zinc powder were obtained 94 from Flandria (Ghent, Belgium) and Janssen Chimica (Beerse, Belgium), respectively. 95 β-artemether, drug substance and FPP, as well as related compounds, *i.e.* dihydroartemisinin 96 (DHA), artemisinin, 9,10-anhydroartemisinin (LEI, Late Eluting Impurity) and β -artemether, 97 were gifts from Dafra Pharma (Turnhout, Belgium). Synthesis impurities 9-epi-artemisinin 98 and artemisitene were purchased from SensaPharm (Wearfield, UK). The degradation 99 compound 2-[4-methyl-2-oxo-3-(3-oxobutyl)cyclohexyl]propanal (DKA) was obtained from 100 Sigma Tau (Rome, Italy).

- 101
- 102 2.2 Liquid chromatography
- 103

104 The UPLC apparatus, implemented for quantification of β -artemether and its degradants, 105 consisted of a Waters Acquity H UPLC Class Quaternary Solvent Manager, a Waters Acquity 106 Sample Manager, combined with a Flow Through Needle, and a Waters Acquity Ultra 107 Performance LC PDA detector with Empower 2 software for data acquisition (all Waters, 108 Milford, MA, USA). An Acquity UPLC BEH Shield RP 18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm I.D., 1.7 µm 109 particle size) column (Waters, Zellik, Belgium), thermostated in an oven set at 30 °C, was 110 used. The flow rate was set at 0.6 ml/min and a linear gradient was applied (where A = 25111 mM phosphate buffer, adjusted to pH 2.5 using diluted sodium hydroxide, and B =acetonitrile), running from 30 to 75% B from 0 to 12.5 min, followed by returning to the 112 113 initial conditions and re-equilibration. The sample compartment was thermostated at 5 °C and 114 UV detection was performed at 210 nm. The injection volume used was 1.7 µl. The reporting

threshold for the dry heat stressed samples (see section 2.3) was set at 5% peak area relative
to unstressed β-artemether.

- 117
- 118 2.3 β -artemether dry heat stress samples
- 119

 β -artemether, in its native dry powder form, was exposed to various high temperatures. In addition, the influence of an oxidizing and reductive environment on its dry heat-induced degradation profile was also evaluated by mixing β -artemether (3 parts) with potassium permanganate and zinc, respectively (1 part), using mortar and pestle, thus obtaining two different powder mixtures [13].

The powders were accurately weighed (40 mg β-artemether) and transferred into separate glass vials (12×32 mm, Borosilicate, Type 1, Class A glass), which were then incubated in a preheated heating block (Stuart, Stone, United Kingdom). Temperature settings varied from 128 125 to 150 °C, with incubation times ranging from 10 to 90 minutes, depending on the βartemether powder composition. After incubation, the stressed samples were immediately placed on ice, in order to prevent further degradation. Unstressed β-artemether samples, *i.e.* 131 not incubated in the heating block, were also stored in ice to guarantee identical treatment.

The contents of the unstressed and stressed vials were solubilized with acetonitrile. The resulting solution was transferred quantitatively into a 10 ml volumetric flask and diluted to volume using acetonitrile. An aliquot was transferred into a HPLC vial and analyzed (see Section 2.2).

136

139 The degree of β -artemether degradation was calculated from the residual β -artemether peak 140 area after heat stress (see section 2.3). Experiments yielding between 10 to 90% β-artemether 141 degradation were withheld for further calculations, *i.e.* for the calculation of average and 142 individual relative response factors (RRF) of the β -artemether degradants. Those stress 143 conditions with extreme (*i.e.* > 90%) or very limited (*i.e.* <10%) degradation were not 144 withheld due to their irrelevance for our problem. Due to the huge number of relatively small 145 and/or infrequently observed peaks in the withheld chromatograms, the selection of the β -146 artemether degradants for the calculation of their individual RRF was limited to the most 147 important peaks (see Supplementary Information).

148

149 2.5 Calculation of average relative response factor of β -artemether degradation products

150

The residual β-artemether amount present in the stress samples, expressed in mass units, was calculated from the peak area in the chromatogram. The total mass-amount of formed degradants (= mass amount degradation) was then deduced by subtracting the calculated residual β-artemether amount from the originally weighed β-artemether amount present in the stress samples. The average RRF value for each stress condition, *i.e.* temperature, incubation time and environment, is calculated using following formula:

- 157
- 158 Average RRF per experiment = $\frac{\text{Residual }\beta-\text{artemether }(\text{mg})\times\text{degradation peak areas}}{\text{Residual }\beta-\text{artemether peak area}\times\text{mass amount degradants }(\text{mg})}$
- 159

2.6 Calculation of individual relative response factor of selected β-artemether degradation
products

163 Selection of experiments and degradant peaks, of which the individual RRF values were 164 calculated, was performed as described in Supplementary Information. The following model 165 was used to calculate the individual response values of the selected degradants in Matlab:

166

167 Mass amount degradants (mg) =
$$\sum \frac{AU_{degradant i}}{RF_{degradant i}}$$

with AU degradant i the experimentally obtained peak area units and RF degradant i the unknown
RF expressed in area units per mg.

170

171 The mass amount degradants (in mg) is calculated as described in section 2.5. As the 172 individual RRF values of the most important unidentified degradation peaks are calculated, 173 some small degradation peaks and previously identified peaks are ignored. In order to obtain a 174 more precise estimate of the unknown RRF values, a correction is made for these ignored 175 peaks. Moreover, additional correction is made for minor mass losses, due to formation of 176 volatile components, e.g. CO₂, by comparing the weight before and after dry heat stress (see 177 Supplementary Information for more details about these corrections). The calculated individual RF of the degradants are transformed to their respective RRF values by dividing 178 179 with the β -artemether RF, obtained from the unstressed samples.

180

181 2.7 Real life β -artemether stability samples

182

The β-artemether degradant profiles, obtained during the various dry heat stress conditions, are compared with the profiles seen in accelerated and long-term ICH stability samples of β-artemether finished pharmaceutical drug products (FPP). For this, a 80 mg/ml arachis oil stability sample, stored at 40°C / 75 % R.H. for 1 year, was analyzed using the described

187 UPLC method. The oily formulation for intramuscular injection first underwent a sample 188 preparation step in which β -artemether and degradants were quantitatively extracted to 189 acetonitrile. This acetonitrile phase is subsequently injected into the UPLC system.

190

191 2.8 Mutagenicity evaluation of the β -artemether degradation products

192

The mutagenic potential of β-artemether and its degradation products were evaluated *in*-193 194 vitro, using the Ames bacterial reverse mutation test [14-19]. This Ames test evaluates the 195 ability of test compounds to induce reverse mutations in the histidine gene-deficient 196 Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1537 and TA1535. The tester 197 strains were obtained from Molecular Toxicology Inc. (Moltox, USA). The test was 198 performed both in the presence and absence of rat liver post-mitochondrial fraction (S9 199 homogenate), thus taking into account metabolic activation pathways. A number of positive, 200 mutagenic control compounds, i.e. 2-nitrofluorene, 9-aminoacridine, sodium azide, 2-201 aminoanthracene and 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide, were included to assure appropriate responsiveness of the test system. 202

203 Prior to testing, the unstressed and dry heat stressed (145°C - 30 min, converting 204 approximately 70% β -artemether to degradants) β -artemether samples were dissolved in 205 DMSO. Seven different concentrations, ranging from 78.13 to 5000 µg/plate, vehicle controls 206 and positive controls were plated in triplicate using the plate incorporation method. The 207 following solutions were successively added to 2 ml histidine-biotin supplemented top agar: 208 0.1 ml of an overnight bacterial culture of the tester strain, 0.1 ml of a dilution of the test item, 209 vehicle control or positive control and either 0.5 ml of S9-mix containing 100 µl S9/ml (50 µl S9/plate) for the activation portion, or 0.5 ml phosphate buffer for the non-activation portion. 210 211 The content of the tube was then mixed and poured onto minimal glucose agar plates. The

plates were incubated in the dark at 37°C for 48 to 72 hours, after which they were counted automatically using a colony counter (Sorcerer 2.2., Perceptive Instruments, UK). A test item was considered positive (mutagenic) if the test item produced a twofold increase in the mean number of revertants with one of the strains TA98, TA102 or TA100, or a threefold increase in the mean number of revertants with one of the strains TA1535 or TA1537 at one or more concentration levels in comparison to the mean concurrent vehicle control value and a concentration-related effect was observed.

219

220 3. Results

221

3.1 Average relative response factor of β -artemether degradation products

223

An overlay chromatogram, displaying various experimental conditions is given in 224 Supplementary Information Fig. S-I. In total, 21 experiments yielded between 10% and 90% 225 226 β -artemether degradation, in which 17 different peaks were observed at least once above the 227 reporting threshold of 5%. Figure 2 depicts typical UV (210 nm) chromatograms obtained 228 from a dry heat stressed sample (145 $^{\circ}$ C – 30 min) and the unstressed reference sample, both 229 at identical mass concentrations. From visual inspection, it is already clear that the average 230 RRF values of the β -artemether degradants will be significantly higher than 1. The average 231 RRFs of the β -artemether degradants in the KMnO₄, dry heat and zinc stressed samples were 232 calculated to be 12.7, 26.4 and 23.6, respectively, with an overall RRF of 21.2.

233

234 3.2 Calculation of individual relative response factor of β -artemether degradation products

When applying the peak selection model (see Supplementary Information), the 9 most prominent peaks are withheld (see degradant 1 to 9 in Figure 2), whereas the data matrix used for the individual RRF calculations consisted of 21 experimental results, obtained under different temperature-time-environmental stress conditions. This number of degradants correlates well with the typical number given by Baertschi, *i.e.* an average of 8.2 major degradation compounds per drug [20]. The DAD-UV spectra of the 9 degradant peaks considered, as well as of β-artemether, are given in Supplementary Information Fig. S-II.

243 Within two sets of degradation peaks, *i.e.* set 1: degradants 2, 4, 6 and 7; set 2: degradants 244 5 and 8, a very high peak area correlation over the 21 experiments (R>0.90) was seen, and 245 were therefore combined (degradants 2, 4, 6, 7; degradants 5 and 8), acknowledging that the 246 resulting individual RRF value will be an average for each of the two sets of degradants. 247 Using Matlab software, and applying RF boundaries of 15'000 to 10'000'000 area units/mg, 248 the model was evaluated by minimizing the sum of residual squares. To include the 249 experimental variability, numerical sampling was performed, taking n=1000 randomized 250 samples using Gaussian distribution with sigma 1% and within 5% boundaries around the 251 obtained experimental peak-area values. The model estimated (± std dev) RF values are given in Table 1: they range between 4.4×10^4 to 3.8×10^5 area units/mg, which is much higher than 252 the RF of β -artemether (8.9×10³ area units/mg), leading to RRF-values as high as 42.4. The 253 254 results obtained by this approach provided good model fitness with a correlation of 0.81 255 between the calculated and experimental residual mass expressed as β -artemether (Figure 3).

Traditional determination of the RRF of unknown impurities, *i.e.* degradation products from a known parent compound, encompasses several time- and cost-intensive steps. First, the structural identity of the impurity must be determined, which can be accomplished using different strategies, *e.g.* HPLC coupled to MS is most often used to propose a tentative structure. Alternatively and/or subsequently, preparative impurity isolation is used for further

261 structural elucidation and/or confirmation by spectral techniques, e.g. NMR and IR. Finally, 262 the impurity must be manufactured before being co-injected with the parent compound into 263 the chromatographic system. The RRF of the impurity is then calculated by comparing the 264 chromatographic responses, corrected for any concentration differences, of both impurity and 265 parent compound. Alternatively, the RRF of the impurity can be derived from the slopes of 266 the peak area - concentration regression curves of impurity and parent compound, after 267 injecting a dilution series of both [21-24]. The use of alternative, universal detection systems 268 such as refractive index detection (RID), chemiluminescent nitrogen detection (CLND), 269 nebulize-based detectors like evaporative light scattering detection (ELSD) or charged aerosol 270 detection (CAD) also allow in principle direct calculation of the RRF values, without the need 271 for isolation steps. However, these detectors are not always available and each of these 272 universal detectors has its own limitations and disadvantages compared to UV. RID exhibits a 273 rather low sensitivity and is not only sensitive to temperature and flow change, but is also 274 incompatible with gradient elution. The absence of nitrogen atoms in the structure of β -275 artemether renders the CLND technique useless, as the response is directly proportional to the 276 nitrogen content [25-27]. The ELSD and CAD techniques record only non- or semi-volatile 277 compounds after evaporation of column eluent, often with inherent sensor noise and drift, 278 being sensitive to the mobile phase composition [28-30].

However, when using our stress approach, an estimation of the RRF of β -artemether degradants can be obtained, without having to perform elaborate, expensive and time consuming experiments. Moreover, the β -artemether related degradation impurities are unstable during the MS ionization process, leading to characteristic in-source fragments of m/z 163.2, 221.1 and 238.9, without structural differentiation, so that identification by LC-MS is far from trivial. The construction of the matrix model in which a series of equations, *i.e.* stress experiments, is used to calculate a series of unknowns, *i.e.* the response factors of the

different degradants. The calculated RF values are subsequently transformed into
corresponding RRF values by dividing by the RF value of β-artemether.

288

289 3.3 Real life β -artemether stability samples

290

Figure 4 depicts an overlay chromatogram of a dry heat stress β -artemether sample (145°C 291 292 during 30 min) and the chromatogram obtained from the 80 mg/ml arachis oil stability 293 sample. From this, it is clear that the vast majority of degradants seen in this stability sample 294 were also seen in the dry heat stress samples. An overview of all previously identified β -295 artemether related degradants and synthesis impurities, together with their RRF, seen in 296 stability samples of different oily FPP, is given in Table S-I. This overview clearly shows that 297 certain β-artemether degradants or synthesis impurities are characterized by RRF significantly larger than 1, and none with a RRF lower than 1. 298

299 Accurate knowledge regarding the response factors of formed degradants is vital for peak 300 area mass-balancing during stability studies. In general, related degradants have response 301 factors similar to the native molecule, *i.e.* between 0.8 and 1.2 and hence no RRF correction is 302 required [31]. However, this is clearly not the case for the β -artemether degradants. Instead of 303 the elaborate isolation of each degradant, or the simultaneous use of universal detectors with their uncertainty of variable response [11, 25] we have solved this question of negative mass 304 305 balance deficit using a new stress approach, performed in different time (minutes) and 306 temperature (125 °C – 150 °C) space compared to current pharmaceutical stress conditions 307 [32-34].

308

309 3.4 Mutagenicity evaluation of the formed β -artemether degradation products

The β -artemether and dry heat stressed (145 °C for 30 min) samples did not induce a concentration-related and biologically significant increase (≥ 2 - or 3-fold) in the number of revertant colonies above the concurrent vehicle control with the Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA1537, TA100, TA1535 and TA102 in the absence and in the presence of S9mix (50 µl S9/plate) up to the maximum test concentration of 5000 µg/plate (see Supplementary Table S-I).

317

318 4. Discussion

319

320 In this study, it was demonstrated for the first time that β -artemether related degradation 321 products have a significantly larger RF, when compared to β -artemether itself. Recently, 322 Stringham et al., reported an RRF range for the synthesis impurity artemisitene, *i.e.* 37 to 43 323 [8], which was confirmed under our experimental conditions (RRF 40 \pm 4). Artemisitene is a 324 synthesis impurity found in artemisinin, which is a starting material for β -artemether. Artemisitene is structurally very similar to artemisinin (see Table S-II), differing in one extra 325 326 double bond resulting in a conjugation with the keto function, giving a hyperchromic shift. 327 Our findings indicate that also degradation products can result in significant changes in UV-328 response factors of the formed degradants, causing regulatory mass-balance issues, especially 329 in early development phases. Moreover, the RRF-values are also important in designing 330 fractionation and isolation experiments by semi-preparative chromatography to estimate the 331 masses of the impurities that will be obtained for further spectroscopic characterization. In our 332 approach, two assumptions were made: (i) peaks below the stress reporting threshold do not 333 significantly contribute to the total mass of degradants; (ii) all β-artemether degradation 334 products are separated, eluted and UV-absorbing at 210 nm. Furthermore, retention of 335 degradation compound on the UPLC system and column is considered to be negligible: (i)

Page 15 of 23

336 semi-quantitative normal-phase TLC of representative dry heat stress samples, according to 337 International Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopeia Salmous [35,36], did not result 338 in observable spots in the frontline of the TLC; (ii) initial UPLC experiments with extensive 339 gradient followed by isocratic elution at high solvent strength, *i.e.* 95% acetonitrile for 5 340 minutes, did not reveal any strongly retained components; (iii) direct UV comparison at 210 341 nm of three representative stress samples (ranging from 30 to 70% β-artemether degradation) 342 with the β -artemether unstressed sample revealed similar ratios as obtained by the UPLC 343 method, herein described. The average value when comparing the ratios obtained by UPLC 344 vs. the direct UV measurement ratios was calculated to be 96.53%.

345 The ICH guidelines, legally incorporated in e.g. the European Pharmacopoeia, demand 346 qualification of degradants when above the qualification threshold (QT) of 0.15% or 1.0 mg, whichever is the lowest (maximum daily dose < 2 g), after correction with their corresponding 347 348 RRF [37]. The In-vitro AMES test is mutagenic discriminatory when degradants are present 349 at minimum 250 µg/plate concentration [38]. Our results demonstrate the lack of mutagenic 350 properties in both β -artemether and its most prominent degradants, *i.e.* degradants 1, 4, 6, 7, 9 351 and DKA (see Supplementary Information for calculation). It should be pointed out that 352 current EMA guidance for formal qualification of impurities in new drug products requires 353 additional tests, preferably with the isolated specified impurities: (i) determination of 354 reporting, identification and qualification limits, based on the maximum daily dose, (ii) in-355 vitro testing for genotoxicty, (iii) in-vitro toxicity study on a rodent model, lasting 14 to 90 356 days [39]. Nevertheless, our initial AMES screening results do not immediately raise major 357 mutagenicity concerns for the degradants.

In stability samples of an oily β -artemether finished drug product (FDP) (80 mg/ml stored at 30°C / 65% R.H. for 2 years), the DKA assay after RRF correction, was above the 0.15% qualification threshold, thus requiring a qualification procedure as stipulated by the ICH

361 guideline. Other degradation products, *e.g.* LEI, were initially clearly observed above the 362 0.15% threshold, suggesting the need for similar qualification. However, after correcting the 363 observed peak area with the corresponding RRF value, the LEI assay was calculated to be 364 even below the reporting threshold (RT). These two practical examples also emphasize the 365 importance of this work, in which a fast and efficient method is presented allowing accurate 366 estimation of the RRF values of a set of degradation products combined with an *in-vitro* 367 mutagenicity evaluation of the known and unknown degradants.

368

369 5. Conclusions

370

371 Until now, peak area mass-balancing during stability studies of β -artemether formulation 372 could not be achieved. Therefore, B-artemether in its native powder form was subjected to a 373 series of dry stress experiments, using different temperature, time and environmental 374 conditions. Subsequently, the average and individual RRF value of the degradants were 375 calculated. A peak selection model was used to ensure that the RRF values of the largest 376 degradation peaks, *i.e.* thus skewing the peak area balance the most, were calculated. The 377 average RRF values per stress condition ranged between 12.7 and 26.4, whereas individual 378 RRF values ranged between 4.9 and 42.4. These values can thus be used in the development 379 of pharmaceutical products to correct the experimentally observed degradation peak areas to 380 mass units, without having to perform time and cost expensive peak isolation steps. 381 Moreover, mutagenic evaluation of β -artemether and its degradants at high concentration by 382 the Ames bacterial reverse mutation test could not demonstrate any mutagenic properties.

383

384 Acknowledgement

This research was funded by PhD grants of 'Institute for the Promotion of Innovation through Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT-Vlaanderen)' (No. 101529 and 110533)

Corte

Page 18 of 23

REFERENCES

[1] WHO Media center, Malaria fact sheet N°94; 2011 December 12. Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs094/en/index.html.

[2] WHO Guidelines for the treatment of malaria – second edition (2011).

[3] T. Liu, F. Du, F. Zhu, J. Xing, Metabolite identification of artemether by data-dependent accurate mass spectrometric analysis using LTQ-orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer in combination with the online hydrogen/deuterium exchange technique, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 25 (2011) 3303-3313.

[4] M.A. Van Agtmael, T.A. Eggelte, C.J. Van Boxtel, Artemisinin drugs in the treatment of malaria: from medicinal herb to registered medication, T.I.P.S. 20 (1999) 199-205.

[5] N.J. White, Qinqhaosu (artemisinin): the price of success, Science. 320 (2011) 330-334.

[6] M.A. Atemnkeng, E. Marchand, J. Plaizier-Vercammen, Assay of artemether, methylparaben and propylparaben in a formulated paedriatric antimalarial dry suspension, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. 43 (2006) 727-732.

[7] I.D. Costa Cesar, F.H.A Nogueira, G.A. Pianetti, Simultaneous determination of artemether and lumefantrine in fixed dose combination tablets by HPLC with UV detection, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. 48 (2008) 951-954.

[8] R.W. Stringham, M. Pennell, W. Cabri, G. Carzana, F. Giorgi, S. Lalli, G. Marazzi, M. Torri, Identification of impurities in artemisinin, their behavior in high performance liquid chromatography and implications for the quality of derived anti-malarial drugs, J. Chromatogr. A. 1218 (2011) 6838-6842.

[9] B. Baert, B. De Spiegeleer, Quality analytics of internet pharmaceuticals, Anal. Bioanal. Chem.398 (2010) 125-136.

[10] F.H. Jansen, The pharmaceutical death-ride of dihydroartemisinin, Malaria J. 9 (2010) 212.

[11] S.W. Baertschi, Pharmaceutical stress testing: predicting drug degradation. Florida: Taylor & Francis Group; 2005.

[12] European Medicines Agency, Guidelines on the limits of genotoxic impurities (EMEA/CHMP/QWP/251344/2006).

[13] M. D'Hondt, W. Demaré, S. Van Dorpe, E. Wynendaele, C. Burvenich, K. Peremans, B. De Spiegeleer, Dry heat stress stability evaluation of casein peptide mixture, Food Chem. 128 (2011) 114-122.

[14] B.N. Ames, F.D. Lee, W.E. Durston, Improved bacterial test system for detection and classification of mutagens and carcinogens, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 70 (1973) 782-786.

[15] B.N. Ames, W.E. Durston, E. Yamasaki, F.D. Lee, Carcinogens are mutagens – simple test system combining liver homogenates for activation and bacteria for detection, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 70 (1973) 2281-2285.

[16] B.N. Ames, J. McCann, E. Yamasaki, Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with salmonella mammalian microsome mutagenicity test, Mutat. Res. 31 (1975) 347-364.

[17] D.M. Maron, B.N. Ames, Revised methods for the salmonella mutagenicity test, Mutat. Res. 113 (1983) 173-215.

[18] J. McCann, E. Choi, E. Yamasaki, B.N. Ames, Detection of carcinogens as mutagens in salmonella microsome test – assay of 300 chemicals, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 72 (1975) 5135-5139.

[19] J. McCann, N.E. Spingarn, J. Kobori, B.N. Ames, Detection of carcinogens as mutagens – bacterial tester strains with R-factor plasmids, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 72 (1975) 979-983.

[20] S. Baertschi, L. Dow, M. Hansen, B. Pack, Stress testing and degradation-derived genotoxic

Page 20 of 23 Page 21 of 29

impurities: scientific, practical and regulatory considerations. A.A.P.S. Annual Meeting. 2011, Washington.

[21] K. Karthikeyan, G.T. Arularasu, V. Murali, K. Chandrasekara Pillai, Identification, isolation, characterization and response factor determination of process-related impurity in meprobamate drug substance, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. 54 (2011) 208-212.

[22] P. Sun, X. Wang, L. Alquier, A. Maryanoff, Determination of relative response factors of impurities in paclitaxel with high performance liquid chromatography equipped with ultraviolet and charged aerosol detectors, J. Chromatogr. A. 1177 (2008) 87-97.

[23] H. Wang, G.J. Provan, K. Helliwell, HPLC determinations of catechins in tea leaves and tea extracts using relative response factors, Food Chem. 81 (2003) 307-312.

[24] M. Verbeken, S. Suleman, B. Baert, E. Vangheluwe, S. Van Dorpe, C. Burvenich, L. Duchateau, F.H. Jansen, B. De Spiegeleer, Stability-indicating HPLC-DAD/UV-ESI/MS impurity profiling of the anti-malarial drug lumefantrine, Malaria J. 10 (2001) 51.

[25] X. Liang, H. Patel, J. Young, P. Shah, T. Raglione, The practical application of implementing the equimolar response principle of chemiluminescent nitrogen detection in pharmaceutical analysis, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. 47 (2008) 723-730.

[26] M.A. Nussbaum, S.W. Baertschi, P.J. Jansen, Determination of relative UV response factors for HPLC by use of a chemiluminescent nitrogen-specific detector, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. 27 (2002) 983-993.

[27] Y. Deng, J.-T. Wu, H. Zhang, T.V. Olah, Quantitation of drug metabolites in the absence of pure metabolite standards by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with a chemiluminescence nitrogen detector and mass spectrometer, Rapid Cummun. Mass Spectrom. 18 (2004) 1681-1685.

[28] T. Vehovec, A. Obreza, Review of operating principle and applications of the charged aerosol detector, J. Chromatogr. A. 1217 (2010) 1549-1556.

[29] J.P. Hutchinson, J. Li, W. Farrell, E. Groeber, R. Szucs, G. Dicinoski, P.R. Haddad, Universal response model for a corona charged aerosol detector, J. Chromatogr. A. 1217 (2010) 7418-7427.

[30] Z. Huang, M. Neverovitch, R. Lozano, P. Tattersall, J. Ruan, Development of a reversed-phase HPLC impurity method for a UV variable isomeric mixture of a CRF drug substance intermediate with the assistance of corona CAD, J. Pharm. Innov. 6 (2011) 115-123.

[31] European Pharmacopoeia 7.4, 2.2.46 Chromatographic separation techniques, (2012) 70-77.

[32] S.W. Baertschi, K.M. Alsante, R.A. Reed, Pharmaceutical stress testing: predicting drug degradation, London: Informa Healthcare, 2011.

[33] D.W. Reynolds, K.L. Facchine, J.F. Mullaney, K.M. Alsante, T.D. Hatajik, M.G. Motto, Available guidance and best practices for conducting forced degradation studies, Pharm. Tech. February (2002) 48-56.

[34] S. Klick, P.G. Muijselaar, J. Waterval, T. Eichinger, C. Korn, T.K. Gerding, A.J. Debets, C. Sänger-van de Griend, C. van den Beld, G.W. Somsen, G. De Jong, Toward a generic approach for stress testing of drug substances and drug products, J. Pharm. Tech. February (2005) 48-66.

[35] International Pharmacopoeia, Artemether and lumefantrine oral suspension, 2011.

[36] United States Pharmacopeia Salmous, Lumefantrine and Artemether tablets, 2009.

[37] European Pharmacopoeia, Substances for pharmaceutical use, monograph 2034, European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and Healthcare.

[38] European Medicines Agency, Questions and aswers on the 'Guideline on the limits of genotoxic

impurities', 2010.

[39] International conference on Harmonisation, Impurities in new drug products Q3B(R2), 2006.

Figure captions

Fig 1. Structure of artemisinin, DHA and β -artemether (left to right)

Fig 2. Overlay chromatogram of a dry heat (145 $^{\circ}$ C – 30 min) stressed sample (red) and unstressed reference sample (blue)

Fig 3. Correlation between experimental and model-derived amounts of formed impurities

Fig 4. Overlay chromatogram of a dry heat (145 $^{\circ}$ C – 30 min) stressed sample (red) and a 80 mg/ml arachis oil stability (11 months at 40 $^{\circ}$ C 75 % R.H.) sample (black)

Deg	Degradant		RF ×10 ⁵ AU/mg (95% CI)		RRF (95% CI)	
#	RRT					
1	0.288	0.4399	(0.4357 – 0.4441)	4.94	(4.90 - 4.99)	
2	0.616	3.7771	(3.7652 – 3.7890)	42.44	(42.31 – 42.57)	
3	0.694	1.1717	(1.0785 -1.2649)	13.17	(12.12 – 14.21)	
4	0.802	3.7771	(3.7652 – 3.7890)	42.44	(42.31 – 42.57)	
5	0.826	2.5105	(2.4096 – 2.6114)	28.21	(27.07 – 29.34)	
6	0.842	3.7771	(3.7652 – 3.7890)	42.44	(42.31 – 42.57)	
7	0.867	3.7771	(3.7652 – 3.7890)	42.44	(42.31 – 42.57)	
8	0.935	2.5105	(2.4096 – 2.6114)	28.21	(27.07 – 29.34)	
9	1.264	0.7879	(0.7849 – 0.7909)	8.85	(8.82 - 8.89)	

Table I. Calculated individual RRF values of the major observed β -artemether degradants

⁽¹⁾ RF β-artemether: 0.089 ×10⁻⁵ AU/mg









