





biblio.ugent.be

The UGent Institutional Repository is the electronic archiving and dissemination platform for all UGent research publications. Ghent University has implemented a mandate stipulating that all academic publications of UGent researchers should be deposited and archived in this repository. Except for items where current copyright restrictions apply, these papers are available in Open Access.

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Heterotrophic bacterial diversity in aquatic microbial mat communities from Antarctica

Karolien Peeters, Elie Verleyen, Dominic A. Hodgson, Peter Convey, Damien Ertz , Wim Vyverman, Anne Willems

In: Polar Biol (2012) 35:543-554

DOI 10.1007/s00300-011-1100-4

To refer to or to cite this work, please use the citation to the published version:

K. Peeters, E. Verleyen, D. A. Hodgson, P. Convey, D. Ertz, W. Vyverman, A. Willems (2012). Heterotrophic bacterial diversity in aquatic microbial mat communities from Antarctica. Polar Biol (2012) 35:543-554.

DOI: 10.1007/s00300-011-1100-4

1	Heterotrophic bacterial diversity in aquatic microbial mat
2	communities from Antarctica.
3	
4	Karolien Peeters ¹ , Elie Verleyen ² , Dominic A. Hodgson ³ , Peter Convey ³ , Damien Ertz ⁴ , Wim Vyverman ² ,
5	Anne Willems ^{1*}
6	
7	¹ Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Fac. Science, Ghent
8	University, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium
9	² Protistology & Aquatic Ecology, Department of Biology, Fac. Science, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 -
10	S8, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium
11	³ British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, High Cross, Madingley Road,
12	Cambridge, CB3 0ET, UK
13	⁴ National Botanic Garden of Belgium, Department Bryophytes-Thallophytes, B-1860 Meise, Belgium
14	
15	
16	
17	Keywords: microbial diversity, cultivation, 16S rRNA gene sequencing, ASPA, PCA.
18	
19	* Corresponding author:
20	Mailing address: Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Ghent
21	University, K. L. Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Gent, Belgium. Phone: 32 9 264 5103. Fax: 32 9 264 5092. E-
22	mail: Anne.Willems@ugent.be
23	

25 Abstract

26 Heterotrophic bacteria isolated from five aquatic microbial mat samples from different locations in 27 continental Antarctica and the Antarctic Peninsula were compared to assess their biodiversity. A total of 28 2225 isolates obtained on different media and at different temperatures were included. After an initial 29 grouping by whole-genome fingerprinting, partial 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis was used for further 30 identification. These results were compared with previously published data obtained with the same 31 methodology from terrestrial and aquatic microbial mat samples from two additional Antarctic regions. 32 The phylotypes recovered in all these samples belonged to five major phyla, Actinobacteria, 33 Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Firmicutes and Deinococcus-Thermus, and included several potentially 34 new taxa. Ordination analyses were performed in order to explore the variance in the diversity of the 35 samples at genus level. Habitat type (terrestrial versus aquatic) and specific conductivity in the lacustrine 36 systems significantly explained the variation in bacterial community structure. Comparison of the 37 phylotypes with sequences from public databases showed that a considerable proportion (36.9%) is 38 currently known only from Antarctica. This suggests that in Antarctica both cosmopolitan taxa as well as 39 taxa with limited dispersal and a history of long-term isolated evolution occur.

42 **1. Introduction**

43 Microbial mats and surface crusts that may develop in wet Antarctic habitats (Laybourn-Parry and Pearce 44 2007; Vincent 2000), are dense communities of vertically stratified microorganisms and are believed to 45 be responsible for much of the primary production under the extreme polar conditions. The mats and 46 crusts typically consist of mucilage in which cyanobacteria and other algal cells are embedded, together 47 with other heterotrophic and chemoautotrophic microorganisms, sand grains and other inorganic 48 materials (Fernández-Valiente et al. 2007). Particularly the lacustrine ecosystems, which range from 49 relatively deep freshwater and hypersaline lakes, to small ponds and seepage areas (Verleyen et al. in 50 press) act as true biodiversity and primary production hotspots in a matrix of polar desert and ice.

51 In recent years, Antarctic microbial mats have attracted a lot of scientific interest, with the 52 photoautotrophic taxa such as cyanobacteria (Taton et al. 2006), green algae (De Wever et al. 2009) and 53 diatoms (Sabbe et al. 2003) probably being the best-studied groups. Water depth (and hence light 54 climate), liquid water availability, and conductivity or related parameters are the most important 55 variables in structuring these communities (Hodgson et al. 2004; Verleyen et al. 2010). Surprisingly, only 56 a small number of studies have focussed on the heterotrophic bacterial diversity in these microbial mats (Brambilla et al. 2001; Van Trappen et al. 2002). Other land-based habitats in Antarctica that have been 57 58 studied for their heterotrophic bacterial diversity include soils in dry valleys (Aislabie et al. 2006b) and 59 maritime Antarctica (Chong et al. 2010), the plankton in freshwater lakes (Pearce. 2005), and anoxic 60 waters in meromictic lakes (Franzmann et al. 1991). The few studies focussing on the heterotrophic 61 bacterial diversity in aquatic microbial mats comprised samples from lakes in the McMurdo Dry Valleys, 62 the Vestfold Hills and the Larsemann Hills and included culture-dependent as well as independent 63 approaches. They reported a large diversity with an important number of previously unknown taxa 64 (Brambilla et al. 2001; Van Trappen et al. 2002). As a result, several new species have been described in the phyla *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria* and *Firmicutes* (Reddy et al. 2003a, b; Reddy et al. 2002a, b; Shivaji et al. 2005; Van Trappen et al. 2003, 2004a, b, c, d). The relationship between the bacterial diversity of microbial mats and environmental parameters has not yet been studied although Brambilla et al. (2001) suggested some general features expected of the organisms obtained based on their phylogenetic position.

70 The aims of this study were (i) to contribute to a better understanding of the diversity of heterotrophic 71 bacteria in microbial mat communities from a range of terrestrial and aquatic habitats in coastal and 72 inland ice-free regions in Continental and Maritime Antarctica, and (ii) to explore the relationship 73 between the bacterial communities and a set of environmental parameters. We applied a cultivation-74 based approach using several media and growth conditions to access heterotrophic bacteria. A large 75 number of isolates was obtained and identified through genotypic characterization using rep-PCR 76 fingerprinting and phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences. Comparison of the sequences 77 with those available in public databases allowed identification of the bacteria and an assessment of their 78 geographic distribution.

79

80 **2. Experimental Procedures**

81 **2.1. Source of samples**

Five samples (PQ1, LA3, SK5, WO10 and SO6) from lacustrine habitats in different locations in Continental Antarctica and the Antarctic Peninsula (Figure 1) were analysed (Table 1). All samples were kept frozen continuously after collection (in January 2003 [PQ1] and January 2007 [LA3, SK5, WO10 and SO6]) until processing in the laboratory. Specific conductivity and pH were measured in the field using a YSI 600 meter. Details regarding the analysis of the concentration of the major ions and nutrients have been described by Hodgson et al. (2010) and Verleyen et al. (in press). Data for the new samples was also compared with information on four further samples previously studied using the same methods, including two terrestrial mat samples from Utsteinen (Sør Rondane Mountains, East Antarctica) (Peeters et al. 2011a) and two microbial mat samples from lakes in the Pensacola Mountains and the Shackleton Range (Peeters et al. 2011b).

92

93 **2.2.** Enumeration and isolation of heterotrophic bacteria

94 One gram of sample was aseptically weighed and homogenized in 9 ml sterile cold (4°C) physiological 95 saline (0.86% NaCl) using a vortex. Tenfold dilution series (kept at 4°C) were plated on four different media (Marine agar 2216 (MA) (BD Difco[™]), R2A (BD Difco[™]), ten times diluted R2A (R2A/10), and PYGV 96 97 (Pepton-Yeast-Glucose-Vitamin) medium (DSMZ medium 621)) and incubated at 20°C, 15°C and 4°C. R2A 98 (Difco) contains pyruvate, starch and dextrose as C sources and yeast extract, peptone and 99 casaminoacids as N and C sources and PYGV (DSMZ medium 621) contains peptone, yeast extract and 100 glucose as C and/or N sources and additional vitamins and minerals. Both are considered oligotrophic 101 media because the amounts of these components are at least two to ten times lower than in more 102 general media such as nutrient broth. In addition to regular physiological saline (PS) dilution series, sea 103 water (SW) dilutions were used for the LA3 and WO10 samples which originated from lakes close to the 104 ocean and had elevated conductivity values.

All plates were incubated for several weeks during which the number of colony forming units (CFU) was counted. When the number of CFU's had stabilized, the total number of CFU/g for each combination of culture conditions was calculated for the plates showing between 20 and 400 colonies. At the end of the incubation period, three colonies (or less in case of insufficient growth) of each morphological type (colony parameters used include color, margin, elevation, shape, diameter, surface appearance) were isolated and purified. Pure cultures were cryopreserved at -80°C using broth medium plus 15% glycerol or the MicroBank[™] system (Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Ontario, Canada).

113

114 **2.3. Genotypic fingerprinting**

115 To reduce the large number of isolates, duplicates were eliminated using a whole-genome fingerprinting 116 technique, repetitive element palindromic (rep)-PCR, resulting in a smaller number of clusters and 117 unique isolates. DNA preparation was carried out as described by Baele et al. (2003). Rep-PCR fingerprinting using the GTG₅ primer (5'-GTG GTG GTG GTG GTG-3') was performed according to Gevers 118 119 et al. (2001). Resulting fingerprints were processed using the BioNumerics (v 5.1.) software (Applied-120 Maths). Rep-PCR profiles were compared by calculating pairwise Pearson's correlation coefficients (r). A 121 cluster analysis was performed on the resulting matrix using the Unweighted Pair Group Method using 122 Arithmetic averages (UPGMA). An 80% Pearson correlation coefficient threshold was used (Gevers et al. 123 2001) in combination with visual inspection of bands to delineate rep-clusters. Rep-types included both 124 rep-clusters as well as isolates grouping separately.

125

126 **2.4. 16S rRNA gene sequencing**

127 The 16S rRNA genes of the representatives of all the different rep-types were amplified and partially 128 sequenced as previously described (Vancanneyt et al. 2004). PCR products were purified using a 129 Nucleofast 96 PCR clean up membrane system (Machery-Nagel, Germany) and Tecan Workstation 200. 130 The BKL1 primer was used for sequencing (Coenye et al. 1999). The fragments obtained (approximately 400 bp of the first and most variable part of the gene) were cleaned with the BigDye[®] xTerminator[™] 131 132 Purification Kit according to the protocol of the supplier (Applied Biosystems). Sequence analysis was 133 performed using an ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Phylogenetic analysis 134 was performed using the BioNumerics (v 5.1.) software package (Applied-Maths). The sequences were 135 compared and pairwise similarity values were calculated to delineate phylotypes at 99.0% 16S rRNA 136 gene sequence similarity (Acinas et al. 2004; Stach et al. 2003). The classifier of the Ribosomal Database 137 Project, containing the sequences of all described species, was used to obtain a genus identification for 138 the phylotypes (Wang et al. 2007). Identifications with confidence estimates lower than 80% (Wang et al. 139 2007) were verified by phylogenetic analysis with all neighbouring taxa. A multiple alignment of the 140 sequences was made and after visual inspection, distances were calculated using the Kimura-2 141 correction. A neighbour joining dendrogram (Saitou and Nei 1987) was constructed and bootstrap 142 analysis was undertaken using 500 bootstrap replicates. When the analysis showed that a phylotype was 143 not part of an existing genus and was either equally related to multiple genera or had 16S rRNA gene 144 sequence similarities with neighbouring genera below the threshold value of 96.4% (Yarza et al. 2010), 145 the phylotype was classified as a potentially new genus.

146 The 16S rRNA gene sequences determined in this study have been deposited in the EMBL database 147 under accession numbers FR772052 - FR772080 and FR772100 - FR772289.

148

149 **2.5.** Sample coverage

Rarefaction curves were used to estimate how well our method covers the fraction of bacteria viable in the growth conditions used. They were calculated with an online rarefaction calculator (http://biome.sdsu.edu/fastgroup/cal_tools.htm). The Shannon biodiversity index was calculated as described by Magurran et al. (1988).

154

155 **2.6. Multivariate analysis**

Direct and indirect ordinations were performed using CANOCO 4.5 for Windows (ter Braak and Smilauer 2002). A principal component analysis (PCA) was applied of the number of rep-types assigned to the different genera for each sample. Redundancy analysis (RDA) was applied to assess whether differences in bacterial community structure are underlain by differences in habitat type. Therefore, we created three dummy variables (Table S2). The forward selection procedure and unrestricted Monte Carlo permutations tests (499 permutations, p = 0.05) was used to select the minimal number of variables explaining the variation in the distribution of the different rep-types over the genera for the different samples. The importance of limnological variability was assessed for the lacustrine samples only, because no chemical data were available for the terrestrial samples

165

166 **2.7. Geographic distribution of the phylotypes**

167 The 16S rRNA gene sequence of each phylotype was compared with sequences available in public 168 databases (EMBL and NCBI) including cultured strains as well as environmental sequences (both from 169 metagenomics and high throughput sequencing). Based on the origin of sequences showing \geq 99.0% 170 sequence similarity, the phylotypes were classified as Antarctic (when no high scoring sequences, or only 171 high scoring sequences originating from other Antarctic environments, were found), bipolar (only high 172 scoring sequences from polar environments), cold (only high scoring sequences from cold environments) 173 or cosmopolitan (at least one high scoring sequence from non-Antarctic/cold/polar environment) (Table 174 4). Phylotypes that showed no significant similarity with any other sequences, were classified as 175 Antarctic.

176

177 **3. Results**

178 **3.1.** Isolation, rep-PCR fingerprinting and 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Dilution series of the different samples (Table 1) were plated on four different media and incubated at three relatively cold temperatures compared to those used for more temperate bacteria. After three weeks incubation for plates at 20 and 15°C and eight weeks for 4°C, the number of colony forming units (CFU) was counted for the different conditions. When comparing the number of CFU/g for the five samples, there were clear differences (Table 2). Sample WO10 had the highest CFU/g of all samples. The highest value for samples PQ1 and SK5 was low in comparison with the other samples although a large diversity in colony morphologies was observed and consequently many isolates were taken (Fig. 1). For samples PQ1, SK5 and SO6 the highest number of CFU/g was found at 15 or 20°C, while for samples LA3 and WO10 4°C gave best growth. The samples originating from saline and brackish lakes and ponds (LA3 and WO10) yielded the highest number of CFU/g on marine medium, whereas the other samples yielded the highest number of CFU/g on an oligotrophic medium.

190 Between 253 and 550 isolates (Fig. 1), were purified from the five new samples. This gave a total of 2225 191 isolates that were grouped in 810 rep-types. To compare the diversity obtained under each culture 192 condition, the relative diversity yield was calculated as the number of rep-types recovered from a sample 193 for each medium and temperature combination, divided by the total number of rep-types obtained for 194 that sample. The highest values are summarized in Table 3. For all samples the highest values for the 195 colony counts (Table 2) and the highest diversity (Table 3) were found on either oligotrophic media (R2A, 196 R2A/10 and PYGV) or marine media (MA PS and MA SW). The highest CFU/g and diversities for each 197 sample were in the same temperature categories (high temperature category: 15-20°C; low temperature 198 category: 4°C) for samples PQ1, SK5 and SO6, however, for samples LA3 and WO10 the highest CFU/g 199 was at 4°C while the highest diversity was recovered at 20°C.

200 Representatives of the different rep-types were subjected to 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. Based on 201 these sequences, phylotypes were delineated at 99% sequence similarity. The number of phylotypes 202 recovered per sample ranged from 39 (LA3) to 89 (PQ1) (Fig. 1). Interestingly, only an intermediate 203 number of isolates was taken in this latter sample in comparison with the other samples, suggesting that 204 it harbours a relatively large diversity. This was confirmed by the higher Shannon diversity index based 205 on the number of isolates per rep-type: 5.17 for PQ1, compared to 4.24, 4.62, 4.54 and 4.82 for samples 206 LA3, SK5, WO10 and SO6, respectively. Rarefaction curves (Fig. S1) were calculated to assess the 207 coverage of the culturable diversity under these culture conditions. The curves for most samples approached a plateau. However, for sample PQ1, the rarefaction curve continued to rise despite a high
 number of isolates being recovered from this sample.

210

3.2. Distribution of the phylotypes over different phyla, classes, genera and samples

212 The different phylotypes were identified using the classifier tool of the Ribosomal Database Project and 213 phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences. The diversity found in the different samples was 214 considered at different taxonomic levels. At phylum level, for most samples, the phylotypes were 215 affiliated with four major phylogenetic groups, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and 216 Firmicutes. In addition, isolates of the Deinococcus-Thermus phylum were recovered from samples PQ1 217 and SO6 (Fig. 1). At genus level, variation between the five samples was larger: 70 genera were 218 recovered as well as 18 potentially novel genera (Table S1). Only Salinibacterium and Flavobacterium 219 were found in all five samples.

220 Previously we studied two terrestrial samples, BB50 and BB115 from the Utsteinen region (Peeters et al. 221 2011a), and two aquatic microbial mat samples, TM2 and TM4 from the Pensacola Mountains and the 222 Shackleton Range, respectively (Peeters et al. 2011b), using the same isolation conditions and the same 223 characterization methods. Below we compare our new findings with those from these four samples. To 224 facilitate comparison and to provide an overview, bacterial genus diversity data from these two studies 225 are also included in Table S1. No genera were recovered from all nine samples. The genera Arthrobacter, 226 Brevundimonas and Hymenobacter were found in eight samples whereas Cryobacterium, Rhodococcus, 227 Sphingomonas, Flavobacterium and Bacillus were found in seven of the nine samples. Some 38% (31/82) 228 of the genera were recovered from only one sample (e.g. Frigoribacterium, Saxeibacter, Aurantimonas, 229 Caulobacter, Lysobacter, Maribacter, Brevibacillus).

The genus *Arthrobacter* (Table S1) was best represented among the isolates (733 isolates, representing
20 different phylotypes), although the largest number of different phylotypes (50) was found in the

genus *Hymenobacter*, which also had a rather high number of isolates (230). Other well represented
 genera based on either the number of isolates or the number of phylotypes included *Brevundimonas*,
 Flavobacterium, *Polaromonas*, *Psychrobacter*, *Massilia*, *Sphingopyxis*, *Sphingomonas* and *Deinococcus*.

235 At the phylotype level, none of the phylotypes was found in all nine locations (Table S1). Only one 236 phylotype (R-36741), identified as *Brevundimonas*, was found in eight samples. Phylotype R-36538, 237 identified as Arthrobacter, was isolated from six samples. Furthermore, phylotypes belonging to the 238 genera Brevundimonas, Rhodococcus, Salinibacterium, Sphingomonas and Massilia were found in five 239 samples and phylotypes belonging to the genera Arthrobacter, Cryobacterium, Rothia, Polaromonas, 240 Bacillus, Paenibacillus and a potentially new genus in the class Betaproteobacteria were found in four 241 samples. Additionally, fifteen (4.2%) of the 356 phylotypes were recovered from three samples, 68 242 (19.1%) were found in two samples and 260 (73.0%) were restricted to a single sample. Table 4 shows 243 the distribution of shared phylotypes over the different samples. Sample SK5 shared the highest 244 percentage of phylotypes with other samples, especially with samples PQ1, LA3 and SO6. Also samples 245 TM2 and WO10 and TM4 and SO6 shared an important percentage (\geq 10%) of phylotypes.

246 In all nine samples, only 3.4% (47) of the rep-types contained isolates from more than one sample. The 247 majority of these mixed rep-types contained isolates from two different samples and only two comprised 248 isolates from three different samples. All samples contained isolates that were part of these mixed rep-249 types, whereas the highest number was shared between samples SK5 and SO6. A large portion of the 250 mixed rep-types was affiliated with Actinobacteria, while the remainder was related to all other classes 251 and phyla obtained except for the Deinococcus-Thermus phylum. The mixed rep-types belonged to 252 diverse genera, with several from the genera Arthrobacter, Brevundimonas, Hymenobacter, Pedobacter 253 and Rothia.

254

3.3. Bacterial community structure in relation to environmental conditions

256 Also here, we included information from our previous studies (Peeters et al. 2011a, b) to enhance the 257 comparison. The principal component analysis at genus level (Fig. 2) confirmed the differences observed 258 between the nine samples. The two terrestrial samples from Utsteinen (BB50 and BB115) are located 259 relatively close to each other in the top half of the scatter plot. The two samples from the saline lakes 260 (LA3 and WO10) and the brackish lake (TM2) are situated on the negative side of the first ordination axis. 261 A redundancy analysis revealed that the dummy variable denoting the difference in habitat type and 262 grouping terrestrial and freshwater habitats significantly explained 27.3% of the differences in 263 community composition between terrestrial and aquatic samples. This indicates that the samples from 264 saline lakes are different to those from freshwater systems and terrestrial environments. In the subset of 265 the samples from aquatic habitats for which limnological data are available, RDA confirmed that 266 conductivity significantly explained 34.4% of the variation in community structure at genus level.

267

3.4. Geographical distribution of the phylotypes

269 The sequences of the different phylotypes were compared with public databases to assess their 270 geographical distribution. For the five new samples a large number of the phylotypes (36.0-64.6%) 271 showed a cosmopolitan distribution as was also found in the four previously studied samples (Table 5). 272 All nine samples also contained a large number of phylotypes currently known only from Antarctica 273 (20.6-58.4%) and many of these shared no significant similarity (\geq 99.0%) with any other sequence in 274 public databases. In general, only small numbers of phylotypes have been classified as cold ($\leq 10.4\%$) or 275 bipolar ($\leq 8.3\%$). It is clear that for most phyla/classes the phylotypes were mainly cosmopolitan (Table 276 5). Notable exceptions were the phyla Bacteroidetes and Deinococcus-Thermus, of which the majority of 277 phylotypes were currently known only from Antarctica, many of them without significant sequence 278 similarity with any other sequence.

280 **4. Discussion**

281 We studied the cultured diversity of the heterotrophic bacteria recovered under standardised conditions 282 from five aquatic microbial mat samples from different locations in Maritime and Continental Antarctica 283 and compared the results with previously published data from terrestrial and aquatic microbial mats 284 from two additional regions. Although only a limited number of isolates was studied from each sample, 285 and the culturable diversity represents only a fraction of the total diversity present (Amann et al. 1995), 286 some clear differences between the samples were apparent. The most diverse sample was PQ1, with the 287 highest Shannon diversity index and the largest number of phylotypes recovered, despite only an 288 intermediate number of isolates obtained in comparison with the other samples (Fig. 1). This relatively 289 high diversity may be explained by the location of the sampling site on the Antarctic Peninsula where 290 environmental conditions are less extreme than on the Antarctic continent.

291 The distribution of the different phyla, classes and genera varied considerably. In most samples, the 292 phylotypes belonged to four major phylogenetic groups (Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes 293 and *Firmicutes*) that have been reported frequently from various Antarctic habitats including aquatic 294 microbial mats, soil from continental Antarctica and the sub-Antarctic islands and from sediments 295 (Aislabie et al. 2006b, 2008; Babalola et al. 2009; Bowman et al. 2000a; Bowman and McCuaig 2003; 296 Brambilla et al. 2001; Cary et al. 2010; Chong et al. 2010; Selbmann et al. 2010; Van Trappen et al. 2002). 297 The phylum *Deinococcus-Thermus* was only recovered from four samples (BB50, BB115, PQ1 and SO6), 298 including both terrestrial and aquatic samples. The genus Deinococcus has been found previously in 299 Antarctic soils and especially in the McMurdo Dry Valleys (Aislabie et al. 2006a, 2008; Cary et al. 2010; 300 Niederberger et al. 2008) although several other studies focussing on Antarctic soils (Gesheva 2009; 301 Shivaji et al. 2004) as well as on marine environments (Bowman et al. 2003, 2000b) and microbial mats 302 in Antarctic lakes (Brambilla et al. 2001; Van Trappen et al. 2002) did not report the presence of this 303 taxon. Most of the frequently occurring genera (genera that were found in more than four samples or from which more than 100 isolates were recovered) have been reported previously from Antarctica (Ah
Tow and Cowan 2005; Busse et al. 2003; Irgens et al. 1996; Selbmann et al. 2010; Shivaji et al. 2004; Van
Trappen et al. 2002).

307 Besides genera found in multiple samples, also some phylotypes were found in more than one sample. 308 The observation that sample PQ1, the only sample originating from the Antarctic Peninsula, shared 309 comparable percentages of phylotypes with all samples (Table 4), irrespective of geographical distance is 310 interesting. Moreover, these percentages are in the same range as those shared between the other 311 samples. For some higher organisms such as Acari and Nematoda, a strong boundary has been observed 312 between the species present in the Antarctic Peninsula and continental Antarctica, although for 313 Tardigrada and Bryophyta no continental/maritime divide has been found (Convey et al. 2008). Our 314 results suggest that this boundary probably does not exist for bacterial taxa.

315 The abovementioned differences between the samples are related to lake water conductivity and the 316 type of habitat (terrestrial versus aquatic) as revealed by direct ordination analyses. The importance of 317 conductivity was also evident from the fact that the medium used affected the colony yield and the 318 diversity recovered for each sample. For example, the highest yield was obtained using the marine 319 medium for the samples derived from saline and brackish lakes. A number of genera were only obtained 320 from the saline lakes (e.g. Loktanella, Halomonas, Gelidilacus and Algoriphagus), whereas only small 321 numbers of the less salt tolerant class Betaproteobacteria (Philippot et al. 2010) were isolated in these 322 samples. Only the genera Aeromicrobium and Micrococcus were isolated both from terrestrial and saline 323 samples. Interestingly, conductivity appears to be more important than the type of habitat, as revealed 324 by the ordination analysis. Although our results may be influenced by the limited number of isolates and 325 samples studied, this observation corroborates previous studies (Philippot et al. 2010; Tamames et al. 326 2010), reporting that the diversity obtained from freshwater samples is more comparable with that of 327 terrestrial samples than with saline ones. The importance of conductivity and related variables rather than extremes of temperatures, pH, or other physical and chemical factors (Tamames et al. 2010) corroborates findings in other microbial organisms in Antarctic lakes, including diatoms and cyanobacteria (Verleyen et al. 2010).

331

332 In the nine samples, a significant number of phylotypes were found to represent potentially novel 333 genera. From the terrestrial samples (BB50 and BB115), the saline samples (TM2, LA3 and WO10) and 334 the freshwater samples (TM4, PQ1, SK5 and SO6) respectively 4, 12 and 22 phylotypes represented 335 potentially new genera. The majority of potentially new genera were found in the classes 336 Alphaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria (35% each) and in samples SO6 (19%), SK5 (16%) and LA3 337 (16%). Further polyphasic studies are necessary to confirm their status and classification. The isolated 338 taxa can be investigated for antimicrobial activities or other products of biotechnological significance 339 (examples reviewed in Margesin and Feller 2010). Moreover, several phylotypes obtained here belonged 340 to genera which at present contain only one species or even one strain (e.g. Rhodoglobus, Saxeibacter, 341 Enhydrobacter and the recently described Marisedimicola). The additional cultures obtained in this work 342 may give more insight into the diversity present in these genera.

343

344 A comparison of our sequences to those available in public databases (including sequences from cultured 345 strains as well as environmental community samples and clone libraries) revealed that the majority of 346 the taxa showed a cosmopolitan distribution (Table 5). Although the geographic distribution reflects 347 current and therefore limited knowledge of bacterial diversity and ecology (Curtis and Sloan 2004), some 348 interesting observations can be made. For the BB samples, an important number of phylotypes are 349 currently restricted to Antarctica. This may be explained partly by the terrestrial, more exposed nature of 350 these samples from the pristine environment of the new Princes Elisabeth Station in Utsteinen. These 351 samples were also taken inland, whereas most previous microbial studies on terrestrial samples in 352 Antarctica have focussed on regions closer to the coast, and generally in close vicinity to research 353 stations (Aislabie et al. 2006b; Chong et al. 2009; Shivaji et al. 2004). The other samples in our 354 comparison originated from locations closer to the ocean and may have experienced inflow of non-355 Antarctic species, which may have contributed to the lower percentage of phylotypes with an Antarctic 356 distribution. In addition, some strains may have been isolated previously in one of the few earlier studies 357 in the regions of the Schirmacher and Syowa Oasis (Satoh et al. 1989; Shivaji et al. 2004). An important 358 percentage of phylotypes currently restricted to Antarctica was also recovered from sample PQ1, 359 although this sample was taken on the Antarctic Peninsula, closer to the ocean and to civilization.

360 Comparing the geographical distribution of the phylotypes in more detail, it is clear that the majority of 361 those belonging to the Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Firmicutes have a more general distribution 362 whereas most Bacteroidetes and Deinococcus-Thermus phylotypes are currently restricted to the 363 Antarctic continent. This high number of Antarctic phylotypes within the Bacteroidetes, with several 364 potentially new taxa, is in agreement with the increasing number of new species described from 365 Antarctica within this phylum (Bowman et al. 1997, 1998; Bowman and Nichols 2002; Hirsch et al. 1998; 366 McCammon et al. 1998; Shivaji et al. 1992; Van Trappen et al. 2003, 2004b, c; Yi et al. 2005; Yi and Chun, 367 2006). Our observations therefore appear to indicate that both cosmopolitan and specific Antarctic 368 phylotypes, possibly with a limited dispersal capacity, are present.

369

5. Conclusion

Although only a limited number of microbial mat samples were studied, these revealed a large diversity of culturable heterotrophic bacteria. There were important differences between the taxa obtained from each of the samples and only limited overlap was observed between the diversity obtained. Phylotypes belonged to five major phylogenetic groups (*Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes* and *Deinococcus-Thermus*) and several represented potentially new taxa. The bacterial diversity was found to relate to conductivity and habitat type. A comparison of our data with sequences in public databases showed that an important proportion of phylotypes (36.9%) are currently known only from the Antarctic continent, although a large proportion of cosmopolitan taxa (56.3%) was also recovered. This suggests that, in Antarctica, cosmopolitan taxa as well as taxa with limited dispersal, which potentially evolved in isolation, occur.

381

382 Acknowledgements

383

384 This work was funded by the Belgian Science Policy Office (BelSPO) projects AMBIO (an International 385 Polar Year project), ANTAR-IMPACT and BELDIVA. Fieldwork was supported by BelSPO and the 386 International Polar Foundation, the British Antarctic Survey, and the Japanese Antarctic Research 387 expedition 48. We thank the project coordinator Annick Wilmotte and are grateful to the Antarctic 388 program coordinator of BelSPO and Sakae Kudoh, Satoshi Imura and Tamotsu Hoshino for logistic 389 support during sampling campaigns. This study contributes to the BAS 'Polar Science for Planet Earth' 390 and SCAR 'Evolution and Biodiversity in Antarctica' programmes. EV is a postdoctoral research fellow 391 with the Research Foundation – Flanders (Belgium).

6. References

Acinas SG, Klepac-Ceraj V, Hunt DE, Pharino C, Ceraj I, Distel DL, Polz MF (2004) Fine-scale phylogenetic architecture of a complex bacterial community. Nature 430: 551-554
 Ah Tow L, Cowan DA (2005) Dissemination and survival of non-indigenous bacterial genomes in pristine Antarctic environments. Extremophiles 9: 385-389

401 Aislabie JM, Broady PA, Saul DJ (2006a) Culturable aerobic heterotrophic bacteria from high altitude,
402 high latitude soil of La Gorce Mountains (86 degrees 30'S, 147 degrees W), Antarctica. Antarct Sci 18:
403 313-321
404

Aislabie JM, Chhour KL, Saul DJ, Miyauchi S, Ayton J, Paetzold RF, Balks MR (2006b) Dominant bacteria in
 soils of Marble Point and Wright Valley, Victoria Land, Antarctica. Soil Biol Biochem 38: 3041-3056

408Aislabie JM, Jordan S, Barker GM (2008) Relation between soil classification and bacterial diversity in409soils of the Ross Sea region, Antarctica. Geoderma 144: 9-20

Amann RI, Ludwig W, Schleifer KH (1995) Phylogenetic identification and in-situ detection of individual
 microbial cells without cultivation. Microbiol Rev 59: 143-169

413

410

Babalola OO, Kirby BM, Le Roes-Hill M, Cook AE, Cary SC, Burton SG, Cowan DA (2009) Phylogenetic
analysis of actinobacterial populations associated with Antarctic Dry Valley mineral soils. Environ
Microbiol 11: 566-576

- Baele M, Vancanneyt M, Devriese LA, Lefebvre K, Swings J, Haesebrouck F (2003) *Lactobacillus ingluviei* sp. nov., isolated from the intestinal tract of pigeons. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 133-136
- 420

Bowman JP, McCammon SA, Brown JL, Nichols PD, McMeekin TA (1997) *Psychroserpens burtonensis* gen.
nov., sp. nov., and *Gelidibacter algens* gen. nov., sp. nov., psychrophilic bacteria isolated from Antarctic
lacustrine and sea ice habitats. Int J Syst Bacteriol 47: 670-677

424

Bowman JP, McCammon SA, Gibson JAE, Robertson L, Nichols PD (2003) Prokaryotic metabolic activity
 and community structure in Antarctic continental shelf sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 2448-2462

428 Bowman JP, McCammon SA, Lewis T, Skerratt JH, Brown JL, Nichols DS, McMeekin TA (1998) 429 *Psychroflexus torquis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a psychrophilic species from Antarctic sea ice, and 430 reclassification of *Flavobacterium gondwanense* (Dobson et al. 1993) as *Psychroflexus gondwanense* gen. 431 nov., comb. nov. Microbiology-UK 144: 1601-1609 432

Bowman JP, McCammon SA, Rea SM, McMeekin TA (2000a) The microbial composition of three
limnologically disparate hypersaline Antarctic lakes. FEMS Microbiol Lett 183: 81-88

436 Bowman JP, McCuaig RD (2003) Biodiversity, community structural shifts, and biogeography of 437 prokaryotes within Antarctic continental shelf sediment. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 2463-2483

- Bowman JP, Nichols DS (2002) *Aequorivita* gen. nov., a member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* isolated
 from terrestrial and marine Antarctic habitats. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52: 1533-1541
- Bowman JP, Rea SM, McCammon SA, McMeekin TA (2000b) Diversity and community structure within
 anoxic sediment from marine salinity meromictic lakes and a coastal meromictic marine basin, Vestfold
 Hills, Eastern Antarctica. Environ Microbiol 2: 227-237
- 445

- Brambilla E, Hippe H, Hagelstein A, Tindall BJ, Stackebrandt E (2001) 16S rDNA diversity of cultured and
 uncultured prokaryotes of a mat sample from Lake Fryxell, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica.
 Extremophiles 5: 23-33
- 450 Busse HJ, Denner EBM, Buczolits S, Salkinoja-Salonen M, Bennasar A, Kampfer P (2003) *Sphingomonas* 451 *aurantiaca* sp. nov., *Sphingomonas aerolata* sp. nov. and *Sphingomonas faeni* sp. nov., air- and 452 dustborne and Antarctic, orange-pigmented, psychrotolerant bacteria, and emended description of the 453 genus *Sphingomonas*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 1253-1260
- 454
- 455 Cary SC, McDonald IR, Barrett JE, Cowan DA (2010) On the rocks: the microbiology of Antarctic Dry Valley
 456 soils. Nat Rev Microbiol 8: 129-138
 457
- Chong CW, Pearce DA, Convey P, Tan GYA, Wong RCS, Tan IKP (2010) High levels of spatial heterogeneity
 in the biodiversity of soil prokaryotes on Signy Island, Antarctica. Soil Biol Biochem 42: 601-610
- 460
 461 Chong CW, Tan GYA, Wong RCS, Riddle MJ, Tan IKP (2009) DGGE fingerprinting of bacteria in soils from
 462 eight ecologically different sites around Casey Station, Antarctica. Polar Biol 32: 853-860
- 464 Coenye T, Falsen E, Vancanneyt M, Hoste B, Govan JRW, Kersters K, Vandamme P (1999) Classification of
 465 *Alcaligenes faecalis*-like isolates from the environment and human clinical samples as *Ralstonia gilardii* 466 sp. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49: 405-413
- 467 468

- Convey P, Gibson JAE, Hillenbrand CD, Hodgson DA, Pugh PJA, Smellie JL, Stevens MI (2008) Antarctic
 terrestrial life challenging the history of the frozen continent? Biol Rev 83: 103-117
- 471 472
- 473 Curtis TP, Sloan WT (2004) Prokaryotic diversity and its limits: microbial community structure in nature
 474 and implications for microbial ecology. Curr Opin Microbiol 7: 221-226
- 475
- 476 De Wever A, Leliaert F, Verleyen E, Vanormelingen P, Van der Gucht K, Hodgson DA, Sabbe K, Vyverman
 477 W (2009) Hidden levels of phylodiversity in Antarctic green algae: further evidence for the existence of
 478 glacial refugia. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 276: 3591-3599
- 479
- Fernández-Valiente E, Camacho A, Rochera C, Rico E, Vincent WF, Quesada A (2007) Community
 structure and physiological characterization of microbialmats in Byers Peninsula, Livingston Island (South
 Shetland Islands, Antarctica). FEMS Microbial Ecology 59: 377-385
- 483
- Franzmann PD, Hopfl P, Weiss N, Tindall BJ (1991) Psychrotrophic, lactic acid-producing bacteria from
 anoxic waters in Ace Lake, Antarctica *Carnobacterium funditum* sp. nov. and *Carnobacterium alterfunditum* sp. nov. Arch Microbiol 156: 255-262

487 488 Gesheva V (2009) Distribution of psychrophilic microorganisms in soils of Terra Nova Bay and Edmonson 489 Point, Victoria Land and their biosynthetic capabilities. Polar Biol 32: 1287-1291 490 491 Gevers D, Huys G, Swings J (2001) Applicability of rep-PCR fingerprinting for identification of 492 Lactobacillus species. FEMS Microbiol Lett 205: 31-36 493 494 Hirsch P, Ludwig W, Hethke C, Sittig M, Hoffmann B, Gallikowski CA (1998) Hymenobacter roseosalivarius 495 gen. nov., sp. nov. from continental Antarctic soils and sandstone: Bacteria of the 496 Cytophaga/Flavobacterium/Bacteroides line of phylogenetic descent. Syst Appl Microbiol 21: 374-383 497 498 Hodgson DA, Convey P, Verleyen E, Vyverman W, McInnes SJ, Sands CJ, Fernández-Carazo R, Wilmotte A, 499 De Wever A, Peeters K, Tavernier I, Willems A (2010) The limnology and biology of the Dufek Massif, 500 Transantarctic Mountains 82° South. Polar Science 4: 197-214 501 502 Hodgson DA, Vyverman W, Verleyen E, Sabbe K, Leavitt PR, Taton A, Squier AH, Keely BJ (2004) 503 Environmental factors influencing the pigment composition of in situ benthic microbial communities in 504 east Antarctic lakes. Aquat Microb Ecol 37: 247-263 505 506 Irgens RL, Gosink JJ, Staley JT (1996) Polaromonas vacuolata gen. nov., sp. nov., a psychrophilic, marine, 507 gas vacuolate bacterium from Antarctica. Int J Syst Bacteriol 46: 822-826 508 509 Laybourn-Parry J, Pearce DA (2007) The biodiversity and ecology of Antarctic lakes: models for evolution. 510 Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 362: 2273-2289 511 512 Magurran AE (1988) Ecological diversity and its measurements. Princeton University Press, New Jersey: 513 pp. 192 514 515 Margesin R, Feller G (2010) Biotechnological applications of psychrophiles. Environ Technol 31: 835-844 516 517 McCammon SA, Innes BH, Bowman JP, Franzmann PD, Dobson SJ, Holloway PE, Skerratt JH, Nichols PD, 518 Rankin LM (1998) Flavobacterium hibernum sp. nov., a lactose-utilizing bacterium from a freshwater 519 Antarctic lake. Int J Syst Bacteriol 48: 1405-1412 520 521 Niederberger TD, McDonald IR, Hacker AL, Soo RM, Barrett JE, Wall DH, Cary SC (2008) Microbial 522 community composition in soils of Northern Victoria Land, Antarctica. Environ Microbiol 10: 1713-1724 523 524 Pearce DA (2005) The structure and stability of the bacterioplankton community in Antarctic freshwater 525 lakes, subject to extremely rapid environmental change. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 53: 61-72 526 527 Peeters K, Ertz D, Willems A (2011a) Culturable bacterial diversity at the Princess Elisabeth Station 528 (Utsteinen, Sør Rondane Mountains, East Antarctica) harbours many new taxa. Syst Appl Microbiol 34: 529 360-367 530 Peeters K, Hodgson DA, Convey P, Willems A (2011b) Culturable diversity of heterotrophic bacteria in 531 532 Forlidas Pond (Pensacola Mountains) and Lundström Lake (Shackleton Range), Antarctica. Microb Ecol 533 62: 399-413 534

536 coherence of high bacterial taxonomic ranks. Nat Rev Microbiol 8: 523-529 537 538 Reddy GSN, Matsumoto GI, Shivaji S (2003a) Sporosarcina macmurdoensis sp. nov., from a 539 cyanobacterial mat sample from a pond in the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 540 53: 1363-1367 541 542 Reddy GSN, Prakash JSS, Matsumoto GI, Stackebrandt E, Shivaji S (2002a) Arthrobacter roseus sp. nov., a 543 psychrophilic bacterium isolated from an Antarctic cyanobacterial mat sample. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 544 52:1017-1021 545 546 Reddy GSN, Prakash JSS, Prabahar V, Matsumoto GI, Stackebrandt E, Shivaji S (2003b) Kocuria polaris sp. 547 nov., an orange-pigmented psychrophilic bacterium isolated from an Antarctic cyanobacterial mat 548 sample. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 183-187 549 550 Reddy GSN, Prakash JSS, Vairamani M, Prabhakar S, Matsumoto GI, Shivaji S (2002b) Planococcus 551 antarcticus and Planococcus psychrophilus spp. nov. isolated from cyanobacterial mat samples collected 552 from ponds in Antarctica. Extremophiles 6: 253-261 553 554 Sabbe K, Verleyen E, Hodgson DA, Vanhoutte K, Vyverman W (2003) Benthic diatom flora of freshwater 555 and saline lakes in the Larsemann Hills and Rauer Islands, East Antarctica. Antarct Sci 15: 227-248 556 557 Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor joining method - a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic 558 trees. Mol Biol Evol 4: 406-425 559 560 Satoh H, Fukami K, Watanabe K, Takahashi E (1989) Seasonal changes in heterotrophic bacteria under 561 fast ice near Syowa Station, Antarctica. Can J Microbiol 35: 329-333 562 563 Selbmann L, Zucconi L, Ruisi S, Grube M, Cardinale M, Onofri S (2010) Culturable bacteria associated with 564 Antarctic lichens: affiliation and psychrotolerance. Polar Biol 33: 71-83 565 566 Shivaji S, Ray MK, Rao NS, Saisree L, Jagannadham MV, Kumar GS, Reddy GSN, Bhargava PM (1992) 567 Sphingobacterium antarcticus sp. nov., a psychrotrophic bacterium from the soils of Schirmacher Oasis, 568 Antarctica. Int J Syst Bacteriol 42: 102-106 569 570 Shivaji S, Reddy GSN, Aduri RP, Kutty R, Ravenschlag K (2004) Bacterial diversity of a soil sample from 571 Schirmacher Oasis, Antarctica. Cell Mol Biol 50: 525-536 572 573 Shivaji S, Reddy GSN, Suresh K, Gupta P, Chintalapati S, Schumann P, Stackebrandt E, Matsumoto GI 574 (2005) Psychrobacter vallis sp. nov. and Psychrobacter aquaticus sp. nov., from Antarctica. Int J Syst Evol 575 Microbiol 55: 757-762 576 577 Stach JEM, Maldonado LA, Masson DG, Ward AC, Goodfellow M, Bull AT (2003) Statistical approaches for 578 estimating actinobacterial diversity in marine sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 6189-6200 579 580 Tamames J, Abellan JJ, Pignatelli M, Camacho A, Moya A (2010) Environmental distribution of 581 prokaryotic taxa. BMC Microbiol 10: 1-14 582

Philippot L, Andersson SGE, Battin TJ, Prosser JI, Schimel JP, Whitman WB, Hallin S (2010) The ecological

- Taton A, Grubisic S, Balthasart P, Hodgson DA, Laybourn-Parry J, Wilmotte A (2006) Biogeographical
 distribution and ecological ranges of benthic cyanobacteria in East Antarctic lakes. FEMS Microbiol Ecol
 57: 272-289
- 586
- ter Braak CJF, Smilauer P (2002) CANOCO reference manual and user's guide to CANOCO for Windows:
 software for canonical community ordination (version 4). Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, NY
- 590 Van Trappen S, Mergaert J, Swings J (2003) *Flavobacterium gelidilacus* sp. nov., isolated from microbial 591 mats in Antarctic lakes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 1241-1245
- 592

- Van Trappen S, Mergaert J, Swings J (2004a) *Loktanella salsilacus* gen. nov., sp. nov., *Loktanella fryxellensis* sp. nov. and *Loktanella vestfoldensis* sp. nov., new members of the *Rhodobacter* group,
 isolated from microbial mats in Antarctic lakes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54: 1263-1269
- Van Trappen S, Mergaert J, Van Eygen S, Dawyndt P, Cnockaert MC, Swings J (2002) Diversity of 746
 heterotrophic bacteria isolated from microbial mats from ten Antarctic lakes. Syst Appl Microbiol 25:
 603-610
- 600

596

- Van Trappen S, Vandecandelaere I, Mergaert J, Swings J (2004b) *Algoriphagus antarcticus* sp. nov., a
 novel psychrophile from microbial mats in Antarctic lakes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54: 1969-1973
- Van Trappen S, Vandecandelaere I, Mergaert J, Swings J (2004c) *Flavobacterium degerlachei* sp. nov.,
 Flavobacterium frigoris sp. nov. and *Flavobacterium micromati* sp. nov., novel psychrophilic bacteria
 isolated from microbial mats in Antarctic lakes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54: 85-92
- 607
- Van Trappen S, Vandecandelaere I, Mergaert JS, Swings J (2004d) *Gillisia limnaea* gen. nov., sp. nov., a
 new member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* isolated from a microbial mat in Lake Fryxell, Antarctica.
 Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54: 445-448
- 611

Vancanneyt M, Mengaud J, Cleenwerck I, Vanhonacker K, Hoste B, Dawyndt P, Degivry MC, Ringuet D,
Janssens D, Swings J (2004) Reclassification of *Lactobacillus kefirgranum* Takizawa et al. 1994 as *Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens* subsp. *kefirgranum* subsp. nov. and emended description of *L. kefiranofaciens* Fujisawa et al. 1988. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54: 551-556

- 616
- Verleyen E, Hodgson DA, Gibson J, Imura S, Kaup E, Kudoh S, De Wever A, Hoshino T, McMinn A, Obbels
 D, Roberts D, Roberts S, Sabbe K, Souffreau C, Tavernier I, Van Nieuwenhuyze W, Van Ranst E,
 Vindevogel N, Vyverman W (in press) Chemical limnology in coastal East Antarctic lakes: monitoring
 future climate change in centres of endemism and biodiversity. Antarct Sci In Press
- 621
- Verleyen E, Sabbe K, D.A. H, Grubisic S, Taton A, Cousin S, Wilmotte A, de Wever A, Van der Gucht K,
 Vyverman W (2010) The structuring role of climate-related environmental factors on Antarctic microbial
 mat communities. Aquat Microb Ecol 59: 11-24
- 625
 626 Vincent WF (2000) Evolutionary origins of Antarctic microbiota: invasion, selection and endemism.
 627 Antarct Sci 12: 374-385
- 628
- Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA
 sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol 73: 5261-5267

- Yarza P, Ludwig W, Euzéby J, Amann R, Schleifer KL, Glöckner FO, Rosselló-Móra R (2010) Update of the
 All-Species Living Tree Project based on 16S and 23S rRNA sequence analyses. Syst Appl Microbiol 33:
 291-299
- Yi H, Yoon HI, Chun J (2005) *Sejongia antarctica* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Sejongia jeonii* sp. nov., isolated
 from the Antarctic. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55: 409-416
- Yi HN, Chun J (2006) *Flavobacterium weaverense* sp. nov. and *Flavobacterium segetis* sp. nov., novel
 psychrophiles isolated from the Antarctic. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56: 1239-1244

645 646	Captions for Figures
647	Fig. 1 Division of the phylotypes over the different phylogenetic groups. The number of obtained isolates
648	and phylotypes are mentioned for the different samples. Information for samples BB50, BB115, TM2 and
649	TM4 was based on Peeters et al. 2011a, b
650	
651	Fig. 2 Principal component analysis (PCA) of the samples showing the differences in bacterial diversity (at
652	genus level) based on the number of rep-types. Information for samples BB50, BB115, TM2 and TM4 was
653	based on Peeters et al. 2011a, b
654	
655	Fig. S1 Rarefaction curves representing the number of phylotypes isolated from the different samples
656	

Sample	Place	Region	Latitude	Longitude	Sample description
PQ1	Narrows Lake	Pourqoui-Pas Island, AntarcticPeninsula	67°42'S	67°27'W	Littoral cyanobacterial mat with green algae and diatoms
LA3	Langhovde lake 3	Syowa Oasis	69°13'S	39° 48'E	Littoral brown crusts of cyanobacteria or diatoms from a small salt lake, sampling depth 0.2 m
SK5	Naka Tempyo	Syowa Oasis	69°28'S	39°40'E	Littoral epipsammic and interstitial microbial mat, brown or orange pigmented on top with a green surface layer, sampling depth 0.1 m
W010	West Ongul Island, lake 10	Syowa Oasis	69°01'S	39°32'E	Littoral orange mat below a black decomposed mat. Shallow pool with evidence of higher lake level, sampling depth 0.15 m
SO6	Schirmacher Oasis, lake	Schirmacher Oasis	70°45'S	11°40'E	Littoral microbial mat sample from freshwater lake, sampling depth 0.1 m

Table 1 Overview of samples with their location, coordinates and description

Medium	Temperature	PQ1	LA3	SK5	WO10	SO6
MA-PS	4°C	0,00026	<u>21,6</u>	0,0008	<u>368,4211</u>	0,282759
	15°C	0,000341	17,78333	0,0021	177,7632	0,398276
	20°C	0,000345	16,13333	0,003	244,7368	0,614828
MA-SW	4°C	nd	9,1	nd	52,28571	nd
	15°C	nd	11	nd	55,71429	nd
	20°C	nd	14,1	nd	48	nd
R2A	4°C	0,003245	0,000167	0,187	41,31579	8,241379
	15°C	0,0128	0,0003	0,86	57,63158	<u>79,2069</u>
	20°C	<u>0,02195</u>	0,000133	1,89	114,2105	19,91379
R2A/10	4°C	0,0022	0	0,16	9,013158	7,862069
	15°C	0,0148	0,00007	0,507	63,42105	26,44828
	20°C	0,0309	17,66667	0,9	30	24,34483
PYGV	4°C	0,00127	0,00007	0,2085	15,52632	7,034483
	15°C	0,0132	0.0007	1,38	34,73684	25,7069
	20°C	0,022	0,0001	<u>2,1</u>	37,89474	26,82759

Table 2 Plate counts (10^5 CFU/g) for the different growth conditions per sample. The maximum plate count for each sample is shown in bold and underlined; nd, not determined

Samples	PQ1	LA3	SK5	WO10	SO6
Highest relative diversity yield	0.167	0.271	0.274	0.258	0.294
Medium	R2A	MAPW	PYGV	MAPW	PYGV
Temperature (°C)	15	20	15	20	20

Table 3 Highest relative values for the number of rep-types and corresponding conditions

Table 4 Number of phylotypes defined at 99% sequence similarity (lower left triangle) and percentage of phylotypes (upper right triangle) shared between the samples

Sample	PQ1	LA3	SK5	WO10	SO6	BB50 ^a	BB115 ^ª	TM2 ^b	TM4 ^b
PQ1	х	5%	11%	4%	9%	5%	2%	2%	4%
LA3	7	х	11%	7%	4%	1%	1%	3%	7%
SK5	16	11	х	7%	14%	7%	4%	5%	8%
WO10	5	6	7	х	8%	0%	2%	10%	5%
SO6	15	5	20	10	х	5%	6%	4%	10%
BB50	7	1	8	0	7	х	7%	3%	4%
BB115	3	1	4	2	7	7	х	4%	7%
TM2	3	3	6	10	6	4	4	х	9%
TM4	5	5	7	4	11	4	5	8	х

^a Data from Peeters et al. 2011a

^b Data from Peeters et al. 2011b

Table 5 Number of phylotypes recovered with cosmopolitan, cold, bipolar or Antarctic distribution for the different classes and phyla and the different samples. Distribution types were assigned to phylotypes by evaluating the geographic origin of highly similar sequences (\geq 99.0%) present in public databases and originating from cultured strains as well as environmental samples and clone-libraries

Distrik	oution type	PQ1	LA3	SK5	W010	SO6	BB50 ^a	BB115 [°]	TM2 ^b	TM4 ^b
Actino	bacteria									
	cosmopolitan	8/14	4/5	7/12	10/16	13/20	12/20	10/13	4/5	12/13
	cold	4/14	1/5	2/12	4/16	2/20	0/20	1/13	0/5	0/13
	bipolar	0/14	0/5	0/12	0/16	0/20	0/20	0/13	1/5	0/13
	Antarctic ^c	2/14 (1)	0/5 (0)	3/12 (3)	2/16 (2)	5/20 (5)	8/20 (7)	2/13 (2)	0/5 (0)	1/13 (1)
Alpha	proteobacteria									
	cosmopolitan	10/12	8/10	15/17	6/7	15/17	5/7	5/5	8/13	6/7
	cold	0/12	0/10	0/17	0/7	0/17	0/7	0/5	1/13	0/7
	bipolar	0/12	0/10	0/17	0/7	0/17	0/7	0/5	0/13	0/7
	Antarctic ^c	2/12 (1)	2/10 (2)	2/17 (2)	1/7 (0)	2/17 (2)	2/7 (2)	0/5 (0)	4/13 (3)	1/7 (1)
Betap	roteobacteria									
	cosmopolitan	8/11	1/1	10/13	0/0	14/16	5/6	2/2	5/6	4/5
	cold	0/11	0/1	1/13	0/0	1/16	0/6	0/2	0/6	0/5
	bipolar	0/11	0/1	1/13	0/0	0/16	0/6	0/2	1/6	0/5
	Antarctic ^c	3/11 (1)	0/1 (0)	1/13 (1)	0/0 (0)	1/16 (0)	1/6 (1)	0/2 (0)	0/6 (0)	1/5 (1)
Gamn	naproteobacteria									
	cosmopolitan	4/6	2/10	1/3	7/13	2/2	0/1	0/0	2/3	1/2
	cold	0/6	0/10	0/3	1/13	0/2	0/1	0/0	0/3	0/2
	bipolar	0/6	1/10	0/3	3/13	0/2	0/1	0/0	0/3	0/2
	Antarctic ^c	2/6 (1)	7/10 (3)	2/3 (0)	2/13 (0)	0/2 (0)	1/1 (1)	0/0 (0)	1/3 (0)	1/2 (0)
Bacter	roidetes									
	cosmopolitan	1/41	1/10	1/10	2/8	4/19	4/15	0/12	4/11	1/4
	cold	1/41	0/10	0/10	0/8	0/19	1/15	0/12	0/11	0/4
	bipolar	0/41	0/10	0/10	1/8	1/19	2/15	2/12	0/11	0/4
	Antarctic ^c	39/41 (31)	9/10 (5)	9/10 (8)	5/8 (0)	14/19 (14)	8/15 (7)	10/12 (10)	7/11 (6)	3/4 (3)
Firmic	rutes									
	cosmopolitan	0/0	3/3	4/4	3/4	3/3	6/6	1/1	15/18	3/3
	cold	0/0	0/3	0/4	0/4	0/3	0/6	0/1	0/18	0/3
	bipolar	0/0	0/3	0/4	0/4	0/3	0/6	0/1	1/18	0/3
	Antarctic ^c	0/0 (0)	0/3 (0)	0/4 (0)	1/4 (0)	0/3 (0)	0/6 (0)	0/1 (0)	2/18 (1)	0/3 (0)
Deino	coccus-Thermus									
	cosmopolitan	1/5	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/2	1/8	0/4	0/0	0/0
	cold	0/5	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/2	0/8	0/4	0/0	0/0
	bipolar	0/5	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/2	0/8	0/4	0/0	0/0
	Antarctic ^c	4/5 (2)	0/0 (0)	0/0 (0)	0/0 (0)	2/2 (2)	7/8 (5)	4/4 (3)	0/0 (0)	0/0 (0)
All iso	lates									
	% cosmopolitan	36.0	48.7	64.4	58.3	64.6	52.4	48.6	67.9	79.4
	% cold	5.6	2.6	5.1	10.4	3.8	1.6	2.7	1.8	0.0
	% bipolar	0.0	2.6	1.7	8.3	1.3	3.2	5.4	5.4	0.0
	% Antarctic ^c	58.4 (41.6)	46.2 (25.6)	28.8 (23.7)	22.9 (4.2)	30.4 (29.1)	42.9 (36.5)	43.2 (40.5)	25.0 (17.9)	20.6 (17.6)

^a Data from Peeters et al. 2011a

^b Data from Peeters et al. 2011b

^c In brackets, the number/percentage of phylotypes that shared no significant similarity with any other sequence in the

public database





Electronic clean Supplementary Tables Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Revised supplementary tables PoBi-D-11-00145.pdf

Supplementary Fig. S1 for:

Heterotrophic bacterial diversity in aquatic microbial mat communities from Antarctica. <u>in</u> Polar Microbiology <u>by</u> Karolien Peeters, Elie Verleyen, Dominic A. Hodgson, Peter Convey, Damien E**r**tz, Wim Vyverman, Anne Willems*

*corresponding author Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Fac. Science, Ghent University, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium <u>anne.willems@ugent.be</u>



Fig. S1 Rarefaction curves representing the number of phylotypes isolated from the different samples

Supplementary Tables S1 and S2 for:

Heterotrophic bacterial diversity in aquatic microbial mat communities from Antarctica. <u>in</u> Polar Microbiology <u>by</u> Karolien Peeters, Elie Verleyen, Dominic A. Hodgson, Peter Convey, Damien Ertz, Wim Vyverman, Anne Willems*

*corresponding author

Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Fac. Science, Ghent University, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

anne.willems@ugent.be

Table S1: Distribution of the phylotypes over the different genera. Phylotypes were labelled with the isolate number of a representative strain that was sequenced. Per sample, phylotypes are listed as well as the number of isolates of this phylotype (#). Phylotypes shared between several samples are marked with the same number in superscript. In some cases, different isolate numbers carry the same number in superscript; these are different representatives of the same phylotype. In some phyla, novel genera were tentatively assigned for phylotypes that did not cluster inside existing genera or whose 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity was equally low with multiple neighbouring genera.

Genus	PQ1		LA3		SK5		W010		SO6		BB50 °	9	BB115	а	TM2 ^b		TM4 ^b	
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
Actinobacteria																		
Aeromicrobium							R-42664	8			R-36485	1						
Arthrobacter	R-36707 ²	11			R-36538⁵	16	R-36538⁵	1	R-36534 ⁴	21	R-36535 ¹	1	R-36535 ¹	68	R-43110 ⁵	15	R-37013 ⁴	120
	R-36193 ³	1			R-36715 ⁷	32	R-36715 ⁷	1	R-36538⁵	32	R-36707 ²	160	R-36534 ⁴	25			R-43110 ⁵	38
	R-36715 ⁷	31			R-36751 ⁸	1	R-36751 ⁸	12	R-43938 ⁶	2	R-36193 ³	29	R-36538⁵	12			R-43938 ⁶	25
	R-38507	3					R-41531	2	R-36715 ⁷	31	R-36487	1	R-36550	14			R-39621	10
	R-44216	2							R-36751 ⁸	1	R-36708	5	R-36556	1			R-38429	1
									R-44261	1	R-36371	7						
Cryobacterium	R-37019 ¹⁰	1			R-42756	2	R-41532	3	R-42736	2	R-36515 ⁹	12	R-36515 ⁹	58			R-37019 ¹⁰	1
	R-38273	2			R-43143	3												
Frigoribacterium									R-43109	1								
Janibacter			R-39538	1														
Kocuria			R-39201 ¹²	1	R-36519 ¹¹	1					R-36519 ¹¹	3					R-39201 ¹²	2
											R-42745	1						
Knoellia					R-39574	5							R-36688	19			R-43433	3
													R-43101	1				
Marisedimicola							R-36750 ¹³	9	R-36750 ¹³	6					R-36750 ¹³	6	R-38315	1
	R-38376 ¹⁴	3			R-38376 ¹⁴	34			R-38376 ¹⁴	9								
Microbacterium											R-36360	1	R-36588	1			R-43968	1
Micrococcus			R-43944 ¹⁵	2													R-43944 ¹⁵	2
Modestobacter											R-36506	1						
Nocardioides			R-39112	1	R-39601	3	R-43252	3	R-42691	1	R-36473	2	R-36680	1				
							R-42721	4	R-42658	5								
Patulibacter											R-36497	2						

Genus	PQ1		LA3		SK5		W010)	SO6		BB50 ^a		BB115	а	TM2 ^b)	TM4 ^b	,
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
Rhodococcus	R-37022 ¹⁸	2			R-37022 ¹⁸	3	R-37022 ¹⁸	1	R-43119 ¹⁷	1	R-36475 ¹⁶	4	R-36475 ¹⁶	2			R-37022 ¹⁸	10
	R-37575 ²⁰	2					R-37551 ¹⁹	1	R-37022 ¹⁸	1			R-43119 ¹⁷	15			R-37551 ¹⁹	4
	R-43120	1							R-37551 ¹⁹	1								
									R-37575 ²⁰	4								
Rhodoalobus							R-36762 ²¹	54							R-36762 ²¹	4		
							R-41578	5							R-36754	6		
Rothia	R-36507 ²²	5			R-36507 ²²	4			R-36507 ²²	1	R-36507 ²²	5						
Salinibacterium	R-39128 ²³	10	R-39128 ²³	2	R-39128 ²³	51	R-39128 ²³	1	R-39128 ²³	14								
	R-37573 ²⁴	8					R-37573 ²⁴	2										
							R-42713	2										
Saxeibacter													R-36686	1				
Subtercola											R-36477	1						
Tessaracoccus											R-36529	14						
											R-36527	5						
gen. nov. Actinobacteria 1											R-36375	1						
gen. nov. Actinobacteria 2									R-41477	1					R-36733	1		
gen. nov. Actinobacteria 3									R-41567	2								
total Actinobacteria		82		7		155		109		137		256		218		32		218
Alphaproteobacteria																		
Altererythrobacter			R-39115	1														
Aurantimonas											R-36516	8						
Bosea	R-38307 ²⁵	1			R-39149	8									R-38307 ²⁵	4		
					R-39584	1												
Brevundimonas	R-36554 ²⁶	44	R-36554 ²⁶	6	R-36554 ²⁶	121			R-36554 ²⁶	10	R-36244 ²⁶	1	R-36554 ²⁶	6	R-36741 ²⁶	14	R-36741 ²⁶	34
	R-37024 ²⁸	1	R-37014 ²⁹	3	R-37014 ²⁹	25			R-37014 ²⁹	2					R-37030 ²⁷	1	R-37030 ²⁷	11
	R-37014 ²⁹	2	R-40155	1					R-41484 ³⁰	12					R-36759	22	R-37024 ²⁸	2
	R-41484 ³⁰	2															R-37014 ²⁹	4
Caulobacter					R-39136	4												
Devosia	R-36756 ³²	4				-	R-36585 ³¹	3					R-36585 ³¹	5	R-43424	1	R-43964	1
															R-36756 ³²	27		
															R-36938	1		
Hvphomicrobium					R-40143	1												
Loktanella			R-39046 ³³	9			R-39046 ³³	59										

Genus	PQ1		LA3		SK5		W010)	SO6		BB50 ^ª	I	BB115	а	TM2 ^b		TM4 ^b	
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
							R-44293	3										
Mesorhizobium							24		R-41592	3								
Paracoccus							R-41610 ³⁴	26	R-41610 ³⁴	1							R-42686	1
Phenylobacterium					R-44236	3												
Porphyrobacter	R-38345 ³⁵	4			R-38345 ³⁵	6												
Rhizobium					R-39528	2												
Rhodobacter															R-36943	3		
Roseomonas			D 20071	0					R-41594	1								
Roseovarius	P 40141 ³⁸	4	K-39071	ð	D 40141 ³⁸	21			D 26522 ³⁷	1	D 26544 ³⁶	0	D 26544 ³⁶	10	P 26040 ³⁷	1	P 26040 ³⁷	1
Springomonas	R-40141	4			R-40141	1			R-50555	L L	N-50544	9	N-50544	20	N-20940	T	N-20940	T
	N=39344	T			D 2059640	т 6			P 20596 ⁴⁰	J 1	D 26505	0	D 26592	2				
					P 20506	1			R-39360	1	K-20202	T	N-20202	4				
					R-39390	ц С			R-41334	1								
					N-55140	5			R-44566	2								
Sphingopyxis	R-41479 ⁴²	12					R-36742 ⁴¹	14	R-41479 ⁴²	57					R-36742 ⁴¹	8		
<i>cp</i>									R-41480	2								
Sphinaosinicella									R-41563	3								
-,- 3									R-41564	1								
Sulfitobacter	R-39094 ⁴³	3	R-39094 ⁴³	2	R-39094 ⁴³	2	R-44292	1										
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 1					R-36492 ⁴⁴	2			R-36492 ⁴⁴	1	R-36492 ⁴⁴	2						
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 2					R-36501 ⁴⁵	5					R-36501 ⁴⁵	2						
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 3			R-36760 ⁴⁶	2											R-3676046	4		
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 4															R-39199	1		
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 5															R-36935	1		
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 6	R-38319	1																
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 7			R-39043	1														
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 8			R-39117	1														
gen. nov. Alphaproteobacteria 9							R-43079	1										
total Alphaproteobacteria		79		34		218	13075	107		104		31		27		82		54
Betaproteobacteria																		
Albidiferax																	R-37567	1
Curvibacter	R-3693047	2													R-3693047	1		
Duganella					R-42680 ⁴⁸	6			R-42680 ⁴⁸	56								

Genus	PQ1		LA3		SK5		WO10		SO6		BB50 ^ª		BB115	а	TM2 ^b		TM4 ^t)
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
									R-41603	6								
Hydrogenophaga	50				R-38517 ⁴⁹	1			50		50		50		R-3851749	2		
Massilia	R-36558 ⁵⁰	4			R-36558 ⁵⁰	55			R-36558 ⁵⁰	28	R-36558 ⁵⁰	4	R-36558 ⁵⁰	18				
					R-44262 ⁵¹	1			R-44262 ⁵¹	5								
									R-42682	5								
									R-41596	7								
									R-43135	1								
D /	D 27506 ⁵⁴	20			D 26722 ⁵²	4			R-41598	1	D 40427 ⁵³	2			D 26722 ⁵²	22	D 27550 ⁵³	00
Polaromonas	R-37596	26			K-30/32	1			K-37550	8	R-40127	2			R-30/32	22	K-37550	98
	R-38414	1			R-37550	3			R-37596	4	R-36500	2			R-37550	8		
	R-38383	1							R-38414	2					R-38520	4		
	R-38293	1							R-42676	2								
	R-38390	2																
Dhadafaray	R-38278	1			D 42127 ⁵⁶	2												
Rilouojerux	R-43137	1			N-43137	1												
Variouoray	K-37000	T			R-42715 R-30150	1					R-38535 ⁵⁷	5	R-38535 ⁵⁷	2				
Vullophilus					N-39130	T					D 26400	2	N-30333	J				
xyiopinius									R-36369 ⁵⁸	8	R-36369 ⁵⁸	2					B-36369 ⁵⁸	1
gen. nov. betaproteobactena 1			R-37018 ⁵⁹	1	R-37018 ⁵⁹	2			R-37018 ⁵⁹	2	N 30303	5					R-37018 ⁵⁹	2
gen. nov. Betaproteobacteria 2															R-36978	1		
gen. nov. Betaproteobacteria 3																	R-43960	1
gen. nov. Betaproteobacteria 4	R-42728 ⁶⁰	1			R-42728 ⁶⁰	19												
					R-42750	9												
gen. nov. Betaproteobacteria 5					R-39153	13												
gen. nov. Betaproteobacteria 6									R-41601	1								
gen. nov. Betaproteobacteria 7									R-41500	1								
total Betaproteobacteria		43		1		114		0		137		19		21		38		103
Gammaproteobacteria																		
Enhydrobacter	R-37587 ⁶¹	1							R-37587 ⁶¹	1								
Halomonas			R-39097 ⁶²	20			R-39097 ⁶²	9										
			R-39074	5			R-43069	1										
Idiomarina			R-39100	12														

Genus	PQ1		LA3		SK5		W010		SO6		BB50 ^ª		BB115	Э	TM2 ^b		TM4 ^b	
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
Luteimonas									R-37032 ⁶³	2							R-37032 ⁶³	1
Lysobacter											R-36483	6						
Marinobacter			R-43132 ⁶⁴	4			R-43132 ⁶⁴	14							R-36953	1		
			R-39083	9			R-44565	2										
			R-39119	7			R-43103	9										
			R-39065	2			R-43199	6										
Pseudomonas	R-37619	15			R-39154	1	R-43128	23										
	R-37583	1					R-44307	2										
	R-38323	2																
Pseudoxanthomonas	R-38407	1													R-37036 ⁶⁵	1	R-37036 ⁶⁵	18
Psychrobacter	R-39101 ⁶⁷	4	R-39101 ⁶⁷	7	R-39101 ⁶⁷	3	R-36959 ⁶⁶	51							R-36959 ⁶⁶	3		
			R-39551 ⁶⁸	1	R-39551 ⁶⁸	24	R-42705	56										
							R-43075	3										
							R-41527	16										
							R-41516	5										
gen. nov. Gammaproteobacteria			R-39122	3														
total Gammaproteobacteria		24		70		28		197		3		6		0		5		19
Bacteroidetes																		
Aequorivita							R-41536	6							R-36724	1		
Algoriphagus							R-36749 ⁶⁹	4							R-36749 ⁶⁹	9		
															R-36727	4		
Arcicella	R-38331	1																
Chryseobacterium	R-38366	4									R-36526	5	R-36555	1				
	70		70		71		73				R-36517	1						
Flavobacterium	R-38322 ⁷⁰	16	R-38322 ⁷⁰	2	R-38367'*	2	R-38388' ³	1	R-43115	2	R-40838	2			R-36963	32		
	R-38367' ¹	18	R-38378'*	1					R-42675	10	R-36233	15			R-36964	1		
	R-38378 ⁷²	19							R-41499	7					R-36968	2		
	R-38388 ⁷³	1																
	R-38349	2																
	R-37579	1																
	R-38284	2																
	R-38295	1																
	R-38274	5																
	R-38359	3																

Genus	PQ1		LA3	LA3 SK5			W010)	SO6		BB50 ^ª	BB115	3	TM2 ^b		TM4 ^b		
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
-	R-38392	1			,,						,,				,,		,,	
	R-38423	10																
	R-40835	2																
	R-38377	2																
	R-38373	3																
	R-38339	12																
	R-38296	21																
	R-37608	5																
	R-38358	2																
	R-41446	1																
Gelidibacter							R-36722 ⁷⁴	32							R-36722 ⁷⁴	24		
Gillisia			R-39057 ⁷⁵	28	R-39057 ⁷⁵	1	R-39057 ⁷⁵	6							R-36928	6		
Hymenobacter	R-36374 ⁷⁶	1	R-40152 ⁸¹	1	R-37569 ⁸⁰	1			R-42743 ⁷⁸	2	R-36374 ⁷⁶	1	R-42743 ⁷⁸	6	R-36960 ⁷⁹	2	R-37569 ⁸⁰	3
	R-36215 ⁷⁷	4			R-40152 ⁸¹	4			R-36960 ⁷⁹	1	R-36215 ⁷⁷	1	R-42653	2			R-37565	2
	R-37600	1			R-39159 ⁸²	7			R-37569 ⁸⁰	2	R-36503	1	R-36552	5				
	R-38509	1			R-39177 ⁸³	2			R-39159 ⁸²	2	R-43420	2	R-36548	1				
	R-38267	1			R-39133	3			R-39177 ⁸³	8	R-36490	4	R-36591	13				
	R-38290	1			R-40142	1			R-42654	1	R-36364	8	R-36557	2				
	R-40138	2			R-39126	3			R-41473	4	R-36486	8	R-36541	1				
	R-38389	1							R-43236	1	R-38500	8	R-36616	1				
	R-38365	18							R-43117	11	R-36359	8	R-36692	1				
	R-38384	1							R-41490	3	R-36499	6	R-36595	1				
	R-37603	7							R-43240	4			R-36553	5				
	R-44218	1							R-44547	2								
	R-38268	1							R-42674	9								
									R-41496	27								
Maribacter			R-39054	1														
Pedobacter	R-38348	2					R-43111 ⁸⁴	2	R-43111 ⁸⁴	8	R-36480	9			R-36962	1	R-38393	11
	R-43090	1																
	R-38357	2																
Pontibacter															R-36965	7		
Psychroflexus			R-39078 ⁸⁵	13	R-39078 ⁸⁵	1	R-39078 ⁸⁵	8										
· ·			R-39107	8														

Genus	PQ1		LA3		SK5		W010		SO6		BB50 ^ª		BB115	^a TM2			TM4 ^b	
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
Spirosoma	R-41450	1							R-43202	3							R-37560	1
Winogradskyella			R-39121	1			R-43254	5										
gen nov. Bacteroidetes 1	R-38326	1																
gen nov. Bacteroidetes 2	R-38398	9																
gen nov. Bacteroidetes 3			R-39049	2														
total Bacteroidetes		188		134		25		64		107		79		39		89		17
Firmicutes																		
Aerococcus															R-38529	1		
Alkalibacterium							R-41513	4	96		86				80		86	
Bacillus			R-44214	1	R-39577	1	R-43946°′	8	R-38416°°	2	R-38416°°	9			R-37580°°	4	R-37580°°	2
									R-43946 ⁸⁷	1	R-36702	5			R-43422	1	R-43946 ⁸⁷	1
											R-43891	1			R-36721	7		
											R-36493	5						
Brevibacillus													R-36717	2	00		80	
Carnobacterium							R-36987°°	2							R-36987°°	9	R-36982°9	7
1															R-36982° ³	33		
Jeotgalibacillus															R-42990	2		
Ornitninibacillus			D 4274290	4	D 4274290	c			D 4274290	4	D 4274290	2			K-38538	1		
Paenibacillus			N-42/42	4	R-42742	1			N-42/42	4	N-42/42	э			N-30731	1		
			D 26750 ⁹¹	2	K-44233	T									R-30/40	4		
Paenisporosarcina			N-30730	2											N-50744	12		
Planococcus							R-36948 ⁹²	7							R-30758 R-36948 ⁹²	13 28		
Fiunococcus							11 303 10	,							R-36970	1		
															R-36952	1		
Stanbylococcus					R-36520 ⁹³	2					R-36520 ⁹³	4			R-38534 ⁹³	1		
Staphylococcus						-						•			R-36936	2		
															R-36971	2		
total Firmicutes		0		7		10		21		7		27		2		112		10
Deinococcus-Thermus																		
Deinococcus	R-43890 ⁹⁴	1							R-36713 ⁹⁵	2	R-43890 ⁹⁴	1	R-36713 ⁹⁵	6				
	R-36590 ⁹⁶	3							R-44264	1	R-36502	5	R-36590 ⁹⁶	14				
	R-38506	1									R-36711	17	R-36685	1				
	R-37627	1									R-36479	8	R-38408	3				

Genus	PQ1		LA3		SK5		W010		SO6		BB50 [°]		BB115 ^a		TM2 ^b		TM4 ^b	
	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#	Phylotype	#
	R-38289	4									R-36366	3						
											R-36206	1						
											R-36489	1						
											R-38476	11						
total Deinococcus -Thermus		10		0		0		0		3		47		24		0		0

^a Data from Peeters et al. 2011a.

^b Data from Peeters et al. 2011b.

Table S2: Dummy variables for habitat type and water chemistry data for the different samples. Water chemistry data were not available for samples BB50 and BB115. NA = data not available. Measurement procedures are described in (Hodgson et al. (2010) and Verleyen et al. (in press).

	BB50	BB115	TM2	TM4	PQ1	LA3	SK5	WO10	SO6
Dummy variables									
terrestrial-aquatic	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
terrestrial-freshwater-saline 1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
terrestrial-freshwater-saline 2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Water chemistry parameters									
Conductivity (mS/cm)	/	/	2.220	0.22702	0.1312	26.83	0.014	26.8	0.009
Sampling depth (m)	/	/	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	3.5	0.1	0.1
рН	/	/	8.15	9.04	NA	7.93	8.58	7.97	7.5
Al (mg/L)	/	/	<0.002	0.005	<0.002	0.278	0.005	0.343	NA
Fe (mg/L)	/	/	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.205	0.015	0.309	NA
Mg (mg/L)	/	/	13.9	1.18	2.26	6280	1.04	2270	0.58
Ca (mg/L)	/	/	11.4	3.34	1.63	885	2.01	363	0.61
K (mg/L)	/	/	1.36	0.612	0.758	1560	0.248	432	0.61
Na (mg/L)	/	/	45	3.47	17.2	43800	3.08	12000	2.59
Cl (mg/L)	/	/	88.6	60.1	34	92600	4.08	25400	3.33
SO4 (mg/L)	/	/	17.5	27.9	11.8	3840	0.57	1270	3.08
TN (mg/L)	/	/	4.3	0.18	0.04	0.66	0.11	45	NA
TOC (mg/L)	/	/	0.97	0.89	0.43	5.1	0.84	270	NA
DOC (mg/L)	/	/	1.04	0.96	0.58	5.11	0.9	258	NA
NO3-N (mg/L)	/	/	4.42	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	0
NH4-N (mg/L)	/	/	0.043	0.026	0.018	2.07	0.012	16.6	<0.100
PO4-P (mg/L)	/	/	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	6	<0.005	26	<0.005
Silicate-Si (mg/L)	/	/	0.222	0.319	0.136	3.5	0.71	9.44	NA