

The minimum weight of the code of intersecting lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)^*$

Sam Adriaensen [†] 

*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Mathematics and Data Science,
Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Elsene, Belgium and
Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Department of Mathematical Sciences,
100 Institute Road, 01609 Worcester, MA, United States*

Robin Simoens [‡] 

*Ghent University, Department of Mathematics: Analysis, Logic and
Discrete Mathematics, Krijgslaan 297, Building S8, 9000 Ghent, Belgium and
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Department of Mathematics,
C. Pau Gargallo 14, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

Leo Storme 

*Ghent University, Department of Mathematics: Analysis, Logic and Discrete
Mathematics, Krijgslaan 297, Building S8, 9000 Ghent, Belgium*

Received 12 March 2024, accepted 9 September 2024, published online 7 November 2025

Abstract

We characterise the minimum weight codewords of the p -ary linear code of intersecting lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, $q = p^h$, $q \geq 19$, p prime, $h \geq 1$. If q is even, the minimum weight equals $q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$. If q is odd, the minimum weight equals $q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$. For q even, we also characterise the codewords of second smallest weight.

Keywords: Linear codes, finite projective spaces, Klein quadric.

Math. Subj. Class. (2020): 05B25, 51A50, 51E21, 94B05.

*To obtain the results of this article, we needed to improve Result 1.3 of Metsch on blocking sets with respect to the lines of the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(5, q)$ [12]. We thank Klaus Metsch for allowing us to repeat large parts of his proofs in Section 3 of this article to obtain the improvement. We are also grateful to Mrinmoy Datta for helpful discussions, and to the referees for their helpful comments.

[†]Corresponding author. Sam Adriaensen was partially supported by Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek project 12A3Y25N and by a fellowship of the Belgian American Educational Foundation.

[‡]Robin Simoens is supported by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) through the grant 11PG724N.

E-mail addresses: sam.adriaensen@vub.be (Sam Adriaensen), robin.simoens@ugent.be (Robin Simoens), leo.storme@ugent.be (Leo Storme)

1 Introduction

Coding theory is the study of reliable communication through a noisy channel. The challenge of coding theory is to construct codes with little redundancy that have strong error-correcting capabilities. Finite geometry has contributed in this endeavour in several ways, one of which is explored further in this article. More specifically, we investigate linear codes constructed from certain matrices arising from finite geometries. Almost 60 years ago, Weldon [18] initiated the study of such codes by analysing codes constructed from the incidence matrix of classical projective planes¹, because they allow an efficient decoding algorithm. More general classes of codes arising from finite geometries have been investigated since, see e.g. [11] for a detailed survey. Most attention has been paid to codes arising from the incidence matrix of a finite projective space. This works as follows. Choose integers $0 \leq j < k < n$ and a prime power q . Construct a 01-matrix M whose rows and columns are indexed by the subspaces of the projective space $\text{PG}(n, q)$ of dimension k and j respectively. Let M have entry 1 in a certain position if and only if the corresponding j - and k -space are incident. Then the code to be studied is the row space of M over a finite field, typically the prime subfield of \mathbb{F}_q . The minimum weight of this code was determined by Delsarte, Goethals, and MacWilliams [7] in case $j = 0$ and by Bagchi and Inamdar [2] for general values of j . Stronger characterisations of the codewords of small weight are known, see e.g. [1]. The dimension of these codes was determined in case $j = 0$ by Hamada [9], but is still unknown if $j > 0$ and $k < n - 1$.

In this paper, we study a similar code. Choose integers $0 \leq j, k < n$ and a prime power q . Construct a 01-matrix M whose rows and columns are indexed by the subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ of dimensions k and j respectively. Let M have entry 1 in a certain position if and only if the corresponding j - and k -space have a non-empty intersection. Let \mathbb{F}_p denote the prime subfield of \mathbb{F}_q and $\mathcal{C}_{j,k}(n, q)$ the row space of M over \mathbb{F}_p . The length of this code equals the number of j -spaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$. The dimension of this code was determined by Sin [14]. The general formula is quite involved, so we will not state it here. However, the case of interest to us are the codes $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, q)$, and for this fixed set of dimensions j, k, n , there is a simple formula for the dimension of the code.

Result 1.1 ([14]). *Let p be a prime and $q = p^h$ with $h \geq 1$ an integer. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, q) = q \left(\frac{2p^2 + 1}{3} \right)^h + 1.$$

Yet, determining the minimum weight of $\mathcal{C}_{j,k}(n, q)$ is still an open problem for $j, k > 0$ and $j + k \leq n - 1$ [11, Open Problem 2.4]. In this paper, we determine the minimum weight of $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, q)$ for sufficiently large q . For a set S of lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, we call the 01-vector whose positions are indexed by the lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ and that has a 1 exactly in the positions corresponding to the lines of S , the characteristic vector of S . For any line ℓ of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, we refer to the characteristic vector of the set of lines having non-empty intersection with ℓ simply as the characteristic vector of ℓ . Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $q \geq 19$ and let c be a non-zero codeword of $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, q)$ of weight at most $q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$. Then either*

¹Actually, Weldon [18] studied codes from projective planes arising from perfect difference sets. Classical projective planes are known to belong to this category. It is still an open conjecture that no other projective planes do. This conjecture has been verified for planes up to order 2 billion [3].

- (1) c has weight $q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$ and c is the characteristic vector of the set of absolute lines of a symplectic polar space $W(3, q)$, or
- (2) c has weight $q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$ and c is a scalar multiple of the characteristic vector of a line.

Moreover, (1) occurs if and only if q is even.

In order to prove this theorem, we use a classification of small blocking sets on the Klein quadric. Metsch [12] proved the following result, where δ denotes the Kronecker delta.

Result 1.3 ([12, Theorem 1.1]). *Let B be a minimal blocking set with respect to lines on the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(n, q)$, with $n \geq 5$. If*

$$|B| \leq \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} + q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} - \delta_{n,5},$$

then B is contained in a hyperplane section of $Q^+(n, q)$.

It is easy to check that a minimal blocking set B with respect to the lines of $Q^+(n, q)$, which is contained in a hyperplane section, can take two forms:

1. B is a parabolic hyperplane section of $Q^+(n, q)$, in which case $|B| = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1}$.
2. There exists a point $P \in Q^+(n, q)$ with tangent hyperplane P^\perp such that B consists of the hyperplane section $P^\perp \cap Q^+(n, q)$, where the point P is removed. In this case $|B| = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1} + q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} - 1$.

We are specifically interested in the case $n = 5$, but we need to strengthen the above result slightly by removing the term $\delta_{n,5}$ from the upper bound on $|B|$. We will do this on the condition that $q \geq 4$, and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $q \geq 4$. Let B be a minimal blocking set with respect to the lines of $Q^+(5, q)$. If $|B| \leq q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$, then B is contained in a hyperplane section of $Q^+(5, q)$.*

Overview. In Section 2, we state the necessary background. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.4. Finally, in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this article, p denotes a prime number, $h \geq 1$ an integer, and $q = p^h$ a prime power. The finite field of order q will be denoted as \mathbb{F}_q .

We recall the basics of the theory of linear codes, see e.g. [17, §3]. It will be convenient to represent the ambient vector space of a linear code as the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^S of functions from some finite set S to \mathbb{F}_q . Given a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^S$, its *support* is defined as

$$\text{supp}(v) = \{s \in S \mid v(s) \neq 0\},$$

and its (*Hamming*) *weight* as $\text{wt}(v) = |\text{supp}(v)|$. The (*Hamming*) *distance* between two vectors v and w is $d_H(v, w) = \text{wt}(v - w)$. A subspace C of \mathbb{F}_q^S is said to be a *linear* $[n, k, d]_q$ *code* if

- its length, which equals $|S|$, is n ,
- its dimension is k ,
- its minimum distance $\min \{d_H(v, w) \mid v, w \in C, v \neq w\}$ equals d .

It is well-known that the minimum distance of C equals its *minimum weight*, which is defined as $\min \{\text{wt}(v) \mid v \in C \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the zero vector.

The standard scalar product on \mathbb{F}_q^S is defined as follows, for $v, w \in \mathbb{F}_q^S$:

$$v \cdot w = \sum_{s \in S} v(s)w(s).$$

If $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^S$ is a linear code, then its orthogonal complement with respect to the standard scalar product is denoted as C^\perp and is called the *dual code* of C .

The projective space corresponding to the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} will be denoted as $\text{PG}(n, q)$. From now on, all dimensions will be projective dimensions. A space of dimension k is called a k -space for short. Let $\mathcal{G}_k(n, q)$ denote the set of k -spaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$. For a subset S of $\mathcal{G}_k(n, q)$, define its *characteristic vector* as

$$\chi_S : \mathcal{G}_k(n, q) \rightarrow \{0, 1\} : \kappa \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } \kappa \notin S. \end{cases}$$

For an integer j and a k -space κ of $\text{PG}(n, q)$, let $\chi_\kappa^{(j)}$ denote the characteristic vector of the set of j -spaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ having non-empty intersection with κ . We refer to $\chi_\kappa^{(j)}$ as the characteristic vector of κ .

Definition 2.1. Let q be a power of a prime p , and choose integers $0 < j, k < n$. The code $\mathcal{C}_{j,k}(n, q)$ is defined as the \mathbb{F}_p -span of $\{\chi_\kappa^{(j)} \mid \kappa \in \mathcal{G}_k(n, q)\}$.

The code of interest to us is $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, q)$, which we will simply denote as $\mathcal{C}(3, q)$. The code $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}(2, q)$ also plays an important role in this article, as we will heavily rely on the characterisation of its small weight codewords by Szőnyi and Weiner [16].

Result 2.2 ([16, §4]). *Suppose that $q \geq 19$ and that $c \in \mathcal{C}_{0,1}(2, q)$ with*

$$\text{wt}(c) < \begin{cases} 3q - 3 & \text{if } q \text{ is prime,} \\ 3q - 12 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then c is a linear combination of at most 2 characteristic vectors of lines.

We end the preliminaries by discussing quadrics and symplectic polar spaces. We refer the reader to [10, §1] for an in-depth treatment of quadrics, and to [6] for a more general treatment of polar spaces. A form $b : \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is called *bilinear* if it is linear in both arguments. We call a bilinear form b *reflexive* if either

- b is *symmetric*, i.e. $b(x, y) = b(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$, or
- b is *alternating*, i.e. $b(x, y) = -b(y, x)$ and $b(x, x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$.

Now suppose that b is a reflexive bilinear form. A non-zero vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$ is called *singular* with respect to b if $b(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$. We call b *degenerate* if it has a singular vector. If b is non-degenerate, then it induces an involution \perp on the subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} defined by

$$\pi^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} \mid (\forall x \in \pi)(b(x, y) = 0)\}.$$

Since the subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ are essentially the subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} , we can interpret \perp as being defined on $\text{PG}(n, q)$. Note that \perp reverses inclusion. Any involution on the subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ that reverses inclusion is called a *polarity*. A subspace π of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is called *absolute* with respect to the polarity \perp if $\pi \subseteq \pi^\perp$.

If b is a non-degenerate alternating form on \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} , then n is necessarily odd, and up to a change of basis, b is given by

$$b(x, y) = x_0y_1 - x_1y_0 + \cdots + x_{n-1}y_n - x_ny_{n-1}.$$

We call the corresponding polarity \perp *symplectic*, and the set of absolute subspaces with respect to \perp is called the *symplectic polar space* $W(n, q)$. It is worth noting that every point of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is absolute with respect to a given symplectic polarity \perp , and that a line ℓ through a point P is absolute if and only if $\ell \subset P^\perp$.

A form $f: \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is called *quadratic* if $f(\alpha x) = \alpha^2 f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. A non-zero vector x is called *isotropic* with respect to f if $f(x) = 0$ and *anisotropic* otherwise. A point $P \in \text{PG}(n, q)$ is called (an)isotropic if its coordinate vectors are (an)isotropic. The set of isotropic points of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ with respect to a quadratic form is called a *quadric*. Every quadratic form f gives rise to a symmetric bilinear form $b(x, y) = f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)$. We call f and its corresponding quadric *degenerate* if some isotropic vector x is singular with respect to b . The non-degenerate quadratic forms on \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} have been classified.

- If n is odd, there are, up to a change of basis, two non-degenerate quadratic forms on \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} . They are of the form

$$f(x) = x_0x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-3}x_{n-2} + g(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

for some non-degenerate quadratic form g . The corresponding quadric is the *hyperbolic quadric* $Q^+(n, q)$ or the *elliptic quadric* $Q^-(n, q)$, if g is reducible or irreducible over \mathbb{F}_q respectively. The corresponding bilinear form b is non-degenerate and defines a polarity \perp . If q is odd, then the set of absolute points with respect to \perp coincides with the quadric $Q^\pm(n, q)$. If q is even, then \perp is a symplectic polarity.

- If n is even, there is up to a change of basis a unique non-degenerate quadratic form on \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} , given by

$$f(x) = x_0x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-2}x_{n-1} + x_n^2.$$

The corresponding quadric is called *parabolic* and denoted as $Q(n, q)$. Let b denote the corresponding bilinear form. Then b is non-degenerate if and only if q is odd, in which case $Q(n, q)$ is the set of absolute points of the corresponding polarity.

We also use the notation $Q^\varepsilon(n, q)$, where ε is either -1 , 0 , or $+1$, according to the quadric being elliptic, parabolic, or hyperbolic respectively. In other words, $Q^{-1}(n, q) = Q^-(n, q)$, $Q^0(n, q) = Q(n, q)$ and $Q^1(n, q) = Q^+(n, q)$.

Let π and ρ be two disjoint subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and let S be a set of points in π . The set $\rho S = \bigcup_{P \in \pi} \langle \rho, P \rangle$ is called the *cone* with *base* S and *vertex* ρ . By convention, $\rho S = S$ if $\rho = \emptyset$ and $\rho S = \rho$ if $S = \emptyset$. Every quadric is a cone with as base a non-degenerate quadric. We call a subspace π *singular* with respect to a quadric Q if $\pi \cap Q$ is a degenerate quadric. If $P \in Q^\varepsilon(n, q)$ and $Q^\varepsilon(n, q)$ has a polarity \perp , then P^\perp intersects $Q^\varepsilon(n, q)$ in a quadric $PQ^\varepsilon(n - 2, q)$. We call P^\perp the *tangent hyperplane* of P . A subspace contained in $Q^\varepsilon(n, q)$ of maximal dimension is called a *generator*.

Result 2.3. (1) The quadric $Q^\varepsilon(n, q)$ has $\frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} + \varepsilon q^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ points.

(2) The quadric $Q^\varepsilon(n, q)$ has

$$\prod_{i=1-\varepsilon}^{\frac{n-\varepsilon}{2}} (q^i + 1)$$

generators, and their dimension equals $\frac{n+\varepsilon}{2} - 1$.

(3) Let \mathcal{G} denote the set of generators of $Q^+(n, q)$. Then the relation

$$\left\{ (\pi, \rho) \in \mathcal{G}^2 \mid \dim(\pi \cap \rho) \equiv \frac{n-1}{2} \pmod{2} \right\}$$

is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{G} , having two classes of equal size.

An equivalence class of generators of $Q^+(3, q)$ is called a *regulus*, and two distinct reguli of the same quadric $Q^+(3, q)$ are called *opposite*.

Lastly, we discuss the *Klein correspondence*. This is a bijection between the points of $Q^+(5, q)$ and the lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. For this reason, the quadric $Q^+(5, q)$ is also called the *Klein quadric*. The lines contained in $Q^+(5, q)$ correspond to the sets $\{\ell \in \mathcal{G}_1(3, q) \mid P \in \ell \subset \pi\}$ of lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, with P and π an incident point and plane of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. The equivalence classes of generators of $Q^+(5, q)$ are called the classes of *Greek* and *Latin* planes. The Greek planes correspond to the sets $\{\ell \in \mathcal{G}_1(3, q) \mid \ell \subset \pi\}$ with π a plane, and the Latin planes correspond to the sets $\{\ell \in \mathcal{G}_1(3, q) \mid P \in \ell\}$ with P a point. A parabolic hyperplane section of $Q^+(5, q)$ corresponds to the set of lines of a symplectic polar space $W(3, q)$. A tangent hyperplane section of $Q^+(5, q)$ corresponds to the set of all lines having non-empty intersection with a fixed line of $\text{PG}(3, q)$.

3 Blocking sets of the Klein quadric

In this section, we extend Result 1.3 in the case $n = 5$ to Theorem 1.4. We will closely follow the original proof by Metsch [12].

Definition 3.1. A *blocking set* of a quadric Q with respect to the k -spaces is a set B of points of Q that intersects every k -space of Q . We call B *minimal* if none of its proper subsets is a blocking set.

From now on, blocking sets are always considered with respect to lines. We focus on $Q^+(5, q)$, which will simply be denoted as Q . The corresponding polarity will be denoted as \perp .

Metsch proved the following result.

Result 3.2 ([12, Lemma 2.2]). *Let B be a blocking set of Q with $|B| \leq q^3 + 2q^2 + q$. Let H be a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(5, q)$ such that $H \cap B$ is not a blocking set of Q .*

- (1) *If H is a tangent hyperplane, then $|H \cap B| \leq |B| - q(q^2 - q + 1) \leq 3q^2$.*
- (2) *If H is a non-tangent hyperplane, then $|H \cap B| \leq 4q^2 - 2q$. If in addition $|H \cap B| > 3q^2 - q$, then every line of $H \cap Q$ meets B in at least two points.*

We extend this result using similar arguments to the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $q \geq 3$. Let B be a blocking set of Q with $|B| \leq q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$. Let H be a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(5, q)$ such that $H \cap B$ is not a blocking set of Q . If $|H \cap B| \geq 3q^2 + 2$, then H is a non-tangent hyperplane, $|H \cap B| \leq 4q^2 - 2q + 1$, and every line of $H \cap Q$ meets B in at least two points.*

Proof. Note that the case $|B| \leq q^3 + 2q^2 + q$ follows from Result 3.2, so we may suppose that $|B| = q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$.

In case that H is a non-tangent hyperplane, put $\mathcal{P} := H \cap Q$. In case that H is the tangent hyperplane of a point $P_0 \in Q$, put $\mathcal{P} := (H \cap Q) \setminus \{P_0\}$. In both cases, \mathcal{P} is a minimal blocking set of Q .

There exist integers $\delta, c_0, c_1, d, \Delta$ such that the following properties hold:

- (a) every point $P \in \mathcal{P}$ belongs to c_0 lines of Q that do not lie in H ;
- (b) every line contained in \mathcal{P} lies in c_1 planes of Q that do not lie in H ;
- (c) δ points of \mathcal{P} are not in B ;
- (d) d is the maximal number of points of $Q \setminus B$ on a line contained in \mathcal{P} ;
- (e) $\Delta = |B| - |\mathcal{P}|$, which implies that $|B \setminus H| = |B| - |B \cap H| \leq \delta + \Delta$.

Note that $|B \setminus H| = \delta + \Delta$ or $|B \setminus H| = \delta + \Delta - 1$ and that the latter occurs only when H is the tangent hyperplane of a point $P_0 \in B$.

Since B meets every line of Q , $d \neq q + 1$. The definition of \mathcal{P} implies that \mathcal{P} meets every line of Q . Since, by hypothesis, the points of $H \cap B$ do not meet every line of Q , we see that \mathcal{P} is not contained in B . Hence, $d \neq 0$. Thus $1 \leq d \leq q$.

If X is a point of B that does not belong to H , then X^\perp meets H in a quadric Q_X of type $Q^+(3, q)$. Denote by z the maximal number of points of $Q \setminus B$ that lie in such a quadric Q_X . In case that H is the tangent hyperplane of a point $P_0 \in Q$, note that P_0 does not occur in any of the quadrics Q_X .

Then every point X of $B \setminus H$ is perpendicular to at most z points of $\mathcal{P} \setminus B$. On the other hand, a point P of $\mathcal{P} \setminus B$ belongs to c_0 lines of Q that are not contained in H and as each of these lines meets B , the point P is perpendicular to at least c_0 points of $B \setminus H$. We now count the pairs (X, P) of perpendicular points $X \in B \setminus H$ and $P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus B$. We obtain that $|B \setminus H| \cdot z \geq \delta c_0$.

Since $|B \setminus H| \leq \Delta + \delta$, this implies that

$$(\Delta + \delta) \cdot z \geq \delta c_0. \tag{3.1}$$

Consider a point $X \in B \setminus H$ for which the quadric Q_X has exactly z points in $Q \setminus B$. Since every line of Q_X meets $Q \setminus B$ in at most d points, a simple double counting argument (cf. [12, Lemma 2.1]) gives

$$z \leq \frac{|Q_X|d}{q+1}.$$

So this upper and lower bound for z imply that

$$\frac{\delta c_0}{\delta + \Delta} \leq z \leq \frac{|Q_X|d}{q+1}. \tag{3.2}$$

By definition (d) of d , \mathcal{P} contains a line ℓ with exactly d points P_1, \dots, P_d in $Q \setminus B$. The point P_1 belongs to c_0 lines of Q that do not lie in H and each of these lines meets $B \setminus H$. In this way, the point P_1 gives rise to at least c_0 points of $B \setminus H$. For the point P_2 , the same holds, but c_1q of these lines on P_2 lie in a plane of Q on $Q^+(5, q)$ through ℓ , so that the corresponding points of $B \setminus H$ might have already been counted using the lines on P_1 . Thus P_2 gives rise to at least $c_0 - c_1q$ new points in $B \setminus H$ and the same is true for P_3, \dots, P_d . This shows that

$$|B \setminus H| \geq dc_0 - (d-1)c_1q. \tag{3.3}$$

But $|B \setminus H| \leq \Delta + \delta$, so $\delta \geq dc_0 - (d-1)c_1q - \Delta$.

Inequality (3.2) remains true, if we replace δ by this lower bound for δ . This gives, after simplifications using that $|Q_X| = (q+1)^2$, that

$$(dc_0 - (d-1)c_1q - \Delta)c_0 \leq (q+1)d(dc_0 - (d-1)c_1q). \tag{3.4}$$

There are two possibilities for the intersection of the hyperplane H with the quadric Q . Either H intersects Q in a non-degenerate parabolic quadric $Q(4, q)$ or H is the tangent hyperplane P^\perp of a point $P \in Q$.

Suppose by contradiction that H is a tangent hyperplane. Then $c_0 = q^2$ and $c_1 = 1$. The bound on $|B|$ gives $\Delta \leq 1$. From (3.4), we obtain $(dq^2 - (d-1)q) \cdot (q^2(q+1) - d(q+1)^2) \leq q^2(q+1)$, so $d = q$. Then $|B \setminus H| \geq dc_0 - (d-1)c_1q = q^3 - q^2 + q$, and thus $|B \cap H| \leq 3q^2 + 1$, a contradiction.

Therefore, H is a non-tangent hyperplane. Then $c_0 = q^2 + q$ and $c_1 = 2$. The bound on $|B|$ gives $\Delta \leq q^2$. From (3.4), we obtain $(dq - d + 2)(q - d) \leq q^2$, so either $d = 1$, $d = q - 1$ or $d = q$.

If $d = 1$, then (3.2) implies that $\delta \leq q + 1$ and therefore $|B \setminus H| \leq q^2 + q + 1$. Also, (3.3) implies that $|B \setminus H| \geq q^2 + q$ and therefore $\delta \geq q$. The latter means that there are at least q points in $H \cap Q$ not in B , and since $d = 1$, these points are pairwise non-collinear in Q . Take two of these points. The number of points of $B \setminus H$ that are collinear with both points is at least $q^2 + q - 1$, since each point belongs to $c_0 = q^2 + q$ lines of $Q^+(5, q) \setminus H$, and $|B \setminus H| \leq q^2 + q + 1$. There are at most 2 points not collinear with both. The $q^2 + q - 1$ points that are, belong to a hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(3, q)$. If we take a third point of $B \setminus H$, then it can be collinear with at most $2q + 1$ points of that hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(3, q)$, i.e. at most $2q + 1 + 4 = 2q + 5$ lines of the $c_0 = q^2 + q$ lines of $Q^+(5, q) \setminus H$ through that third point can be blocked by B , a contradiction.

If $d = q - 1$, then (3.3) implies that $|B \setminus H| \geq q^3 - 2q^2 + 3q$, so $|B \cap H| \leq 4q^2 - 2q + 1$. Moreover, $d = q - 1$ means that every line of $H \cap Q$ contains at least two points of B .

If $d = q$, then (3.3) implies that $|B \setminus H| \geq q^3 - q^2 + 2q$, so $|B \cap H| \leq 3q^2 - q + 1$, a contradiction. □

Result 3.4 ([12, Lemma 2.4]). *Consider in $\text{PG}(4, q)$ a degenerate quadric $Q' = PQ^+(3, q)$ for some point P . Assume that B is a set of at most $(q + 1)^2 + (2q - 3)$ points of Q' such that $P \notin B$ and such that every line of Q' meets B . Then there exists a solid S with $P \notin S$ and $S \cap Q' \subseteq B$.*

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4, following a similar strategy as [12, Theorem 3.1].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $q \geq 4$. If $|B| \leq q^3 + 2q^2 + q$, then this follows from Result 1.3, so suppose that $|B| = q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$.

Let \mathcal{F} be one of the two equivalence classes of planes of Q . Then $|\mathcal{F}| = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$, and every point of Q belongs to $q + 1$ planes of \mathcal{F} . It follows that $\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} |\pi \cap B| = |B|(q + 1)$. Since $|B| \leq q(q + 1)^2 + 1$, this implies that there exists a plane $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|\pi \cap B| < q + 2$. It follows from a result of Bruen [5] that $|\pi \cap B| = q + 1$ and that $\ell := \pi \cap B$ is a line.

Consider a point P of $Q \setminus B$. Then Result 3.4 shows that $|P^\perp \cap B| \geq (q + 1)^2$. It also shows that $|P^\perp \cap B| < (q + 1)^2 + 2q - 2$ implies that there exists a solid S in the hyperplane P^\perp , with $P \notin S$ and $S \cap Q \subseteq B$. Since $P \notin S$, the subspace S meets Q in a quadric of type $Q^+(3, q)$, which has $(q + 1)^2$ points. For every point $P \in Q \setminus B$, put $e_P := |P^\perp \cap B| - (q + 1)^2$.

Now we prove the following result.

(1) The set $\pi \setminus \ell$ contains at least one point P with $e_P \leq q - 1$, at least two points P with $e_P \leq q$, and at least $q + 2$ points P with $e_P < 2q - 2$, unless $e_P = q$ for all the points $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$.

Recall that $\pi \cap B = \ell$. Every point P of $\pi \setminus \ell$ is perpendicular to $|P^\perp \cap B| = e_P + (q + 1)^2$ points of B . But then P is collinear to $e_P + (q + 1)^2 - (q + 1) = q^2 + q + e_P$ points of $B \setminus \ell$. Then every point of $B \setminus \ell$ is perpendicular to q points of $\pi \setminus \ell$, unless this point of B lies in the second plane of Q through the line ℓ .

Therefore,

$$\sum_{P \in \pi \setminus \ell} (q^2 + q + e_P) \leq (|B| - q - 1)q.$$

So

$$\sum_{P \in \pi \setminus \ell} e_P \leq (|B| - q - 1)q - (q^2 + q)q^2 \leq q^3,$$

since $|B| \leq q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$.

Since $|\pi \setminus \ell| = q^2$ and since the upper bound is q^3 , there exists at least one point $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$ with $e_P \leq q - 1$, unless $e_P = q$ for every point $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$. It is also valid that there exist at least two points $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$ with $e_P \leq q$, and, since $q \geq 4$, at least $q + 2$ points $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$ with $e_P < 2q - 2$.

Case 1. Assume that for some point $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$, $e_P \neq q$.

By (1), we find $q + 2$ points P_1, \dots, P_{q+2} in $\pi \setminus \ell$, with $e_{P_i} < 2q - 2$. For each of these points P_i , the hyperplane P_i^\perp contains a solid S_i , with $P_i \notin S_i$, and such that all the points of the quadric $S_i \cap Q$ belong to B . These quadrics $S_i \cap Q$ are hyperbolic quadrics $Q^+(3, q)_i$.

Here, $\pi \subseteq P_i^\perp$. Since all the intersection points of the plane π with S_i belong to B , and $\pi \cap B = \ell$, necessarily the line $\ell \subseteq S_i$.

For $i \neq j$, the subspace $S_i \cap S_j$ is either the line ℓ or it is a plane that meets Q in two lines, one of which is the line ℓ . In particular, at most $2q + 1$ points of Q lie in $S_i \cap S_j$. It follows that the union $S_i \cup S_j$ contains at least $2(q + 1)^2 - (2q + 1) = 2q^2 + 2q + 1$ points of B . Also, as ℓ belongs to all the subspaces S_i , the union of three of the solids S_i contains at least $3(q + 1)^2 - 3(2q + 1) + (q + 1) = 3q^2 + q + 1$ points of B .

By (1), we may assume that $e_{P_1} \leq q - 1$. Consider a point P_i with $i \geq 2$. The line P_1P_i is a line of Q ; so it lies in two planes of Q . One of these planes is π . Let π' be the other plane. Then π' meets S_i in a line and this line lies in B . As $|P_1^\perp \cap B| \leq (q + 1)^2 + q - 1$, at least two of the points of this line must lie in S_1 . Hence, the line $\pi' \cap S_i$ lies in S_1 . This shows that $S_1 \cap S_i$ is a plane that meets Q in two lines $\pi \cap S_i = \ell$ and $\pi' \cap S_i$.

The solid S_1 lies in $q + 1$ hyperplanes. One of these hyperplanes is P_1^\perp . As $|P_1^\perp \cap B| = (q + 1)^2 + e_{P_1} \leq (q + 1)^2 + q - 1 < 2q^2 + 2q + 1$, the hyperplane P_1^\perp cannot contain one of the solids S_2, \dots, S_{q+2} . Since S_1 spans a hyperplane with each of the $q + 1$ solids S_2, \dots, S_{q+2} , it follows that there exists a hyperplane H on S_1 that contains at least two of the solids S_2, \dots, S_{q+2} . Then $|H \cap B| \geq 3q^2 + q + 1$. We may assume that $S_2, S_3 \subseteq H$.

In order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that $H \cap B$ meets all the lines of Q . Assume that this is not the case. As $|H \cap B| \geq 3q^2 + q + 1$, Lemma 3.3 shows that H is a non-tangent hyperplane, that $|H \cap B| \leq 4q^2 - 2q + 1$, and that every line of $H \cap Q$ meets B in at least two points.

Consider the three solids S_1, S_2, S_3 of H . The line ℓ belongs to all three of them. As H is a non-tangent hyperplane, it meets Q in a quadric of type $Q(4, q)$. Thus ℓ meets $(q + 1)q$ other lines of $H \cap Q = Q(4, q)$. Each of these lines meets B in at least two points. One of these two points belongs to the line ℓ . Of the $(q + 1)q$ lines of $H \cap Q$ that meet ℓ , at most $3(q + 1)$ lie in one of the solids S_1, S_2, S_3 . Therefore, at least $(q + 1)(q - 3)$ lines of $H \cap Q$ meet ℓ and are not contained in S_1, S_2, S_3 . Since each line of H meets B in at least two points, each of these $(q + 1)(q - 3)$ lines contains a point of B that is not in S_1, S_2 or S_3 . Different lines yield different points of B , since H does not contain a plane of Q (or, equivalently, $H \cap Q = Q(4, q)$ is a generalised quadrangle) [13]. Thus we have found $(q + 1)(q - 3)$ extra points in B so that $|H \cap B| \geq 3q^2 + q + 1 + (q + 1)(q - 3) = 4q^2 - q - 2$. But $|B \cap H| \leq 4q^2 - 2q + 1$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that for all the points $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$, $e_P = q$.

If $e_P = q$ for every point $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$, then $|P^\perp \cap B| = (q + 1)^2 + q \leq (q + 1)^2 + 2q - 3$. So for every such point $P \in \pi \setminus \ell$, P^\perp contains a solid S , with $P \notin S$ and $S \cap Q = Q^+(3, q) \subseteq B$ by Lemma 3.4. Moreover, $S \cap \pi = \ell$ for each such solid S . We want to find points P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{q+2} such that for the respective solids S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{q+2} , it holds that $S_1 \cap S_i$ is a plane for all $i = 2, \dots, q + 2$, since then we can continue with the proof of Case 1.

First choose $q + 2$ arbitrary points $P_i \in \pi \setminus \ell$. The line P_1P_i lies in two planes of Q , one of which is π . Let π_i be the other plane. Its intersection with S_i is a line ℓ_i that is contained in B . If this line ℓ_i also lies in S_1 , then $S_1 \cap S_i$ is a plane. If this is the case for

all $i \in \{2, \dots, q+2\}$, then we are done. So suppose that this is not the case. Then $\ell_i \not\subseteq S_1$ for a certain $i \in \{2, \dots, q+2\}$. W.l.o.g. this is the case for $i = 2$. Since $\ell_2 \subseteq P_1^\perp \cap B$ and $e_P = q$, $P_1^\perp \cap B = Q^+(3, q)_1 \cup \ell_2$. Now, for any other point $P_i \in \pi \setminus (\ell \cup \pi_2)$, ℓ_i must lie in S_1 , since otherwise $P_1^\perp \cap B$ contains at least $q-1$ more points (those extra points on the line ℓ_i) and therefore $e_{P_1} \geq 2q-1$, a contradiction. So $S_1 \cap S_i$ is a plane for all points $P_i \in \pi \setminus (\ell \cup \pi_2)$.

The remainder of the proof is the same as in Case 1, starting from the paragraph that begins with the sentence “The solid S_1 lies in $q+1$ hyperplanes.” \square

4 Small weight codewords of $\mathcal{C}(3, q)$

In this section, we determine the small weight codewords of $\mathcal{C}(3, q)$. We do this by investigating the small weight codewords of a code that contains $\mathcal{C}(3, q)$.

Definition 4.1. Let $C(q)$ denote the code spanned by $\mathcal{C}(3, q)$ and the characteristic vectors of the lines of the symplectic polar spaces $W(3, q)$ embedded in PG(3, q).

First, we prove that $C(q) = \mathcal{C}(3, q)$ if and only if q is even. We actually prove a similar result for a more general class of codes.

Definition 4.2. Let $0 < i < j < n$. For $c : \mathcal{G}_j(n, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, define

$$\text{proj}^{(i)}(c) : \mathcal{G}_i(n, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p : \mu \mapsto \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_j(n, q) \\ \mu \subseteq \lambda}} c(\lambda).$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $0 < i, j, k < n$, $i < j$. A function $c : \mathcal{G}_j(n, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{j,k}(n, q)^\perp$ if and only if $\text{proj}^{(i)}(c)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{i,k}(n, q)^\perp$.

Proof. Observe that $\text{proj}^{(i)}(c)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{i,k}(n, q)^\perp$ if and only if for each k -space κ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{G}_i(n, q) \\ \mu \cap \kappa \neq \emptyset}} \text{proj}^{(i)}(c)(\mu) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{G}_i(n, q) \\ \mu \cap \kappa \neq \emptyset}} \text{proj}^{(i)}(c)(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{G}_i(n, q) \\ \mu \cap \kappa \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_j(n, q) \\ \mu \subseteq \lambda}} c(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_j(n, q) \\ \lambda \cap \kappa \neq \emptyset}} c(\lambda) = c \cdot \chi_\kappa^{(j)},$$

where we used that

$$|\{\mu \in \mathcal{G}_i(n, q) \mid \mu \subseteq \lambda, \mu \cap \kappa \neq \emptyset\}| \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda \cap \kappa = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda \cap \kappa \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \pmod{p},$$

because the number of i -subspaces of a j -space, having nonempty intersection with a given subspace, equals 1 modulo p , see e.g. [4, Lemma 9.3.2].

Hence, $\text{proj}^{(i)}(c)$ belongs to the dual code of i -spaces and k -spaces of PG(n, q) if and only if $c \cdot \chi_\kappa^{(j)} = 0$ for each k -space κ , which is equivalent to $c \in \mathcal{C}_{j,k}(n, q)^\perp$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let S denote the set of absolute lines of a symplectic polar space $W(2n + 1, q)$ embedded in $\text{PG}(2n + 1, q)$. Then $\chi_S \in \mathcal{C}_{1,n}(2n + 1, q)$ if and only if q is even.*

Proof. First suppose that q is even. Consider a hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$, and an equivalence class \mathcal{R} of the generators (n -spaces) of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$. Consider the codeword

$$c = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}} \chi_\lambda^{(1)}$$

of the code $\mathcal{C}_{1,n}(2n + 1, q)$. Take a line ℓ in $\text{PG}(2n + 1, q)$. Then $c(\ell)$ equals the number of generators in \mathcal{R} that intersect ℓ non-trivially. Suppose that ℓ intersects $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ in m_1 points. The number of generators of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ of \mathcal{R} through a point P equals 0 if $P \notin Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ and equals the number of generators of \mathcal{R} in P^\perp otherwise, with \perp the polarity associated to $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$. Since P^\perp intersects $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ in a cone $PQ^+(2n - 1, q)$, this number equals the number of generators of one equivalence class in $Q^+(2n - 1, q)$, which is given by $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i + 1)$ (where we use the convention that an empty product equals 1) by Result 2.3(2) and (3). Let m_2 denote the number of generators of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ through ℓ . Then

$$c(\ell) = m_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i + 1) - qm_2 \equiv m_1 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, c is the characteristic vector of the singular lines of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$. Since q is even, these are the absolute lines with respect to \perp , which must be symplectic, again by q being even.

Now suppose that q is odd. Let \perp denote the polarity associated to $W(2n + 1, q)$. Take a 3-space Σ of $W(2n + 1, q)$ such that $\Sigma \cap \Sigma^\perp = \emptyset$. Then the absolute subspaces of \perp contained in Σ are the lines and points of a $W(3, q)$ in Σ . Consider a hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(3, q)$ in Σ and let \mathcal{R}^+ and \mathcal{R}^- denote its two reguli. Consider the function

$$c: \mathcal{G}_1(2n + 1, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p: \ell \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell \in \mathcal{R}^+, \\ -1 & \text{if } \ell \in \mathcal{R}^-, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{proj}^{(0)}(c) = \mathbf{0}$, hence $c \in \mathcal{C}_{1,n}(2n + 1, q)^\perp$ by Lemma 4.3. Therefore, if χ_S would be in $\mathcal{C}_{1,n}(2n + 1, q)$, then $\chi_S \cdot c = 0$, which means that S contains the same number of lines of \mathcal{R}^+ and \mathcal{R}^- modulo p . Hence, in order to prove that $\chi_S \notin \mathcal{C}_{1,n}(2n + 1, q)$, it suffices to show that there exists a hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(3, q)$ and a symplectic polar space $W(3, q)$ in $\Sigma \cong \text{PG}(3, q)$ where the reguli of $Q^+(3, q)$ do not contain the same number of lines of $W(3, q)$ modulo p .

To this end, consider the symplectic polar space of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ defined by the bilinear form

$$b(x, y) = x_0y_1 - x_1y_0 + x_2y_3 - x_3y_2.$$

From now on, let \perp denote the polarity of the symplectic space $W(3, q)$ corresponding to b . A line through two distinct points of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, with coordinates $x = (x_0, \dots, x_3)$ and $y = (y_0, \dots, y_3)$, is absolute if and only if $b(x, y) = 0$. Now consider the hyperbolic quadric with equation $x_0x_1 = x_2x_3$. Its two reguli are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^+ &= \{ \langle (\alpha, 0, \beta, 0), (0, \beta, 0, \alpha) \rangle \mid \langle (\alpha, \beta) \rangle \in \text{PG}(1, q) \}, \\ \mathcal{R}^- &= \{ \langle (\alpha, 0, 0, \beta), (0, \beta, \alpha, 0) \rangle \mid \langle (\alpha, \beta) \rangle \in \text{PG}(1, q) \}. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand,

$$b((\alpha, 0, \beta, 0), (0, \beta, 0, \alpha)) = 2\alpha\beta.$$

Since q is odd, this means that \mathcal{R}^+ contains two absolute lines. On the other hand,

$$b((\alpha, 0, 0, \beta), (0, \beta, \alpha, 0)) = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha = 0,$$

so all $q + 1$ lines in \mathcal{R}^- are absolute. Note that $q + 1 \equiv 1 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{p}$, which finishes the proof. \square

Now we continue our investigation of the small weight codewords of $C(q)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Given $c \in C(q)$, there exists a scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with the property that $c \cdot \chi_S = \alpha$ whenever S is one of the following types of sets:*

- S is the set of all lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, i.e. $S = \mathcal{G}_1(3, q)$,
- S is the set of lines in a plane of $\text{PG}(3, q)$,
- S is the set of lines through a point of $\text{PG}(3, q)$,
- S is the set of lines through a point in a plane of $\text{PG}(3, q)$.

Proof. Let χ either be the characteristic vector of the lines of a symplectic space $W(3, q)$, or a vector of the form χ_ℓ for some line ℓ in $\text{PG}(3, q)$. Then for each of the sets S listed above, $\chi \cdot \chi_S = 1$. The proof for the other codewords of $C(q)$ follows from the linearity of the code $C(q)$. \square

Note that if $\alpha \neq 0$ in the above lemma, then $c \cdot \chi_S \neq 0$ whenever S is the set of lines through a point in a plane. Therefore, the image of $\text{supp}(c)$ under the Klein correspondence must be a blocking set with respect to the lines of $Q^+(5, q)$. If $\text{wt}(c)$ is small, then we can apply Theorem 1.4 to obtain strong structural information about $\text{supp}(c)$. It remains to deal with the case $\alpha = 0$.

We will use a result in projective planes that is a special case of a result in general combinatorial designs. For a proof, see [15, Theorem 3.1] for the projective planes and [15, Theorem 4.1] or [8, Corollary 5.3] for the general result.

Result 4.6. *A set S of lines in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ covers at least $\frac{(q+1)^2|S|}{q+|S|}$ points.*

We need one more small lemma, and then we are ready to deal with the case $\alpha = 0$. Given a function f , and a subset S of its domain, denote the restriction of f to S by $f|_S$.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that $c \in C(q)$.*

- *If S is the set of lines in a plane π of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, then $c_{|S}$ belongs to the code $\mathcal{C}_{1,0}(2, q)$ defined on the lines of π .*
- *If S is the set of lines through a point P , then $c_{|S}$ belongs to the code of points and lines $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}(2, q)$ in the quotient geometry through P .*

Proof. Suppose that S denotes the set of lines in the plane π . Then for each line ℓ , either $\ell \in \pi$ and $\chi_{\ell|S}^{(1)} = \mathbf{1}$, or $\ell \cap \pi$ is some point P , and $\chi_{\ell|S}^{(1)}$ is the characteristic vector of the lines through P in π . Similarly, if χ is the characteristic vector of the lines of a symplectic space $W(3, q)$ with polarity \perp , then $\chi_{|S}$ is the characteristic vector of the lines through the point π^\perp in π . In all cases, these vectors belong to the code of $\mathcal{C}_{1,0}(2, q)$ defined on the lines of π . Then this is valid for all $c \in C(q)$ by linearity.

The proof is analogous for S equal to the set of lines through a point in $\text{PG}(3, q)$. □

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that $q \geq 19$. Let $c \in C(q)$, with $c \cdot \mathbf{1} = 0$. If $c \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $\text{wt}(c) \geq q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 3$.*

Proof. Assume that $c \cdot \mathbf{1} = 0$ and $c \neq \mathbf{0}$. In order to apply Result 2.2, let δ denote 3 if q is prime and 12 otherwise, in which case $q \geq 25$. Take a plane π which is not disjoint to $\text{supp}(c)$ and let S be the set of lines in π . Consider the code $\mathcal{C}_{1,0}(2, q)$ of lines and points in π . Then $c_{|S} \in \mathcal{C}_{1,0}(2, q)$ by Lemma 4.7. Now suppose that π contains fewer than $3q - \delta$ points of $\text{supp}(c)$. By Result 2.2, $c_{|S}$ is a linear combination of at most two characteristic vectors of points, i.e. $c_{|S} = \alpha\chi_P^{(1)} + \beta\chi_R^{(1)}$ for some scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and points $P, R \in \pi$. Lemma 4.5 implies that $c \cdot \chi_S = c \cdot \mathbf{1} = 0$. Since $\alpha + \beta = c_{|S} \cdot \mathbf{1} = c \cdot \chi_S = 0$, it follows that $\alpha = -\beta$. Note that $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ and $P \neq R$ since we assumed that $c_{|S} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

We conclude the following. For any plane π , one of three cases holds:

- π contains no lines of $\text{supp}(c)$,
- π contains exactly $2q$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$, and there exist two distinct points P, R in π so that the lines of $\text{supp}(c)$ contained in π are exactly the lines of π containing exactly one of the points P and R ,
- π contains at least $3q - \delta$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$.

Completely analogously, any point of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ belongs to 0, $2q$, or at least $3q - \delta$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$.

First consider the case where some plane π of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ contains $2q$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$, and let P, R be as above. Then every point of π not on the line $\langle P, R \rangle$ belongs to two lines of $\text{supp}(c)$ in π , hence, such a point belongs to at least $2q - 2$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$ outside of π . Also, P and R lie on at least $2q$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$. This yields

$$\text{wt}(c) \geq q^2(2q - 2) + 2 \cdot 2q = 2q^3 - 2q^2 + 4q > q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 3.$$

If some point P belongs to exactly $2q$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$, we can apply a similar argument. Indeed, by using the duality of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, we can swap the roles of points and planes in the above argument.

Thus, the last case to consider is the case where no plane or point of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ is incident with exactly $2q$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$. Take a plane π containing a line of $\text{supp}(c)$. Then π contains at least $3q - \delta$ lines of $\text{supp}(c)$. By Result 4.6, these lines cover at least $\frac{(q+1)^2(3q-\delta)}{4q-\delta}$ points of π . Each of these points belongs to at least $3q - \delta - (q + 1) = 2q - \delta - 1$ lines outside of π . Therefore,

$$\text{wt}(c) \geq 3q - \delta + \frac{(q + 1)^2(3q - \delta)}{4q - \delta}(2q - \delta - 1).$$

Plugging in that either $\delta = 3$ and $q \geq 19$, or $\delta = 12$ and $q \geq 25$, yields

$$\text{wt}(c) > q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 2. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that $q \geq 19$ and that c is a non-zero codeword of $C(q)$ with $\text{wt}(c) \leq q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$. Then one of the following cases holds.*

- (1) $\text{wt}(c) = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$, and c is a scalar multiple of the characteristic vector of the lines of a symplectic space $W(3, q)$.
- (2) $\text{wt}(c) = q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$, and c is a scalar multiple of $\chi_\ell^{(1)}$ for some line ℓ .

Proof. Define α as $c \cdot \mathbf{1}$. By Proposition 4.8, $\alpha \neq 0$. As noted before, Lemma 4.5 implies that $\text{supp}(c)$ is equivalent to a blocking set of $Q^+(5, q)$ under the Klein correspondence, whose size is at most $q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$. By Theorem 1.4, there are two options:

- $\text{supp}(c)$ contains the set S of lines of a symplectic space $W(3, q)$. In this case, let χ denote χ_S . Since $S \subseteq \text{supp}(c)$, $\text{wt}(c - \alpha\chi) \leq \text{wt}(c)$.
- $\text{supp}(c)$ contains the set S of lines that intersect a given line ℓ of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ exactly in a point. In this case, let χ denote $\chi_\ell^{(1)}$. Since ℓ is the only possible element of $\text{supp}(\chi) \setminus \text{supp}(c)$, $\text{wt}(c - \alpha\chi) \leq \text{wt}(c) + 1$.

In both cases, we find a codeword $c - \alpha\chi$ of $C(q)$ with $(c - \alpha\chi) \cdot \mathbf{1} = 0$ and $\text{wt}(c - \alpha\chi) \leq \text{wt}(c) + 1 < q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 3$. By Proposition 4.8, this implies that $c = \alpha\chi$. \square

Note that Theorem 1.2 follows directly from Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 4.4.

Remark 4.10. Theorem 1.2 requires that $q \geq 19$, but for $q = 2$ we can give a complete classification of the codewords of $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, 2)$. First, observe that for every q , $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, q)$. Indeed, if c is the sum of $\chi_\ell^{(1)}$ over all lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, then for every line ℓ , $c(\ell)$ equals the number of lines intersecting ℓ , i.e. $c(\ell) = \text{wt}(\chi_\ell^{(1)}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $c = \mathbf{1}$.

In $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, 2)$, there is one zero codeword, there are 28 copies of $W(3, 2)$ in $\text{PG}(3, 2)$ giving us 28 codewords of weight 15, and there are 35 lines in $\text{PG}(3, 2)$, giving us 35 codewords of weight 19. For each of these codewords c , $\mathbf{1} + c$ is also a codeword. This accounts for $2(1 + 28 + 35) = 128 = 2^7$ codewords. By Result 1.1, $\dim(\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, 2)) = 7$, so this accounts for all the codewords of $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}(3, 2)$.

ORCID iDs

Sam Adriaensen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0925-9305>

Robin Simoens  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7398-3085>

Leo Storme  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6440-9225>

References

- [1] S. Adriaensen and L. Denaux, Small weight codewords of projective geometric codes II, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **92** (2024), 2451–2472, doi:10.1007/s10623-024-01397-8, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-024-01397-8>.
- [2] B. Bagchi and S. P. Inamdar, Projective geometric codes, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **99** (2002), 128–142, doi:10.1006/jcta.2002.3265, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcta.2002.3265>.
- [3] L. D. Baumert and D. M. Gordon, On the existence of cyclic difference sets with small parameters, in: *High Primes and Misdemeanours: Lectures in Honour of the 60th Birthday of Hugh Cowie Williams*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, volume 41 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pp. 61–68, 2004, doi:10.1090/fic/041, <https://doi.org/10.1090/fic/041>.
- [4] A. Brouwer, A. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-74341-2, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-74341-2>.
- [5] A. Bruen, Baer subplanes and blocking sets, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **76** (1970), 342–344, doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1970-12470-3, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1970-12470-3>.
- [6] P. Cameron, *Projective and polar spaces*, 2015, <https://cameroncounts.files.wordpress.com/2015/04/ppsl.pdf>.
- [7] P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals and F. J. MacWilliams, On generalized Reed-Muller codes and their relatives, *Information and Control* **16** (1970), 403–442, doi:10.1016/S0019-9958(70)90214-7, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(70\)90214-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(70)90214-7).
- [8] W. H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **226/228** (1995), 593–616, doi:10.1016/0024-3795(95)00199-2, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795\(95\)00199-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(95)00199-2).
- [9] N. Hamada, The rank of the incidence matrix of points and d -flats in finite geometries, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I Math.* **32** (1968), 381–396, doi:10.32917/hmj/1206138660, <https://doi.org/10.32917/hmj/1206138660>.
- [10] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas, *General Galois Geometries*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, London, 2016, doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-6790-7, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-6790-7>.
- [11] M. Lavrauw, L. Storme and G. Van de Voorde, Linear codes from projective spaces, in: *Error-Correcting Codes, Finite Geometries and Cryptography*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, volume 523 of *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 185–202, 2010, doi:10.1090/conm/523/10326, <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/523/10326>.
- [12] K. Metsch, On blocking sets of quadrics, *J. Geom.* **67** (2000), 188–207, doi:10.1007/BF01220310, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01220310>.
- [13] S. E. Payne and J. A. Thas, *Finite Generalized Quadrangles*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2nd edition, 2009, doi:10.4171/066, <https://doi.org/10.4171/066>.
- [14] P. Sin, The p -rank of the incidence matrix of intersecting linear subspaces, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **31** (2004), 213–220, doi:10.1023/B:DESI.0000015884.34185.4a, <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:DESI.0000015884.34185.4a>.
- [15] D. R. Stinson, Nonincident points and blocks in designs, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013), 447–452, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2012.11.016, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2012.11.016>.

- [16] T. Szőnyi and Zs. Weiner, Stability of $k \bmod p$ multisets and small weight codewords of the code generated by the lines of $\text{PG}(2, q)$, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **157** (2018), 321–333, doi: 10.1016/j.jcta.2018.02.005, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2018.02.005>.
- [17] J. H. van Lint, *Introduction to Coding Theory*, volume 86 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 3rd edition, 1999, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-58575-3, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-58575-3>.
- [18] E. J. Weldon, Difference-set cyclic codes, *Bell System Tech. J.* **45** (1966), 1045–1055, doi: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1966.tb01686.x, <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1966.tb01686.x>.