"Life of Padma" Times Three: Telling the same Story in Prakrit, Sanskrit, and Apabhramsha

Eva De Clercq

No Jain narrative tradition has received more scholarly attention in recent decades as that of Padma or Rāma, whose story in India is better known as the Sanskrit epic $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$. Most recently, two studies have explored reworkings in Classical Hindi: Adrian Plau's 2018 dissertation focuses on a Classical Hindi version of Rāmcand Bālak around the character of Sītā as a Jain *satī*, and in 2022 Gregory Clines's book focuses on an adaptation in Classical Hindi (or *bhāṣā*) by Brahma Jinadāsa of the seventh century Sanskrit version of Raviṣeṇa, the *Padmapurāna* or *Padmacarita* (Plau 2018; Clines 2018 & 2022). I have been working on the Apabhramsha version *Paümacariu* by Svayambhūdeva since 1999, defending my doctoral thesis in 2003, and with volumes of an English translation appearing since 2018 (De Clercq 2003, 2018 & 2023).

The most notable studies of Jain Rāmāyanas from the previous century, those of K.R. Chandra (1970) and V.M. Kulkarni (1990), took Vimalasūri's Paümacariyam as their focal point, the first extant Jain Rāmāyana. About Vimalasūri very little is known: even his sectarian affiliation remains vague as some elements in his text seem to connect it to Śvetāmbara specifics whereas in others he connects to the Digambaras. He probably composed his work in the fourth or fifth century in Maharashtri Prakrit, which is very much a literary language of kāvya.¹ The work is a Jain carita or purāna, with (mahā)kāvya characteristics. It is often called an epic poem: epic in its thematics - dealing with heroes, warriors, and great battles - and poem in its form — distinguished from "plain" text in that it is versified (padya) and features poetic embellishments (alamkāras). Scholars like Kulkarni and Chandra favoured the early versions, especially that of Vimalasūri, over the later texts. Infamous is, for instance, the assertion of Kulkarni that the later Jain Rāmāyanas he found in Velankar's (1944) seminal manuscript catalogue Jinaratnakośa "probably do not contain any new

¹ See Brockington and Brockington in this volume on the date of Vimalasūri. Ollett briefly discusses Vimala's work (2017: 50, 74-75) and stresses its importance as a literary rather than a religious text.

remarkable features but repeat in their own language what the older Jain writers have already said" (Kulkarni 1990: 14). Discussing Ravisena's Sanskrit Padmacarita, he stresses that he "closely follows Vimalasūri and reproduces his Rāma story without effecting any remarkable changes" (Kulkarni 1990: 103). K.R. Chandra too believes that the text of Ravisena is a "mere translation of the other [= Vimalasūri's Paümacariyam]" (Chandra 1970: 280). However, when later authors of Jain Rāmāvanas, including Svayambhūdeva, Rāmcand Bālak and Brahma Jinadāsa, refer to a source or predecessor, they name Ravisena - not Vimalasūri, suggesting that Ravisena's Sanskrit poem surpassed that of Vimalasūri in reputation and authority, at least among Digambaras. Nevertheless, modern scholars have internalised such statements resulting in none taking up Ravisena's Padmacarita as a primary study subject, until Clines recently gave a much more positive evaluation of its qualities (Clines 2018 & 2022). The Apabhramsha Paümacariu by Svayambhūdeva was not included in Kulkarni's study. Chandra is more favourable about it than Ravisena's version, describing it as "more poetic and attractive in style" (Chandra 1990: 285). Svayambhūdeva's renown as one of the three great poets of Apabhramsha may have guided this attitude (Bhayani 1953: Introduction, 29–30).

As part of my doctoral research which focused on the *Paümacariu*, I compared its narrative with that of its two precursors, the Padmacarita and Paümacariyam, resulting in a comparative table that highlights wherever Svayambhū's text is markedly different in terms of narrative content and structure. Overall, my observations concurred with those of Kulkarni and Chandra in that the narrative of Vimalasūri's and Ravisena's texts, at least in structure and content, are indeed very close. Svayambhū on a few occasions deviates from the other two in a noteworthy way: there are omissions, most notably the story of Munisuvrata and the proximate ancestors of Dasaratha and Janaka in chapters 21 and 22 of Vimalasūri and Ravisena is dropped completely by Svayambhū. On the other hand, Svayambhū sometimes adds parts, such as his lengthy sermon of Hanuman to Ravana on the twelve anupreksas, subjects of meditation, which is absent from Vimalasūri and Ravisena. But despite these and some other digressions, one can say that the narrative is the same overall (De Clercq 2003: 1730-2048). In this paper I take a more detailed look at what I have previously identified as a typical example of similar content in these three texts, to explore in more detail what it means for the latter two to be transcreations or translations: Ravisena's of Vimalasūri's text, and Svayambhū's of Raviseņa's. Through close reading, I analyse a - due to the constraints of space - short selected

passage that is exemplary of this similarity and set the Prakrit, Sanskrit, and Apabhramsha words and verses conveying this parallel content side by side, revealing the exact words the authors used to transpose the verses of their predecessor, and what changes, additions, omissions, abridgements, etc. the individual poets chose to make. This comparison will show that each of these three texts recounts the episode, however similarly, in their own way with their own aesthetic effect. In addition to the narrative content and meaning, the differences in language and corresponding prosody also have a significant bearing on the aesthetic experience. Prakrit is generally described as phonologically more musical, and "smooth", "soft", and "easy" compared to Sanskrit (Ollet 2017: 85–92). This corresponds to a somewhat "heavier" and "slower" (longer, 18,000 granthāgras) Sanskrit version of Ravisena, mostly composed in *sloka* or other recitative syllabic verses, compared to the "smoother" (shorter, 10,000 granthāgras) Prakrit one of Vimalasūri in moraic meters such as the gāthā, which are more rhythmic and inherently more musical.² The characteristics ascribed to Prakrit phonology and meter hold for Apabhramsha as well, which is phonologically close to Prakrit and for the most part employs moraic meters, and its musicality is further enhanced by the consistent use of end rhyme. With 12,000 granthagras the Paümacariu is in length also closer to Vimalasūri's text. Apart from this, the comparison will show that there does not appear to be a distinct way in which the same content is told in either Prakrit, Sanskrit, or Apabhramsha, at least for this case. Of central importance seems to be the poetic genius of the individual poet that stimulates them to make the choices they make in how they present a narrative: the same story told by two different poets in Sanskrit may also be very similar or very different. Different audiences, moreover, have different preferences. It is important to keep in mind that all three poems have their merit, as they have survived and continued to circulate for many centuries.³

² See Gerow 1989: 536; also Jacobi's assessment of the $\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ in Jacobi 1886: 595-602.

³ The *Jinaratnakośa* refers to over twenty attestations of manuscripts for both Vimala's and Ravisena's texts. Svayambhū's text only has three surviving manuscripts. Out of the three, Ravisena's text is referred to most often as a source and authority, at least for Digambara authors. The reason for this is most likely the greater accessibility of Sanskrit compared to Prakrit and Apabhramsha, and the clear Digambara stamp of his work.

Analysis of the Selected Passage

The selected text recounts events shortly after Rāvaņa's abduction of Sītā and corresponds to roughly the first half of chapter 46 in Vimalasūri's and Raviṣeṇa's texts, both of which are divided in an identical way, and for the most part to chapter 41 in Svayambhū's text. In Vimalasūri's chapter, the passage is 29 verses, in Raviṣeṇa's 53 verses and in Svayambhū's it is roughly 10 *kaḍavakas* or 80 verses of varying length. The table below presents a slightly enlarged conversion in English of the comparative table of this chapter from my dissertation with Vimalasūri represented in the first column, Raviṣeṇa and Svayambhū as the second and third, respectively. It makes it quite clear that Vimalasūri and Raviṣeṇa are closer than the *Paümacariu* is to either.⁴

Vimalasūri 46	Ravișeņa 46	Svayambhūdeva 38 & 41
Rāvaņa standing in his chari- ot, beholds Sītā (46.1).	Rāvaņa standing in his chari- ot, beholds Sītā (46.1–3).	{Rāvaņa standing in his chariot, beholds Sītā 38.18.3–4}
He addresses her and tries to seduce her (46.2–5)	He addresses her and tries to seduce her (46.4–10)	{He addresses her and tries to seduce her 38.18.5–7}
Sītā rejects him (46.6–8)	Sītā rejects him (46.11–16)	{Sītā rejects him 38.18.8–9}
Rāvaņa's persists (46.9–10)	Rāvaņa's persists (46.17, 20– 22)	{Rāvaņa's persists 38.19.1-4}
As Rāvaņa enters Laṅkā, the soldiers return from the war in the Daṇḍaka forest (46.11–12)	As Rāvaņa enters Laṅkā, the soldiers return from the war in the Daṇḍaka forest (46.18–19, 23–24)	{Rāvaņa enters Lankā 38.19.5}
Sītā goes on a hunger strike (46.13–14)	Sītā goes on a hunger strike (46.25–26)	{Sītā goes on a hunger strike 38.19.7}
Rāvaņa abandons her in a park and goes home (46.15–16).	Rāvaņa abandons her in a park and goes home (46.27–28).	{Rāvaņa abandons her in a park and goes home 39.19.6, 8–9}
The queens and Candrana- khā mourn the death of Kharadūṣaṇa (46.17–18)	The queens and Candrana- khā mourn the death of Kharadūșaṇa (46.29–31)	Candraṇakhī seeks revenge and goes to Rāvaṇa (intro- ductory <i>ghattā</i>) Summary of previous two chapters (1.1–7)

⁴ See De Clercq 2003: 1886-1888 for the original comparative table. For convenience's sake, I use the Sanskrit cognates of names as they appear in the Prakrit and Apabhramsha versions, e.g. Candranakhā for Vimalasūri's Candanahā and Candranakhī for Svayambhūdeva's Candanahī. The numbers between round brackets refer to chapter and verses. The use of curly brackets in Svayambhū's column indicates that this content is found in another position compared to the earlier texts.

		As Rāvaņa enters Lankā with Sītā, Candraņakhī brings the news that Khara and Dūṣaṇa are also dead (1.8–9).
Rāvaņa responds and prom-	Rāvaņa responds and prom-	Rāvaņa responds and prom-
ises to kill the slayer of	ises to kill the slayer of	ises to kill the slayer of
Kharadūşaņa (46.19–20).	Kharadūṣaṇa (46.32–36).	Kharadūṣaṇa (2.1–9).
Rāvaņa goes to his chambers (46.21).	Rāvaņa goes to his chambers (46.37).	Rāvaņa goes to his chambers (3.1).
		Description of Rāvaṇa (3.2– 9).
Mandodarī sees him (46.22)	Mandodarī sees him (46.38–39)	Mandodarī approaches (4.1–9).
and addresses him about	and addresses him about	and addresses him about
his sorrow for the death of	his sorrow for the death of	his sorrow for the death of
Kharadūşaṇa (46.22-23).	Kharadūṣaṇa (46.40-43).	Khara and Dūṣaṇa (5.1–7).
Rāvaņa confesses that his	Rāvaņa confesses that his	Rāvaņa confesses that his
sadness is due to Sītā not	sadness is due to Sītā not	sadness is due to Sītā not
wanting him (46.24–26).	wanting him (46.44–49).	wanting him (5.8–9).
Mandodarī asks why he does	Mandodarī asks why he does	Mandodarī asks why he does
not take her by force	not take her by force	not take her by force
(46.27–29)	(46.50–53)	(6.1–7.9)

In what follows, each of the corresponding scenes are analysed more closely. The chapter starts with Rāvaṇa in his celestial chariot flying Sītā to Laṅkā, their arrival in Laṅkā, and then focuses on exchanges at the court of Laṅkā following the defeat of the Rākṣasas by Lakṣmaṇa. Words in italics indicate correspondence — in content and sometimes *verbatim* — with at least one of the other texts; words in bold indicate innovations.

Vimalasūri 46 Svavambhūdeva 38 Ravișena 46 so tattha vimānattho vaccantatrāsāv uttame tunge punu dasasiru samcallu to rāvano janayadhūyam vimāna**śikhare** sthitah sasīyaü; dațțhum milāņavayaņam svairam svairam vrajan reje ņahayalĕ ņāĩ divāyaru jampaï mahurāņi rāvano **divi bhānuvat**..1.. vīvaü...18.3.. vayaṇāṇi ..1.. *sītāyāḥ* śokataptāyā *mlānaṃ* majjhë samuddahö jayasirvīksyāsya**paṅkajaṃ** imāņaņu; ratirāgavimūdhātmā dadpuņu vollevaĕ laggu hyau kim api rāvanah..2.. dasānanu.. 18.4.. aśrudurdinavaktrāyāh sītāyāh krpaņam param nānāpriyaśatāny ūce prsthatah pārśvato' gratah..3..

1. Rāvaņa standing in his chariot, beholds Sītā.

What Vimala conveys in one verse, Ravisena does in three. All of the words from Vimalasūri's text are also present in Ravisena's, either in cognates or in words with the same meaning. Ravisena's innovations (in bold) describe the characters in more detail. In verse 1, he specifies where precisely Rāvana is standing in his chariot ("in the top"), and he marks it as very lofty. He further indicates how Ravana is advancing ("at his own pace") and adds a simile. In verse 2 Ravisena adds information on Rāvana's state of mind, namely his bewilderment due to his feelings of lust. He is more elaborate on the sad state of Sītā, tormented by grief, her face covered in tears, and he uses the metaphor of a withered lotus for her face. Finally, Rāvana addresses Sītā with loving words, as does Vimala's Rāvana, but Ravisena is suggestive of the way in which he does so: "from the back, from the side, and from the front", on the one hand implying that he is overwhelming Sītā, but also with comedic effect, popping up on all sides of her. Svayambhū has chosen to defer this passage, up to Candranakhi's approaching Rāvana, to the earlier chapter 38, immediately following Rāvana's abduction of Sītā.⁵ Nevertheless, there is agreement in the description of the events. In these verses, Svayambhū's focus is on Rāvana and his behaviour. He is described as flying in the sky like the sun, an image taken from Ravisena, and in addition, he specifies they are in the middle of the ocean, and names Rāvaņa "the lover of Lady Victory", alluding to the direct sexual advances he will make in subsequent verses.

2. He addresses her and tries to seduce her.

<i>hohi pasannā</i> sundari <i>maņ</i>	mārasyātyātyantamṛdubhir	kāĩ gahilliĕ maĩ ṇa samic-
dițțhi dehi somasasivayaņe	hato'haṃ kusumeṣubhiḥ	chahi;
jeņa mayaņāņalo	mriye yadi tataḥ sādhvi	kim mahaevipațțu na samic-
me pasamaï tuha	narahatyā bhavet tava4	chahi 18.5
cakkhusalileṇaṃ2	vaktrāravindam etat te	kim nikkantaü rajju na
jaï diṭṭhipasāyaṃ me	sakopam iva sundari	bhuñjahi;
na kunasi varakamalapat-	rājate cārubhāvānām sar-	kim na vi surayasokkhu
tadalanayane	vathaiva hi cārutā5	aņuhujjahi18.6
to pahanasuttimangam	<i>prasīda</i> devi bhṛty āsye <i>sakṛc</i>	kiṃ mahu keṇa vi bhaggu
imeņa calaņāravindeņam3	cakşur vidhīyatām	madappharu;
avaloiūņa pecchasu sasela-	<i>tvaccakşu</i> kānti <i>toyena</i> snāta-	kiṃ dūhaü kiṃ kahi mi
vaņa-kāņaņam imam	syāpaitu me śramaḥ6	asundaru18.7
puhaïm	yadi dṛṣṭiprasādaṃ me na	
bhamaï jaso panavo	karoși varānane	
iva majjha anakkhaliya-	<i>etena pādapadmena</i> sakrt	
gaïpasaro4	tādaya mastake7	
	bhavatyā ramaņodyāne kim	
	na jāto 'smy aśokakaḥ	
I.		1

⁵ Svayambhū's choice of changing the sequence of events is addressed below.

icchasu mae kisoyari māṇahi jahicchiyaṃ	sulabhā yasya te ślāghyā pādapadmatalāhatiḥ8
mahābhoyaṃ	krśodari gavākșeņa
ābharaņabhūsiyangī devi	vimānaśikharasthitā
vva samam surindeņam5	diśaḥ paśya prayāto 'smi
	viyad ūrdhvam raver api9
	kulaparvatasaṃyuktāṃ
	sameruṃ sahasāgarām
	<i>paśya kṣoṇīm imāṃ</i> devi
	śilpineva vinirmitāt 10

Vimala's Rāvana here attempts to initiate a play of seduction, expressing his desire for Sītā by requesting her to look at him and by suggesting that her glance would meet his desire. He recognises that she may be angry - though undoubtedly considering it more an expression of a coquettish, perhaps feigned, anger - and he tries to cater to her anger by implying that he accepts it suggesting she should express her anger by kicking him in the head with her lotus-like feet, likely on the understanding that after expressing her anger, Sītā would eventually subject herself to his play of seduction. If his impressive stature and character alone were not enough, he then boasts of the wide territories he has conquered and offers her the wealth and enjoyment of a goddess. In Ravisena's text, Rāvana's attempts at seduction are amplified, portraving him as a man subject to his passion. Whereas Vimala's Rāvana admits his feelings for Sītā, he remains confident and cool. Ravisena's Rāvana, on the other hand, is erratic and out of control. He begins his attempt at seduction with a Sanskrit equivalent of a suitor's "opening line" ("I am struck by the most delicate arrows of Kāma; if I die, then you are guilty of murder.") to express his feelings for Sītā. This Rāvaņa too recognises her anger, but dismisses it as unimportant: something beautiful is always beautiful, no matter what, nevertheless later on suggesting she should kick him. Then he requests her to look at him, describing himself as her subordinate (bhrtya). Whereas Vimala's Rāvana proclaims that her eyes would calm the fire of his passion like water, Ravisena adjusts the metaphor: bathing in the water of the beauty of her eyes would take away his weariness, again emphasising Rāvaņa's suffering under his emotions. If she does not want to look at him, he suggests she kicks him in the head with her lotus-like feet, adding the desire that he be born as an aśoka tree in Sītā's garden, hinting at the topos of a young woman kicking an aśoka tree to make it blossom. He again asks her to look, this time at their surroundings, detailing that she should look through an airhole of the celestial chariot, and just as he is starting to boast about his territory, he is cut off by Sītā's harsh response. Raviseņa's Rāvana is far from cool and confident, but a man overcome by passion. Both Rāvaņas, the one of Vimala and the one of Raviṣeṇa, are true to his traditional Jain portrayal: as a *prativāsudeva*, Rāvaņa is first and foremost a mighty warrior, the *ardhacakravartin*, "half-universal emperor", who conquers half of Bharatavarṣa, and seduces a great many women, hence cool and confident. On the other hand, he has no control over his passion for Sītā, which as we read at the end of the story is karmically determined and will lead to his downfall, corresponding to Raviṣeṇa's portrayal. Although Svayambhū did not mention Sītā's demeanour in the previous line, her reaction is implied from how Rāvaṇa addresses her. Svayambhū's Rāvaṇa's is far from subtle, but crude and even denigrating, calling her a "silly girl" (*gahilli*), and straight out asking her why she does not desire him, whether she thinks he is ugly or repulsive. Without further ado, he offers her the position of chief queen and rule over the kingdom, and is very forward in asking her whether she "enjoys the pleasure of lovemaking".

3. Sītā rejects Rāvaņa.

· · - · -				
evam <i>uktā</i> satī	ā vi-	jam rāvaņeņa bhaņiyā vi-	n <i>uktā</i> satī <i>sītā</i>	ema bhaņĕvi āliṅgaï jāvĕhĩ;
parācīnavyavas	aṃ sīyā	varīyamuhī thiya ya tam s	īcīnavyavasthitā	jaṇayasuyaĕ ṇibbhacchiu
antare tṛṇam ā	ıam	jam paraloyaviruddham	ıre tṛṇam ādhāya	tāvĕhĩ18.8
jagād ārucitāk s		kaha jampasi erisam	d ārucitākṣaram 11	divasĕhĩ thovaĕhĩ
avasarpa mam		vayaṇaṃ6	sarpa mamāṅgāni mā	tuhũ rāvaņa samarĕ
sprśah purușāć	mā me	avasara dițțhipahāo mā r	aḥ puruṣādhama	jiņevaü;
nindyākṣarām	еņаṃ	aṅgāiṃ chivasu hattheṇar	lyākṣarām <i>imāṃ vāṇīm</i>	amhahũ vāriyaĕ
īdṛśīṃ bhāṣase	ipadiyo	paramahiliyānalasihāpad	īṃ bhāṣase katham12	rāmasarĕhĩ āliṅgevaü18.9
pāpātmakam ā	7	salaho vva nāsihisi7	ātmakam āyuṣyam as-	
vargyam ayaśa	pāvaņ	paranārim pecchanto pāvo	gyam ayaśaskaram	
asadīhitam eta	1	ajjesi ayasasaṃjuttaṃ	līhitam etat te <i>virud-</i>	
dham bhayakā		narayaṃ pi vañjasi	<i>m</i> bhayakāri va 13	
paradārān sam	laṃ	mao dukkhasahassāulaņ	ıdārān samākāṅkṣan	
mahāduḥkham		ghoraṃ8	ıāduḥkham avāpsyasi	
paścāt tāpapar			cāt tāpaparītāṅgo bhas-	
			channānalopamam14	
1			1	
1			· ·	
			1 /	
• •			• •	
			āmātrād api kṣudra bad-	
			-	
varttanam āpsy			tanam āpsyasi16	
īdršīm bhāşase pāpātmakam ā vargyam ayasa asadīhitam eta dham bhayakā paradārān sam mahāduhkham pascāt tāpapar macchannānal mahatā mohap tavopacitaceta mudhā dharm andhe nṛtyavil icchāmātrād aj dhvā pāpam an narake vāsam da	i paḍiyo 7 pāvaṃ 1	paramahiliy āṇalasihāpad salaho vva nāsihisi7 paranārim pecchanto pāva ajjesi ayasasamjuttam narayam pi vañjasi mao dukkhasahassāulam	in bhāşase katham12 ātmakam āyuşyam as- gyam ayaśaskaram līhitam etat te virud- m bhayakāri va13 uādārān samākānkşan uāduḥkham avāpsyasi cāt tāpaparītāngo bhas- schannānalopamam14 natā mohapaṅkena opacitacetasaḥ lhā dharmopadeśo 'yam he nŗtyavilāsavat15 iāmātrād api kṣudra bad- ā pāpam anuttamam uke vāsam āsādya kaṣṭaṃ	

Vimala's Sītā's response is brief and direct. With her back turned towards him, she asks him why he says such things that will ultimately work against him in his next life. After ordering him not to come within her sight nor to touch her, she continues that he will perish because of his

desire for other men's wives, like a moth attracted by a flame, and repeats that desiring another man's wife leads to bad karma, disgrace and ultimately to a stay in hell. Ravisena's Sītā, also with her back towards him, "placed grass between them", i.e. objected, and first and foremost tells Rāvana, whom she calls the "vilest of men" (purusādhama), not to touch her. Similar to Vimala, she asks him why he says such awful things, before explaining what a life devoted to sin will lead to. Of note here is the use of the word viruddha, as did Vimala, albeit in a slightly different context. Only then does she explain that desiring the wife of another — even just desiring, i.e. without acting on it, as reiterated two verses down - causes bad karma and will lead to all kinds of great sorrow in hell. Between these two verses describing Rāvana's certain future in hell, she interjects that her devout words are in vain because Ravana's mind is too muddled by desire. Compared to Vimala, Ravisena's Sītā comes across as more fierce. Both texts emphasise her morality. Ravisena's elaborations concern Sītā's words on committing sin. Svavambhū's account is completely different from that of Vimala and Ravisena. He adds that Rāvana, after his attempt at seduction, tries to embrace her, and Sītā rejects him, albeit poetically, saying that her husband will kill him: "In a few days, Rāvaņa, you will be vanquished in battle. In due course, you will be embraced by Rāma's arrows because of me." The emphasis is here not on morally righteous Sītā, concerned with the karmic consequences of Rāvaņa's action. In Svayambhū's version, in all its brevity the exchange between Rāvana and Sītā is down to earth, resembling a more mundane situation of a chauvinistic male approaching a married woman and being rebuked by her. At the same time, Svayambhū's Sītā is more reminiscent of Sītā's fierce address of Rāvaņa at this point in the narrative in the Vālmīki-Rāmāyana (Araņyakānda, 51 esp.), than of the Sītā of Vimala or Ravisena.

4. Rāvaņa persists.

pharusavayaṇehi evaṃ	rūkṣākṣarābhidhānābhiḥ	ņițțhuravayaņĕhĩ docchiu
<i>ahiyaṃ</i> nibbhacchio ya sīyāe	paraṃ vāṇībhir ity api	jāvĕhĩ;
mayanaparitāviyamgo taha	madanāhatacittasya	dahamuhu huaü vilakkhaü
vi na chaddei pemmam so9	premāsya na nivarttate	tāvĕhĩ19.1
tāhe laṅkāhivaī niyayasire vi-	(nyavarttata)17	jaï mārami to eha ņa pec-
raïūņa karakamalam	[]	chami;
pāesu tīe padio taņam iva		vollaü savvu haseppiņu ac-
gaņio videhāe10	pradānair divyavastūnām	chami19.2
-	saṃmānaiś cāṭubhiḥ paraiḥ	avaseṃ kaṃ divasu i icch-
	tābhiś ca bhṛtyasaṃpadbhir	esaï;
	agrāhyā janakātmajā20	sarahasu kanthaggahanu
		karesaï19.3
	1	1

śaknoti sukhadhīḥ pātuṃ kaḥ śikhām āśuśukṣaṇeḥ ko vā nāgavadhūmūrdhni spṛśed ratnaśalakākikām21 kṛtvā karapuṭaṃ mūrdhni daśāngulisamāhitam nanāma rāvaṇaḥ sītāṃ nin- dito 'pi tṛṇāgravat22	aņņu vi maī ņiyavaü pālev- vaü; maņḍaĕ parakalattu ņa laevvaü19.4
--	--

In Vimala's text, after Sītā's harsh words, Rāvana is in no way deterred and even throws himself at Sītā's feet, though Sītā is not interested. This is contrary to Vimala's previous depiction of Rāvana being cool and confident. Ravisena stretches the paradox in his portrayal of Rāvana as the great warrior on the one hand, yet completely subjected to his passion for Sītā: in verse 17 and 22, he repeats Vimala's description of Rāvana's love not waning despite Sītā's harsh words and him bowing to her feet. This part is however broken up with two interrupting verses (18-19) announcing the other Rāksasa soldiers' return, emphasising the high esteem and devotion these warriors have for Ravana. Then Ravisena reiterates that he is unable to seduce Sītā with anything he has to offer (20), and concludes with two subhāsitas on the unapproachability of angry women: "Who, desiring happiness, is able to master the flame of a fire? Or who may touch even a splinter of the gem in the head of a female snake?", before Ravisena's Rāvana bows before Sītā, who nevertheless considers him like grass. Svavambhū, again, is very different in Rāvaņa's reaction. There is no explicit mention of his love persisting. His first impulse is to kill Sītā, because of her insult, but he refrains because then he would never see her again. The hint at killing Sītā again echoes Vālmīki's Rāvaņa, threatening to cook and eat Sītā if she does not accept him (Aranyakānda, 54.22-23). He decides to laugh it off, convinced that one day she will change her mind and fall for him. He moreover reminds himself of the vow he once took to not take any woman by force.

5. The soldiers have returned from the war in the Daṇḍaka forest, just as Rāvaṇa enters Laṅkā.

kharadūsaņasaņgāme niv-	tatra <i>dūṣaṇasaṃgrāme</i>	ema bhaṇevi <i>caliu</i>
<i>vatte tāva āgayā</i> suhaḍā	<i>nivṛtte</i> paramapriyāḥ	suraḍāmaru;
suya sāraņa māīyā jayasad-	śukahastaprahastādyāh sod-	laṅka parāiu lad -
daṃ ceva kuṇamāṇā11	vegāh svāmyadarśanāt18	dhamahāvaru19.5
padupadahagīyavāiyar-	calatketumahākhaṇḍaṃ	
aveņa ahiņandio saha baleņa	kumārārkasamaprabham	

|--|

Vimala here reverts to describing Rāvaņa as the great vidyādhara king, greeted with his devoted army by the people of Lanka, entering Lanka with all the pomp and glory of Indra, the king of the gods. In Ravisena's text, the paradox of the depiction of Ravana is amplified by interweaving this scene with descriptions of his being completely subjected to Sītā and his passion for her. Verse 18 resembles Vimala's verse 11, but changes the names of some of the Rāksasas (Hasta and Prahasta for Sārana), and stresses the devotion of Rāvaņa's warriors to their king ("anxious from not seeing their lord"). This is followed by an image of the soldiers approaching the majestic celestial chariot, which looked like the sun. It is then contrasted by repeating all of Rāvaņa's vain attempts to seduce Sītā as analysed above, before Ravisena again repeats Rāvana's glorious kingship as he enters Lanka, surrounded and lauded by his followers, likening him to Indra not once, but twice. Svayambhū leaves out any mention of the return of the warriors and only describes Rāvana entering Lanka, adding as descriptors, "the scourge of the Gods", and "he who had received great rewards", reminiscent of his great successes.

6. Sītā goes on a hunger strike.

cintei jaṇayataṇayā havaï 'ha	acintayac ca rāmastrī so 'yam	jāva ņa suņami vatta
vijjāharāhivo eso	vidyādharādhipaḥ	bhattārahŏ;
āyaraï amajjāyaṃ kaṃ	yatrācaraty amaryādām	tāva ņivitti majjhu
saraṇaṃ to pavajjāmi13	tatra kiṃ śaraṇaṃ	āhārahŏ19.7
jāva ya na ei vattā kusalā	bhavet25	
daïyassa bandhusahiyassa	yāvat prāpnomi no vārtāņ	
tāva na bhuñjāmi aham	bhartuḥ kuśalavartinaḥ	
āhāraṃ bhaṇaï jaṇaya-	tāvad āhārakāryasya	
suyā14	pratyākhyānam idam ma-	
	ma26	

Sītā now sees that her abductor is an important man, a *vidyādhara* king, and estimates that there is no hope for her to find anyone sympathetic to her cause among his subjects. Thinking all is lost, she therefore decides

to abstain from food, unless and until she hears from Rāma. Raviṣeṇa's verses are nearly identical to those of Vimala. In Svayambhū's text, Sītā makes a very similar statement after she has been installed in the park, switching the sequence of events slightly.

devaramaņam ti nāmam ujjāņam puravarīe puvveņamthaviūņa tattha sīyā niyayagharam patthio tāhe15 sīhāsaņe ņivittho nāņāvi- hamaņimaūhapajjalie sīyāvammahaņadio na lahaī nimisam pi nivvāņam16	udīcīnam pratīcīnam tatrāsti paramojjvalam gīrvāņaramaņam khyātam udyānam svargasamnib- ham27 tatra kalpatarucchāyamahāpāda- pasamkule sthāpayitvā rahaḥ sītām viveša svaniketanam28	sīyač vuttu ņa païsami paţţaņč; acchami etthu viulč ņan- daņavaņč19.6 [] tam ņisuņčvi uvavaņč païsāriya; sīsavarukkhamūlč vaïsāriya19.8 mellčvi sīya vaņč gaü rāvaņu gharahŏ tu- rantaü; dhavalčhī maṅgalčhī thiu rajju saïm bhuñjan- taü19.9
---	---	---

7. Rāvaņa abandons her in a park and goes home.

Rāvana leaves Sītā in a park called "Gladdening the gods" (devaramana, Ravisena: gīrvāņaramaņa). Ravisena exploits the implied divine nature of the park, by likening it to heaven, and specifying that the trees he leaves her near resemble wishing trees. Curiously, Ravisena situates the park in a different area compared to Vimala: according to Vimala, the park is to the east of the city, whereas in Ravisena's text it is in the northwest. Hereafter Vimala adds a concluding verse to summarise Rāvana's condition: outwardly sitting on his majestic, magnificent throne, yet inwardly completely restless and subjected to his love for Sītā. Ravisena skips this verse and immediately goes to the next scene, probably feeling he has made the paradox of Rāvaņa's condition more than clear already in the previous passage. In Svayambhū's text, Sītā herself requests to be left in the pleasure grove, as she does not want to enter the city. Rāvana brings her to the unnamed park without details about its location and installs her under an aśoka tree (sīsava). He then enters his abode. Svayambhū concludes chapter 38 describing Rāvaņa as follows: "He continued to rule over his kingdom, to the praises of heroic and benedictory songs", with saim bhuñjantaü (Svayam bhujanta-) as the author's nāmamudrā, "name stamp", standard at the end of each chapter. Chapters 39 and 40 revert to the Dandaka forest, where Rāma and Laksmana discover Sītā has been abducted and team up with Virādhita.

62

		-
kharadūsaņammi vahie tāva palāvam kuņanti ju- vaīo mandoyaripamuhāo laṅkāhivaïssa ghariņīo17 ekkoyarassa calaņe can- daṇahā geņhiūṇa rovantī bhaṇaï hayāsā pāvā ahayam païputta- parimukkā18	tāvad dūşaņapañcatvād agrato 'sya mahāśucā aşṭādaśa sahasrāņi vipralepur mahāsvaram29 <i>bhrātuś candranakhā pādau</i> <i>saṃsṛty</i> onmuktakaṇṭhakam abhāgyā <i>hā hatāsmīti vi-</i> <i>lalāpās</i> tadurdinam30	kharadūsaņa gilēvi can- daņahihē titti ņa jāiya nam khayakālachuha rāvaņahŏ padīvī dhāiya (introductory ghattā of 41) [samvukumāravīrě atthantaë; kharadūsaņasamgāmē samat- taě.1.1 dūrosāriē sundamahavvalē; tamalaňkāraņayaru gaĕ hari- valē1.2 ettha č asuramallē suradāmarē; laňkāhivē vahulad- dhamahāvarē1.3 paravalavalapavaņāhindolaņē; vaïrisamuddaraüddaviro- laņē1.4 mukkaňkusamayagala- galathallaņē; dāņaraņaṅgaņē hatthutthallaņē1.5 vihadiyabhaḍathaḍakiya- kaḍamaddaņē; kāmiņijaņamaņaņayaņāṇan- daņē1.6 sīyaē sahu suravarasamtāvaņē; chuḍu chuḍu laňka païţthaĕ rāvaņē]1.7 tahĩ avasarē candaņahi parāiya; ņivaḍiya kamaka- malēhĩ duhaghāiya1.8 samvukumāru muu; kharadūsaņa jamapahē lāiya paī jīvantaĕņa; ehī avattha haũ pāiya1.9

8. Lamentations for Kharadūsaņa from the wives and Candranakhā

Rāvaņa's wives mourn the death of Kharadūṣaṇa. Vimala specifies that they are headed by Mandodarī. Raviṣeṇa does not mention the wives but calls them "the 18,000", indicating their number. Thereupon, his sister Candranakhā falls at his feet, lamenting her fate. Vimala and Raviṣeṇa only differ in small details: Vimala's Candranakhā seems to recognise her karmic responsibility in the loss of her husband and son, saying: "I am a wretched sinner, having lost my husband and son." Raviṣeṇa's Candranakhā, her voice unrestrained, bewails his sad day of death, saying: "Unfortunate, ha, and wretched am I." Svayambhū's chapter 41 begins at this stage, with an introductory verse parallelling Candranakhī's going to Rāvaṇa: "Having devoured Khara and Dūṣaṇa, Candranakhī did not feel satisfied. Like the hunger of the time of destruction, she rushed back to Rāvaņa." In addition to her approaching Rāvaņa, the verse implies that Candranakhī was ultimately the cause of Khara and Dūṣaṇa's death, as she will be the cause of Rāvaṇa's death, instigating them to fight Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa.⁶ As chapters 39 and 40 described events in the Daṇḍaka forest, and the author now brings us back to Laṅkā, the first *kaḍavaka* of this chapter summarises the events from the previous chapters, from the death of prince Śambūka up to Rāvaṇa's return with Sītā to Laṅkā, describing Rāvaṇa as the mighty warrior and ladies' man. Like in Vimala's and Raviṣeṇa's texts, Candranakhī falls at Rāvaṇa's feet and laments the death of her son and Khara and Dūṣaṇa. Different here is that Candraṇakhī suggests Rāvaṇa is at least in part responsible for her situation, and should rectify it: "Though you still are alive, I have been brought to this state."

vilavantī bhanaï	ramaṇātmajaprapañcatvavah-	tam candanahihĕ
tao lankāpura-	ninirdagdhamānasām	vayaņu dayāvaņu;
paramesaro alam	vilapantīm imām bhūri jagādaivam sa-	nisunĕvi thiu
vacche	hodarah31	hețțhāmuhu
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	
ruņņeņa kim va kīraï	<i>alaṃ vatse ruditvā te</i> prasiddhaṃ <i>kiṃ</i> na	rāvaņu2.1
puvvakayaṃ āgayaṃ	vidyate	ņam mayalañchaņu
kammaṃ19	<i>jagat prāgvihitaṃ</i> sarvaṃ <i>prāpnoty</i> atra	ņippahu jāyaü;
vacche jeṇa raṇamuhe	na saṃśayaḥ32	giri va davaggidaḍḍhu
nihao kharadūsaņo	anyathā kva mahīcārā janāḥ kṣudra-	vicchāyaü2.2
tuha suo ya	kaśaktayaḥ	ņaṃ muņivaru cārit-
taṃ peccha vahijjan-	kvāyam evaņvidho bhartā bhavatyā vy-	tavibhațțhaü;
taṃ sahāyasahiyaṃ	omagocaraḥ33	bhaviu va
tu acireņam20	mayedam arjitaṃ pūrvaṃ vyaktaṃ	bhavasaṃsārahŏ
	nyāyāgataṃ phalam	tațțhaü2.3
	iti jñātvā śucam kartuṃ kasya	vāhabharantaņayaņu
	marttyasya yujyate34	muhakāyaru;
	nākāle mriyate kaścid vajreņāpi	gahĕṇa gahiu ṇaṃ hūu
	samāhataḥ	divāyaru2.4
	mṛtyukāle 'mṛtam jantor visatām prati-	dukkhu dukkhu
	padyate35	dukkhenāmelliu;
	yena vyāpādito vatse samare	sayanasanehu sarantu
	kharadūsanah	pavolliu2.5
	anyesām vāhitecchānām mrtyur esā	ghāiu jena samvu kharu
	bhavāmy aham36	dūsanu:
		tam patthavami ajju ja-
		masāsanu2.6
		ahavaï eṇa kāĩ
		māhappem;
		ko vi na maraï apūrem
		mappem2.7
I		mappenn

9. Rāvaņa responds.

⁶ In the Paümacariu Kharadūşaņa are two individuals Khara and Dūşaņa, as in the Vālmīki-Rāmāyaņa.

dhīrī hohi pamāyahi
\$00;
kāsu ņa jammaņama-
ranavioo2.8.
ko vi na vajjamaŭ
jāem jīvem marievaü;
amhĕhĩ tumhĕhĩ mi
kharadūsaņapahĕ
jāevaü2.9

Rāvaņa tells Candranakhā to stop crying. Raviseņa here adds a description of Candranakhā as "one whose mind was scorched by the fire of the death of her son and husband." Vimala's Rāvana reminds Candranakhā of the fact that this must be the result of some karma, being the typical Jain answer to sorrow and which Candranakhā already alluded to some verses up. Ravisena's Candranakhā does not display this awareness, as Rāvana points out: "Do you not know the famous [truth]? All of mankind obtains what has been done before. There is no doubt there." In Ravisena's text Ravana then gives her some general instruction on the workings of karma and the inevitability of death: "In another way: how do the people possessing little power and walking the earth correspond with that husband of yours, of such quality, who travelled the sky? 'I clearly rightfully obtained this fruit in the past', if one knows this, for what mortal would it be suitable to grieve? No one dies at the wrong time, even when struck by lightning. At the time of death, even ambrosia becomes poison for a living being." In both texts, Rāvana then promises Candranakhā that he will kill the one who killed her husband, and, according to Vimala, her son. Svayambhū again takes more liberty, by inserting the effect of Candranakhi's words on Ravana, suggestive of his own grief at the loss of his brothers-in-law and nephew: "Hearing those lamentable words of Candranakhī, Rāvana stood there, his face turned towards the ground, like the moon that had lost its lustre, like a gloomy mountain burned by forest fires, like a great sage strayed from good behaviour like a man capable of salvation yet frightened of the cycle of rebirth. His eyes filled with tears and his face perplexed, he was like the sun seized by Rahu the eclipser. With great difficulty, sorrow let go of him." He then collects himself and makes the same yow to Candranakhī as Vimala's and Ravisena's Rāvana did, to kill the one who killed Śambūka, Khara, and Dūsana, adding thoughts on the inevitability of death, similar to Ravisena's Rāvana, though using different phrasings.

10. Rāvaņa goes to his chambers

samthāviūņa bahiņī āesam jiņaharaccaņe dāum pavisaraī niyayabhavaņam dasāņaņo mayaņajara- gahio. 21.	svasāram evam āśvāsya dattādeśo jinārcane dahyamānamanā vāsabha- vanaṃ rāvaṇo 'viśat37	dhīrĕvi ņiyaya vahiņi siyamāṇaṇu; rayaṇihĩ gaü sovaṇaĕ dasāṇaṇu3.1
gahio21		

In almost identical verses, Vimala and Raviṣeṇa describe that Rāvaṇa gives an order for a homage to the Jina, after which he retreats to his chambers. Svayambhū does not mention the homage and specifies that he goes to bed at night.

11. Mandodarī sees him.

mandoyarī paviţţhā daïyam	tatrādaranirākāṃkṣaṃ tal-	varapallankĕ cadiu lanke-
dațțhūņa dīhanīsāsam	pavikşiptavigraham	saru;
bhanaï[]22	s onmāda keśaricchāyam	nam girisiharĕ maïndu sake-
	nihśvasantam ivoragam38	saru3.2
	bhartāram duhkhayukteva	nam visaharu nīsāsu muan-
	bhūṣaṇādaravarjitā	taü;
	mahādaram <i>uvāc</i> aivam	ņam sajjaņu khalakheijjan-
	upasṛtya mayātmajā39	taü3.3
		sīyāmohem mohiu rāvaņu;
		gāyaï vāyaï padhaï
		suhāvaņu3.4
		naccaï hasaï viyārĕhĩ bhaj-
		jaï;
		niyabhūahũ ji paḍīvaü laj-
		jaï3.5
		damsananānacarittaviro-
		haü;
		ihaloyahŏ paraloyahŏ do-
		haü3.6
		mayaṇaparavvasu eu ṇa
		jāņaï;
		jiha saṃghāru karesaï
		jāṇaï3.7
		acchaï mayaṇasarĕhĩ jaj-
		jariyaü;
		kharadūsaņaņāu mi
		vīsariyaü3.8
		cintaï dahavayaṇu
		dhaṇu dhaṇṇu suvaṇṇu
		samatthaü;
		rajju vi jīviu vi
		viņu sīyaĕ savvu
		ņiratthaü3.9
		tahĩ avasarĕ āiya mandovari;
		sīhahŏ pāsu va sīhakiso-
		yari4.1
	1	varagaņiyāri va līlāgāmiņi;

piyamāhaviya va mahurālāviņi4.2 sāraṅgi va vipphāriyaṇayaṇī; sattāvīsaṃjoyaṇavayaṇī4.3 kalahaṃsi va thiraman- tharagamaṇī; lacchi va tiyarūveṃ jūra-
aha pomāṇihĕ aṇuharamāṇī; jiha sā tiha eha vi paürāṇī4.5 jiha sā tiha eha vi vahujāṇī; jiha sā tiha eha vi vahumāṇī4.6 jiha sā tiha eha vi sumaṇohara; jiha sā tiha eha vi piyasun- dara4.7 jiha sā tiha eha vi jiņasāsaņĕ; jiha sā tiha eha vi na
kusāsaņē4.8 kim vahujampiēņa uvamijjaï kāhē kisoyari; ņiyapadichandaĕņa thiya saĩ jē ņāĩ mando- yari4.9 tahĩ pallaṅkĕ cadĕvi rajje- sari; pabhaṇiya laṅkāpura- paramesari5.1

Mandodarī sees her husband sighing and addresses him. Raviṣeṇa expands with descriptions and similes indicating both Rāvaṇa's emotional state and stature and its effect on Mandodarī: he is indifferent to her care and dismisses her attempts at playful quarrelling, looking like a frantic lion and hissing like a snake. This renders Mandodarī sad, as if robbed of her jewels and respect. Svayambhū uses this opportunity to develop more fully his portrayal of Rāvaṇa and Mandodarī and their exchange in the bedroom over several *kaḍavakas*. He starts with an elaborate one-*kaḍavaka* description of Rāvaṇa, echoing Raviṣeṇa's similes of the hissing snake and a lion, with the added detail that, having climbed upon his bed, he looks like a lion on a mountain. He further elaborates on Rāvaṇa's complete infatuation with Sītā, and his paradoxical feelings and actions, typical of one struck by love (*kāma*): he is afflicted and ashamed yet sings, plays music, dances, laughs, etc. Nothing matters to him but Sītā. He even forgets about the fate of Khara and Dūṣaṇa. The poet briefly reflects on love's destructive power for one's spiritual well-being and that Rāvaṇa is unaware that his infatuation will cause his ultimate downfall. The next *kaḍavaka* gives a poetic description of Mandodarī entering the bedroom, comparing her stereotypical attributes to animals, and likening her to Indra's wife Paulomī. She climbs onto the bed and then addresses Rāvaṇa.

12. Mandodarī addresses Rāvaņa about his sorrow.

visāyam sāmiya mā vacca- su dūsaņavahammi22 anne vi tujjha bandhū etthe- va mayā na soiyā tumhe kim puņa dūsaņasogam sāmi apuvvam samuvvahasi23	kim nāthākulatām dhatse kharadūşaņamṛtyunā na viṣādo 'sti śūrāṇām āpatsu mahatīşv api40 purānekatra saṃgrāme suhṛdas te kṣayaṃ gatāḥ na ca śocitā jātu dūṣaṇaṃ kintu śocasi41 āsan mahendrasaṃgrāme śrīmālipramukhāḥ nṛpāḥ bāndhavās te kṣayaṃ yātāḥ śocitās te na jātucit42 abhūtasarvaśokas tv amāsīd	ahŏ dahamuha dahavayaṇa dasāṇaṇa; ahŏ dasasira dasāsa siyamāṇaṇa5.2 ahŏ taïlokka- cakkacūḍāmaṇi; vaïrimahīharakharava- jjāsaṇi5.3 vīsapāṇi ṇisiyaraṇarake- sari; surami- gavāraṇadāraṇaarikari5.4. paraṇaravarapāyārapa- loṭṭaṇa;
	na ca śocitā jātu dūṣaṇaṃ	
	āsan mahendrasaṃgrāme	sari; surami-
	bāndhavās te kṣayaṃ yātāḥ	
	5	
	api mahāpadi <i>śokaṃ kiṃ vahas</i> īdānīṃ ji-	duddamadāņavavaladala- vaţtaņa5.5
	jñāsāmi <i>vibho</i> vada43	jaïyahũ bhiḍiu raṇaṅgaṇĕ indahŏ; jāu kulakkhaü saj-
		jaṇavindahŏ5.6
		tahĩ vi kālĕ paĩ dukkhu ṇa ṇāyaü; jiha kharadūsaṇama- raṇeṃ jāyaü5.7

Vimalasūri's and Raviṣeṇa's Mandodarī urges Rāvaṇa to abandon his sadness, which she assumes is caused by the death of Kharadūṣaṇa. She observes that many of Rāvaṇa's relatives have died in the past, but this never upset him that much, and she questions what makes the death of Kharadūṣaṇa different. Raviṣeṇa's Mandodarī elaborates that it is not proper for warriors to be sad, even in great misfortune, and repeats that they have lost many relatives in the past, giving the example of Śrīmālin; Rāvaṇa displayed no sadness then, so she questions what is different now. Svayambhū begins this scene with Mandodarī addressing Rāvaṇa with many of his standard epithets and praises his warrior skills. Contrasting with these qualities she observes that he lost many relatives in the fight with Indra, but never displayed such sadness as he does now at the death of Khara and Dūṣaṇa.

lajjanto bhaṇaï tao suṇa sun-	tato mahodaraḥ svairaṃ	bhaṇaï paḍīvaü ṇisi-
dari ettha sārasabbhāvam	niśvasyovāca rāvaņaķ	yaraṇāho; sundari jaï ṇa
jaï no rūsesi tumaṃ to haṃ	talpam kimcit parityajya	karaï avarāho5.8
sāhemi sasivayaņe24	dhārito dīritāksaram44	to haũ kahami taü; ṇaü
sambukko jeņa hao vivāio	śṛṇu sundari sadbhāvam	kharadūsaņadukkhu 'cchaï
dūsaņo ya samgāme	ekam te kathayāmy aham	ettiu dāhu para; jam maī
sīyā tassa mahiliyā hariūņa	svāminy asi mamāsūnām	vaïdehi na icchaï5.9
mae ihā''ņīyā25	sarvadā kṛtavāñchitā45	
<i>jaï</i> nāma sā surūvā <i>na mae</i>	yadi vāñchasi jīvantam	
icchaï païm mayaṇatattam	mām tato devi nārhasi	
<i>to natthi jīviyaṃ me</i> tujjha	kopaṃ kartuṃ nanu	
pie sāhiyaṃ eyaṃ26	prāņā mūlaṃ sarvasya vas-	
	tunaḥ46	
	tatas tayaivam ityukte	
	śapathair viniyamya tām	
	vilakṣa iva kiṃcit sa rāvaṇaḥ	
	samabhāṣata47	
	<i>yadi</i> sā vedhasaḥ sṛṣṭir	
	apūrvā duḥkhavarṇanā	
	sītā patiṃ na māṃ vaṣṭi tato	
	me nāsti jīvitam48	
	lāvaņyaṃ yauvanaṃ rūpaṃ	
	mādhuryaṃ cāruceṣṭitaṃ	
	prāpya tām sundarīm ekām	
	kṛtārthatvam upāgatam49	

13. Rāvaņa confesses that his sadness is due to Sītā not wanting him.

Vimalasūri's Rāvana is embarrassed by his condition and explains to Mandodari, hoping to not make her angry, that he abducted the wife of the man who killed Sambūka and Dūsana. He is not exactly telling the truth here: it was Laksmana who killed Sambūka and Kharadūsana, not Rāma. Either he deliberately lies because he wants to make Sītā's abduction a retaliation for the death of his relatives, or he takes Rāma and Laksmana as a collective. He is very straightforward and dramatic that if Sītā does not want him for a husband, he has no life. Ravisena as usual adds some more detail to the conversation, especially in specifying Rāvana's condition: Rāvana sighs, gets up from the bed and then addresses Mandodarī, carefully selecting his words. In his address he first praises Mandodarī as the mistress of his life's breath and rather dramatically asks her not to be angry, otherwise, he would not survive. Only after she agrees, embarrassed Rāvaņa tells her that he sees no point to life and everything it encompasses, because Sītā, whom he calls an unparallelled creation of the creator, does not desire him. Svayambhū opts for brevity and directness here: Rāvana tells Mandodarī that his sorrow is not for the death of Khara and Dūsana, but because Sītā rejected him.

14. Mandodarī responds, asking why he does not take her by force.

daïyam eyāvattham daṭṭhum	tato mandodarī kastām	6
mandoyarī samullavaï;	jñātvā tasya daśām imāmฺ	taṃ ṇisuṇevi vayaṇu sasi-
mahilā sā akayatthā jā deva	vihasantī jagādaivam़ vis-	vayaņaĕ;
tumaṃ na icchei27	phuraddantacandrikā50	puņu vi hasevi vuttu mi-
ahavā sayalatihuyaņe sā ekkā	idaṃ nātha mahāścaryaṃ	gaņayaņaĕ1
rūvajovvaņaguņaḍḍhā	varo yat kurute 'rthanam	ahŏ dahagīva
aïmāṇagavvieṇaṃ joijjaï jā	apuṇyā sābalā nūnaṃ yā	jīvasaṃtāvaṇa;
tume sāmi28	tvāṃ nārthayate svayam51	eu ajuttu vuttu paĩ
keūrabhūsiyāsū imāsu	athavā nikhile loke saivaikā	rāvaņa2
bāhāsu karikarasamāsu	paramodayā	kiṃ jagĕ ayasapaḍahu
kiha na ʻvagūhasi	yā tvayā mānakūțena yācyate	apphālahi;
sāmiya taṃ vilayaṃ sabal-	paramāpadā52	ubhaya visuddha vaṃsa kiṃ
akāreņaṃ29	keyūraratnajațilair imaiḥ	maïlahi3
	karikaropamaiḥ	kiṃ ṇāraïyahŏ ṇaraĕ ṇa
	ālingya bāhubhiḥ kasmād	vīhahi;
	balāt kāmayase na tām53	paradhaņu parakalattu jam
		īhahi4
		jiņavarasāsaņĕ pañca virud-
		dhaĩ;
		duggaï jāi ņinti avisud-
		dhaĩ5
		pahilaü vahu cha-
		jjīvaņikāyahũ;
		vīyaü gammaï
		micchāvāyahũ6
		taïyaü jaṃ paradavvu
		laïjjaï;
		caüthaü parakalattu sevij-
		jaï7
		pañcamu ṇaü pamāṇu ghar-
		avārahŏ;
		āyahī gammaï
		bhavasaṃsārahŏ8
		paraloĕ vi ṇa suhu
		ihaloĕ vi ayasapaḍāiya;
		sundara hoi ṇa tiya
		ĕyaveseṃ jamaüri āiya9
		7
		puņu puņu pihulaņiyamva
		kisoyari;
		bhaṇaï hiyattaṇeṇa mando-
		yari1
		ja m suhu kālakū ḍu visu
		khantahũ;
		jaṃ suhu palayāṇalu
		païsantahũ2
		jaṃ suhu bhavasaṃsārĕ
		bhamantahũ;
		jaṃ suhu ṇāraïyahũ ṇivas-
		antahũ3
1	1	

jaṃ suhu jamasāsaņu pec- chantahū; jaṃ suhu asipañjarĕ accha- ntahū4 jaṃ suhu palayāṇala- muhakandarĕ; jaṃ suhu pañcāṇaṇadāḍhantarĕ5 jaṃ suhu phaṇimāṇikku khuḍantahū; taṃ suhu eha ṇāri bhuñjan- tahū6 jāṇanto vi to vi jaï vañ- chahi; to kajjeṇa keṇa maĩ puccha- hi7 taü pāsiu kiṃ koi vi valiyaü; jeṇa purandaro vi paḍikhaliyaü8 jaṃ jasu āvaḍaï
padikhaliyaü8
jaï vi asundaraü jaṃ pahu karei taṃ chaj- jaï9

Vimala's Mandodarī answers Rāvaņa that a woman who does not long for him is "unsuccessful", i.e. a loser and that a woman's qualities depend on her being seen by Rāvana. She then asks why he does not force himself upon her. Ravisena describes Mandodarī here as laughing with shiny white teeth while she speaks. He further elaborates her speech, calling it a great wonder that the groom (also "eminent one", vara) is the one supplicating, and repeating that the woman who does not want him, is wicked and that a woman is only successful if Rāvana desires her, and asking why he does not take her by force. Svayambhū, in a much lengthier passage, depicts a completely different Mandodarī: though she laughs, she sums up the things that a person should avoid according to the teachings of the Jina, corresponding to the lay vows. By emphasising the possible consequences of betraying the vow of brahmacarya in pursuing another man's wife, she tries to get Rāvana to distance himself from Sītā. Eventually accepting Rāvana's desire for Sītā, despite all this, she asks how Sītā could stop a powerful man like Rāvaņa, if he wanted her, suggesting he could take her by force.

Discussion: Transcreating Rāma

from Vimala to Ravișeņa...

It is evident that Vimalasūri's version to a large degree was the blueprint for Ravisena. Very often all of Vimala's words have been incorporated in Ravisena's verses. To be clear, Vimalasūri's text is fine in and of itself and is a pleasure to read. It does not give the impression of lacking anything, properly balancing action and dialogue, tension and pace. Ravisena's amplifications tend to make the scenes more intense, often making explicit what in Vimalasūri's text the audience members would fill for themselves. By adding detail and expanding, the pace of the narrative is naturally slower and more time is taken to evoke audience responses and to allow these to settle. In this passage, Ravisena's additions result in a different, more thorough, development of the characters and their state of mind. For instance, where Vimalasūri's Rāvana is at first cool and in control and only reveals his weakness after Sītā rejects him, Ravisena's version amplifies the incongruity of his stature, character and behaviour: on the one hand he is one of the most powerful and respected men in the world, on the other he is completely powerless and subjected to a woman who rejects him, rendering him a comical fool, who in slapstick-fashion pops up on all sides of her.7 So too in his exchange with Candranakhā, who according to Vimala herself seems to suggest that her misfortune is the result of past bad karma. Ravisena's Candranakhā lacks such awareness, and it is Rāvaņa, the sinner who just abducted another man's wife after seeing her for the first time, who ironically and tragically suggests his sister's past deeds are to blame and lectures her on the workings of karma, clearly not taking heed of his own advice. Similarly, in the dialogue with Mandodarī, Ravisena gives detail to both her and Rāvana's state of mind and behaviour, allowing the audience to dwell at length on their condition. We see a similar treatment of the character of Sītā in this passage. Whereas Vimala's Sītā, her back turned towards him implying disrespect and possibly anger, rejects Rāvana and warns him that his behaviour will have bad karmic consequences, Ravisena's Sītā is portrayed as explicitly angry, not just having her back toward him, but insulting him and claiming her words of wisdom are fruitless for men like him.

⁷ On Ravişeņa's use of humor in his portrayal of Rāvaņa, see Clines 2019. On the use of hāsya in Jain texts, cf. Monius 2015.

...to Svayambhūdeva

Though he explicitly names Ravisena as his source and there are many clear elements that show correspondence, Svayambhū, at least in this selected passage, does not follow Ravisena's text as closely as Ravisena follows Vimala. One significant change concerns the order of the scenes. The interactions between Rāvana and Sītā in the chariot, depicted by Vimala and Ravisena in chapter 46, are presented by Svayambhū at an earlier point in the narrative. Though Svayambhū overall follows the order of events in Ravisena's text faithfully, an alteration such as this one, albeit not very common, is also not unique. Being a deliberate choice, it deserves discussion. The episodes surrounding this scene depict Sītā's abduction, one of the major turning points in the narrative. The abduction occurs simultaneously with other events involving Rāma, Laksmana, and others. Vimalasūri (PCV) and Ravisena (PCR) increase the tension of this episode by switching scenes at a higher pace: after Rāvaņa grabs Sītā and kills Jatāvu (PCV 44.39-46; PCR 44.83-100), we switch to Rāma arriving on the battlefield, and immediately being sent back by Laksmana (PCV 44.47-51; PCR 44.101-104). The scene then shifts with Rāma's return to the hut where he finds Sītā missing and Jatāyu dead. He faints and loses his mind, and the chapter ends with Rāma pitiably addressing the trees and mountains in the forest for her whereabouts (PCV 44.52-67; PCR 44.105-151). At the beginning of the next chapter, we switch back to the battlefield where Virādhita, an enemy of the Raksasas, arrives and joins Laksmana in fighting the Rāksasas (PCV 45.1-16; PCR 45.1-31). When the fight is over, they head back to Rāma's hut and find him there without Sītā. Virādhita sends his troops to find news of Sītā (PCV 45.17-27; PCR 45.32-57). At this point we switch to Rāvaṇa,who on his return to Lankā with Sītā is unsuccessfully confronted by an ally of Sītā's twin brother Bhāmandala (PCV 45.28-32; PCR 45.58-71), then reverting to the Dandaka forest where Virādhita's armies return without any news of Sītā and Rāma falls to mourning (PCV 45.31-35; PCR 45.72-78). Virādhita, Laksmana, and Rāma then head to Pātālalankā, defeat the Rāksasa Sunda there, who flees with his mother Candranakhā to Lankā. The chapter ends with Rāma visiting the Jina temple (PCV 45.36-46; PCR 45.79-105). It is at this point that chapter 46 starts with the exchange between Rāvana and Sītā analysed above. Svayambhū, on the other hand, chooses to keep the focus on Rāvana and Sītā from the moment of the abduction, immediately followed by the death of Jatāvin, Rāvana's fight with Bhāmandala's ally, Rāvaņa's and Sītā's exchange up to their arrival in Lanka, covering

the second half of chapter 38. It is only after this, in chapter 39, that Rāma finds Sītā abducted and Jatāyin dead. Chapter 40 switches to the battlefield where Virādhita arrives, and he and Laksmana kill Khara and Dūsana. After the battle, Laksmana and Virādhita find that Sītā has been abducted and Rāma is in despair. All head to Tamalankāra where they chase away Sunda, who flees to Rāvana. At this point, chapter 41 commences with the return of Candranakhī and her grief. By choosing to switch less frequently between the scenes, Svayambhū is able to focus more on the characters and to allow sentiments evoked to work deeper and linger for longer. By narrating Rāvana's abduction of Sītā, his killing of Jatāyin, and defeat of Bhāmandala's ally in one sequence of half a chapter, his crude and violent nature is very prominent in the audience's mind and may explain the poet's choice of portraying Ravana as rather a violent, overconfident brute in his verbal exchange with Sītā, devoid of any kind of karmically determined submissiveness to passion as we find in Vimala's and Ravisena's depiction. The violence and fierceness of the scene is also present in Sītā's response, which is devoid of any concern for Rāvaņa's karmic condition. The subsequent chapter 39 balances this lack of reference to Jain ideology, when compared to Vimala's and Ravisena's texts, by allowing an entire chapter for Rāma's despair at the abduction of Sītā and the death of Jatāvin. Most of chapter 39 is taken up by a visit of cārana seers who provide Rāma with some temporary relief of Jain instruction in the impermanence of human existence, an episode which is absent in Vimala's and Ravisena's texts and hence a deliberate innovation by Svayambhū. In chapter 40, the battle of Laksmana and Virādhita with Khara and Dūsana is narrated, followed by the retrieval of Virādhita's ancestral city Tamalankāra from the Rāksasas. In the end, Rāma finds some relief in the Jina temple in the city. An additional effect of the absence of Rāvana and Sītā from chapters 39 and 40, and the many events that take place after Sītā's abduction is the experience of distance, both in space and time, between Sītā and Rāvana on the one hand and Rāma and Laksmana on the other. The longer the sole focus is on Rāma and his situation in the Dandaka forest, the more tangible Sītā's absence becomes. Rāma has no clue what happened to his beloved, and the longer the audience is without an update on her whereabouts, the more it can empathise with Rāma's despair. In the next scene, Svayambhū's choice of portraying Rāvaņa, in his exchange with Sītā in the celestial chariot, as a violent, lascivious brute, followed by Sītā's fierce response threatening his life, after which Rāvaņa's first

instinct is to kill her, strikingly echoes Valmīki's parallel episodes.8 This may very well be a deliberate attempt to approximate Valmīki's account, perhaps to accommodate audience expectations or preferences, but Svayambhū's reordering of the scenes may also be a factor here: as it is, the harsh tone of this scene is more in line with the string of violent scenes immediately preceding it, starting with the abduction and slaying of Jatāyin. However, Svayambhū counters this representation of a crude and violent Rāvana, by describing his sadness when Candranakhī brings him the news of the death of Khara and Dūsana. Next, in his description of Rāvana's and Mandodarī's encounter, Svayambhū for the first time, and at much greater length than his predecessors, dwells on Rāvaņa's state of mind in his blinding, all-consuming passion for Sītā, and the dangers it represents for his karmic condition. Also much longer and more detailed is Svayambhū's description of Mandodarī as she enters the bedroom and addresses Rāvaņa, and a complete change is her attempt to dissuade Rāvana from pursuing Sītā, portraying her as a devout Jain woman, in a way parallel to Sītā's words in her exchange with Rāvana in Vimalasūri's and Ravisena's accounts, albeit much longer. Svayambhū's Mandodarī is here a very different Mandodarī from that of Vimala and Ravisena who suggests her husband should take Sītā by force.9 This portrayal corresponds to several Jain and non-Jain accounts of a benign Mandodarī, mediating the release of Sītā, and may be inspired by the prominent motif found in the other Jain narrative tradition, of Gunabhadra's Uttarapurāna, where Sītā is the daughter of Rāvana and Mandodarī, and Mandodarī is portrayed as equally benign.¹⁰

Bibliography

Primary sources

- Guņabhadra. *Mahāpurāna (Vol. II); Uttar Purāna of Acārya Gunbhadra*. Edited by Pannalal Jain. Kāshī: Bhāratīya Jñānapīțha, 1954.
- Ravişeņa. Padma Purāņa of Ravişeņācārya : With Hindi Translation, 3 vols. Edited and translated by Pannalal Jain. Kāshi: Bhāratīya Jñānapīţha, 1958– 1959.

⁸ Svayambhūdeva's choice of separating Kharadūşaņa into two individuals corresponds to Vālmīki.

⁹ Note that later on in the narrative, Ravisena's (ch. 73) and Vimalasūri's (ch. 70) Mandodarī does try to persuade Rāvaņa to give back Sītā after evil portents appeared.

¹⁰ See Uttarapurāna 68.340-362. See also John and Mary Brockington's database: Brockington and Brockington 2022: cumulative L-2, 74-76.

- Svayambhūdeva. *Paumacariu of Kavirāja Svayambhūdeva*, 3 vols. Edited by Harivallabh Chunilal Bhayani. Bombay: Bhāratīya Vidyā Bhavana, 1953– 1960.
- Vālmīki. *The Vālmīki-Rāmāyaņa*, 7 vols. Edited by Govindlal Hargovind Bhatt. Baroda: Oriental Institute, 1960–1975.
- Vimalasūri. Ācārya Vimalasūri's Paumacariyam with Hindi Translation, 2 vols. Edited by Hermann Jacobi, Shantilal M Vora, and Muni Shri Punyavijayaji.Varanasi: Prakrit Text Society, 1962–1968.

Secondary sources

- Brockington, John & Mary Brockington, *Development and spread of the Rāma narrative*. University of Oxford 2022. (https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:8df9 647a-8002-45ff-b37e-7effb669768b)
- Chandra, K. Rishabh. A Critical Study of Paumacariyam. Vaishali: Research Institute of Prakrit, Jainology & Ahimsa, 1970.
- Clines, Gregory M. *The Lotus' New Bloom: Literary Innovation in Early Modern North India.* PhD thesis, Study of Religion, Harvard University, 2018.
- ——. Jain Rāmāyaņa Narratives: Moral Vision and Literary Innovation. Oxford and New York: Routledge, 2022.
- De Clercq, Eva. *Kritische Studie van Svayambhūdeva's Paümacariu*. PhD thesis, Oriental languages and cultures, Ghent University, 2003.
- *——. The Life of Padma*, 2 vols. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 2018–2023.
- Gerow, Edwin. "Jayadeva's Poetics and the Classical Style." Journal of the American Oriental Society 109.4 (1989): 533–544.
- Jacobi, Hermann. "Ueber die Entwicklung d. indischen Metrik in nachvedischer Zeit." Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 38.3/4 (1884): 590–619.
- Kulkarni, Vaman Mahadeo. The Story of Rama in Jain Literature : As Presented by the Śvetāmbara and Digambara Poets in the Prakrit, Sanskrit, and Apabhramśa Languages. 1st ed. Ahmedabad: Saraswati Pustak Bhandar, 1990.
- Monius, Anne. "'And We Shall Compose a Poem to Establish These Truths': The Power of Narrative Art in South Asian Literary Cultures." Narrative, Philosophy and Life. Ed. Allan Speight, 151–165. Dordrecht: Springer, 2015.
- Ollett, Andrew. Language of the Snakes: Prakrit, Sanskrit, and the Language Order of Premodern India. Oakland, California: University of California Press, 2017.
- Plau, Adrian. *The Deeds of Sītā: A Critical Edition and Literary Contextual Analysis of the Sītācarit of Rāmcand Bālak.* PhD thesis, South Asia Section, SOAS, University of London, 2018.
- Velankar, Hari Dāmodara. *Jinaratnakośa: An Alphabetical Register of Jain Works and Authors*. Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, 1944.