Combining Ultra-Wideband (UWB) Location and Accelerometer data for Cattle Behaviour Monitoring

- S. Benaissa^{1, 2, *}, F.A.M. Tuyttens^{2, 3}, D. Plets¹, L. Vandaele², L. Martens¹, W. Joseph¹, B.
 Sonck^{2, 4}
- ¹ Department of Information Technology, Ghent University/imec, iGent-Technologiepark
 126, 9052 Ghent, Belgium

² Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), Scheldeweg 68,
9090 Melle, Belgium

³ Department of Veterinary and Biosciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Heidestraat 19,
 B-9820 Merelbeke, Belgium

⁴ Department of Animal Sciences and Aquatic Ecology, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering,

12 Ghent University, Coupure links 653, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

13 ABSTRACT

Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) technologies build on the collection and processing of 14 reliable real-time data at the individual level to efficiently classify animal behaviours for 15 improved monitoring and management systems. The current accelerometer-based systems can 16 monitor only a limited number of behaviours, such as feeding and ruminating (neck collar 17 sensors) or lying and standing (leg sensors). Moreover, the accuracy of detection of behaviours 18 that are less frequently expressed in animals, such as walking or drinking, remains a challenge. 19 The aim of this study was to present a novel efficient method to incorporate indoor location and 20 accelerometer data for improved cattle behaviour monitoring systems. In total, 30 dairy cows 21 were fitted with Pozyx tracking tags (Pozyx, Ghent, Belgium) on the upper (dorsal) side of the 22 23 cow's neck. A total of 123 hours of video recordings were used for validation. Bland-Altman plots for the correlation and difference between the estimated behaviours (sensors) and the 24 ground-truth behaviours (video) were computed for the performance analysis. In overall, the 25 location-based classification into the correct functional areas was very high ($R^2=0.99$, P<0.001). 26 The combination of location and accelerometer data improved the RMSE per bout of the 27 28 feeding time and ruminating time compared to the accelerometer data alone (2.6 to 1.4 min). 29 Moreover, the combination of location and accelerometer enabled accurate classification of additional behaviours that are difficult to detect using the accelerometer alone, such as eating 30 concentrates and drinking ($R^2 = 0.85$, and 0.90, respectively). This study demonstrates the 31 potential of combining accelerometer and UWB location data for designing a robust monitoring 32 33 system for dairy cattle.