FUJITA-TYPE RESULTS FOR THE DEGENERATE PARABOLIC EQUATIONS ON THE HEISENBERG GROUPS

AHMAD Z. FINO, MICHAEL RUZHANSKY, BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the degenerate parabolic equations on the Heisenberg groups with power law non-linearities. We obtain Fujita-type critical exponents, which depend on the homogeneous dimension of the Heisenberg groups. The analysis includes the case of porous medium equations. Our proof approach is based on methods of nonlinear capacity estimates specifically adapted to the nature of the Heisenberg groups. We also use the Kaplan eigenfunctions method in combination with the Hopf-type lemma on the Heisenberg groups.

Contents

| 1. Introduction | 1 |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| 1.1. Historical background | 2 |
| 2. Porous medium equation | 4 |
| 3. Degenerate parabolic equation | 24 |
| 3.1. Case of $0 \le q < 1$ | 24 |
| 3.2. The case of $q \ge 1$ | 28 |
| Declaration of competing interest | 33 |
| References | 33 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this paper is to study the following two types of degenerate parabolic equations on the Heisenberg groups:

$$v_t = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} v^m + v^\sigma, \qquad t > 0, \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

and

$$u_t = u^q \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u + u^p, \qquad t > 0, \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

^{*}Corresponding author

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A01, 35R03, 35B53.

Key words and phrases. Porous medium equation, degenerate parabolic equation, critical exponents, Heisenberg group.

This research has been funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP14869090), by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, and by the Methusalem programme of the Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF) (Grant number 01M01021). Michael Ruzhansky is also supported by EPSRC grants EP/R003025/2 and EP/V005529/1.

where $n \ge 1$, $m \ge 1$, $\sigma > 1$, $q \ge 0$, p > 1. The Heisenberg group is the Lie group $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ equipped with the following law

$$\eta \circ \eta' = (x+x', y+y', \tau+\tau'+2(x \cdot y'-x' \cdot y)),$$

where $\eta = (x, y, \tau)$, $\eta' = (x', y', \tau')$, and \cdot is the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n . The homogeneous Heisenberg norm is defined by

$$\left|\eta\right|_{\mathbb{H}} = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_i^2)\right)^2 + \tau^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = \left((|x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + \tau^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm associated to \mathbb{R}^n . The left-invariant vector fields that span the Lie algebra are given by

$$X_i = \partial_{x_i} - 2y_i \partial_{\tau}, \qquad Y_i = \partial_{y_i} + 2x_i \partial_{\tau}.$$

The Heisenberg gradient is given by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} = (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \tag{1.1}$$

and the sub-Laplacian is defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2 + Y_i^2) = \Delta_x + \Delta_y + 4(|x|^2 + |y|^2)\partial_\tau^2 + 4\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i\partial_{y_i\tau}^2 - y_i\partial_{x_i\tau}^2\right), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Delta_x = \nabla_x \cdot \nabla_x$ and $\Delta_y = \nabla_y \cdot \nabla_y$ stand for the Laplace operators on \mathbb{R}^n . The homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H}^n is Q = 2n + 2.

We will obtain the results about nonexistence of global nontrivial solutions for various values of exponents σ and p.

1.1. Historical background.

1.1.1. Results on \mathbb{R}^n . In [8], Fujita studied the following semi-linear heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) = u^p(x,t), & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \ge 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

It was shown that, if $1 , then problem (1.3) admits no nontrivial positive global solutions, while, if <math>p > 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, then problem (1.3) admits global positive solutions for some sufficiently small initial data. Later, in [15] Hayakawa proved that, if $p = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, then problem (1.3) admits no nontrivial positive global solutions. The number $p_F = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ is called the Fujita critical exponent. In [9], Galaktionov et al. considered the porous medium equation with power

In [9], Galaktionov et al. considered the porous medium equation with power nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x,t) - \Delta u^m(x,t) = u^p(x,t), & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty), \ m > 1, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \ge 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

and established the following results:

(i) let m , then the solution of (1.4) does not exist globally in time;

(ii) let $p > m + \frac{2}{n}$, then the problem (1.4) has a global solution for some sufficiently small initial data.

In [19] the authors proved that, if $p = m + \frac{2}{n}$, then problem (1.4) has no positive global solutions.

When m > 1, by using the transformation $v(x,t) = au^m(bx,t), a = m^{m/(p-1)}$, $b = m^{(p-m)/2(p-1)}$, the porous medium equation (1.4) can be transformed to the degenerate parabolic equation

$$v_t - v^k \Delta v = v^r, \ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty),$$
(1.5)

where $0 < k = \frac{m-1}{m} < 1$ and $r = \frac{m+p-1}{m} > 1$. In [10], Galaktionov et al. obtained the following results for the equation (1.5): (i) let $1 < r < k + 1 + \frac{2}{n(1-k)}$, then the solution of (1.5) does not exist globally in time;

(ii) let $r > k + 1 + \frac{2}{n(1-k)}$, then there are both global solutions and solutions blowing up in finite time.

In [26] Winkler extended the results of [10] by taking the more general $k \geq 1$ in (1.5). In particulary, Winkler obtained the following results:

(i) For $1 \le r < k+1$ (resp. $1 \le r < \frac{3}{2}$ if k = 1), all positive solutions of (1.5) are global but unbounded, provided that u_0 decreases sufficiently fast in space;

(ii) For r = k + 1, all positive solutions of (1.5) blow up in finite time;

(iii) For r > k+1, there are both global and non-global positive solutions, depending on the size of u_0 .

It follows from the above results that the equation (1.5) has two type of critical exponents

$$r_c = \begin{cases} k+1 + \frac{2}{n(1-k)} & \text{for } 0 < k < 1 \\ \\ k+1 & \text{for } k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

1.1.2. Sub-elliptic extensions. In [28] Zhang considered the semilinear diffusion equation on the Heisenberg groups:

$$u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u = |u|^p, \quad t > 0, \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \tag{1.6}$$

and they proved that, if 1 , <math>Q = 2n + 2, then the problem (1.6) admits no positive global solutions. Later, Pohozhaev and Véron [22] studied a more general parabolic equation on \mathbb{H}^n , and proved that there is no global solutions for 1 . In [12], Georgiev and Palmieri proved sharp lifespan estimates forlocal in time solutions of problem (1.6).

In [20, 21] Pascucci obtained the Fujita-type results for the semilinear diffusion equation on Carnot groups. We also note that the nonexistence of global solutions to the various semilinear parabolic equations on the Heisenberg group were studied by many authors (see for example [2, 6, 14, 16, 27]).

Recently, the second author and Yessirkegenov [23] consider the following equation on general unimodular Lie groups \mathbb{G} ,

$$u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{G}} u = |u|^p, \quad \text{in} \quad (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{G}, \tag{1.7}$$

and established the following results:

Let \mathbb{G} be a connected unimodular Lie group with polynomial volume growth of order D and let 1 :

(i) let 1 , then (1.4) does not admit any nontrivial global solution;

(ii) let $p > 1 + \frac{2}{D}$, then (1.4) has a global solution for some small initial data.

Let G be a connected unimodular Lie group with exponential volume growth, then, for any 1 the equation (1.4) has a global solution for some positive initial data.

Let \mathbb{G} be a compact Lie group, then, for any 1 the equation (1.4) doesnot admit any nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

1.1.3. *Motivation*. From the above reasoning, it is easy to see that Fujita-type results for semilinear parabolic equations on manifolds are fairly well studied. However, such results have been little studied for strongly nonlinear parabolic equations. Here we can note some papers devoted to the study of the Fujita-type results for the p-Laplacian diffusion equations and porous medium equations on Riemannian manifolds [5, 13, 17, 18].

As far as we know, in the case of sub-Riemannian manifolds, there are only a couple of papers [2, 16], where Fujita-type results for the *p*-Laplacian diffusion equations on Heisenberg groups are obtained. We also note that in [24] the blow-up results were obtained for the porous medium equations on a bounded domain of the Carnot groups.

Motivated by this fact, in this paper we consider two types of strongly non-linear parabolic equations on the Heisenberg groups. In particular, we determine the critical exponents for which the considered equations are globally unsolvable.

2. Porous medium equation

In this section we consider the following porous medium equation

$$\begin{cases} v_t = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} v^m + v^\sigma, & t > 0, \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\ v(0,\eta) = v_0(\eta) \ge 0, & \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\ v(t,\eta) \ge 0, & t > 0, \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where $v_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, $n \ge 1$, $m \ge 1$, $\sigma > 1$.

Definition 2.1. (Weak solution of (2.1))

Let $0 \leq v_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and T > 0. We say that v is a nonnegative weak solution of (2.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$ if

$$v \in L^{\sigma}_{loc}((0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^m_{loc}((0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,T); L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)),$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(\tau,\eta)\psi(\tau,\eta)\,d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(0,\eta)\psi(0,\eta)\,d\eta\\ &= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \psi(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^m\,\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\psi(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v\psi_t(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt \end{split}$$

holds for all compactly supported $\psi \in C_{t,x}^{1,2}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$, and $0 \leq \tau < T$. If $T = \infty$, we call v a global in time weak solution to (2.1).

Theorem 2.2. Let m > 1, $\sigma > 1$ and let $v_0(\eta) \ge 0$, $v_0(\eta) \ne 0, \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n$.

(i) Suppose that $v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$. If

$$m < \sigma \le m + \frac{2}{Q}$$

then problem (2.1) has no nonnegative global weak solutions.

(ii) Assume that $v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, and there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every $0 < \gamma < Q$, the initial datum verifies the following assumption:

$$v_0(\eta) \ge \varepsilon (1 + |\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}^2)^{-\gamma/2}$$

If

$$m < \sigma < m + \frac{2}{\gamma},$$

then problem (2.1) has no nonnegative global weak solutions.

(iii) Assume that $v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. If $\sigma = m$, then problem (2.1) has no nonnegative global weak solutions $v \in L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n))$.

Remark 2.3. When m = 1, the critical exponent $\sigma_c = m + \frac{2}{Q}$ coincides with the critical exponent obtained in [28] for the semilinear diffusion equations on \mathbb{H}^n .

Observe that in the case $\gamma \geq Q$, we have

$$m + \frac{2}{\gamma} \le m + \frac{2}{Q}.$$

Consequently, part (ii) of Theorem 2.2 follows immediately from the part (i).

Remark 2.4. Note that in Theorem 2.2 there are no results about the global existence of a solution. We expect that, for $\sigma > m + \frac{2}{Q}$ and for sufficiently small initial data there should exist a global solution. Due to technical difficulties, we left this question open.

To prove Theorem 2.2, below we give a number of auxiliary results.

Lemma 2.5. Let u, v be twice differentiable real valued functions defined on \mathbb{H}^n . Then

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(uv) = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(u)v + 2\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(u)\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(v) + u\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(v).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\Delta_x(uv) = \Delta_x(u)v + 2\nabla_x(u)\nabla_x(v) + u\Delta_x(v), \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\Delta_y(uv) = \Delta_y(u)v + 2\nabla_y(u)\nabla_y(v) + u\Delta_y(v), \qquad (2.3)$$

and

$$\partial_{\tau}^{2}(uv) = \partial_{\tau}^{2}(u)v + 2\partial_{\tau}(u)\partial_{\tau}(v) + u\partial_{\tau}^{2}(v).$$
(2.4)

Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \partial_{y_{i}\tau}^{2}(uv) = v \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \partial_{y_{i}\tau}^{2}(u) + \partial_{\tau}(u) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \partial_{y_{i}}(v) + \partial_{\tau}(v) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \partial_{y_{i}}(u) + u \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \partial_{y_{i}\tau}^{2}(v),$$
(2.5)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \partial_{x_i \tau}^2(uv) = v \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \partial_{x_i \tau}^2(u) + \partial_{\tau}(u) \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \partial_{x_i}(v) + \partial_{\tau}(v) \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \partial_{x_i}(u) + u \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \partial_{x_i \tau}^2(v).$$

$$(2.6)$$

Using (2.2)-(2.6) and the definition of $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(uv) &= \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(u)v + u\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(v) \\ &+ 2\left[\nabla_x(u)\nabla_x(v) + \nabla_y(u)\nabla_y(v) + 4(|x|^2 + |y|^2)\partial_\tau(u)\partial_\tau(v) \right. \\ &+ 2\partial_\tau(v)\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\partial_{y_i}(u) - 2\partial_\tau(v)\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\partial_{x_i}(u) \\ &+ 2\partial_\tau(u)\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\partial_{y_i}(v) - 2\partial_\tau(u)\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\partial_{x_i}(v)\right] \\ &= \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(u)v + u\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(v) + 2\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(u)\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(v), \end{split}$$

proving the claim.

Lemma 2.6. For $\varepsilon > 0$, A > 0, let

$$\Theta(\eta) = e^{-\varepsilon \left[A + (|x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + \tau^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \qquad \eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Then

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\Theta(\eta) \le 2\varepsilon(Q+2)\Theta(\eta), \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Proof. Let $\rho(x, y, \tau) := A + (|x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + \tau^2$. We have

$$\nabla_x \Theta(\eta) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_x(\rho) \Theta(\eta),$$

and then

$$\Delta_x \Theta(\eta) = \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla_x(\rho)|^2 \Theta(\eta) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_x(\rho) \Theta(\eta) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \rho^{-1} |\nabla_x(\rho)|^2 \Theta(\eta).$$

As $\Delta_x(\rho) = (4n+8)|x|^2 + 4n|y|^2$, we conclude that

$$\Delta_x \Theta(\eta) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\varepsilon \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-1} \right) |\nabla_x(\rho)|^2 \Theta(\eta) - \varepsilon \left((2n+4)|x|^2 + 2n|y|^2 \right) \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(\eta).$$
(2.7)

Similarly,

$$\Delta_y \Theta(\eta) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\varepsilon \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-1} \right) |\nabla_y(\rho)|^2 \Theta(\eta) - \varepsilon \left(2n|x|^2 + (2n+4)|y|^2 \right) \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(\eta).$$
(2.8)

On the other hand,

$$\partial_{\tau}\Theta(\eta) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{\tau}(\rho)\Theta(\eta),$$

and then

$$\partial_{\tau}^{2}\Theta(\eta) = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}}(2\tau)^{2}\Theta(\eta) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}2\Theta(\eta) + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4}\rho^{-1}4\Theta(\eta)$$
$$= \tau^{2}\left(\varepsilon\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^{2}\rho^{-1}\right)\Theta(\eta) - \varepsilon\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Theta(\eta).$$
(2.9)

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{y_i\tau}^2 \Theta(\eta) &= -\varepsilon \tau \partial_{y_j} \left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(\eta) \right) \\ &= -\varepsilon \tau \left(-\frac{1}{2} \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{y_j}(\rho) \Theta(\eta) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \rho^{-1} \partial_{y_j}(\rho) \Theta(\eta) \right) \\ &= \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\varepsilon \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-1} \right) \partial_{y_j}(\rho) \Theta(\eta) \\ &= 2\tau \left(\varepsilon \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-1} \right) y_i(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \Theta(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \partial_{y_i \tau}^2 \Theta(\eta) = 2\tau \left(\varepsilon \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-1} \right) x \cdot y(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \Theta(\eta).$$
(2.10)

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \partial_{x_i \tau}^2 \Theta(\eta) = 2\tau \left(\varepsilon \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-1} \right) x \cdot y(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \Theta(\eta).$$
(2.11)

Using (2.8)-(2.11) in (1.2), we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \Theta(\eta) &= \left[\frac{|\nabla_x(\rho)|^2 + |\nabla_y(\rho)|^2}{4} + \tau^2 (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \right] \left(\varepsilon \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-1} \right) \Theta(\eta) \\ &- 4\varepsilon (n+2) (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(\eta) \\ &\geq -4\varepsilon (n+2) (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(\eta), \end{split}$$

and finally, using the fact that $(|x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 \le \rho \Longrightarrow (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \le \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\Theta(\eta) \ge -4\varepsilon(n+2)\Theta(\eta) = -2\varepsilon(Q+2)\Theta(\eta),$$

completing the proof.

Lemma 2.7. Let $v_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and T > 0. Let $\psi_0 \in C^{1,2}_{t,x}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ be such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \{ |\psi_0(t,\eta)| + |\partial_t \psi_0(t,\eta)| + |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \psi_0(t,\eta)| + |\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \psi_0(t,\eta)| \} \, d\eta < \infty, \, \forall t \in [0,T).$$
(2.12)

If $0 \leq v \in L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,T); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ is a weak solution of (2.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(\tau,\eta)\psi_0(\tau,\eta)\,d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(0,\eta)\psi_0(0,\eta)\,d\eta$$
$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \psi_0(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^m \,\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\psi_0(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v\partial_t \psi_0(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt$$

for all $\tau \in [0, T)$.

Proof. Let $0 < T \leq \infty$. Suppose that $0 \leq v \in L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,T); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ is a weak solution of (2.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$, then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(\tau,\eta)\psi(\tau,\eta)\,d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(0,\eta)\psi(0,\eta)\,d\eta$$
$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \psi(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^m\,\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\psi(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt$$
$$+ \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v\psi_t(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt,$$

for any compactly supported $\psi \in C^{1,2}_{t,x}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$, and $0 \leq \tau < T$. Let $\tau \in [0,T)$ be a fixed number, and let

$$\psi(t,\eta) := \varphi_R(\eta)\psi_0(t,\eta) := \varphi_1(x)\varphi_1(y)\varphi_2(\tau)\psi_0(t,\eta), \quad t \in [0,T), \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

with

$$\varphi_1(x) := \Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right), \quad \varphi_1(y) := \Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right), \quad \varphi_2(\tau) := \Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^2}\right),$$

where $R \gg 1$, and Φ is a smooth nonnegative non-increasing function such that

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le r \le 1/2, \\ \searrow & \text{if } 1/2 \le r \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v(\tau,\eta)\varphi_{R}(\eta)\psi_{0}(\tau,\eta)\,d\eta &- \int_{\mathcal{B}} v(0,\eta)\varphi_{R}(\eta)\psi_{0}(0,\eta)\,d\eta\\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma}\varphi_{R}(\eta)\psi_{0}(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt + \int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{m}\,\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(\varphi_{R}(\eta)\psi_{0}(t,\eta))\,d\eta\,dt\\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{\mathcal{B}} v\varphi_{R}(\eta)\partial_{t}\psi_{0}(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt, \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ \eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; \ |x|^2, |y|^2, |\tau| \le R^2 \},\$$

and we also denote

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; \ \frac{R^2}{2} \le |x|^2, |y|^2, |\tau| \le R^2\}.$$

Using Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} v(\tau,\eta)\varphi_{R}(\eta)\psi_{0}(\tau,\eta) d\eta - \int_{\mathcal{B}} v(0,\eta)\varphi_{R}(\eta)\psi_{0}(0,\eta) d\eta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma}\varphi_{R}(\eta)\psi_{0}(t,\eta) d\eta dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m}\psi_{0}(t,\eta)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_{R}(\eta) d\eta dt$$

$$+ 2\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m}\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(\varphi_{R}(\eta))\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(\psi_{0}(t,\eta)) d\eta dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{m}\varphi_{R}(\eta)\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\psi_{0}(t,\eta) d\eta dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v\varphi_{R}(\eta)\partial_{t}\psi_{0}(t,\eta) d\eta dt. \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand,

$$I_R := \left| \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^m \, \psi_0(t,\eta) \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi_R(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right| \le \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^m \, |\psi_0(t,\eta)| \, |\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi_R(\eta)| \, d\eta \, dt.$$

Using (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_{R}(\eta)| &= |\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \left(\varphi_{1}(x)\varphi_{1}(y)\varphi_{2}(\tau)\right)| \\ &\leq |\Delta_{x}\varphi_{1}(x)|\varphi_{1}(y)\varphi_{2}(\tau) + \varphi_{1}(x)|\Delta_{y}\varphi_{1}(y)|\varphi_{2}(\tau) \\ &+ 4(|x|^{2} + |y|^{2})\varphi_{1}(x)\varphi_{1}(y)|\partial_{\tau}^{2}\varphi_{2}(\tau)| \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|\varphi_{1}(x)|\partial_{y_{j}}\varphi_{1}(y)||\partial_{\tau}\varphi_{2}(\tau)| \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|\varphi_{1}(y)|\partial_{x_{j}}\varphi_{1}(x)||\partial_{\tau}\varphi_{2}(\tau)|, \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Substituting φ_1 and φ_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_{R}(\eta)| &\leq \left| \Delta_{x} \left(\Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \right) \right| \Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \left| \Delta_{y} \left(\Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \right) \right| \Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) \\ &+ 4(|x|^{2} + |y|^{2}) \Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \left| \partial_{\tau}^{2} \left(\Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) \right) \right| \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}| \Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \left| \partial_{y_{j}} \left(\Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \right) \right| \left| \partial_{\tau} \left(\Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) \right) \right| \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}| \Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \left| \partial_{x_{j}} \left(\Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \right) \right| \left| \partial_{\tau} \left(\Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) \right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . By letting

$$\widetilde{x} = \frac{x}{R}, \qquad \widetilde{y} = \frac{y}{R}, \qquad \widetilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{R^2},$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_{R}(\eta)| &\leq R^{-2} \left| \Delta_{\widetilde{x}}\Phi\left(|\widetilde{x}|\right) \right| \Phi\left(|\widetilde{y}|\right) \Phi\left(|\widetilde{\tau}|\right) + \Phi\left(|\widetilde{x}|\right) R^{-2} \left| \Delta_{\widetilde{y}}\Phi\left(|\widetilde{y}|\right) \right| \Phi\left(|\widetilde{\tau}|\right) \\ &+ 4R^{2}(|\widetilde{x}|^{2} + |\widetilde{y}|^{2}) \Phi\left(|\widetilde{x}|\right) \Phi\left(|\widetilde{y}|\right) R^{-4} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}}^{2} \Phi\left(|\widetilde{\tau}|\right) \right| \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} R|\widetilde{x}_{j}| \Phi\left(|\widetilde{x}|\right) R^{-1} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{y}_{j}} \Phi\left(|\widetilde{y}|\right) \right| R^{-2} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}} \Phi\left(|\widetilde{\tau}|\right) \right| \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} R|\widetilde{y}_{j}| \Phi\left(|\widetilde{y}|\right) R^{-1} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{x}_{j}} \Phi\left(|\widetilde{x}|\right) \right| R^{-2} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}} \Phi\left(|\widetilde{\tau}|\right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Note that, as $\Phi \leq 1$ and $\Phi \in C^{\infty}$ on \mathcal{C} , we can easily see that

$$|\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_R(\eta)| \le C R^{-2}, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{C},$$

and therefore

$$I_{R} \leq C R^{-2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m} |\psi_{0}(t,\eta)| d\eta dt$$

$$\leq C R^{-2} \tau \sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} \|v(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^{n})}^{m} \sup_{t \in [0,T)} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} |\psi_{0}(t,\eta)| d\eta,$$

this implies, using (2.12), that

$$I_R \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } R \to +\infty.$$
 (2.14)

Similarly,

$$J_R := \left| 2 \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^m \, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(\varphi_R(\eta)) \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}(\psi_0(t,\eta)) \, d\eta \, dt \right|$$
$$\leq 2 \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^m \, |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_R(\eta)| \, |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\psi_0(t,\eta)| \, d\eta \, dt.$$

Using (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_{R}(\eta)|^{2} &\leq |\nabla_{x}\varphi_{1}(x)|^{2} \varphi_{1}^{2}(y)\varphi_{2}^{2}(\tau) + \varphi_{1}^{2}(x) |\nabla_{y}\varphi_{1}(y)|^{2} \varphi_{2}^{2}(\tau) \\ &+ 4 \left(|x|^{2} + |y|^{2} \right) \varphi_{1}^{2}(x)\varphi_{1}^{2}(y) \left| \partial_{\tau}\varphi_{2}(\tau) \right|^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Substituting φ_1 and φ_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_{R}(\eta)|^{2} &\leq \left| \nabla_{x}\Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \right|^{2} \Phi^{2}\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \Phi^{2}\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) + \Phi^{2}\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \left| \nabla_{y}\Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \right|^{2} \Phi^{2}\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) \\ &+ 4\left(|x|^{2} + |y|^{2}\right) \Phi^{2}\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \Phi^{2}\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) \left| \partial_{\tau}\Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{R^{2}}\right) \right|^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . By letting

$$\widetilde{x} = \frac{x}{R}, \qquad \widetilde{y} = \frac{y}{R}, \qquad \widetilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{R^2},$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi_R(\eta) \right|^2 &\leq R^{-2} \left| \nabla_{\widetilde{x}} \Phi\left(|\widetilde{x}| \right) \right|^2 \Phi^2\left(|\widetilde{y}| \right) \Phi^2\left(|\widetilde{\tau}| \right) + \Phi^2\left(|\widetilde{x}| \right) R^{-2} \left| \nabla_{\widetilde{y}} \Phi\left(|\widetilde{y}| \right) \right|^2 \Phi^2\left(|\widetilde{\tau}| \right) \\ &+ 4R^2 \left(\left| \widetilde{x} \right|^2 + \left| \widetilde{y} \right|^2 \right) \Phi^2\left(\left| \widetilde{x} \right| \right) \Phi^2\left(\left| \widetilde{y} \right| \right) R^{-4} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}} \Phi\left(\left| \widetilde{\tau} \right| \right) \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Note that, as $\Phi \leq 1$ and $\Phi \in C^{\infty}$ on \mathcal{C} , we can easily see that

$$|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi(\eta)| \leq C R^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{C},$$

and therefore

$$J_R \leq C R^{-1} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^m \left| \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \psi_0(t,\eta) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$\leq C R^{-1} \tau \sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} \| v(t,\cdot) \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^m \sup_{t \in [0,T)} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \left| \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \psi_0(t,\eta) \right| d\eta,$$

this implies, using (2.12), that

$$J_R \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } R \to +\infty.$$
 (2.15)

Finally, letting $R \longrightarrow +\infty$ in (2.13) and using (2.12), (2.14),(2.15) together with Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we conclude the result.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (i) The proof is by contradiction. Suppose that v is a nonnegative global weak solution of (2.1), then, for all $T \gg 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(T,\eta)\psi(T,\eta)\,d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(0,\eta)\psi(0,\eta)\,d\eta$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma}\psi(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^m\,\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\psi(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt$$
$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v\psi_t(t,\eta)\,d\eta\,dt$$

for all compactly supported $\psi \in C^{1,2}_{t,x}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$.

We choose

$$\psi(t,\eta) := \varphi^{\ell}(\eta)\varphi_3^{\ell}(t) := \varphi_1^{\ell}(x)\varphi_1^{\ell}(y)\varphi_2^{\ell}(\tau)\varphi_3^{\ell}(t),$$

with

$$\varphi_1(x) := \Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}}\right), \quad \varphi_1(y) := \Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}}\right), \quad \varphi_2(\tau) := \Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}}\right), \quad \varphi_3(t) := \Phi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\sigma - m}{2(\sigma - 1)} > 0$, $\ell \gg 1$, and Φ is a smooth nonnegative non-increasing function such that

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le r \le 1/2, \\ \searrow & \text{if } 1/2 \le r \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} v_{0}(\eta) \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \, d\eta = -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m} \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \, \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt$$
$$-\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v \, \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \partial_{t}(\varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t)) \, d\eta \, dt$$
$$= I_{1} + I_{2}, \qquad (2.16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ \eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; \ |x|^2, |y|^2, |\tau| \le T^{2\alpha} \},\$$

and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; \ \frac{T^{\alpha}}{2} \le |x|, |y| \le T^{\alpha}, \ \frac{T^{2\alpha}}{2} \le |\tau| \le T^{2\alpha}\}.$$

Let us start to estimate I_1 . As $\sigma > m$, using the following Young's inequality

$$ab \le \frac{1}{4}a^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} + C \, b^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-m}},$$

we have

$$I_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m} \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m} \psi^{\frac{m}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \psi^{-\frac{m}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) d\eta dt$$

$$+ C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{m}{\sigma-m}}(t,\eta) \varphi_{3}^{\frac{\ell\sigma}{\sigma-m}}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-m}} d\eta dt.$$
(2.17)

To estimate I_2 , using the following Young's inequality

$$ab \le \frac{1}{4}a^{\sigma} + C\,b^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}},$$

and the fact that $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$I_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \left| \partial_{t}(\varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t)) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v \psi^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \left| \partial_{t}(\varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t)) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) d\eta dt$$

$$+ C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(t,\eta) \varphi^{\frac{\ell\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\eta) \left| \partial_{t} \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} d\eta dt.$$
(2.18)

Inserting (2.17)-(2.18) into (2.16), we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} v_{0}(\eta) \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \, d\eta$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{m}{\sigma-m}}(t,\eta) \varphi^{\frac{\ell\sigma}{\sigma-m}}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-m}} \, d\eta \, dt$$

$$+ C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(t,\eta) \varphi^{\frac{\ell\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\eta) \left| \partial_{t} \varphi^{\ell}_{3}(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \, d\eta \, dt$$

$$= C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^{\ell}_{3}(t) \varphi^{-\frac{\ell m}{\sigma-m}}(\eta) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-m}} \, d\eta \, dt$$

$$+ C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \varphi^{-\frac{\ell}{\sigma-1}}_{3}(t) \left| \partial_{t} \varphi^{\ell}_{3}(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \, d\eta \, dt$$

$$= J_{1} + J_{2}.$$
(2.19)

Let us estimate J_2 . As $\partial_t \varphi_3^{\ell}(t) = \ell \varphi_3^{\ell-1}(t) \partial_t \varphi_3(t)$, we have

$$J_{2} \leq C \int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \, d\eta \int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{3}^{\ell - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}}(t) \, |\partial_{t}\varphi_{3}(t)|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}} \, dt$$
$$= C \int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \, d\eta \int_{0}^{T} \Phi^{\ell - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \left|\partial_{t}\Phi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)\right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}} \, dt.$$

Letting

$$\widetilde{x} = \frac{x}{T^{\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{y} = \frac{y}{T^{\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{T^{2\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{t} = \frac{t}{T},$$

and using the fact that $\varphi \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{meas}(\mathcal{C}) = C T^{\alpha Q}$, we get

$$J_2 \le C T^{\alpha Q - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} + 1} \int_0^1 \Phi^{\ell - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}}(\tilde{t}) \left| \Phi'(\tilde{t}) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}} d\tilde{t} \le C T^{\alpha Q - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} + 1}.$$
 (2.20)

To estimate J_1 , using (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| &= \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \left(\varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \Delta_{x} \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \right| \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \\ &+ \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \left| \Delta_{y} \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \right| \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \\ &+ 4(|x|^{2} + |y|^{2}) \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \left| \partial_{\tau} \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \right| \\ &+ 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}| \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \left| \partial_{y_{j}} \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \right| \left| \partial_{\tau} \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \right| \\ &+ 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}| \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \left| \partial_{x_{j}} \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \right| \left| \partial_{\tau} \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \right| ,\end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{C}.$ So

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| &\leq \left[\ell(\ell-1)\varphi_{1}^{\ell-2}(x) |\nabla_{x}\varphi_{1}(x)|^{2} + \ell\varphi_{1}^{\ell-1}(x) |\Delta_{x}\varphi_{1}(x)| \right] \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \\ &+ \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \left[\ell(\ell-1)\varphi_{1}^{\ell-2}(y) |\nabla_{y}\varphi_{1}(y)|^{2} + \ell\varphi_{1}^{\ell-1}(y) |\Delta_{y}\varphi_{1}(y)| \right] \varphi_{2}^{\ell}(\tau) \\ &+ 4(|x|^{2} + |y|^{2})\varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \left[\ell(\ell-1)\varphi_{2}^{\ell-2}(\tau) |\partial_{\tau}\varphi_{2}(\tau)|^{2} + \ell\varphi_{2}^{\ell-1}(\tau) |\partial_{\tau}^{2}\varphi_{2}(\tau)| \right] \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|\varphi_{1}^{\ell}(x) \left[\ell\varphi_{1}^{\ell-1}(y) |\partial_{y_{j}}\varphi_{1}(y)| \right] \left[\ell\varphi_{2}^{\ell-1}(\tau) |\partial_{\tau}\varphi_{2}(\tau)| \right] \\ &+ 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|\varphi_{1}^{\ell}(y) \left[\ell\varphi_{1}^{\ell-1}(x) |\partial_{x_{j}}\varphi_{1}(x)| \right] \left[\ell\varphi_{2}^{\ell-1}(\tau) |\partial_{\tau}\varphi_{2}(\tau)| \right], \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Substituting φ_1 and φ_2 we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| &\leq \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left| \nabla_{x} \Phi \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \right|^{2} + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left| \Delta_{x} \Phi \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \right| \right] \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \\ &+ \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left| \nabla_{y} \Phi \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \right|^{2} + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left| \Delta_{y} \Phi \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \right| \right] \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \\ &+ 4(|x|^{2} + |y|^{2}) \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \left| \partial_{\tau} \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \right|^{2} + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \left| \partial_{\tau}^{2} \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \right| \\ &+ 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}| \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left| \partial_{y_{j}} \Phi \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \right| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \left| \partial_{\tau} \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \right| \right] \\ &+ 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}| \Phi^{\ell} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \left| \partial_{x_{j}} \Phi \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\alpha}} \right) \right| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \left| \partial_{\tau} \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T^{2\alpha}} \right) \right| \right] , \end{split}$$

on \mathcal{C} . By letting

$$\widetilde{x} = \frac{x}{T^{\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{y} = \frac{y}{T^{\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{T^{2\alpha}}.$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| &\leq \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2}(|\widetilde{x}|) T^{-2\alpha} \left| \nabla_{\widetilde{x}} \Phi(|\widetilde{x}|) \right|^{2} + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\widetilde{x}|) T^{-2\alpha} \left| \Delta_{\widetilde{x}} \Phi(|\widetilde{x}|) \right| \right] \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{y}|) \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \\ &+ \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{x}|) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2}(|\widetilde{y}|) T^{-2\alpha} \left| \nabla_{\widetilde{y}} \Phi(|\widetilde{y}|) \right|^{2} + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\widetilde{y}|) T^{-2\alpha} \left| \Delta_{\widetilde{y}} \Phi(|\widetilde{y}|) \right| \right] \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \\ &+ 4 T^{2\alpha}(|\widetilde{x}|^{2} + |\widetilde{y}|^{2}) \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{x}|) \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{y}|) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) T^{-4\alpha} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}} \Phi(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \right|^{2} + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) T^{-4\alpha} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}}^{2} \Phi(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \right| \right] \\ &+ 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} T^{\alpha} |\widetilde{x}_{j}| \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{x}|) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\widetilde{y}|) T^{-\alpha} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{y}_{j}} \Phi(|\widetilde{y}|) \right| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) T^{-2\alpha} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}} \Phi(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \right| \right] \\ &+ 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} T^{\alpha} |\widetilde{y}_{j}| \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{y}|) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\widetilde{x}|) T^{-\alpha} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{x}_{j}} \Phi(|\widetilde{x}|) \right| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) T^{-2\alpha} \left| \partial_{\widetilde{\tau}} \Phi(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \right| \right], \end{split}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Note that, as

$$\Phi \le 1 \Rightarrow \Phi^{\ell} \le \Phi^{\ell-1} \le \Phi^{\ell-2},$$

we can easily see that

$$\left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| \leq C T^{-2\alpha} \left[\Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{x}|) \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{y}|) \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \right]^{\ell-2}, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{C},$$

and therefore, using the fact that $\varphi_3 \leq 1$, we conclude that

$$J_{1} = C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \varphi^{-\frac{\ell m}{\sigma-m}}(\eta) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-m}} d\eta dt$$

$$\leq C T^{-\frac{2\alpha\sigma}{\sigma-m}} \int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) dt \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}} [\Phi(|\widetilde{x}|) \Phi(|\widetilde{\gamma}|)]^{-\frac{\ell m}{\sigma-m}} \left[\Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{x}|) \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{\gamma}|) \Phi^{\ell}(|\widetilde{\tau}|) \right]^{\frac{\sigma(\ell-2)}{\sigma-m}} T^{\alpha Q} d\widetilde{\eta}$$

$$\leq C T^{-\frac{2\alpha\sigma}{\sigma-m}+1+\alpha Q} \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}} [\Phi(|\widetilde{x}|) \Phi(|\widetilde{\gamma}|) \Phi(|\widetilde{\tau}|)]^{\frac{\sigma\ell(\ell-2-\frac{m}{\sigma})}{\sigma-m}} d\widetilde{\eta}$$

$$\leq C T^{-\frac{2\alpha\sigma}{\sigma-m}+1+\alpha Q}, \qquad (2.21)$$

where we have used the fact that $\ell \gg 1$.

Combining (2.19)-(2.21) and taking into account that $\alpha = \frac{\sigma - m}{2(\sigma - 1)}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^\sigma \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} v_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) \, d\eta \le C \, T^{\frac{\sigma-m}{2(\sigma-1)}Q - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} + 1}.$$
(2.22)

If $\sigma < m + \frac{2}{Q}$, we can easily see that $\frac{\sigma - m}{2(\sigma - 1)}Q - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} + 1 < 0$, and then, using the monotone convergence theorem and the fact that $\psi(t, \eta) \to 1$ as $T \to \infty$, we conclude that

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \, d\eta \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma(x,t) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \, d\eta \le 0;$$

contradiction.

For the critical case $\sigma = m + \frac{2}{Q}$, we can see first, using again (2.22) and letting $T \to \infty$, that

$$v \in L^{\sigma}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n),$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt = \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt - \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma}(x,t) \, d\eta \, dt - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma}(x,t) \, d\eta \, dt$$
$$= 0, \tag{2.23}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{ \eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; \ |x|, |y| \le \frac{T^{\alpha}}{2}, \ |\tau| \le \frac{T^{2\alpha}}{2} \},$$
(2.24)

and

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt = \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt - \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} v^{\sigma}(x,t) \, d\eta \, dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} v^{\sigma}(x,t) \, d\eta \, dt$$
$$= 0.$$
(2.25)

On the other hand, we need to use Hölder's inequality instead of Young's one in the estimations of I_1 and I_2 , and to refine them. Indeed,

$$I_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m} \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{m} \psi^{\frac{m}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \psi^{-\frac{m}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{m}{\sigma-m}}(t,\eta) \varphi_{3}^{\frac{\ell\sigma}{\sigma-m}}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-m}} d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{\sigma-m}{\sigma}}$$

$$= \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} J_{1}^{\frac{\sigma-m}{\sigma}}, \qquad (2.26)$$

and

$$I_{2} \leq \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \left| \partial_{t}(\varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t)) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$= \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} v \psi^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}(t,\eta) \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \left| \partial_{t}(\varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t)) \right| d\eta dt$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(t,\eta) \varphi^{\frac{\ell\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\eta) \left| \partial_{t} \varphi_{3}^{\ell}(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} J_{2}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}.$$
(2.27)

Inserting (2.26)-(2.27) into (2.16), we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} v_0(\eta) \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \, d\eta \le \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} J_1^{\frac{\sigma-m}{\sigma}} + \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} J_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}.$$

By letting

$$\widetilde{x} = \frac{x}{T^{\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{y} = \frac{y}{T^{\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{T^{2\alpha}}, \qquad \widetilde{t} = \frac{t}{T},$$

inside J_1 and J_2 , using their estimates and that $\sigma = m + \frac{2}{Q}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} v_0(\eta) \varphi^{\ell}(\eta) \, d\eta \le C \, \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} + C \, \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}.$$
 (2.28)

Finally, using (2.23),(2.25), (2.28), the dominated convergence theorem, and the fact that $\psi(t,\eta) \to 1$ as $T \to \infty$, we conclude that

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \, d\eta \le 0;$$

contradiction.

(ii) As T > 1, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{B}} v_0(\eta)\psi(0,\eta)\,d\eta &= \int_{\mathcal{B}} v_0(\eta)\varphi^{\ell}(\eta)\,d\eta \geq \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} v_0(\eta)\varphi^{\ell}(\eta)\,d\eta\\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} v_0(\eta)\,d\eta \geq \varepsilon \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} (1+|\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}^2)^{-\gamma/2}\,d\eta\\ &\geq \varepsilon C \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \left(\frac{T^{2\alpha}}{2} + \frac{T^{2\alpha}}{2}\right)^{-\gamma/2}\,d\eta\\ &= \varepsilon C T^{-\gamma\alpha} \operatorname{meas}(\mathcal{C}_0)\\ &= \varepsilon C T^{\alpha(Q-\gamma)}, \end{split}$$

where C_0 is defined in (2.24). Therefore by repeating the same calculation as in the subcritical case (i) with $\alpha = \frac{\sigma - m}{2(\sigma - 1)}$, we get

$$\varepsilon CT^{\frac{\sigma-m}{2(\sigma-1)}(Q-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \le C T^{\frac{\sigma-m}{2(\sigma-1)}Q-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}+1},$$

which implies

$$\varepsilon CT^{\frac{\sigma-m}{2(\sigma-1)}(Q-\gamma)} \le CT^{\frac{\sigma-m}{2(\sigma-1)}Q-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}+1},$$

that is

$$\varepsilon \leq C T^{\frac{\sigma-m}{2(\sigma-1)}\gamma - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} + 1}.$$

As $\sigma < m + \frac{2}{\gamma} \iff \frac{\sigma - m}{2(\sigma - 1)}\gamma - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} + 1 < 0$, then, by passing to the limit, as T goes to ∞ , we get a contradiction.

(iii) Let $m = \sigma$. In this case, using Lemma 2.7, we may replace, in the test function, $\varphi^{\ell}(\eta)$ by $\Theta(\eta)$ where Θ is defined in Lemma 2.6 with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4(2+Q)}$ i.e.

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\Theta(\eta) \le \frac{1}{2}\Theta(\eta), \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Therefore, by repeating the same calculation as before, we have from (2.16)

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma} \, \varphi_3^{\ell}(t) \, (-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \\ &- \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v \, \Theta(\eta) \partial_t(\varphi_3^{\ell}(t)) \, d\eta \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma} \, \varphi_3^{\ell}(t) \, \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt - \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v \, \Theta(\eta) \partial_t(\varphi_3^{\ell}(t)) \, d\eta \, dt, \end{split}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \leq -\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v \, \Theta(\eta) \partial_t(\varphi_3^\ell(t)) \, d\eta \, dt = I_2$$

where I_2 is introduced above. Then, using (2.18), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma} \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(t,\eta) \Theta^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\eta) \left| \partial_t \varphi_3^{\ell}(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \, d\eta \, dt,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \psi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \le C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(t,\eta) \Theta^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\eta) \, \left| \partial_t \varphi_3^\ell(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \, d\eta \, dt,$$

and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \psi^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(t,\eta) \Theta^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\eta) \left| \partial_t \varphi_3^{\ell}(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} d\eta \, dt$$
$$= C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \Theta(\eta) \, \varphi_3^{-\frac{\ell}{\sigma-1}}(t) \left| \partial_t \varphi_3^{\ell}(t) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} d\eta \, dt.$$

As $\partial_t \varphi_3^{\ell}(t) = \ell \varphi_3^{\ell-1}(t) \partial_t \varphi_3(t)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \leq C \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \int_0^T \varphi_3^{\ell - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}}(t) \, |\partial_t \varphi_3(t)|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}} \, dt$$
$$\leq C \int_0^T \Phi^{\ell - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \, \left|\partial_t \Phi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)\right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}} \, dt.$$

By taking $\tilde{t} = \frac{t}{T}$, we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta) \Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \le C \, T^{-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}+1} \int_0^1 \Phi^{\ell-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\tilde{t}) \, \left| \Phi'(\tilde{t}) \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \, d\tilde{t} \le C \, T^{-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}+1}. \tag{2.29}$$

By letting $T \to \infty$ we obtain a contradiction with $v_0(\eta) \ge 0$, $v_0(\eta) \ne 0$. This completes the proof.

Next, we shall prove the nonexistence of positive classical and weak solutions in the case of large data by an energy-type method as performed e.g. in [7, 26].

Theorem 2.8. Let $n \ge 1$, and $1 < m < \sigma$. For each $0 < w \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, there is B > 0 such that if $v_0 = Bw$ then there are no positive global classical solutions of (2.1). More precisely, there exists a $T^* > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta) \longrightarrow \infty, \qquad as \ t \to T^*.$$

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that v is a positive global classical solution of (2.1), i.e. a positive classical solution of (2.1) on [0, T] for all T > 0.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a Heisenberg ball with boundary $\partial\Omega$, and let $\lambda_1 > 0$ be the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ with Dirichlet condition and $\Lambda > 0$ its corresponding eigenfunction such that $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) d\eta = 1$ (The existence of such eigenvalue has been proved in [4]). In order to get a contradiction, we are going to apply the energy method. We divide our proof into three steps.

Step 1. Let

$$y(t) := \int_{\Omega} v(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

As v is a classical solution, we have

$$y \in C([0,T]) \cap C^1((0,T]).$$

Using the Green's formula for Heisenberg group (see [11, 25]) one can get

$$y'(t) = \int_{\Omega} v_t(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta$$

=
$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} v^m(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta + \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta$$

=
$$-\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} v^m(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta - \int_{\partial\Omega} v^m(t,\sigma)\partial_{\nu}\Lambda(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta.$$

It follows from the Hopf type lemma on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n (see [3, Lemma 2.1]), that $\partial_{\nu}\Lambda \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then we have

$$y'(t) \ge -\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} v^m(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta + \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta.$$
(2.30)

In order to apply the energy method, i.e. obtaining a differential inequality for y(t), we need to estimate the right-hand side of (2.30). Let $v_0 = Bw$, where $0 < w \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $B \gg 1$ is a positive real number such that

$$B > (2\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w(\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{-1}$$

This implies that $y_0 := y(0) > c_3$, with

$$c_3 := (2\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}} \,.$$

Step 2. We have $y(t) \ge c_3$, for all $t \in (0, T]$. Indeed, let $T_0 = \inf\{0 < t \le T; y(t) \ge c_3\} \le T$. Since y is continuous and $y(0) > c_3$, we have $T_0 > 0$. We claim $T_0 = T$. Otherwise, we have $y(t) > c_3$ for all $t \in (0, T_0)$ such that $y(T_0) = c_3$, i.e. particularly, $y(t) \ge c_3$ for all $t \in [0, T_0]$. On the other hand, using m > 1 and applying the following Hölder's inequality for negative exponent (see [1, p. 27])

$$\int |fg| \, d\mu \ge \left(\int |f|^{r_1} \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int |g|^{r_2} \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, \text{ for all } r_1 < 0, 0 < r_2 < 1, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = 1,$$

with $r_1 = \frac{1}{1-m}$ and $r_2 = \frac{1}{m}$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta = \int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda^{m}(\eta)\Lambda^{1-m}(\eta) d\eta$$

$$\geq \left(\int_{\Omega} v(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right)^{m} \left(\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right)^{1-m}$$

$$= \left(\int_{\Omega} v(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right)^{m}$$

$$= y^{m}(t),$$
(2.31)

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where we have used that $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) d\eta = 1$. In addition, using again Hölder's inequality for negative exponent with $r_1 = \frac{m}{m-\sigma} < 0$ and $r_2 = \frac{m}{\sigma} < 1$, we

have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta &= \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta) \Lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{m}}(\eta) \Lambda^{1-\frac{\sigma}{m}}(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &\geq \left(\int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{\frac{m-\sigma}{m}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} \end{split}$$

which implies, using (2.31) and $y(t) \ge c_3$, that

$$\int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta = \left(\int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta \right) \\
\geq (y^{m}(t))^{\frac{\sigma}{m}-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta \right) \\
= y^{\sigma-m}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta \\
\geq c_{3}^{\sigma-m} \int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta \\
= 2\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} v^{m}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta,$$
(2.32)

for all $t \in (0, T_0]$. Therefore, by (2.30) and (2.32), we arrive at

$$y'(t) \ge -\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} v^m(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta + 2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} v^m(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta$$
$$= \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} v^m(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta,$$

which implies, using (2.31), that

$$y'(t) \ge \lambda_1 y^m(t) \ge 0,$$
 for all $t \in (0, T_0],$

and hence

$$c_3 = y(T_0) \ge y(0) = y_0 > c_3;$$

contradiction.

Step 3. From Step 2, we have $y(t) \ge c_3$, for all $t \in [0, T]$. This implies, using (2.31)-(2.32), that

$$y'(t) \ge \lambda_1 y^m(t), \qquad \text{for all } t \in (0,T],$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$y(t) \ge \left(y_0^{1-m} - (m-1)\lambda_1 t\right)^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,T].$$

Let

$$T^* = \frac{1}{y_0^{m-1}(m-1)\lambda_1}.$$

If $T^* < T$, we also get a contradiction because

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta) \ge y(t) \ge \left(y_0^{1-m} - (m-1)\lambda_1 t\right)^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \text{when } t \to T^*.$$

If $T \leq T^*$, we get a contradiction by choosing from the beginning T big enough, namely $T > T^*$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.9. Let $n \ge 1$, and m > 0, $\sigma > 1$.

If $m < \sigma$, then for each $0 < w \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap BC(\mathbb{H}^n)$, there is B > 0 such that if $v_0 = Bw$ there are no positive global weak solutions $v \in C([0,\infty); L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ of (2.1).

If $m = \sigma$, then for each $0 < v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap BC(\mathbb{H}^n)$ there are no positive global weak solutions $v \in C([0,\infty); L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ of (2.1). More precisely, there exists a $T^* > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta) \longrightarrow \infty, \qquad as \ t \to T^*.$$

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that $v \in C([0,\infty); L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n))$ is a positive weak solution of (2.1) on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n$.

<u>The case $m < \sigma$ </u>: Let $\Theta_1(\eta) := c_* \Theta(\eta), \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n$, where Θ is defined in Lemma 2.6 with $\varepsilon = 1$, and $c_* > 0$ is a constant such that $\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \Theta_1(x) d\eta = 1$, namely $c_* = \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \Theta(\eta) d\eta\right)^{-1}$. Then

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\Theta_1(\eta) \ge -\lambda\Theta_1(\eta), \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \quad (2.33)$$

where $\lambda = 2(2+Q)$. In order to get a contradiction, we are going to apply the energy method. We divide our proof into three steps. Step 1. Let

$$J(t) := \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta) \Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta, \quad t \ge 0.$$

As v is a weak solution, by Lemma 2.7 we may choose $\psi(t,\eta) = \Theta_1(\eta)$ as a test function. Therefore, using the continuity (in time) of v and (2.33), we have $J \in C([0,\infty))$ and

$$J(\tau) - J(0) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta)\Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\eta)\Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^m \, \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt$$

$$\geq \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} (v^\sigma - \lambda v^m)\Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} F(v)\Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt,$$

for all $\tau \in [0, \infty)$, where $F(z) := z^{\sigma} - \lambda z^{m}$, z > 0. **Step 2.** Let $v_{0} = Bw$, where $0 < w \in L^{1}(\mathbb{H}^{n}) \cap BC(\mathbb{H}^{n})$ and $B \gg 1$ is a positive real number such that

$$B > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma - m}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w(\eta) \Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{-1}.$$

This is equivalent to $J(0) > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}$. Therefore, by the continuity of J, there exists $0 < t_0 \ll 1$ sufficiently small such that $J(t) > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}$ for all $0 \le t < t_0$. We claim that

21

 $J(t) > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}$, for all $t \ge 0$. Indeed, assume on the contrary that $J(t) \le \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}$, for some $t \ge t_0$. Let τ_0 be the smallest such value, this implies that

 $J(\tau) > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}, \text{ for all } 0 \le \tau < \tau_0, \text{ and } J(\tau_0) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}},$

particularly $J(\tau) \ge \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}$ for all $0 \le \tau \le \tau_0$. On the other hand, we can easily see that F is convex on $(0,\infty)$ if $m \le 1$ and on $\left(\left(\frac{\lambda m(m-1)}{\sigma(\sigma-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}},\infty\right)$ if m > 1. Therefore by using

$$J(\tau) \ge \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}} > \max\left\{ \left(\frac{\lambda m(m-1)}{\sigma(\sigma-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}; 0 \right\}, \text{ for all } 0 \le \tau \le \tau_0,$$

Jensen's inequality and the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{H}} \Theta_1(x) d\eta = 1$, we get

$$J(\tau) \ge J(0) + \int_0^{\tau} F(J(t)) dt =: G(\tau), \text{ for all } 0 \le \tau \le \tau_0.$$
 (2.34)

Moreover, as F is positive on $(\lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}, \infty)$, we have $\int_0^{\tau_0} F(J(t)) dt > 0$, which implies

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}} = J(\tau_0) \ge J(0) + \int_0^{\tau} F(J(t)) \, dt > J(0) > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}};$$

contradiction.

Step 3. From Step 2, we have

$$J(t) > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}} > (\frac{\lambda m}{\sigma})^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}, \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0.$$

This implies, as F is increasing on $((\frac{\lambda m}{\sigma})^{\frac{1}{\sigma-m}}, \infty)$ and using (2.34), that $F(J(\tau)) \ge F(G(\tau)) > 0$

and

$$F(G(\tau)) \ge F(J(0)) > 0,$$

i.e.

$$G'(\tau) = F(J(\tau)) \ge F(G(\tau))$$

= $G^{\sigma}(\tau) - \lambda G^{m}(\tau)$
= $G^{\sigma}(\tau)(1 - \lambda G^{m-\sigma}(\tau))$

In addition, as $G(\tau) \ge J(0)$, it follows that

$$1 - \lambda G^{m-\sigma}(\tau) > 1 - \lambda J^{m-\sigma}(0) > 0,$$

and so

$$\frac{G'(\tau)}{G^{\sigma}(\tau)} \ge 1 - \lambda J^{m-\sigma}(0), \quad \text{for all } \tau \ge 0.$$

Integrating both sides over (0, t), we arrive at

$$G(t) \ge \frac{1}{(J^{1-\sigma}(0) - (\sigma - 1)(1 - \lambda J^{m-\sigma}(0))t)^{\sigma - 1}}.$$

Let

$$T^* = \frac{J^{1-\sigma}(0)}{(\sigma-1)(1-\lambda J^{m-\sigma}(0))},$$

then

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta) \ge J(t) \ge G(t)$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{\left(J^{1-\sigma}(0) - (\sigma-1)(1-\lambda J^{m-\sigma}(0))t\right)^{\sigma-1}} \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \text{when } t \to T^*$$

This completes the proof.

<u>The case $m = \sigma$ </u>: Let $\Theta_1(\eta) := c_* \Theta(\eta), \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n$, where Θ is defined in Lemma 2.6 with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4(2+Q)}$, and $c_* > 0$ is a constant such that $\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \Theta_1(x) d\eta = 1$, namely $c_* = \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} e^{-|\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}^2} d\eta\right)^{-1}$. Then

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}\Theta_1(\eta) \ge -\frac{1}{2}\Theta_1(\eta), \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$
(2.35)

In order to get a contradiction, we are going to apply the energy method. We divide our proof into two steps.

Step 1. Let

$$J(t) := \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta) \Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta, \quad t \ge 0.$$

As v is a weak solution, by Lemma 2.7 we may choose $\psi(t,\eta) = \Theta_1(\eta)$ as a test function. Therefore, using the continuity (in time) of v and (2.35), we have $J \in$ $C([0,\infty))$ and

$$J(\tau) - J(0) = \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^\sigma \Theta_1(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt, \qquad (2.36)$$

for all $\tau \in [0,\infty)$. On the other hand, using $\sigma > 1$ and applying the following Hölder's inequality for negative exponent [1, p. 27]

$$\int |fg| \, d\mu \ge \left(\int |f|^{r_1} \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int |g|^{r_2} \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, \text{ for all } r_1 < 0, 0 < r_2 < 1, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = 1,$$
with $r_1 = \frac{1}{r_1}$ and $r_2 = \frac{1}{r_2}$ we have

with $r_1 = \frac{1}{1-\sigma}$ and $r_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma}$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta) \Theta_{1}(\eta) d\eta = \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma}(t,\eta) \Theta_{1}^{\sigma}(\eta) \Theta_{1}^{1-\sigma}(\eta) d\eta$$

$$\geq \left(\int_{\Omega} v(t,\eta) \Theta_{1}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\sigma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{1}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{1-\sigma}$$

$$= \left(\int_{\Omega} v(t,\eta) \Theta_{1}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\sigma}$$

$$= J^{\sigma}(t),$$
(2.37)

for all $t \ge 0$, where we have used that $\int_{\Omega} \Theta_1(\eta) d\eta = 1$. Inserting (2.37) into (2.36) we get

$$J(\tau) \ge J(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau J^\sigma(t) \, dt =: H(\tau).$$
(2.38)

Step 2. Let $0 < v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap BC(\mathbb{H}^n)$, then J(0) > 0. This implies, using (2.38), that $J^{\sigma}(\tau) \geq H^{\sigma}(\tau)$ and $H^{\sigma}(\tau) \geq J^{\sigma}(0) > 0$, so

$$H'(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}J^{\sigma}(\tau) \ge \frac{1}{2}H^{\sigma}(\tau),$$

i.e.

$$\frac{H'(\tau)}{H^{\sigma}(\tau)} \ge \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for all } \tau \ge 0.$$

Integrating both sides over (0, t), we arrive at

$$H(t) \ge \frac{1}{\left(J^{1-\sigma}(0) - (\sigma-1)\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\sigma-1}}.$$

Let

$$T^* = \frac{2J^{1-\sigma}(0)}{\sigma - 1},$$

then we have

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\eta) \ge J(t) \ge H(t) \ge \frac{1}{\left(J^{1-\sigma}(0) - (\sigma-1)\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\sigma-1}} \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \text{when } t \to T^*.$$

his completes the proof.

This completes the proof.

3. Degenerate parabolic equation

In this section we consider the following degenerate parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases}
 u_t = u^q \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u + u^p, & t > 0, \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\
 u(0, \eta) = u_0(\eta) \ge 0, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\
 u(t, \eta) \ge 0, \quad t > 0, \ \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n,
\end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where $u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n), n \ge 1, q \ge 0, p > 1.$

3.1. Case of $0 \le q < 1$. We first consider the case $0 \le q < 1$.

Definition 3.1. (Weak solution of (3.1))

Let $u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and T > 0. We say that $u \ge 0$ is a weak solution of (3.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$ if

$$u \in L^p_{loc}((0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^\infty_{loc}((0,T); L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)), \qquad u^q \,\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u \in L^1_{loc}((0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n),$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(\tau,\eta)\varphi(\tau,\eta) \, d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(0,\eta)\varphi(0,\eta) \, d\eta$$
$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^p \varphi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^q \, \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u \, \varphi(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt$$
$$+ \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u \varphi_t(t,\eta) \, d\eta \, dt, \qquad (3.2)$$

holds for all compactly supported $\varphi \in C_{t,x}^{1,0}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$, and $0 \leq \tau < T$. If $T = \infty$, we call u a global in time weak solution to (3.1).

We set

$$H^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{n}) = \{ u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{n}); \ \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{n}), \ \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{n}) \}.$$

In order to get the nonexistence result of (3.1), we need the following

Lemma 3.2. (Weak solution of $(3.1) \Rightarrow$ Weak solution of (2.1))

Let T > 0, $0 \le q < 1$, p > 1, and $0 < u_0 \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. If u > 0 is a positive weak solution of (3.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$ such that $u \in C^{1,0}_{t,x}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ and $u(t, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for a.e. $t \in [0,T)$, then $v(t,\eta) := au^{1-q}(t,\delta_b(\eta))$ is a positive weak solution of (2.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$, where

$$a = (1-q)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}, \quad b = (1-q)^{\frac{p-1-q}{2(p-1)}},$$

$$\delta_b(\eta) = (bx, by, b^2 \tau), \text{ for all } \eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

with

$$m = \frac{1}{1-q} \ge 1$$
, and $\sigma = \frac{p-q}{1-q} > 1$.

Proof. Let T > 0. Suppose that u > 0 is a positive weak solution of (3.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$ such that $u \in C^{1,0}_{t,x}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$. Let $\psi \in C^{1,2}_{t,x}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ be a compactly supported test function. Let

$$\varphi(t,\eta) = u^{-q}(t,\eta)\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)),$$

then $\varphi \in C^{1,0}_{t,x}([0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(\tau,\eta)\psi(\tau,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta))\,d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(0,\eta)\psi(0,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta))\,d\eta \\ &= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{p-q}(t,\eta)\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta))\,d\eta\,dt \\ &+ \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u(t,\eta)\,\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta))\,d\eta\,dt \\ &+ \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(t,\eta)\partial_t (u^{-q}(t,\eta)\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)))\,d\eta\,dt, \end{split}$$
(3.3)

for all $\tau \in [0, T)$. Using the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u(t,\eta) \partial_{t} (u^{-q}(t,\eta)\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta))) \,d\eta \,dt \\ &= -q \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{-q}(t,\eta)u_{t}(t,\eta)\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(t,\eta)\psi_{t}(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt \\ &= -\frac{q}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \partial_{t} (u^{1-q}(t,\eta))\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(t,\eta)\psi_{t}(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt \\ &= \frac{q}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(t,\eta)\psi_{t}(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(t,\eta)\psi_{t}(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt \\ &- \frac{q}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(\tau,\eta)\psi(\tau,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt + \frac{q}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(\eta)\psi(0,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(t,\eta)\psi_{t}(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt - \frac{q}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(\tau,\eta)\psi(\tau,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \\ &+ \frac{q}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} u^{1-q}(\eta)\psi(0,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta , \end{split}$$
(3.4)

and

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u(t,\eta) \,\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \,d\eta \,dt = \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(t,\eta) \,\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \left(\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta))\right) \,d\eta \,dt, \quad (3.5)$$

for all $\tau \in [0, T)$. Inserting (3.4)-(3.5) into (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(\tau,\eta) \psi(\tau,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \, d\eta - \frac{1}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0^{1-q}(\eta) \psi(0,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \, d\eta \\ &= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{p-q}(t,\eta) \psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(t,\eta) \, \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \left(\psi(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \right) \, d\eta \, dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-q} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(t,\eta) \psi_t(t,\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)) \, d\eta \, dt, \end{split}$$

for all $\tau \in [0,T)$. Let $\tilde{\eta} := \delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)$ i.e. $\eta = \delta_b(\tilde{\eta})$, then

$$\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(\tau, \delta_b(\widetilde{\eta})) \psi(\tau, \widetilde{\eta}) \, d\eta - \frac{1}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0^{1-q}(\delta_b(\widetilde{\eta})) \psi(0, \widetilde{\eta}) \, d\eta \\
= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{p-q}(t, \delta_b(\widetilde{\eta})) \psi(t, \widetilde{\eta}) \, d\eta \, dt + b^{-2} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(t, \delta_b(\widetilde{\eta})) \, \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \psi(t, \widetilde{\eta}) \, d\eta \, dt \\
+ \frac{1}{1-q} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(t, \delta_b(\widetilde{\eta})) \psi_t(t, \widetilde{\eta}) \, d\eta \, dt,$$

for all $\tau \in [0,T)$. Using the fact that $d\eta = b^Q d\tilde{\eta}$, and dividing the two sides by b^Q , we get

$$\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(\tau, \delta_b(\tilde{\eta})) \psi(\tau, \tilde{\eta}) d\tilde{\eta} - \frac{1}{1-q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0^{1-q}(\delta_b(\tilde{\eta})) \psi(0, \tilde{\eta}) d\tilde{\eta}$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{p-q}(t, \delta_b(\tilde{\eta})) \psi(t, \tilde{\eta}) d\tilde{\eta} dt + b^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(t, \delta_b(\tilde{\eta})) \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \psi(t, \tilde{\eta}) d\tilde{\eta} dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1-q} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u^{1-q}(t, \delta_b(\tilde{\eta})) \psi_t(t, \tilde{\eta}) d\tilde{\eta} dt,$$
(3.6)

for all $\tau \in [0,T)$. As $v(t,\widetilde{\eta}) = au^{1-q}(t,\delta_b(\widetilde{\eta}))$, we can easily obtain

$$u^{p-q}(t,\delta_b(\widetilde{\eta}) = (1-q)^{-\frac{p-q}{p-1}} v^{\sigma}(t,\widetilde{\eta}), \quad \frac{1}{1-q} u_0^{1-q}(\delta_b(\widetilde{\eta})) = (1-q)^{-\frac{p-q}{p-1}} v_0(\widetilde{\eta}), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$b^{-2}u(t,\delta_b(\widetilde{\eta}) = (1-q)^{-\frac{p-q}{p-1}}v^m(t,\widetilde{\eta}), \quad \frac{1}{1-q}u^{1-q}(t,\delta_b(\widetilde{\eta})) = (1-q)^{-\frac{p-q}{p-1}}v(t,\widetilde{\eta}).$$
(3.8)

Putting (3.7)-(3.8) into (3.6), and dividing the two sides by $(1-q)^{-\frac{p-q}{p-1}}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\widetilde{\eta}) \psi(0,\widetilde{\eta}) \, d\widetilde{\eta} &- \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v_0(\widetilde{\eta}) \psi(0,\widetilde{\eta}) \, d\widetilde{\eta} \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^{\sigma}(t,\widetilde{\eta}) \psi(t,\widetilde{\eta}) \, d\widetilde{\eta} \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v^m(t,\widetilde{\eta}) \, \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \psi(t,\widetilde{\eta}) \, d\widetilde{\eta} \, dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} v(t,\widetilde{\eta}) \psi_t(t,\widetilde{\eta}) \, d\widetilde{\eta} \, dt, \end{split}$$

for all $\tau \in [0,T)$, i.e. v is a weak solution of (2.1) on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$.

Set $BC(\mathbb{H}^n) = C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Using Lemma 3.2 and Theorems 2.2 and 2.9 we conclude the following results.

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 < u_0 \in BC(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, $n \ge 1, 0 \le q < 1, p > 1$. If 2(1-q)

$$q+1 \le p \le p_c = q+1 + \frac{2(1-q)}{Q},$$

then there are no positive global weak solutions $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,0}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ of (3.1) such that $u(t, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ a.e. $t \in [0,\infty)$. Note that, in the case of q+1 < p we just need $u_0 \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

Remark 3.4. When q = 0, the critical exponent $p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{Q}$ coincides with the critical exponent obtained in [28] for the semilinear diffusion equations on \mathbb{H}^n .

Theorem 3.5. Let $0 < u_0 \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, $n \ge 1$, $0 \le q < 1$, p > 1. Assume that there exists a constant $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that, for every $0 < \gamma < (1-q)Q$, the initial datum verifies the following assumption:

$$u_0(\eta) \ge \varepsilon_1 (1 + |\delta_{\frac{1}{b}}(\eta)|_{\mathbb{H}}^2)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2(1-q)}}.$$

27

If

$$q + 1$$

then there are no positive global weak solutions $u \in C^{1,0}_{t,x}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ of (3.1) such that $u(t, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ a.e. $t \in [0,\infty)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $n \ge 1$, $0 \le q < 1$, and p > 1.

If q + 1 < p, then for each $0 < \widetilde{w} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap BC(\mathbb{H}^n)$, there is $\widetilde{B} > 0$ such that if $u_0 = \widetilde{B}\widetilde{w}$ there are no positive global weak solutions $u \in C([0,\infty); L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)) \cap C^{1,0}_{t,x}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ of (3.1) such that $u(t, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ a.e. $t \in [0,\infty)$.

If q+1 = p, then for each $0 < u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap BC(\mathbb{H}^n)$ there are no positive global weak solutions $u \in C([0,\infty); L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)) \cap C^{1,0}_{t,x}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ of (3.1) such that $u(t, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ a.e. $t \in [0,\infty)$.

More precisely, there exists a $T^* > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{H}^n} u(t,\eta) \longrightarrow \infty, \qquad as \ t \to T^*.$$

3.2. The case of $q \ge 1$. In this subsection, we present the results for the case $q \ge 1$ and 1 + q < p.

Theorem 3.7. Let $n \ge 1$, $q \ge 1$, p > 1. Suppose that q + 1 < p. For each $0 < w \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, there is A > 0 such that if $u_0 = Aw$ then there are no positive global classical solutions of (3.1).

Remark 3.8. In Theorem 3.7 there are no results for cases q + 1 = p and q + 1 > p. Therefore, these questions are still open.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Suppose, on the contrary, that u is a positive global classical solution of (3.1), i.e. a positive classical solution of (3.1) on [0,T] for all T > 0. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a Heisenberg unit ball, and let $\lambda_1 > 0$ be the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ with Dirichlet condition and $\Lambda > 0$ its corresponding eigenfunction such that $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) d\eta = 1$ (The existence of such eigenvalue has been proved by Chen and Luo [4]). In order to get a contradiction, we are going to apply the energy method. We divide our proof into two cases.

 $\frac{\text{Case of } q > 1.}{\text{Step 1. Let}}$

$$y(t) := \frac{1}{q-1} \int_{\Omega} u^{1-q}(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

As u is a classical solution, we have

$$y \in C([0,T]) \cap C^1((0,T]),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= -\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_t}{u^q}(t,\eta)\Theta(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta - \int_{\Omega} u^{p-q}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &= \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta + \int_{\partial\Omega} u(t,\sigma)\partial_{\nu}\Lambda(\sigma) \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} u^{p-q}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Hopf lemma on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n (see [3, Lemma 2.1]), that $\partial_{\nu}\Lambda \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then we have

$$y'(t) \le \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta - \int_{\Omega} u^{p-q}(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta.$$
(3.9)

In order to apply the energy method, i.e. obtaining a differential inequality for y(t), we need to estimate the right-hand side of (3.9). Let $u_0 = Aw$, where $0 < w \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $A \gg 1$ is a positive real number such that

$$A > (2\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{p-q-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w^{1-q}(\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

This implies that $y_0 := y(0) < c_0$, with

$$c_0 := (q-1)^{-1} (2\lambda_1)^{-\frac{q-1}{p-q-1}}$$

Step 2. We have $y(t) \leq c_0$, for all $t \in (0, T]$. Indeed, let

$$T^* = \inf\{0 < t \le T; y(t) \le c_0\} \le T.$$

Since y is continuous and $y(0) < c_0$, we have $T^* > 0$. We claim that $T^* = T$. Otherwise, we have $y(t) < c_0$ for all $t \in (0, T^*)$ and $y(T^*) = c_0$, i.e. particularly, $y(t) \leq c_0$ for all $t \in [0, T^*]$. On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality for negative exponent

$$\int |fg| \, d\mu \ge \left(\int |f|^{r_1} \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int |g|^{r_2} \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, \text{ for all } r_1 < 0, 0 < r_2 < 1, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = 1,$$

with $r_1 = 1 - q$ and $r_2 = \frac{q-1}{q}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta &= \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}(\eta)\Lambda^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &\geq \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{1-q}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{1-q}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} \\ &= (q-1)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}y^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}(t), \end{split}$$
(3.10)

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where we have used that $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) d\eta = 1$. In addition, using the standard Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta &= \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda^{\frac{1}{p-q}}(\eta)\Lambda^{\frac{p-q-1}{p-q}}(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p-q}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \left(\int_{\Omega}\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{\frac{p-q-1}{p-q}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p-q}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}, \end{split}$$

which implies, using (3.10) and $y(t) \leq c_0$, that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{p-q}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right)^{p-q} \\
= \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right)^{p-q-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right) \\
\geq (q-1)^{-\frac{p-q-1}{q-1}} y^{-\frac{p-q-1}{q-1}}(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right) \\
\geq (q-1)^{-\frac{p-q-1}{q-1}} c_0^{-\frac{p-q-1}{q-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right) \\
= 2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta,$$
(3.11)

for all $t \in (0, T^*]$. Therefore, by (3.9) and (3.11), we arrive at

$$y'(t) \le \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta - 2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta$$
$$= -\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta,$$

which implies, using (3.10), that

$$y'(t) \le -\lambda_1 (q-1)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} y^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}(t) \le 0,$$
 for all $t \in (0, T^*],$

and hence

$$c_0 = y(T^*) \le y(0) = y_0 < c_0$$

contradiction.

Step 3. From Step 2, we have $y(t) \leq c_0$, for all $t \in [0, T]$. This implies, using (3.10)-(3.11), that

$$y'(t) \le -\lambda_1 (q-1)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} y^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0,T],$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$0 \le y(t) \le \left(y_0^{\frac{q}{q-1}} - c_1 t\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

where $c_1 = \lambda_1 q (q-1)^{-\frac{q}{q-1}}$, and particularly we have

$$T \le c_1^{-1} y_0^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \le c_1^{-1} c_0^{\frac{q}{q-1}},$$

which implies a contradiction by choosing from the beginning T big enough, namely $T > c_1^{-1}c_0^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$. This completes the proof.

 $\frac{\text{The case of } q = 1.}{\text{Step 1. Let}}$

$$y(t) := -\int_{\Omega} \ln(u(t,\eta))\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta, \quad t \in [0,T]$$

As u is a classical solution, we have

$$y \in C([0,T]) \cap C^1((0,T]),$$

and

$$y'(t) = -\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_t}{u}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta$$

= $-\int_{\Omega} \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta - \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta$
= $\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta + \int_{\partial\Omega} u(t,\sigma)\partial_{\nu}\Lambda(\sigma) d\sigma$
 $-\int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta.$

As $\partial_{\nu}\Lambda \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ by the Hopf type lemma on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n (see [3, Lemma 2.1]), we arrive at

$$y'(t) \le \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta - \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta.$$
(3.12)

In order to apply the energy method, i.e. obtaining a differential inequality in y(t), we need to estimate the right-hand side of (3.12). Let $u_0 = Aw$, where $0 < w \in C(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $A \gg 1$ is a positive real number such that

$$A > (2\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} e^{-\int_{\Omega} \ln(w(\eta))\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta}.$$

This implies that $y_0 := y(0) < c_2$, with

$$c_2 := -\frac{1}{p-2}\ln(2\lambda_1).$$

Step 2. We have $y(t) \leq c_2$, for all $t \in (0, T]$. Indeed, let $T^* = \inf\{0 < t \leq T; y(t) \leq c_2\} \leq T$. Since y is continuous and $y(0) < c_2$, we have $T^* > 0$. We claim that $T^* = T$. Otherwise, we have $y(t) < c_2$ for all $t \in (0, T^*)$ such that $y(T^*) = c_2$, particularly we have $y(t) \leq c_2$ for all $t \in [0, T^*]$. On the other hand, by Jensen's inequality with $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) d\eta = 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta = \int_{\Omega} e^{\ln u(t,\eta)}\Lambda(\eta) d\eta$$

$$\geq e^{\int_{\Omega} \ln(u(t,\eta))\Lambda(\eta) d\eta}$$

$$= e^{-y(t)}, \qquad (3.13)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. In addition, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta &= \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\eta)\Lambda^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \end{split}$$

which implies, using (3.13) and $y(t) \leq c_2$, that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{p-1}(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right)^{p-1} \\
= \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right)^{p-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right) \\
\geq e^{-(p-2)y(t)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right) \\
\geq e^{-(p-2)c_2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta\right) \\
= 2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta)\Lambda(\eta) d\eta,$$
(3.14)

for all $t \in (0, T^*]$. Therefore, by (3.12) and (3.14), we get

$$y'(t) \le \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta - 2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta$$
$$= -\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(t,\eta) \Lambda(\eta) \, d\eta,$$

and then, by using (3.13), we we arrive at

$$y'(t) \le -\lambda_1 e^{-y(t)} \le 0,$$
 for all $t \in (0, T^*]$.

and hence

$$c_2 = y(T^*) \le y(0) = y_0 < c_2;$$

contradiction.

Step 3. From Step 2, we have $y(t) \leq c_2$, for all $t \in [0, T]$. This implies, using (3.13)-(3.14), that

$$y'(t) \le -\lambda_1 e^{-y(t)}, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T],$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$0 < e^{y(t)} \le e^{y_0} - \lambda_1 t \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T],$$

and hence

$$T < \frac{e^{y_0}}{\lambda_1} \le \frac{e^{c_2}}{\lambda_1}$$

which implies a contradiction by choosing from the beginning T big enough, namely $T \geq \frac{e^{c_2}}{\lambda_1}$. This completes the proof.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. R. A. Adams, J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev spaces. Vol. 140. Elsevier, 2003.
- I. Azman, M. Jleli, B. Samet, Blow-up of solutions to parabolic inequalities in the Heisenberg group. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 167 (2015), 1–9.
- I. Birindelli, A. Cutrì, A semi-linear problem for the Heisenberg Laplacian, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova, 94 (1995), 137–153.
- H. Chen, P. Luo, Lower bounds of Dirichlet eigenvalues for some degenerate elliptic operators, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 54 (2015), 2831–2852.
- X. P. Chen, S. Z. Du, T. P. Guo, The Liouville theorem of a torsion system and its application to the symmetry group of a porous medium type equation on symmetric spaces. J. Lie Theory, 31:2 (2021), 393–411.
- L. D'Ambrosio, Critical degenerate inequalities on the Heisenberg group. Manuscripta Math. 106 (2001), 519–536.
- A. Friedman, B. McLeod, Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 96 (1987), 55–80.
- 8. H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I, 13 (1966), 109–124.
- 9. V. A. Galaktionov, S. P. Kurdyumov, A. P. Mikhailov, A. A. Samarskii, Unbounded solutions of the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation $u_t = \nabla(u^{\sigma}\nabla u) + u^{\beta}$, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 252:6 (1980), 1362–1364.
- V. A. Galaktionov, S. P. Kurdyumov, A. P. Mikhailov, A. A. Samarskii, Blow-up in quasilinear parabolic equations, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- 11. B. Gaveau, Principle de moindre action, propagation de la chaleur et estimees sous elliptiques sur certains groupes nilpotents, *Acta Math.*, 139 (1977), 95–153
- V. Georgiev, A. Palmieri, Lifespan estimates for local in time solutions to the semilinear heat equation on the Heisenberg group. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 200:3 (2021), 999–1032.
- G. Grillo, M. Muratori, F. Punzo, Blow-up and global existence for the porous medium equation with reaction on a class of Cartan-Hadamard manifolds. J. Differential Equations, 266:7 (2019), 4305–4336.
- J. Han, Degenerate evolution inequalities on groups of Heisenberg type. J. Partial Differential Equations, 18:4 (2005), 341–354.
- K. Hayakawa, On Nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic differential equations. Proc. Japan Acad. 49:7 (1973), 503–595.
- M. Jleli, M. Kirane, B. Samet, A Fujita-type theorem for a multitime evolutionary p-Laplace inequality in the Heisenberg group, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 2016 (2016), 1–8.
- P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli, F. Punzo, Nonexistence of solutions to parabolic differential inequalities with a potential on Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Ann.* 367 (2017), 929–963.
- G. Meglioli, F. Punzo, Blow-up and global existence for solutions to the porous medium equation with reaction and slowly decaying density. J. Differential Equations, 269:10 (2020), 8918–8958.
- K. Mochizuki, R. Suzuki, Critical exponent and critical blow-up for quasilinear parabolic equations, Israel J. Math., 98 (1997), 141–156.
- 20. A. Pascucci, Semilinear equations on nilpotent Lie groups: global existence and blow-up of solutions. Le Matematiche, 53:2 (1998), 345–357.
- A. Pascucci, Fujita type results for a class of degenerate parabolic operators. Adv. Differential Equations, 4:5 (1999), 755–776.
- S. I. Pohozaev, L. Véron, Nonexistence results of solutions of semilinear differential inequalities on the Heisenberg group. *Manuscripta Math.* 102 (2000), 85–99.

- M. Ruzhansky, M. Yessirkegenov, Existence and non-existence of global solutions for semilinear heat equations and inequalities on sub-Riemannian manifolds, and Fujita exponent on unimodular Lie groups. J. Differential Equations. 308 (2022), 455–473.
- M. Ruzhansky, B. Sabitbek, B. Torebek, Global existence and blow-up of solutions to porous medium equation and pseudo-parabolic equation, I. Stratified Groups. *Manuscripta Math.* (2022), doi: 10.1007/s00229-022-01390-2
- M. Ruzhansky, D. Suragan, Layer potentials, Kac's problem, and refined Hardy inequality on homogeneous Carnot groups, Adv. Math., 308 (2017), 483–528.
- 26. M. Winkler, A critical exponent in a degenerate parabolic equation, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 25 (2002), 911–925.
- 27. Zh. Yang, Fujita exponent and nonexistence result for the Rockland heat equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 121 (2021), 107386.
- 28. Q. S. Zhang, The critical exponent of a reaction diffusion equation on some Lie groups, *Math. Z.* 228 (1998), 51–72.

Ahmad Z. Fino

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF THE MIDDLE EAST, KUWAIT *Email address*: ahmad.fino01@gmail.com

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

AND

School of Mathematical Sciences

QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, UNITED KINGDOM Email address: michael.ruzhansky@ugent.be

Berikbol T. Torebek

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING 125 PUSHKIN STR., 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

 $Email \ address: \verb"berikbol.torebek@ugent.be"$