



## **REHABILITATION SCIENCES – CENTRE FOR SPEECH AND LANGUAGE SCIENCES**

Van der Straeten Charis, MSc.; Philibert Quinten, BSc.; Bettens Kim, PhD; De Pauw Guy, PhD; Van Lierde Kristiane, PhD Contact: Charis.VanderStraeten@UGent.be

# P4.42: TOWARDS INTERDISCIPLINARY COOPERATION: DENTISTS' AND ORTHODONTISTS'

# VIEWS ON OROFACIAL MYOFUNCTIONAL DISORDERS AND THERAPY



OMT: a controversial topic among dentistry professionals! A lack of enthousiasm regarding OMT may be attributed to<sup>1</sup>

- 1. Limited workspace for providing therapy
- 2. A shortage of clinicians with expertise
- 3. Difficulty of the subject and limited time
- 4. Inadequate education and training
- 5. The supposition that a change in morphology will result in a change in function

#### 6. The belief that there is insufficient evidence for OMT

7. A supposed unpredictability of OMT

### Aim of this study

To investigate self-reported knowledge and attitudes of Flemish dentists and orthodontists regarding OMDs and OMT, as well as to evaluate the impact of specialization, degree of experience, and educational programme.

## Methods

- SI SI
  - Survey (27 items)
    - Demographical information
    - $\,\circ\,\,$  Self-reported knowledge of OMDs and OMT
    - $\,\circ\,\,$  Self-reported attitude towards OMT
    - $\circ~$  Use of OMT in clinical practice
      - ightarrow 5-point Likert scale

# Statistical analysis using IBM SPSS Statistics

- *p*-value = .050
- $\,\circ\,\,$  Fisher-Freeman-Halton exact test
- $\circ~$  Post-hoc analysis using Bonferroni correction

- 79 participants
  - 44% University A 44% University B 12% University C
  - 61% general dentists
    39% orthodontists
  - 27% <10 years experience 15% 10-20 years experience 58% >20 years experience

## Results

- Some significant differences based on educational programme
- $\sim$   $\odot$  Between graduates from Universities A and C and graduates from Universities B and C  $\odot$  None between graduates from Universities A and B
  - Several significant differences based on specialization
  - $\odot$  Regarding self-reported knowledge and attitudes (p < .05)
  - $\odot$  On average, orthodontists prescribe OMT for 24% of their patients, general dentists for 5% (p < .001)

No significant differences found based on the amount of experience in the field

#### General knowledge on OMDs





#### General attitude towards OMT





sees proper knowledge on OMDs as important.



trusts in OMT in combination with orthodontic

treatment.



considers the subject of

63% Dentists

thinks there is a shortage of OMT

8% Dentists believes their education has provided adequate information on OMT.

OMDs/OMT to be complicated.



practitioners with expertise.

Conclusion: what do we know?

✓ Despite recognizing the importance, 56% reports a lack of knowledge regarding OMDs/OMT

✓ General consensus: educational programmes provide insufficient information on OMDs/OMT

✓ Flemish dentists and orthodontists generally show a positive attitude towards OMT

 Considering a high prevalence of OMS in children in primary (62%) and early mixed (81%) dentition, and even higher in children with malocclusion,<sup>3</sup> OMT is prescribed relatively infrequently

#### What can we do?

Supplement current curricula for prospective professionals

Provide state-of-the-art refresher courses

♀ Create networks to facilitate communication and collaboration between speech therapists and dentistry professionals

♀ Inform healthcare practitioners of new developments in evidence-based practice

Interprofessional education promotes
 interprofessional collaborative practice!<sup>2</sup>

References



in Charis Van der Straeten

Charis.VanderStraeten@UGent.be