The host plant strongly modulates acaricide resistance levels to mitochondrial complex II

inhibitors in a multi-resistant field population of *Tetranychus urticae*

3 Christine Njiru 1^* , Marilou Vandenhole 1^* , Wim Jonckheere ¹, Nicky Wybouw ², Thomas Van 4 Leeuwen $¹$ </sup>

5 ¹ Department of Plants and Crops, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent,

- Belgium
- ² Terrestrial Ecology Unit, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ghent University, K.L.
- Ledeganckstraat 35, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- *Christine Njiru and Marilou Vandenhole contributed equally to this work
- 10 correspondence to: Nicky Wybouw [\(nicky.wybouw@ugent.be\)](mailto:nicky.wybouw@ugent.be) or Thomas Van Leeuwen
- [\(thomas.vanleeuwen@ugent.be\)](mailto:thomas.vanleeuwen@ugent.be)

Abstract

 The two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* is a polyphagous pest with an extraordinary ability to develop acaricide resistance. Here, we characterize the resistance mechanisms in a *T. urticae* population (VR-BE) collected from a Belgian tomato greenhouse, where the grower was unsuccessful in chemically controlling the mite population resulting in crop loss. Upon arrival in the laboratory, the VR-BE population was established both on bean and tomato plants as hosts. Toxicity bioassays on both populations confirmed that the population was highly multi-resistant, recording resistance to 12 out of 13 compounds tested from various mode of action groups. DNA sequencing revealed the presence of multiple target-site resistance mutations, but these could not explain resistance to all compounds. In addition, 22 striking differences in toxicity for six acaricides were observed between the populations on bean and tomato. The highest difference was recorded for the complex II inhibitors cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen, which were 4.4 and 3.3-fold less toxic for VR-BE mites on tomato versus bean. PBO synergism bioassays suggested increased P450 based detoxification contribute to the host-dependent toxicity. Given the involvement of increased detoxification, we subsequently determined genome-wide gene expression levels of VR-BE on both hosts, in comparison to a reference susceptible population, revealing overexpression of a large set of detoxification genes in VR-BE on both hosts compared to the reference. In addition, a number of mainly detoxification genes with higher expression in VR-BE on tomato compared to bean was identified, including several cytochrome P450s. Together, our work suggests that multi- resistant field populations can accumulate a striking number of target-site resistance mutations. We also show that the host plant can have a profound effect on the P450- associated resistance levels to cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen.

 Key words: Host plant adaptation, multi-resistance, P450 metabolism, arthropod viruses, gene expression, detoxification

1 Introduction

 The spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* is one of the most polyphagous arthropod herbivores, with the ability to feed on a wide range of plant species including several economically important agricultural crops (Jeppson et al., 1975; Migeon et al., 2010). The major control method for *T. urticae* is based on the use of acaricides that are classified based on the mode of action (MOA), including but not limited to, sodium channel modulators such as bifenthrin; glutamate-gated chloride channel modulators such as abamectin; mite growth inhibitors affecting chitin synthase I such as etoxazole; mitochondrial electron transport complex I inhibitors such as pyridaben, fenpyroximate and tebufenpyrad; complex II inhibitors such as cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen; complex III inhibitors such as acequinocyl and bifenazate; ATP synthase inhibitors such as fenbutatin oxide; and acetylCoA carboxylase inhibitors such as spiromesifen (Sparks and Nauen, 2015). However, *T. urticae* is able to quickly develop resistance regardless of the chemical class, with the first case of resistance often reported a few years after introduction of a new acaricide (De Rouck et al., 2023).

 Utilizing a broad host range comes with a significant challenge as polyphagous herbivores are exposed to divergent mixtures of plant produced defense compounds. These compounds can be extremely diverse and/or highly toxic. The ability to metabolize and detoxify plant chemicals is considered one of the major responses that arthropod herbivores have evolved (Després et al., 2007; Futuyma and Agrawal, 2009; Simon et al., 2015). For spider mites, this is reflected in an exceptionally strong toolkit to detoxify xenobiotic compounds, including laterally acquired genes from microorganisms with novel metabolic abilities, expansion of detoxification gene families and a fine-tuned transcriptional plasticity in response to host plant transfer (Dermauw et al., 2013; Grbić et al., 2011; Snoeck et al., 2018; Wybouw et al., 2016, 2015). Even the mite's

 salivary composition may be tailored to its current host (Jonckheere et al., 2017, 2016), potentially to optimize interactions with the plant's defense response (Blaazer et al., 2018; Villarroel et al., 2016). Transcriptional plasticity and genetic variation determining gene- expression regulation of these adaptation genes might be a key factor in allowing polyphagous herbivores to colonize diverse host plant species (Brattsten, 1988; Castle et al., 2009; Kurlovs et al., 2022; Liang et al., 2007; Yu, 1986). It has been suggested that the evolutionary history of polyphagy might have led to the ability to better cope with anthropogenic pesticides (Alyokhin and Chen, 2017; Dermauw et al., 2018). Indeed, several studies have shown that adaptation to different host plants or even short term exposure to different plant chemicals alters the herbivore's sensitivity to pesticides (Castle et al., 2009; Gould et al., 1982; Liang et al., 2007; Pym et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2001).

 Resistance mechanisms to natural and synthetic toxins can be broadly classified into (i) toxicodynamic changes that involve a reduction in the sensitivity or availability of the target- site due to point mutations, gene knockout or amplification; and (ii) toxicokinetic changes that reduce the amount of toxic chemicals that reach the target-site through changes in metabolism, penetration, transportation, exposure and excretion (Feyereisen et al., 2015). Metabolic resistance to natural and synthetic xenobiotics is known to commonly rely on increased expression of genes that belong to large multi-gene families such as cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYPs), carboxyl/cholinesterases (CCEs), glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs), UDP-glycosyl transferases (UGTs) and xenobiotic transporters such as ABC- transporters (De Rouck et al., 2023; Van Leeuwen and Dermauw, 2016). Novel gene families have also been implicated in xenobiotic metabolism and transport, including: intradiol ring cleavage dioxygenases (DOGs), lipocalins, short chain dehydrogenases (SDRs) and the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) (Dermauw et al., 2013; Wybouw et al., 2015; Zhurov et al., 2014). Recent work has provided formal evidence that some of these detoxification enzymes can metabolize plant allelochemicals (Njiru et al., 2022).

 In *T. urticae* and other agricultural pests, high levels of pesticide resistance has often been attributed to a combination of target site mutations and detoxification enzymes, which suggests that resistance traits can involve multiple genetic factors (for a review see De Rouck et al., 2023). Here, we used various approaches to characterize a population of *T. urticae* collected from a tomato greenhouse near Antwerp (Belgium) that could no longer be

 controlled by the registered available acaricides, resulting in crop failure. Upon arrival in the laboratory, we created two sub-populations: one on tomato as the original host and one on bean as the standard laboratory host of *T. urticae*. First, the efficacy of 13 commercially important acaricides from different MOA groups was investigated in toxicity assays performed on both hosts. Next, molecular assays were used to uncover known target-site mutations. To explain the observed patterns, synergism experiments were performed together with transcriptome sequencing of the resistant population on both hosts and a susceptible reference. The results were discussed in the light of a pest management strategy.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 *T. urticae* **populations**

 The German susceptible strain (GSS) is a reference strain that has been reared without pesticide exposure for more than five decades (Stumpf et al., 2001). The very resistant Belgian (VR-BE) population was collected from a tomato greenhouse near Antwerp (Belgium) in 2021 where the grower was unsuccessful in controlling it using commercial formulations of abamectin, hexythiazox, bifenazate, spirodiclofen and cyflumetofen. Upon arrival in the laboratory, VR-BE was transferred to unsprayed potted tomato plants cv. 'Moneymaker' and bean plants cv. 'Prelude' and maintained separately on these two hosts throughout the 109 experiments. Both populations will be referred to as VR-BE tomato and VR-BE bean, respectively. 110 All mite populations were reared in climatically controlled chambers maintained at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and 60% relative humidity (RH) with a 16:8 light:dark photoperiod.

2.2 Chemicals

 Thirteen formulated acaricides/insecticides were used for toxicity bioassays: abamectin 114 (Vertimec 1.8% EC), acequinocyl (Kanemite 164 g L⁻¹ SC), bifenazate (Floramite 240 g L⁻¹ SC), 115 bifenthrin (Talstar 80 g L⁻¹ SC), cyenopyrafen (Kunoichi 30% SC), cyflumetofen (Scelta 20% SC), 116 etoxazole (Borneo 110 g L⁻¹ SC), fenbutation oxide (Acrimite 550 g L⁻¹ SC), fenpyroximate (Kiron 117 51.2 g L⁻¹ SC), azadirachtin A (NeemAzal-T/S 10 g L⁻¹ EC), pyridaben (Sanmite 150 g L⁻¹ SC), 118 spiromesifen (Oberon 240 g L^{-1} SC) and tebufenpyrad (Masai 20 WP).

2.3 Toxicity and synergism assays

 Dose-response assays on adults or larvae were conducted with a slight modification of the standard method described by Van Leeuwen et al., (2004). Briefly, 20-25 adult females of VR-122 BE bean and GSS were placed on the upper side of a 9 cm² kidney bean leaf disc prepared on wet cotton wool. Using a custom-built spray tower (Van Laecke and Degheele, 1993), plates were sprayed with 870 μl of at least five serial dilutions of each acaricide and a control (distilled water) at 1 bar pressure to obtain a homogenous spray film (2 mg aqueous 126 deposit/ cm^2). At least four replicates were used for each acaricide dose. Female adults of VR-127 BE tomato were assayed in a similar way but using 9 $cm²$ tomato leaf discs. For the larval 128 bioassays with spiromesifen and etoxazole, 20-30 adult females were placed on 9 cm² tomato 129 or bean leaf discs and allowed to lay eggs for 6 h in a climatically controlled chamber (25 \pm 1 \degree C and 60% RH with a 16:8 light: dark photoperiod). After hatching (3-4 days), larvae were counted and sprayed with 870 µL of at least five serial dilutions of each acaricide and a water control as previously described. Mortality was assessed after one day for abamectin, cyflumetofen, cyenopyrafen and tebufenpyrad, after two daysfor azadirachtin A, acequinocyl, bifenthrin, fenpyroximate and pyridaben, after three daysfor fenbutatin oxide and bifenazate, and after four days for etoxazole and spiromesifen. Mortality on the control replicates never exceeded 10%. For adulticidal assays, mites were considered dead when not being able to walk their own body length within 10 seconds after prodding with a fine brush. For larval bioassays, mites were considered unaffected if they displayed the same development stage 139 as a water treated control at the time of scoring. When 5000 mg $L⁻¹$ acaricide did not cause 50% mortality, higher concentrations were not tested. Lethal concentration killing 50% of the 141 population (LC₅₀) values, slopes, resistance ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated by probit analysis using Polo Plus 2.0 software. The RR was considered significant if the 95% CI did not include the value 1 (Robertson et al., 2017).

 Synergism assays were conducted as described in Van Pottelberge et al., (2009). Briefly, the synergist piperonyl butoxide (PBO), a P450 mono-oxygenase inhibitor, was dissolved in a 200 146 µL mixture of N,N-dimethylformamide and emulsifier W (alkarylpolyglycoether) in a 3:1 w/w 147 ratio and subsequently diluted 100-fold in demineralized water to 1000 mg L^{-1} . Adult female 148 mites of VR-BE $_{\text{bean}}$ and VR-BE $_{\text{tomato}}$ were transferred to 9 cm² leaf discs and sprayed with the synergist mixture. After 24 h, the surviving mites (about 90% of PBO treated mites) were transferred to fresh leaf discs and used in cyflumetofen toxicity bioassays as described above.

151 The synergism ratios (SR, calculated as LC_{50} obtained after cyflumetofen treatment alone 152 divided by the LC_{50} obtained after cyflumetofen and synergist pretreatment) and 95% CI were calculated by probit analysis using Polo Plus 2.0 software (LeOra Software, USA). The SR was considered significant if the 95% CI did not include the value 1.

2.4 Detection of target-site mutations

 Upon arrival and establishment in the laboratory, approximately 200 adult female mites were 157 collected from the VR-BE tomato population. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNA blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, Belgium), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and was used as a template for PCR amplification. PCR amplification was used to screen for known or novel target-site mutations, with a set of well validated primers (De Beer et al., 2022b; İnak et al., 2022; Khalighi et al., 2015; Simma et al., 2020). Primers used for the amplification and sequencing of different target-site regions are provided in Table S1.

 For all PCR setups except for *cytb*, the reactions were performed using the Promega GoTaq® 164 G2 DNA polymerase kit in 50 µl reactions containing 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.3 µM forward and reverse primer, 1.25 u GoTaq DNA polymerase and 1-2 µL gDNA template. Cycling conditions were 2 min at 95°C; 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 52-55°C and 30-120 s at 72 °C; and final extension of 7 min at 72°C. For *cytb*, the Expand Long Range dNTPack was 168 used in 50 µL reactions containing 1.25 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM of each dNTP, 0.3 µM forward and reverse primer, 3.5 u Expand Long Range Enzyme mix and 2 µl gDNA template. Cycling conditions were 2 min at 92°C; 40 cycles of 30 s at 92°C, 15 s at 54°C and 2 min at 58°C (with extension time increasing 10 s/cycle after the 10th cycle); and final extension of 7 min at 58°C.

 Amplicon purification and sequencing was performed at LGC Genomics GmbH (Germany). The sequencing data were analyzed using BioEdit v.7.0.5 software (Hall, 1999), while visual inspection of chromatograms for segregating SNPs was performed using Unipro UGENE v.37.0 (Okonechnikov et al., 2012). To check the persistence of these target site mutations over time, 200 adult females of VR-BE population were collected after one year on bean. DNA extraction and mutation screening was carried out as described above.

2.5 RNA isolation

 RNA was extracted from a pool of 150-200 adult females using the RNeasy plus mini kit (Qiagen, Belgium), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Five independent extractions 181 were performed for each population (GSS, VR-BE bean and VR-BE tomato). VR-BE bean was sampled a second time after six months using five replicates. The concentration and integrity of RNA samples were assessed by a DeNovix DS-11 spectrophotometer (DeNovix, USA) and by running 184 a 2 µL aliquot on 1% and 2% agarose gel.

2.6 RNA sequencing, mapping and principal component analysis (PCA)

 From all RNA samples, Illumina libraries were constructed using the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina. Libraries were sequenced using Illumina NovaSeq6000 generating 188 an output of paired reads of 2×150 bp (library construction and sequencing was performed at Genewiz (Germany)). The quality of the RNA reads was verified using FASTQC v.0.11.9 (Andrews, 2010) and reads that passed the quality control were aligned to the annotated *T. urticae* three-chromosome genome assembly using the two-pass alignment mode of STAR v.2.7.9a with a maximum intron size set to 20 kb (Dobin et al., 2013; Wybouw et al., 2019). Resulting BAM files were sorted by chromosomal coordinate and indexed using SAMtools v.1.11 (Li et al., 2009). HTSeq v.0.11.2 performed read-counting on a per-gene basis with the default settings (Anders et al., 2015). The total read-counts per gene were used as an input 196 for the R-package (R v.4.2.0) DESeq2 v.1.36.0 to perform a PCA analysis; VR-BE bean month 1, 197 VR-BE bean month 6 (referring to samples collected six months apart), VR-BE tomato and GSS. Read counts were normalized via the regularized-logarithm (rlog) transformation function of the DESeq2 package. Using these values, a PCA was performed and plotted for the 5000 most variable genes across all RNA samples using the DESeq2 function PlotPCA (Love et al., 2014).

2.7 Identification of viral contaminants

 As a drastically lower number of reads of all VR-BE bean samples mapped against the *T. urticae* 203 genome, unmapped reads across all ten VR-BE bean samples were pooled and used as an input for Trinity (v.2.13.2) to construct a *de novo* assembly under default conditions. An NCBI BLASTn search against the non-redundant nucleotide collection database was performed using a random subset of 100 unmapped reads in order to identify the most abundant contaminants present in the RNA samples. Based on relative abundance of the best blast hits with > 95% query cover and > 95% identities with the read, we could identify three main virus species present, and their respective genomes were used for further analysis*; Tetranychus urticae*-associated picorna-like virus 1 isolate Lisbon, complete genome (MK533157.1),

 Tetranychus urticae-associated dicistrovirus 1 isolate Lisbon, partial genome (MK533147.1); *Aphis glycines* virus 1 isolate Lisbon, partial genome (MK533146.1). These viral genomes were at their turn used for a BLASTn search against the *de novo* assembly to verify presence of the full-length genomes. All reads that could not be mapped against the *T. urticae* genome were mapped against the three viral genomes using the same methods as described above but without setting the maximum intron size.

2.8 Differential expression analysis and Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

 Differential expression (DE) analysis was performed with DESeq2 v.1.36.0 using the total per- gene read counts generated by HTSeq as input (Love et al., 2014). In first instance, gene expression changes associated with different hosts compared to GSS as a reference was 221 assessed by identifying significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs, Log₂ Fold Change |Log2FC| > 1, Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted *p* value < 0.05) in the VR-BE bean vs GSS and VR-BE 223 tomato vs GSS comparisons (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). From these lists of DEGs, subsets of genes belonging to important detoxification families were made (Kurlovs et al., 2022). A 225 plot showing commonly overexpressed genes in both VR-BE tomato and VR-BE bean was produced with the ggplot2 package v.3.3.6 (Wickham, 2009). To assess the intrinsic expression change 227 of the VR-BE population due to the host plant change from tomato to bean, the same method 228 was used to identify DEGs in the VR-BE bean vs VR-BE tomato comparison of which a volcano plot, color coded by detoxifying gene family was made using ggplot2 package.

 A gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed on the DEGs in the pairwise 231 comparisons between VR-BE bean and GSS and VRBE tomato and GSS using the R function "enricher" from the package clusterProfiler (v.4.2.2). The GO terms for Biological Processes (BP) and Molecular Functions (MF) were collected based on the *T. urticae* annotation (v 20190125) from the Orcae database (Sterck et al., 2012). Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing was done by assigning the argument "pAdjustMethod = 'BH'".

3 Results

3.1 VR-BE has a multi-resistant profile on bean and tomato hosts

238 Toxicity bioassays revealed that in comparison to GSS, VR-BE $_{tomato}$ and VR-BE $_{beam}$ exhibited resistance to all compounds, except for azadirachtin (Table 1). Resistance ratios ranged between 28-8300 fold on tomato and 8.6-3900 fold on bean. Resistance to the mite growth 241 inhibitor etoxazole was extremely high in populations on both hosts, with a RR of > 13000. 242 Comparing the LC₅₀ values of VR-BE tomato and VR-BE bean (RR host), clear differences could be 243 seen with the mitochondria complex II inhibitors cyflumetofen and cyenopyrafen (> 3-fold RR 244 $_{host}$), complex III inhibitors acequinocyl and bifenazate ($^{\sim}$ 2 fold RR $_{host}$) and the acetyl-coA 245 carboxylase inhibitor spiromesifen (2-fold RR host), suggesting a (strong) effect of the host plant 246 on acaricide toxicity.

247 **Table 1.** Probit analysis of mortality data of 13 acaricides on GSS and VR-BE populations.

248

^a LC₅₀ is expressed in mg active ingredient L⁻¹

 $\frac{b}{\chi^2}$ is the Chi square goodness of fit value and (df) is the degrees of freedom

 c Resistance ratio = LC₅₀ VR-BE/ LC₅₀ GSS

 d Resistance ratio host = LC₅₀ VR-BE _{tomato} / LC₅₀ VR-BE _{bean}

249

251 **3.2 Target-site resistance mutations of VR-BE**

252 PCR screening of VR-BE tomato upon establishment in the laboratory revealed the presence of several known target site resistance mutations (Table 2, see Table S1 for all target-site mutations screened). Specifically, the I1017F substitution in chitin synthase 1, which is associated with high resistance to mite growth inhibitors (Demaeght et al., 2014; Van Leeuwen et al., 2012), was fixed. Similarly, the acetylcholinesterase mutation F331W associated with resistance to organophosphates and carbamates (Anazawa et al., 2003; Khajehali et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2010); the PSST homologue mutation H92R, associated with high resistance to METI-I acaricides (Xue et al., 2022); the ATP synthase mutation V89A, associated with resistance to fenbutatin oxide (De Beer et al., 2022b) were also found to be fixed in the 200 261 mites sampled from VR-BE tomato. The recently identified abamectin resistance mutation I321T (Xue et al., 2020) found in subunit 3 of the glutamate chloride channel was segregating in the population. The screening did not reveal any known or novel candidate non-synonymous resistance mutations in the voltage gated sodium channel, mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase subunits (complex II) and acetyl-CoA carboxylase. After one year on bean, estimated allele frequencies of the fixed mutations I1017F, F331W, H92R and V89A remained at 100%, while allele frequency of the segregating I321T mutation decreased from 50% to 20% (Table 2).

269 **Table 2.** Target site mutations identified in VR-BE.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

271 **3.3 PBO synergizes cyflumetofen toxicity on VR-BE tomato**

272 To assess whether metabolic detoxification, in particular cytochrome P450 metabolism, could 273 be at least partially responsible for the observed decrease in sensitivity to some acaricides in 274 VR-BE tomato relative to VR-BE bean, synergism assays with PBO were carried out. Pre-treatment 275 with PBO enhanced the toxicity of cyflumetofen by 3-fold in VR-BE tomato but not in VR-BE bean 276 (Table 3), suggesting the increased metabolic detoxification by P450s of cyflumetofen in the 277 population on tomato.

278 **Table 3.** Probit mortality of cyflumetofen in the VR-BE populations after pretreatment with synergist PBO

Population	Treatment	Slope \pm SE	LC_{50} ^a (95% CI)	$\chi^{2 b}$ (df)	SR ^c (95% CI)
$VR-BEhean$	Cyflumetofen	3.5 ± 0.23	54 (48-59)	27(22)	
	PBO + cyflumetofen	3.8 ± 0.33	45 (39 - 50)	25 (18)	$1.2(1.0 - 1.3)$
$VR-BEtomato$	Cyflumetofen	1.6 ± 0.16	180 (140 - 220)	14 (25)	
	PBO + cyflumetofen	6.2 ± 0.75	$52(46-58)$	28 (18)	$3.4(2.7 - 4.2)$
^a LC ₅₀ is expressed in mg active ingredient L^{-1}					
$\frac{b}{\chi^2}$ is the Chi square goodness of fit value and (df) is the degrees of freedom					
^c Synergism ratio = LC ₅₀ without PBO treatment/ LC ₅₀ after PBO treatment					

279

280 **3.4 RNA sequencing reveals presence of viruses in VR-BE bean and GSS populations**

 Illumina sequencing resulted on average in approximately 30 million paired reads per sample (raw reads were deposited on NCBI SRA database under BioProject PRJNA1006202, will be provided upon acceptance). Alignment of RNA-seq reads against the *T. urticae* annotation 284 resulted in an overall mapping rate of uniquely mapped reads of 73.59% for VR-BE tomato, 285 64.66% for GSS and 46.27% for VR-BE bean (Table S2). Noteworthy, a large fraction of the reads for VR-BE bean (~ 40%) and GSS (~ 18%) did not map against the *T. urticae* genome which hints towards a potential contamination. An NCBI BLASTn search of a random subset of the unmapped reads was performed in order to identify the most abundant contaminants present in the RNA samples which identified three virus species; *Tetranychus urticae*-associated picorna-like virus 1 isolate Lisbon, complete genome (MK533157.1), *Tetranychus urticae*- associated dicistrovirus 1 isolate Lisbon, partial genome (MK533147.1) and *Aphis glycines* virus 1 isolate Lisbon, partial genome (MK533146.1) as the main reason for the lower mapping rates against the *T. urticae* genome. Next, a *de novo* transcriptome assembly of the unmapped 294 reads from VR-BE bean was built under default conditions and a BLASTn search of the viral genomes against the *de novo* assembly identified multiple contigs of > 4 kb that give hits with > 95% identity for each of the three viral genomes studied. For the *Tetranychus urticae*- associated dicistrovirus 1 isolate Lisbon, partial genome there is even a contig spanning the genome (8290 bp) with 96% identities and with an additional 564 bp in the assembly (Supplementary file 1). All reads that did not map against the *T. urticae* genome in both VR-300 BE bean and GSS samples were then mapped against the three viral genomes to estimate the relative abundance of each virus species (Figure 1, Table S2). Interestingly, the largest fraction of reads mapped against *Tetranychus urticae*-associated picorna-like virus 1 for VR-BE bean, whereas for GSS the largest fraction mapped against *Tetranychus urticae*-associated dicistrovirus 1. *Aphis glycines* virus 1 was only present in VR-BE bean and none of the identified 305 viruses were present in VR-BE tomato. Re-sampling and sequencing of the VR-BE bean population six months after arrival in the laboratory and transfer to bean yielded a similar result, with a 44.64% uniquely mapped reads matching to the three viruses identified in the first sequencing (Table S2).

 Figure 1. Percentage frequency of uniquely mapped reads. All genes in VR-BE bean that could not be mapped to the *T. urticae* transcriptome were mapped to three viral genomes: picornavirus which was the highest contaminant, followed by the 321 dicistrovirus and aphis glycines virus. GSS was also contaminated with picornavirus and dicistrovirus while VR-BE $_{\text{tomato}}$ was 322 not contaminated with the viruses. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. N not contaminated with the viruses. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. N=5 for GSS and N= 10 for VR-BE bean.

3.5 Effect of the host plant on gene expression

 Principal component analysis revealed that 72% of the total variation could be explained by principal component 1 (PC1) while 15% could be explained by PC2 (Figure 2). Replicates clustered by population and the groups were clearly separated from each other. The two 328 batches of VR-BE bean samples collected six months apart clustered together on PC1. VR-BE tomato was positioned far away from VR-BE bean on PC1, which clearly indicates the dramatic effect the host plant has on gene expression.

Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) of gene expression among GSS, VR-BE bean and VR-BE tomato populations of T. *urticae*.

 Gene expression patterns in the resistant VR-BE populations were compared to the susceptible GSS. Differential expression analysis identified 1423 upregulated genes in VR-BE 345 bean population compared to GSS, and 80% of these genes (1145 genes) overlapped with VR- BE tomato vs GSS comparison (Figure 3a, Table S3). A total of 1693 genes were downregulated 347 in VR-BE bean vs GSS, with 82% of these genes (1392 genes) overlapping with the VR-BE tomato 348 vs GSS comparison. 301 genes were overexpressed specifically in the VR-BE tomato vs GSS comparison only, while 650 genes were downregulated in this comparison only (Figure 3a, Table S3). The overexpression plot shown in Figure 3a clearly indicates that multiple gene families that have been implicated in detoxification (CYPs, CCEs, GSTs, UGTs and DOGs) or

 transport of xenobiotics (MFS and ABCs) were amongst the overexpressed genes in both 353 comparisons, with CYPs showing the highest $Log₂$ fold changes. Moreover, a gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis revealed a statistically significant (*p* adj < 0.05) enrichment of GO terms associated with detoxification and metabolic processes. Results in Table S4 show several GO terms associated with cytochrome P450s amongst the enriched GO terms with highest significance in both comparisons (e.g. GO:0055114 "*oxidation-reduction process"*; GO:0016705 "*oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen"*; GO:0020037 "*heme binding"*; GO:0005506 "*iron ion binding*"). To identify the genes differentially expressed in the population on bean versus tomato, gene 361 expression patterns in VR-BE tomato were directly compared to VR-BE bean. Similar to the comparison with GSS, multiple detoxification genes and transporters were differentially 363 expressed in VR-BE tomato vs VR-BE bean (Figure 3b). Overexpressed detoxification genes and 364 transporters with a Log₂FC of \geq 2 in VR-BE tomato vs VR-BE bean are shown in (Table 4).

373 Figure 3. Overview of differentially expressed genes (|Log₂ FC| ≥ 1.0, *p* adj < 0.05). A) Overexpression plot of differentially **374** expressed genes in VR-BE been and VR-BE tomate compared to the susceptible GSS 374 expressed genes in VR-BE _{bean} and VR-BE _{tomato} compared to the susceptible GSS; Venn diagram depicting overlap among
375 differentially expressed genes from VR-BE _{bean} vs GSS and VR-BE _{tomato} vs GSS comparison 375 differentially expressed genes from VR-BE $_{\text{bean}}$ vs GSS and VR-BE $_{\text{tomato}}$ vs GSS comparisons. B) A volcano plot of the 376 differentially expressed genes in a pairwise comparison of the VR-BE $_{\text{tomato}}$ vs VR-BE $_{$ 376 differentially expressed genes in a pairwise comparison of the VR-BE tomato vs VR-BE bean. Genes known to be implicated in
377 detoxification and xenobiotic transport are shown in colours in the two plots: red, cytochr 377 detoxification and xenobiotic transport are shown in colours in the two plots: red, cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYPs);
378 vellow, carboxyl choline esterases (CCEs); dark blue, intradiol ring cleavage dioxygenases yellow, carboxyl choline esterases (CCEs); dark blue, intradiol ring cleavage dioxygenases (DOGs); green, glutathione-s-379 transferases (GSTs); blue, major facilitator superfamily transporters (MFS); pink, short chain dehydrogenases/reductases
380 (SDRs); maroon, UDP-glycosyl transferases (UGTs); and purple, ATP-binding cassette transporte (SDRs); maroon, UDP-glycosyl transferases (UGTs); and purple, ATP-binding cassette transporters (ABC transporters).

382 Table 4. List of highly overexpressed (Log₂FC ≥ 2) detoxification genes and transporters in VR-BE tomato compared to VR-BE bean

4 Discussion

 In this study, we characterized VR-BE, a *T. urticae* field population collected from a tomato greenhouse in Belgium. The grower had reported loss of efficacy after treatment with commercial formulations of abamectin, hexythiazox, bifenazate, spirodiclofen and cyflumetofen, and the crop was lost to spider mites. Occasionally, low efficacy of acaricides results from operational factors such as incorrect spray technique, the use of tank mixes or inappropriate application time (Khajehali et al., 2011). We therefore first confirmed resistance in the laboratory with toxicity bioassays with acaricides of different mode of action groups, revealing that VR-BE was indeed resistant to almost all acaricides tested.

 Resistance to a mite growth inhibitor etoxazole and the METI-I acaricides pyridaben, tebufenpyrad and fenpyroximate may be fully explained by the target site mutations I1017F and H92R respectively, which were fixed in VR-BE. However, other mechanisms might also contribute to the high cross-resistance observed with METI-Is. High resolution QTL mapping in *T. urticae* has revealed that cross resistance to METI-Is is not only associated with the target- site resistance mutation, but also possibly cytochrome P450 metabolism (Snoeck et al., 2019). Validation of the mutation in *T. urticae* by marker assisted back-crossing indeed revealed that the mutation alone only contributes up to 22-fold resistance to fenpyroximate and 30-60 fold

 resistance to tebufenpyrad and pyridaben (Bajda et al., 2017). A study with resistant field populations has also revealed that additive or synergistic effects of multiple mechanisms most likely determine the phenotypic strength (Xue et al., 2022). As CYPs were among the most highly overexpressed detoxification genes in both VR-BE populations, they might be contributing to the extremely high resistance levels to METI-Is in addition to the H92R mutation.

 High resistance to the mitochondrial ATP synthase inhibitor (fenbutation oxide) was recorded in both VR-BE populations, and can be attributed to the fixed mutation V89A but also to metabolic detoxification by CYPs. Using QTL mapping, De Beer et al., (2022b) recently characterized resistance to fenbutatin oxide, revealing that high resistance is likely achieved by a combination of V89A and metabolic detoxification by the P450s *CYP392E4* and *CYP392E6*, which were overexpressed in both VR-BE populations. Similarly, resistance to abamectin may be attributed to I321T mutation in the GluCl3 subunit, which we identified in VR-BE. Since the mutation was not fixed in VR-BE, additional mechanisms might contribute to the observed abamectin resistance. Previous studies have indicated that detoxification enzymes, especially CYPs and UGTs are also involved in abamectin resistance (Çağatay et al., 2018; Riga et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2020). Specifically, functionally expressed CYP392A16 has been shown to hydroxylate abamectin *in vitro* (Riga et al., 2014), but was only moderately overexpressed in 430 both VR-BE populations (~1.6-fold in VR-BE bean and ~1.4-fold in VR-BE tomato) and therefore other P450s might be involved. Similarly, recombinant UGT10 (*tetur02g09830*) and UGT29 (*tetur05g05060*) enzymes have been shown to glycosylate abamectin *in vitro* (Xue et al., 2020). The genes encoding these enzymes were also overexpressed in both VR-BE populations 434 (2-fold in VR-BE tomato for both UGTs, 2-fold for UGT10 and 3.8-fold for UGT29 in the VR-BE 435 bean), and might be contributing to resistance.

 The high levels of resistance to bifenthrin and spiromesifen can likely be exclusively attributed to metabolic detoxification as no target-site mutations were identified in the target sites of these acaricides. De Beer et al., (2022a) recently showed that recombinant CCEinc18 could metabolize bifenthrin, and UGT10 could glycosylate bifenthrin-alcohol. The genes encoding 440 these two enzymes were overexpressed in both VR-BE populations (2-fold in VR-BE tomato for 441 both genes, 1.5-fold for CCEinc18 and 2-fold for UGT10 in VR-BE bean), and potentially contribute to the observed resistance. Previous studies have shown that the P450 enzyme

 CYP392E10 can metabolize spirodiclofen and spiromesifen (Demaeght et al., 2013), but *CYP392E10* was not among the differentially expressed P450s in our study, suggesting that 445 other mechanisms might be responsible for the observed resistance. Synergism studies have indicated that, in addition to CYPs, CCEs also play an important role in resistance to tetronic/tetramic acid derivatives (İnak et al., 2022; Van Pottelberge et al., 2009b; Wei et al., 2020). Several CCEs were overexpressed in both VR-BE populations (*TuCCE48, TuCCE42, TuCCE04, TuCCE71, TuCCE05, TuCCE49, TuCCE33, TuCCE50* and *TuCCE27*) and might play a role in spiromesifen resistance.

 We did not identify any mutation in *cytb* in spite of the moderate resistance recorded with acequinocyl and bifenazate. Although most often associated with maternal inheritance and point mutations in *cytb*, some genetic studies in combination with genome-wide gene expression analysis have revealed that acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance can also have a polygenic inheritance pattern, involving both mutations in the mitochondrial *cytb* gene and overexpression of detoxification genes, especially CYPs (Lu et al., 2023). The involvement of P450-based increased detoxification is further supported by strong synergism with the P450 inhibitor piperonyl butoxide (Sugimoto and Osakabe, 2019). Functionally expressed CYP392A11 has been shown to metabolize bifenazate (Lu et al., 2023), but this P450 was downregulated in both VR-BE populations, likely suggesting alternative mechanisms.

 VR-BE also showed moderate resistance to cyflumetofen and cross-resistance to cyenopyrafen, which is not yet registered in Europe. None of the previously reported resistance mutations were detected in VR-BE (mutations reviewed in De Rouck et al., 2023). In contrast, synergism assays with PBO indicated the involvement of P450 detoxification in cyenopyrafen resistance, which is in line with previous studies (Khalighi et al., 2014, 2015; Riga et al., 2015). Functional expression studies have shown that at least CYP392A11 can hydroxylate cyenopyrafen (Riga et al., 2015). However, in VR-BE *CYP392A11* was downregulated versus GSS, and no significant expression differences between hosts were detected. Other P450s from the 392A subfamily including *CYP392A14, CYP392A9, CYP392A13, CYP392A15* and *CYP392A10*, next to *CYP392D8* and *CYP392D7,* were highly expressed in both VR-BE populations and should be functionally characterized to understand their role in resistance. In addition, TuGST05, a GST enzyme shown to metabolize cyflumetofen (Pavlidi et al., 2017) was not overexpressed in VR-BE, but other GSTs were highly overexpressed in VR-

 BE on both hosts. These include: *TuGSTd08* (5.5-fold on both hosts), *TuGSTd12* (4.2-fold on bean and 3.2-fold on tomato), *TuGSTd10* (3.5-fold on bean and 2.5-fold on tomato), and *TuGSTd14* (1.3-fold on bean and 3.3-fold on tomato). The functional role of these GSTs should be further investigated.

478 Although both VR-BE tomato and VR-BE bean were highly resistant to acaricides of different MOA 479 groups, we still observed a strikingly decreased toxicity for six acaricides in the tomato population compared to bean. A caveat of the population comparisons within this study is the lack of replication, since drift and other factors might result in different responses to acaricides. Since specifically the bean population (and not tomato) was contaminated with viruses, we considered the possibility that the presence of viruses might have an influence on acaricide toxicity. Previous studies have identified the presence of viruses in arthropods (Berman et al., 2023; Niu et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). Even so, the presence of such large amounts of viral RNA reads has not been reported in previous RNA sequencing datasets of *T. urticae* (De Beer et al., 2022a, 2022b; Fotoukkiaii et al., 2021; Kurlovs et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2023). As such, whether infection occurred by chance or is related to the host plant shift, remains unclear and little is known on how these viruses interact with their hosts. However, 490 all viruses require the hosts machinery to be able to synthesize viral proteins. Indeed, some RNA viruses such as dicistroviridae have evolved elegant strategies to hijack the hosts ribosome (Warsaba et al., 2019). By redirecting the hosts translation machinery, the entire cellular response to stress is compromised, which can include the response to xenobiotic stress. However, synergism assays showed that piperonyl butoxide (PBO), a P450 inhibitor, synergized cyflumetofen toxicity in the tomato population and had no significant effect on the 496 bean population, suggesting that the increased resistance in VR-BE tomato is more likely due to increased detoxification, even though the VR-BE populations were not replicated on both hosts. Indeed, detoxification gene response with host change between bean and tomato has previously been associated with altered acaricide toxicity in *T. urticae* (Dermauw et al., 2013). Moreover, the fact that cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen are vulnerable to metabolic attack was indeed already documented in a previous study, where some multi-resistant field populations of *T. urticae* showed cross-resistance to cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen, without prior to exposure to these compounds in the field. Cyenopyrafen cross-resistance was specifically linked to the overexpression of P450s (Khalighi et al., 2015, 2014). Interestingly, of

 all P450s differentially expressed in VR-BE in comparison to GSS, only *CYP392B3, CYP385C3 and CYP385C4* were specially upregulated in the VR-BE tomato vs VR-BE bean comparison. These P450s were indeed also previously shown to be induced upon mite transfer from bean to tomato (Wybouw et al., 2015). Despite the fact that there is no functional validation at present, these P450s might further elevate resistance levels to complex II inhibitors conferred by other P450s.

 Because of the effect of the host on detoxification enzyme activity and acaricide toxicity, we also including a plant derived acaricide containing azadirachtin in toxicity bioassays. Surprisingly, this was the only compound effective on both populations, suggesting that secondary plant metabolites are not necessarily more vulnerable to metabolic attack by higher detoxification associated with different host plants in *T. urticae*.

 It has been proposed that, due to their ability to cope with diverse plant defense chemicals encountered during feeding, generalist herbivores such as *T. urticae* are pre-adapted to evolve pesticide resistance (Alyokhin and Chen, 2017; Dermauw et al., 2013). But, resistance is also known to mainly result from a strong selection imposed by intensive pesticide use, and the relative importance of the evolutionary history associated with polyphagy on resistance development is still a matter of debate (Dermauw et al., 2018). Dermauw et al., (2013) observed highly coordinated changes in gene expression for many genes in tomato-adapted mites and in pesticide-resistant strains, suggesting that adaptation to tomato would also increase tolerance to pesticides. In the current study, a multi-resistant field population of *T. urticae* showed remarkable differences in gene expression when maintained on tomato or bean, and toxicity of some acaricides was reduced in the population on tomato. Similar to Dermauw et al., (2013), most of the differentially expressed genes belonged to gene families that have been commonly implicated in detoxification (CCEs, P450s, GSTs and UGTs) or xenobiotic transportation (ABC transporters). This shows that the host plant influences gene expression in *T. urticae*, and these host-specific changes in transcript levels of detoxification enzymes influence acaricide toxicity and resistance levels. This observation is further supported by synergism assays, where we show that inhibiting P450s in the tomato population increases toxicity of cyflumetofen, reaching the same level of toxicity as the bean population. Host plant responses have also been shown to affect the toxicity of insecticides to insects. In relation to this, a study with the polyphagous whitefly *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* revealed

 considerable differences in transcriptional responses to various host plants, and these changes in gene expression were associated with significant shifts in tolerance of the host- adapted *T. vaporariorum* lines to pesticides (Pym et al., 2019). Additionally, the role of detoxification enzymes in pesticide resistance and tolerance to plant allelochemicals is well established in insects and mites (Dermauw and Van Leeuwen, 2014; Després et al., 2007; Feyereisen et al., 2015; Heidel-Fischer and Vogel, 2015), especially the functionally diverse P450s which are expressed in response to phytochemicals (Vandenhole et al., 2021), and whose role in detoxification of xenobiotics has been widely studied (Feyereisen, 2012; Nauen et al., 2021).

 Similar to Dermauw et al., (2013), we observed strong differential expression of genes not previously implicated in detoxification. These included lipocalins, small extracellular proteins with the ability to bind hydrophobic molecules (Ahnström et al., 2007; Flower et al., 2000). Therefore, they may bind acaricides or plant toxins, resulting in sequestration of these normally hydrophobic molecules (Dermauw et al., 2013). Genes belonging to the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) were especially highly upregulated in the VR-BE tomato compared 551 to the VR-BE bean. Upregulation of these single polypeptide carriers might result in a higher efflux of acaricides or toxic plant metabolites out of spider mite cells as previously suggested by Dermauw et al., (2013). Additionally, two intradiol ring cleavage dioxygenases (DOGs): *TuDOG1* (*tetur01g00490*) and *TuDOG11* (*tetur13g04550)* were upregulated in VR-BE tomato 555 relative to VR-BE bean (1.6-fold and 7-fold respectively). TuDOG11 has recently been shown to detoxify the tomato metabolites caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid (Njiru et al., 2022), and is therefore important in adaptation to tomato. We also observed upregulation of two transcription factors *tetur07g01800* and *tetur36g00260*. The latter belongs to the nuclear receptors family, that is known to be involved in response to stress and xenobiotics in vertebrates and insects (Misra et al., 2011; Pascussi et al., 2008). The two transcription factors were also upregulated in resistant strains and upon adaptation to tomato in Dermauw et al., (2013), and could be playing a role in regulation of gene expression in response to plant allelochemicals or acaricides. Indeed, a recent study quantifying the extent of *cis*- versus *trans*- regulation on a genome-wide basis in a collection of multi-resistant *T. urticae* strains revealed that trans-effects are most abundant, especially for P450s and DOGs (Kurlovs et al., 2022).

 To conclude, we confirmed that field failure of a tomato crop to spider mites was due to high levels of resistance to all tested registered acaricides. The presence of target-site mutations could explain resistance to some acaricides, but not all. In addition, resistance levels differed between the population kept on bean or on tomato. This was likely not associated with the presence of large amount of virus in the bean population, but with the induction of detoxification genes on tomato. Further, RNA sequencing revealed large transcriptional differences between the population grown on bean or on tomato, and P450s were shown to contribute to increased resistance levels on tomato.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon

2020 research and innovation program, grant 772026-POLYADAPT to T.V.L. and 773902–

SUPERPEST to T.V.L. N.W. was supported a BOF fellowship (Ghent University, 01P03420)

- throughout this project.
- We thank Lieselot Geernaert and Machiel Vandewalle for the technical support offered during the experimental period.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Supplementary information

All supplementary data can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2023.105591>

- Supplementary file S1. Partial genome sequence of *Tetranychus urticae* associated dicistrovirus 1 isolate Belgium.
- Supplementary Table S1. An overview of target site mutations that the VR-BE population was screened for.
- Supplementary Table S2. Percentage number of uniquely mapped reads and unmapped reads after RNA sequencing.
- 588 Supplementary Table S3. Normalized read-counts of all samples and Log₂ fold changes of all differentially expressed genes in 589 all studied comparisons. all studied comparisons.
- Supplementary Table S4. Significantly enriched GO terms in VR-BE bean and tomato populations in comparison to GSS.

REFERENCES

- Ahnström, J., Faber, K., Axler, O., Dahlbäck, B., 2007. Hydrophobic ligand binding properties
- of the human lipocalin apolipoprotein M. J. Lipid Res. 48, 1754–1762.
- https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M700103-JLR200
- Alyokhin, A., Chen, Y.H., 2017. Adaptation to toxic hosts as a factor in the evolution of
- insecticide resistance. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 21, 33–38.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COIS.2017.04.006
- Anazawa, Y., Tomita, T., Aiki, Y., Kozaki, T., Kono, Y., 2003. Sequence of a cDNA encoding
- acetylcholinesterase from susceptible and resistant two-spotted spider mite,
- *Tetranychus urticae*. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 33, 509–514.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(03)00025-0
- Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., Huber, W., 2015. HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-
- throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31, 166–169.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638
- Andrews, S., 2010. FastQC: A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data
- [WWW Document]. URL https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ (accessed 4.13.23).
- Bajda, S., Dermauw, W., Panteleri, R., Sugimoto, N., Douris, V., Tirry, L., Osakabe, M., Vontas,
- J., Van Leeuwen, T., 2017. A mutation in the PSST homologue of complex I
- (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) from *Tetranychus urticae* is associated with
- resistance to METI acaricides. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 80, 79–90.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.11.010
- Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and
- Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 57, 289–300.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/J.2517-6161.1995.TB02031.X
- Berman, T.S., Izraeli, Y., Lalzar, M., Mozes-Daube, N., Lepetit, D., Tabic, A., Varaldi, J., Zchori-
- Fein, E., 2023. RNA Viruses Are Prevalent and Active Tenants of the Predatory Mite
- *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Microb. Ecol.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-023-02210-0
- Blaazer, C.J.H., Villacis-perez, E.A., Chafi, R., Roberts, M.R., 2018. Why Do Herbivorous Mites
- Suppress Plant Defenses? Front. Plant Sci. 30, 1–16.
- https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01057
- Brattsten, L.B., 1988. Enzymic adaptations in leaf-feeding insects to host-plant
- allelochemicals. J. Chem. Ecol. 14, 1919–1939. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01013486
- Çağatay, N.S., Menault, P., Riga, M., Vontas, J., Ay, R., 2018. Identification and
- characterization of abamectin resistance in *Tetranychus urticae* Koch populations from
- greenhouses in Turkey. Crop Prot. 112, 112–117.
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2018.05.016
- Castle, S.J., Prabhaker, N., Henneberry, T.J., Toscano, N.C., 2009. Host plant influence on
- susceptibility of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) to insecticides. Bull. Entomol.
- Res. 99, 263–273. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485308006329
- De Beer, B., Vandenhole, M., Njiru, C., Spanoghe, P., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2022a.
- High-Resolution Genetic Mapping Combined with Transcriptome Profiling Reveals That
- Both Target-Site Resistance and Increased Detoxification Confer Resistance to the
- Pyrethroid Bifenthrin in the Spider Mite *Tetranychus urticae*. Biology (Basel). 11, 1630.
- https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11111630
- De Beer, B., Villacis-Perez, E., Khalighi, M., Saalwaechter, C., Vandenhole, M., Jonckheere,
- W., Ismaeil, I., Geibel, S., Van Leeuwen, T., Dermauw, W., 2022b. QTL mapping suggests
- that both cytochrome P450-mediated detoxification and target-site resistance are
- involved in fenbutatin oxide resistance in *Tetranychus urticae*. Insect Biochem. Mol.
- Biol. 145, 103757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2022.103757
- De Rouck, S., Inak, E., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2023. A review of the molecular
- mechanisms of acaricide resistance in mites and ticks. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.
- 159:103981. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2023.103981.
- Demaeght, P., Dermauw, W., Tsakireli, D., Khajehali, J., Nauen, R., Tirry, L., Vontas, J.,
- Lümmen, P., Van Leeuwen, T., 2013. Molecular analysis of resistance to acaricidal
- spirocyclic tetronic acids in *Tetranychus urticae*: CYP392E10 metabolizes spirodiclofen,
- but not its corresponding enol. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 43, 544–554.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.03.007
- Demaeght, P., Osborne, E.J., Odman-Naresh, J., Grbić, M., Nauen, R., Merzendorfer, H.,
- Clark, R.M., Van Leeuwen, T., 2014. High resolution genetic mapping uncovers chitin
- synthase-1 as the target-site ofthe structurally diverse mite growth inhibitors

clofentezine, hexythiazox and etoxazole in *Tetranychus urticae*. Insect Biochem. Mol.

```
654 Biol. 51, 52–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.004
```
- Dermauw, W., Pym, A., Bass, C., Van Leeuwen, T., Feyereisen, R., 2018. Does host plant
- adaptation lead to pesticide resistance in generalist herbivores? Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.
- 26, 25–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.01.001
- Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2014. The ABC gene family in arthropods: Comparative
- genomics and role in insecticide transport and resistance. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 45,

89–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IBMB.2013.11.001

- Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Rombauts, S., Menten, B., Vontas, J., Grbić, M., Clark, R.M.,
- Feyereisen, R., Van Leeuwen, T., 2013. A link between host plant adaptation and
- pesticide resistance in the polyphagous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. Proc. Natl.
- Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213214110
- Després, L., David, J.-P., Gallet, C., 2007. The evolutionary ecology of insect resistance to plant chemicals. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 298–307.
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.02.010
- Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M.,
- Gingeras, T.R., 2013. Sequence analysis STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner.
- Bioinformatics 29, 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635
- Feyereisen, R., 2012. Insect CYP Genes and P450 Enzymes. Insect Mol. Biol. Biochem. 236–
- 316. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384747-8.10008-X
- Feyereisen, R., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2015. Genotype to phenotype, the molecular
- and physiological dimensions of resistance in arthropods. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 121,
- 61–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PESTBP.2015.01.004
- Flower, D.R., North, A.C.T., Sansom, C.E., 2000. The lipocalin protein family: structural and
- sequence overview. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol. 1482, 9–24.
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4838(00)00148-5
- Fotoukkiaii, S.M., Wybouw, N., Kurlovs, A.H., Tsakireli, D., Pergantis, S.A., Clark, R.M., Vontas,
- J., Leeuwen, T. Van, 2021. High-resolution genetic mapping reveals cis-regulatory and
- copy number variation in loci associated with cytochrome P450-mediated detoxification
- in a generalist arthropod pest. PLoS Genet 17.
- https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1009422
-
- Futuyma, D.J., Agrawal, A.A., 2009. Macroevolution and the biological diversity of plants and
- herbivores. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 18054–18061.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904106106
- Gould, F., Carrol, C.R., Futuyma, D.J., 1982. Cross-Resistance To Pesticides and Plant Defenses: a Study of the Two-Spotted Spider Mite. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 31, 175–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1982.tb03132.x
- Grbić, M., Van Leeuwen, T., Clark, R.M., Rombauts, S., Rouzé, P., Grbić, V., Osborne, E.J.,
- Dermauw, W., Ngoc, T., Cao, P., Ortego, F., Hernández-Crespo, P., Diaz, I., Martinez, M.,
- Navajas, M., Sucena, É., Magalhães, S., Nagy, L., Pace, R.M., Djuranović, Y., 2011. The
- genome of *Tetranychus urticae* reveals herbivorous pest adaptations. Nature 479, 487–
- 492. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10640
- Hall, T., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
- program for Windows [WWW Document]. URL
- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258565830_BioEdit_An_important_softwar
- 699 e for molecular biology (accessed 4.23.20).
- Heidel-Fischer, H.M., Vogel, H., 2015. Molecular mechanisms of insect adaptation to plant
- secondary compounds. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 8, 8–14.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COIS.2015.02.004
- İnak, E., Alpkent, Y.N., Çobanoğlu, S., Toprak, U., Van Leeuwen, T., 2022. Incidence of
- spiromesifen resistance and resistance mechanisms in *Tetranychus urticae* populations
- collected from strawberry production areas in Turkey. Crop Prot. 160.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2022.106049
- Jeppson, L.R., Keifer, H.H., Baker, E.W., 1975. Mites Injurious to Economic Plants. University of California Press. https://doi.org/doi:10.1525/9780520335431
- Jonckheere, W., Dermauw, W., Khalighi, M., Pavlidi, N., Reubens, W., Baggerman, G., Tirry,
- L., Menschaert, G., Kant, M.R., Vanholme, B., Van Leeuwen, T., 2017. A gene family coding for salivary proteins (SHOT) of the polyphagous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* exhibits fast host-dependent transcriptional plasticity. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 31,
- 112–124. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-17-0139-R
- Jonckheere, W., Dermauw, W., Zhurov, V., Wybouw, N., Van Den Bulcke, J., Villarroel, C.A.,
- Greenhalgh, R., Grbić, M., Schuurink, R.C., Tirry, L., Baggerman, G., Clark, R.M., Kant,
- M.R., Vanholme, B., Menschaert, G., Van Leeuwen, T., 2016. The salivary protein
- 717 repertoire of the polyphagous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*: A quest for effectors.
- Mol. Cell. Proteomics 15, 3594–3613. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.058081
- Khajehali, J., van Leeuwen, T., Grispou, M., Morou, E., Alout, H., Weill, M., Tirry, L., Vontas, J.,
- Tsagkarakou, A., 2010. Acetylcholinesterase point mutations in European strains of
- *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) resistant to organophosphates. Pest Manag.
- Sci. 66, 220–228. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1884
- Khajehali, J., Van Nieuwenhuyse, P., Demaeght, P., Tirry, L., Van Leeuwen, T., 2011. Acaricide resistance and resistance mechanisms in *Tetranychus urticae* populations from rose greenhouses in the Netherlands. Pest Manag. Sci. 67, 1424–1433.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2191
- Khalighi, M., Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Bajda, S., Osakabe, M., Tirry, L., Leeuwen, T. Van,
- 2015. Molecular analysis of cyenopyrafen resistance in the two-spotted spider mite
- *Tetranychus urticae*. Pest Manag. Sci. 72, 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1002/PS.4071
- Khalighi, M., Tirry, L., Thomas, V.L., 2014. Cross-resistance risk of the novel complex II
- inhibitors cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen in resistant strains of the two-spotted spider
- mite *Tetranychus urticae*. Pest Manag. Sci. 70, 365–368.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/PS.3641
- Kurlovs, A.H., De Beer, B., Ji, M., Vandenhole, M., De Meyer, T., Feyereisen, R., Clark, R.M.,
- Van Leeuwen, T., 2022. Trans-driven variation in expression is common among
- detoxification genes in the extreme generalist herbivore *Tetranychus urticae*. PLoS
- Genet. 18, 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010333
- Kwon, D.H., Im, J.S., Ahn, J.J., Lee, J.H., Marshall Clark, J., Lee, S.H., 2010.
	-
- Acetylcholinesterase point mutations putatively associated with monocrotophos
- resistance in the two-spotted spider mite. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 96, 36–42.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PESTBP.2009.08.013
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
- Durbin, R., Project, G., Subgroup, D.P., 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
- SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Liang, P., Jian-Zhou Cui, Yang, X.-Q., Gao, X.-W., 2007. Effects of host plants on insecticide susceptibility and carboxylesterase activity in *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B and greenhouse
- whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*. Pest Manag. Sci. 63, 365–371.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1346
- Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
- for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059- 014-0550-8
- Lu, X., Vandenhole, M., Tsakireli, D., Pergantis, S.A., Vontas, J., Jonckheere, W., Van
- Leeuwen, T., 2023. Increased metabolism in combination with the novel cytochrome b
- 755 target-site mutation L258F confers cross-resistance between the Qo inhibitors
- acequinocyl and bifenazate in *Tetranychus urticae*. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 192,
- 105411. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2023.105411
- Migeon, A., Elodie, N., Dorkeld, F., 2010. Spider Mites Web: A comprehensive database for
- the Tetranychidae, in: Trends in Acarology. Springer Netherlands, pp. 557–560.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9837-5_96
- Misra, J.R., Horner, M.A., Lam, G., Thummel, C.S., 2011. Transcriptional regulation of
- xenobiotic detoxification in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 25, 1796–1806.
- https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.17280911
- Nauen, R., Bass, C., Feyereisen, R., Vontas, J., 2021. The role of cytochrome P450s in insect
- toxicology and resistance. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 67, 105–124.
- https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-070621
- Niu, J., Zhang, W., Sun, Q.Z., Wang, J.J., 2019. Three novel RNA viruses in the spider mite
- *Tetranychus urticae* and their possible interactions with the host RNA interference
- response. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 166, 107228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2019.107228
- Njiru, C., Xue, W., De Rouck, S., Alba, J.M., Kant, M.R., Chruszcz, M., Vanholme, B., Dermauw,
- W., Wybouw, N., Van Leeuwen, T., 2022. Intradiol ring cleavage dioxygenases from
- herbivorous spider mites as a new detoxification enzyme family in animals. BMC Biol.
- 20, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-022-01323-1
- Okonechnikov, K., Golosova, O., Fursov, M., the UGENE team, 2012. Unipro UGENE: a unified
- bioinformatics toolkit. Bioinformatics 28, 1166–1167.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts091
- Pascussi, J.-M., Gerbal-Chaloin, S., Duret, C., Daujat-Chavanieu, M., Vilarem, M.-J., Maurel,
- P., 2008. The Tangle of Nuclear Receptors that Controls Xenobiotic Metabolism and
- Transport: Crosstalk and Consequences. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 48, 1–32.
- https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.47.120505.105349
- Pavlidi, N., Khalighi, M., Myridakis, A., Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Tsakireli, D., Stephanou,
- E.G., Labrou, N.E., Vontas, J., Van Leeuwen, T., 2017. A glutathione-S-transferase
- (TuGSTd05) associated with acaricide resistance in *Tetranychus urticae* directly
- metabolizes the complex II inhibitor cyflumetofen. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 80, 101–
- 115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.12.003
- Pym, A., Singh, K.S., Nordgren, Å., Davies, T.G.E., Zimmer, C.T., Elias, J., Slater, R., Bass, C.,
- 2019. Host plant adaptation in the polyphagous whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*, is associated with transcriptional plasticity and altered sensitivity to insecticides. BMC Genomics 20, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6397-3
-
- Riga, M., Myridakis, A., Tsakireli, D., Morou, E., Stephanou, E.G., Nauen, R., Van Leeuwen, T.,
- Douris, V., Vontas, J., 2015. Functional characterization of the *Tetranychus urticae*
- CYP392A11, a cytochrome P450 that hydroxylates the METI acaricides cyenopyrafen
- and fenpyroximate. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 65, 91–99.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.09.004
- Riga, M., Tsakireli, D., Ilias, A., Morou, E., Myridakis, A., Stephanou, E.G., Nauen, R., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., Paine, M., Vontas, J., 2014. Abamectin is metabolized
- by CYP392A16, a cytochrome P450 associated with high levels of acaricide resistance in
- *Tetranychus urticae*. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 46, 43–53.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.01.006
- Robertson, J.L., Jones, M.M., Olguin, E., Alberts, B., 2017. Bioassays with Athropods,Third Edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Simma, E.A., Hailu, B., Jonckheere, W., Rogiers, C., Duchateau, L., Dermauw, W., Van
- Leeuwen, T., 2020. Acaricide resistance status and identification of resistance mutations
- in populations of the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* from Ethiopia. Exp.
- Appl. Acarol. 82, 475–491. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-020-00567-2
- Simon, J.-C., d'Alençon, E., Guy, E., Jacquin-Joly, E., Jaquiéry, J., Nouhaud, P., Peccoud, J.,
- Sugio, A., Streiff, R., 2015. Genomics of adaptation to host-plants in herbivorous insects.
- Brief. Funct. Genomics 14, 413–423. https://doi.org/10.1093/bfgp/elv015
- Snoeck, S., Kurlovs, A.H., Bajda, S., Feyereisen, R., Greenhalgh, R., Villacis-Perez, E.,
- Kosterlitz, O., Dermauw, W., Clark, R.M., Van Leeuwen, T., 2019. High-resolution QTL
- mapping in *Tetranychus urticae* reveals acaricide-specific responses and common
- 812 target-site resistance after selection by different METI-I acaricides. Insect Biochem. Mol.
- Biol. 110, 19–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IBMB.2019.04.011
- Snoeck, S., Wybouw, N., Leeuwen, T. Van, Dermauw, W., 2018. Transcriptomic Plasticity in
- the Arthropod Generalist *Tetranychus urticae* Upon Long-Term Acclimation to Different
- Host Plants. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 8, 3865.
- https://doi.org/10.1534/G3.118.200585
- Sparks, T.C., Nauen, R., 2015. IRAC: Mode of action classification and insecticide resistance
- management. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 121, 122–128.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PESTBP.2014.11.014
- Sterck, L., Billiau, K., Abeel, T., Rouzé, P., Van de Peer, Y., 2012. ORCAE: online resource for
- community annotation of eukaryotes. Nat. Methods 9, 1041.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2242
- Stumpf, N., Zebitz, C.P.W., Kraus, W., Moores, G.D., Nauen, R., 2001. Resistance to
- Organophosphates and Biochemical Genotyping of Acetylcholinesterases in
- *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae). Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 69, 131–142.
- https://doi.org/10.1006/PEST.2000.2516
- Sugimoto, N., Osakabe, M., 2019. Mechanism of acequinocyl resistance and cross-resistance
- to bifenazate in the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari:
- Tetranychidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 54, 421–427. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-019-
- 00638-w
- Van Laecke, K., Degheele, D., 1993. Effect of insecticide—synergist combinations on the
- survival of *Spodoptera exigua*. Pestic. Sci. 37, 283–288.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/PS.2780370308
- Van Leeuwen, T., Demaeght, P., Osborne, E., Dermauw, W., Gohlke, S., Nauen, R., Grbic, M.,
- Tirry, L., Merzendorfer, H., Clark, R., 2012. Population bulk segregant mapping uncovers
- resistance mutations and the mode of action of a chitin synthesis inhibitor in
- arthropods. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 4407–4412.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1200068109
- Van Leeuwen, T., Dermauw, W., 2016. The Molecular Evolution of Xenobiotic Metabolism
- and Resistance in Chelicerate Mites. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 61, 475–498.
- https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023907
- 843 Van Leeuwen, T., Stillatus, V., Tirry, L., 2004. Genetic analysis and cross-resistance spectrum
- of a laboratory-selected chlorfenapyr resistant strain of two-spotted spider mite (Acari:
- Tetranychidae). Exp. Appl. Acarol. 32, 249–261.
- https://doi.org/10.1023/B:APPA.0000023240.01937.6d
- Van Pottelberge, S., Khajehali, J., Van Leeuwen, T., Tirry, L., 2009a. Effects of spirodiclofen on reproduction in a susceptible and resistant strain of *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari:
- Tetranychidae). Exp. Appl. Acarol. 47, 301–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-008-
- 9226-y
- Van Pottelberge, S., Van Leeuwen, T., Khajehali, J., Tirry, L., 2009b. Genetic and biochemical
- analysis of a laboratory-selected spirodiclofen-resistant strain of *Tetranychus urticae*
- Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). Pest Manag. Sci. 65, 358–366.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1698
- Vandenhole, M., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2021. Short term transcriptional responses of P450s to phytochemicals in insects and mites. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 43, 117–127.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.12.002
- Villarroel, C.A., Jonckheere, W., Alba, J.M., Glas, J.J., Dermauw, W., Haring, M.A., Van
- Leeuwen, T., Schuurink, R.C., Kant, M.R., 2016. Salivary proteins of spider mites
- suppress defenses in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and promote mite reproduction. Plant J.
- 86, 119–131. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13152
- Warsaba, R., Sadasivan, J., Jan, E., 2019. Dicistrovirus Host Molecular Interactions. Curr. Issues Mol. Biol. 34, 83–112. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21775/cimb.034.083
- Wei, P., Demaeght, P., De Schutter, K., Grigoraki, L., Labropoulou, V., Riga, M., Vontas, J.,
- Nauen, R., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2020. Overexpression of an alternative
- allele of carboxyl/choline esterase 4 (CCE04) of *Tetranychus urticae* is associated with
- high levels of resistance to the keto-enol acaricide spirodiclofen. Pest Manag. Sci. 76,

1142–1153. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5627

- Wickham, H., 2009. Ggplot2. Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer Dordrecht, Heidelberg London New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3
- Wu, H., Pang, R., Cheng, T., Xue, L., Zeng, H., Lei, T., Chen, M., Wu, S., Ding, Y., Zhang, J., Shi,
- M., Wu, Q., 2020. Abundant and Diverse RNA Viruses in Insects Revealed by RNA-Seq
- Analysis: Ecological and Evolutionary Implications. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 5, 1–14.
- https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00039-20
- Wybouw, N., Kosterlitz, O., Kurlovs, A.H., Bajda, S., Greenhalgh, R., Snoeck, S., Bui, H., Bryon,
- A., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., Clark, R.M., Leeuwen, T. Van, Clark, R.M., 2019.
- Long-Term Population Studies Uncover the Genome Structure and Genetic Basis of
- Xenobiotic and Host Plant Adaptation in the Herbivore *Tetranychus urticae*. Genetics
- 211, 1409–1427. https://doi.org/10.1534/GENETICS.118.301803
- Wybouw, N., Pauchet, Y., Heckel, D.G., Leeuwen, T. Van, 2016. Horizontal gene transfer
- contributes to the evolution of arthropod herbivory. Genome Biol. Evol. 8, 1785–1801.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw119
- Wybouw, N., Zhurov, V., Martel, C., Bruinsma, K.A., Hendrickx, F., Grbic, V., Van Leeuwen, T.,
- 2015. Adaptation of a polyphagous herbivore to a novel host plant extensively shapes
- the transcriptome of herbivore and host. Mol. Ecol. 24, 4647–4663.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13330
- Xue, W., Lu, X., Mavridis, K., Vontas, J., Jonckheere, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2022. The H92R
- substitution in PSST is a reliable diagnostic biomarker for predicting resistance to
- mitochondrial electron transport inhibitors of complex I in European populations of
- *Tetranychus urticae*. Pest Manag. Sci. 78, 3644–3653. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7007
- Xue, W., Snoeck, S., Njiru, C., Inak, E., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2020. Geographical distribution and molecular insights into abamectin and milbemectin cross-resistance in
- European field populations of *Tetranychus urticae*. Pest Manag. Sci. 76, 2569–2581.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5831
- Yang, X., Margolies, D.C., Zhu, K.Y., Buschman, L.L., 2001. Host plant-induced changes in detoxification enzymes and susceptibility to pesticides in the two-spotted spider mite (acari: tetranychidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 94, 381–387. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022- 0493-94.2.381
- 899 Yu, S.J., 1986. Molecular Aspects of Insect-Plant Associations ©.
- Zhurov, V., Navarro, M., Bruinsma, K.A., Arbona, V., Santamaria, M.E., Cazaux, M., Wybouw,
- N., Osborne, E.J., Ens, C., Rioja, C., Vermeirssen, V., Rubio-Somoza, I., Krishna, P., Diaz,
- I., Schmid, M., Gómez-Cadenas, A., Van de Peer, Y., Grbić, M., Clark, R.M., Van
- Leeuwen, T., Grbić, V., 2014. Reciprocal Responses in the Interaction between
- Arabidopsis and the Cell-Content-Feeding Chelicerate Herbivore Spider Mite. Plant
- Physiol. 164, 384–399. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.231555