This is the author's accepted manuscript of the article published in Trends in Immunology. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2023.05.007

Please cite this work as: Jon Huyghe, Dario Priem, Mathieu J.M. Bertrand, Cell death checkpoints in the TNF pathway, Trends in Immunology, 44, 8, 628-643, 2023.

## 1 Cell Death Checkpoints in the TNF Pathway

2

3 Jon Huyghe<sup>1,2</sup>, Dario Priem<sup>1,2</sup> and Mathieu JM Bertrand<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

4

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cell Death and Inflammation Unit, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, 9052 Ghent, Belgium.

<sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, 9052 Ghent, Belgium.

7 \*Corresponding author (mathieu.bertrand@irc.vib-ugent.be)

## 9 ABSTRACT

Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) plays a central role in orchestrating mammalian inflammatory 10 11 responses. It promotes inflammation either directly by inducing inflammatory gene expression, or indirectly by triggering cell death. TNF-mediated cell death-driven inflammation can be beneficial 12 during infection by providing cell-extrinsic signals that help mounting proper immune responses. 13 Uncontrolled cell death by TNF is instead highly detrimental and believed to cause several human 14 autoimmune diseases. Death is not the default response to TNF sensing. Molecular brakes, or cell death 15 checkpoints, actively repress TNF cytotoxicity to protect the organism from its detrimental 16 consequences. These checkpoints therefore constitute essential safeguards against inflammatory 17 diseases. Recent advances in the field revealed the existence of several new and unexpected brakes 18 against TNF cytotoxicity and pathogenicity. 19

#### 20 MAIN TEXT

# TNF-INDUCED CELL DEATH: A FINE LINE BETWEEN PHYSIOLOGY AND PATHOLOGY

Inflammation, the first response of the immune system to invading pathogens and injured tissue, is a 23 24 beneficial response that protects the body from these insults. Dysregulation of inflammatory signaling pathways by environmental factors and/or genetic predispositions can however turn inflammation into 25 a maladaptive response that causes damage to the body, as seen in various acute and chronic 26 27 inflammatory pathologies (such as sepsis, psoriasis, arthritis, asthma and inflammatory bowel disease) [1]. Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) is a master pro-inflammatory cytokine, whose pharmacological 28 inhibition proved to be beneficial in the treatment of various inflammatory disorders [2]. In mice and 29 humans, TNF directly promotes inflammation by activating the MAPK and NF-KB signaling 30 pathways, which collectively lead to the transcriptional upregulation of various pro-inflammatory 31 genes. TNF also indirectly promotes and exacerbates inflammation by triggering cell death (apoptosis, 32 necroptosis and pyroptosis), which induces the production of pro-inflammatory mediators by 33 activating innate immune receptors on neighboring cells. Indeed, lytic forms of cell death, including 34 apoptosis-driven secondary necrosis, necroptosis, and pyroptosis, release intracellular constituents, 35 known as Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs), that bind and activate Pattern 36 **Recognition Receptors (PRRs)** [3]. Furthermore, by causing the death of epithelial cells, TNF may 37 affect barrier integrity and additionally initiate inflammatory signaling through PRR-mediated sensing 38 of Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs) from microbes that have breached the 39 40 epithelial barriers [3].

TNF-induced cell death has been shown to be beneficial in the context of microbial infection, helping 41 42 to mount proper immune responses by eliminating infected cells and by alerting the environment through the release of DAMPs [3]. Nevertheless, this response needs tight regulation since excessive 43 TNF cytotoxicity can also be the cause of multiple (sterile) inflammatory diseases. Therefore, cell 44 demise is not the standard outcome of TNF sensing. Molecular brakes, known as cell death 45 checkpoints, actively counteract TNF cytotoxicity. Cell demise only occurs when one of these 46 protective brakes is inactivated in the pathway [1]. This situation can occur as a consequence of the 47 action of microbial effector proteins that attempt to interfere with host immune signaling, or 48 alternatively, due to environmental factors and/or genetic mutations [1]. The cell death checkpoints 49 present in the TNF pathway therefore constitute safeguards for physiological inflammation and prevent 50 development of inflammatory pathologies, as evidenced by the phenotypes of mice and humans 51 deficient in their constituents. This review provides an update on the cell death checkpoints in the TNF 52 53 pathway characterized so far, and briefly discusses the physiological and pathological consequences of their inactivation. Recent findings revealed unexpected crosstalk with other signaling pathways,
such as autophagy and the JAK-STAT pathway, widening the spectrum of patients with pathologies
that may benefit from anti-TNF therapies.

57

# 58 TNFR1 SIGNALING: TWO COMPLEXES THAT REGULATE LIFE AND 59 DEATH

In mice and humans, TNF binds both TNFR1 and TNFR2, but most of its biological activity has been 60 associated to TNFR1, the only of the two receptors with cytotoxic potential [4]. The outcome of 61 TNFR1 activation depends on the assembly of two successive complexes [5] (Figure 1). Complex I 62 forms within seconds of TNF sensing. It is a receptor-bound complex that predominantly signals to 63 64 MAPK- and NF-kB-dependent gene activation (Reviewed in [6,7]). The binding of TRADD and RIPK1 to the cytosolic portion of TNFR1 serves as an initial step in Complex I assembly. The 65 subsequent recruitment of TRAF2/5 and of the ubiquitin ligases cIAP1/2 and LUBAC (composed 66 HOIL-1, HOIP and SHARPIN) then leads to the generation of a dense network of ubiquitin chains 67 68 around Complex I [8,9]. These ubiquitin chains stabilize the complex and permit further recruitment of additional proteins, including the kinases that activate the MAPK- and NF-κB signaling pathways. 69 70 Specifically, cIAP1/2 conjugate various types of ubiquitin chains, including K63-linked chains, to RIPK1 and other Complex I components [9-16]. These ubiquitin chains serve as scaffolds for the 71 72 recruitment and activation of the TAK1 complex, which consists of the catalytic subunit TAK1 and of the adaptor proteins TAB2/3 that specifically bind to these K63-linked chains. Upon recruitment, 73 TAK1 auto-phosphorylates and activates downstream MAPK signaling pathways. The enzymatic 74 activity of cIAP1/2 is additionally needed for the recruitment of LUBAC, which further decorates 75 Complex I components with linear (M1)-linked ubiquitin chains, generating, in some cases, hybrid 76 K63/M1-linked chains [17]. The adaptor protein NEMO (also known as IKKy) specifically binds to 77 M1-ubiquitin chains, and brings along kinases IKKα/IKKβ and TBK1/IKKε (via the adaptor proteins 78 TANK/NAP1) [18]. The close proximity between TAK1 and IKKα/IKKβ on the hybrid K63/M1-79 ubiquitin chains allows phosphorylation of IKKa/IKKß by TAK1, and the subsequent IKKa/IKKß-80 dependent activation of the canonical NF-kB pathway. In brief, the phosphorylation of IkBa by IKKB 81 leads to its K48-ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation. Once released from its 82 inhibitor, the NF-kB dimer RELA (p65)/p50 migrates to the nucleus to induce TNF-dependent gene 83 expression [19]. Since K63- and M1-ubiquitination are pivotal in relaying the TNF signal, the ubiquitin 84 network associated to Complex I is subject to tight regulation. A subset of deubiquitinases (DUBs) 85 negatively regulates the ubiquitin network associated to Complex I and thereby controls the dynamics 86 of MAPK and NF-kB signaling (Reviewed in [20]). Among them, the protein A20 was proposed to 87

dock on the M1-ubiquitin chains in Complex I and to protect them from CYLD-mediated degradation

89 [21–23]. In contrast, OTULIN indirectly impacts on the number of M1-ubiquitin chains associated to

90 Complex I by repressing LUBAC auto-ubiquitination and regulating its activity outside of the complex

91 [21,24,25].

Shortly after their initial binding to TNFR1, RIPK1 and TRADD dissociate from the receptor. As a 92 consequence, the receptor-dissociated complex, now referred to as Complex II, translocates to the 93 cytosol where it recruits FADD and the protease CASPASE-8 (CASP8) [5,26,27] (Figure 1). This 94 contrasts with the recruitment of FADD and CASP8 to the receptor-associated Death Inducing 95 96 Signaling Complex (DISC) that forms upon sensing of other ligands of the TNF superfamily, such as TRAIL and FasL. Of note, Complex II is decorated with M1-ubiquitin chains [28,29], which may have 97 been inherited from Complex I or, alternatively, conjugated after its dissociation from the receptor. 98 With the recruitment of CASP8, Complex II gains cytotoxic potential (Figure 2). Indeed, activation 99 of CASP8 within the complex may allow processing of downstream effector caspases to induce 100 apoptosis, or alternatively, cleavage of GSDMD to trigger pyroptosis, as recently reported [30-32]. 101 Furthermore, activation of RIPK1 within Complex II may also allow its association with RIPK3 to 102 103 form the Necrosome and to trigger necroptosis. Indeed, the interaction between RIPK1 and RIPK3 appears sufficient to activate RIPK3, and the phosphorylation of MLKL by RIPK3 can then induce a 104 conformational change in MLKL, resulting in its oligomerization and translocation to the plasma 105 membrane for necroptosis induction [33]. Nevertheless, despite formation of Complex II, cell death is 106 not the default response of most cells to TNF sensing. Several cell death checkpoints are in place to 107 limit the cytotoxic potential of Complex II and prevent unnecessary damage to the organism. 108 Moreover, the molecular mechanisms of these safeguards are intricately connected to the proper 109 functioning of the TNF signaling pathway. It therefore appears that Complex II assembly has evolved 110 111 as a backup mechanism to ensure rapid induction of multiple cellular suicide routes when the cell is facing a serious threat. 112

113

#### 114 CELL DEATH CHECKPOINTS: PUTTING THE BRAKES ON COMPLEX II

#### 115 The NF-κB checkpoint: Limiting CASP8 activity

116 The first identified cell death checkpoint is transcription/translation-dependent and consists in the NF-117  $\kappa$ B-dependent upregulation of pro-survival proteins (**Figure 1**). This checkpoint is shared with other 118 death ligands of the TNF family, including TRAIL and FasL [34,35]. The existence of this checkpoint 119 is nicely illustrated by the wide in vitro use of the protein translation inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) 120 to induce CASP8-dependent apoptosis in murine and human cells stimulated with these TNF-related 121 ligands. Among the NF- $\kappa$ B responsive genes, the one encoding cFLIP plays a major role in preventing

cell death induction (Reviewed in [36]). cFLIP is a proteolytically inactive CASP8 homolog that forms 122 123 heterodimers with CASP8, thereby preventing trans-CASP8 auto-processing and full-blown CASP8 activation [37]. Because cFLIP is a protein with a short half-life, blocking its TNF-mediated NF-kB-124 dependent upregulation quickly results in reduced cFLIP levels, allowing full-blown activation of 125 CASP8 within Complex IIa (or within the DISC following TRAIL or FasL stimulation) and apoptosis 126 induction [5,26,38–43] (Figure 2). Notably, although RIPK1 is a constituent of Complex II, specific 127 inactivation of this cell death checkpoint in cultured fibroblasts and epithelial cells (obtained for 128 example by CHX pre-treatment [26], expression of a degradation-resistant IkBa mutant [44] or RELA 129 (p65) deficiency [45] and cFLIP deficiency [26]) does not lead to its enzymatic activation, and the cell 130 death observed in these conditions is consequently referred to as RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis. 131 A defect in any of the upstream components of the TNF pathway required for NF-kB signaling (such 132 133 as in cIAP1/2, TAK1, IKK, NEMO and LUBAC) will also disturb this cell death checkpoint, and consequently trigger RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis. However, because these proteins may 134 135 additionally contribute to the IKK checkpoint (that represses RIPK1 cytotoxicity) (see below), their deletion will also cause RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis, which becomes the predominant form of 136 137 cell death in such conditions.

138

#### 139 The unconventional autophagy checkpoint: Removing active CASP8

140 Even though cFLIP greatly limits CASP8 activation within Complex II, it does not fully abrogate its proteolytic activity. This is illustrated by the CASP8-dependent cleavage of the Complex II 141 components cFLIP and RIPK1 upon stimulation of murine and human cells with TNF [5,26,46,47]. 142 An unconventional LC3-independent selective autophagy pathway was recently found to serve as a 143 second cell death checkpoint in the TNF pathway by promoting the lysosomal degradation of active 144 CASP8 contained within Complex II in murine and human fibroblasts [48] (Figure 1) (BOX 1). 145 Results from structure-function analysis and immunoprecipitation experiments suggested that the M1-146 ubiquitin chains conjugated to RIPK1 in Complex II serve as a cargo recognition signal for the 147 selective autophagy receptor TAX1BP1, which bridges Complex II to the autophagy machinery 148 through direct interaction with FIP200 [48]. The subsequent recruitment of additional autophagy 149 150 proteins, including the lipid scramblase ATG9A, would then promote the in situ autophagosome formation around Complex II [48]. Once encapsulated and awaiting lysosomal destruction, active 151 CASP8 would be sequestered away from the cytosol, no longer able to access apoptotic substrates. In 152 153 contrast to the NF-kB checkpoint, this unconventional autophagy checkpoint is not shared with other death ligands of the TNF family since it specifically involves the turnover of a cytosolic CASP8-154 155 activating complex [48]. Defects in this detoxification process result in the cytosolic accumulation of active CASP8, which eventually results in full-blown activation of CASP8, switching the TNF 156

response from survival to RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis (Figure 2). Such scenario is observed 157 158 upon deficiency in the ATG proteins involved in this unconventional LC3-independent process, but also upon deficiency in M1-ubiquitination or in RIPK1 [48]. The latter conditions block the lysosomal 159 destruction of Complex II by removing TAX1BP1's recognition signal within the cargo [48]. The 160 identification of this new detoxification process clarifies the previously reported NF-kB-independent 161 pro-survival role of RIPK1 [49] and reveals an additional function of LUBAC in counteracting TNF-162 mediated RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis. Together, these results demonstrate the cytotoxic 163 potential of the Complex II that forms upon TNF sensing alone and identify Complex II as a new cargo 164 of the recently discovered unconventional autophagy pathway. 165

166

#### 167 The IKK checkpoint: Repressing RIPK1 enzymatic activity in Complex I

Besides having a pro-survival scaffolding role in Complex I and II, RIPK1 can also turn into a killer 168 169 kinase that promotes Complex II assembly following its enzymatic activation in Complex I [50]. The Complex II that assembles upon sensing of TNF alone has accordingly been renamed IIa to 170 differentiate it from IIb that forms in conditions triggering RIPK1 kinase activation. It however 171 remains unclear if these two complexes are truly distinct or if they instead represent one unique 172 complex, in which case the catalytic activity of RIPK1 would be required for its stabilization or 173 amplification. Noteworthy, it remains unknown how activated RIPK1 kills, as to our knowledge, no 174 175 lethal substrate of RIPK1 has been identified. To prevent Complex IIb formation and the induction of RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis, a third cell death checkpoint represses RIPK1 enzymatic activity 176 in Complex I. This additional checkpoint consists in the phosphorylation-dependent inactivation of 177 RIPK1 by IKK $\alpha/\beta$  [45] and TBK1/IKK $\epsilon$  [18,51,52] (Figure 1). The inhibitory functions of IKK $\alpha/\beta$ 178 179 and TBK1/IKKE on RIPK1 are non-redundant, as the individual inactivation of each set of kinases suffices to switch the TNF response from survival to RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis [18,45,52]. 180 In addition, their combined inhibition in murine fibroblasts further increases RIPK1 cytotoxicity, 181 which may indicate that IKK $\alpha/\beta$  and TBK1/IKK $\epsilon$  repress distinct pools of RIPK1 in Complex I. RIPK1 182 was found to be phosphorylated on many residues (Reviewed in [50]), and Ser<sup>25</sup> was identified as a 183 common substrate of IKK $\alpha/\beta$  [53], TBK1/IKK $\epsilon$  [18] and of the phosphatase complex PPP1R3G/PP1 $\gamma$ 184 [54]. Moreover, phosphorylation of Ser<sup>25</sup> inhibits RIPK1 enzymatic activity, but preventing this 185 phosphorylation event is insufficient to induce RIPK1 cytotoxicity following TNF sensing [53]. 186 Therefore, the inhibitory roles of IKK $\alpha/\beta$  and TBK1/IKK $\epsilon$  on RIPK1 extend beyond Ser<sup>25</sup> 187 phosphorylation. A recent study reported that the PIAS1-dependent decoration of RIPK1 with SUMO 188 chains in Complex I promotes RIPK1 kinase activity and cytotoxicity in murine fibroblasts and 189 hepatocytes [55], a process that was counteracted by the deSUMOylating enzyme SENP1 [55]. It is 190 interesting to speculate that IKK $\alpha/\beta$  and TBK1/IKK $\epsilon$  may also negatively regulate RIPK1 191

- SUMOylation by PIAS1, as this would explain why preventing RIPK1 phosphorylation on Ser<sup>25</sup> is
   insufficient to switch the TNF response to RIPK1 kinase-dependent cell death. However, the
   mechanism by which SUMOylation of RIPK1 leads to its activation awaits characterization.
- 195 Apart from the direct inactivation of IKK $\alpha/\beta$  or TBK1/IKK $\epsilon$ , tempering with their ubiquitination-
- 196 dependent recruitment to Complex I (for instance by cIAP1/2 or LUBAC deficiencies [8,9], mutation
- of the RIPK1 ubiquitin acceptor site Lys<sup>377</sup> (Lys<sup>376</sup> in mice) [56-60], NEMO [61], A20 [22] or 197 OTULIN [62] deficiencies) or activation within Complex I (for instance by TAK1 inhibition [44]) 198 indirectly perturbs the IKK checkpoints and switches the TNF response from survival to RIPK1 kinase-199 dependent apoptosis [51]. In contrast to TBK1/IKKE, IKKa/ß function as upstream kinases in the NF-200  $\kappa$ B pathway [18,52]. Consequently, inhibition of IKK $\alpha/\beta$  not only results in RIPK1 kinase-dependent 201 apoptosis by disrupting the IKK checkpoint, but also in RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis by 202 203 additionally affecting the NF-kB checkpoint (Figure 2). Such a scenario is consequently also observed upon deficiency in any component of the TNF pathway required for proper IKKα/β activation (such 204 205 as in cIAP1/2, TAK1, IKK, NEMO). Of note, through the generation of M1-ubiquitin chains, LUBAC
  - contributes to the NF-κB, unconventional autophagy and IKK checkpoints. Its inactivation therefore
    also results in a combination of RIPK1 kinase-dependent and -independent apoptosis.
  - 208

## The non-receptor Tyrosine kinases checkpoint: Repressing RIPK1 enzymatic activity in Complex I and II

It was recently reported that inhibition of the non-receptor Tyrosine kinases JAK1 or SRC also 211 switches the TNF response to RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis in murine fibroblasts and BMDMs 212 [63] (Figure 1). The inhibitory function of these kinases on RIPK1 is non-redundant. Indeed, their 213 individual inactivation suffices to activate RIPK1 cytotoxicity, and their combined inhibition further 214 sensitizes cells to RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis [63]. Both JAK1 and SRC phosphorylate the 215 same essential RIPK1 residue Tyr<sup>384</sup> (Tyr<sup>383</sup> in mice), but while JAK1 phosphorylates RIPK1 in 216 Complex I, SRC does so inside Complex II, indicating that different pools of RIPK1 are differentially 217 regulated in a spatial and temporal fashion [63] (Figure 1). How JAK1 and SRC are respectively 218 recruited to Complex I and II is unknown, but may involve direct interaction with RIPK1 [63]. It is 219 suggested that the activation of RIPK1 cytotoxicity in JAK1- and SRC-inhibited conditions is caused, 220 at least partially, by impaired recruitment and activation of MK2, another direct kinase of RIPK1 [64-221 67]. This causal link is however unclear and requires further investigation. Indeed, MK2 deficiency in 222 murine fibroblasts and BMDMs was previously shown not to switch the TNF response to death but 223 only to increase cytotoxicity when one of the checkpoints was already inactivated, therefore acting as 224 a secondary protective mechanism against cell death (BOX 2). Nevertheless, the fact that 225 RIPK1<sup>Y383F/Y383F</sup>-expressing cells are still sensitized to TNF-induced cell death in the presence of BV6 226

227 (a cIAP1/2 antagonist) suggests that the regulation of RIPK1 cytotoxicity by JAK1 and SRC is 228 independent of IKK $\alpha/\beta$  and TBK1/IKK $\epsilon$  [63]. Indeed, the latter kinases require cIAP1/2-dependent 229 ubiquitin chains to be recruited to Complex I [18,45,52]. In summary, this discovery highlights the 230 unexpected involvement of other immune signaling pathways in regulating life/death decisions 231 downstream of TNF.

232

#### 233 The CASP8 checkpoint: Cleaving the culprits

The goal of the cell death checkpoints described earlier is to prevent lethal activation of CASP8 within 234 Complex II. Paradoxically, complete inhibition of CASP8 switches the cellular response to TNF from 235 survival to death in murine fibroblasts [68]. Indeed, the CASP8-dependent cleavage of RIPK1 at Asp<sup>324</sup> 236 (Asp<sup>325</sup> in mice) in Complex II serves as an additional checkpoint in the TNF pathway that prevents 237 RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis and necroptosis [47,69–72] (Figure 1). By cleaving RIPK1, 238 CASP8 limits the cytosolic accumulation of kinase-active RIPK1 that can either promote Complex IIb 239 240 assembly or instead associate with RIPK3 to form the Necrosome. Accordingly, inactivation of this checkpoint by CASP8 inhibition in murine fibroblasts and primary human fibroblasts and PBMCs 241 242 solely switches the TNF response to RIPK1 kinase-dependent necroptosis and not apoptosis (Figure 2) [47,69–72]. How RIPK1 enzymatic activity promotes CASP8 processing and RIPK3 recruitment 243 244 and activation remain outstanding questions in the field. As mentioned, to our knowledge, no lethal substrates of RIPK1 have been identified. Of note, the early detection of RIPK1 fragments suggests 245 246 that RIPK1 cleavage by CASP8 occurs prior to encapsulation of Complex II into an autophagosome, 247 indicating that the unconventional autophagy checkpoint and the CASP8 checkpoint co-exist [5,48]. This may suggest that an M1-ubiquitinated fragment of RIPK1 remains inside Complex II to serve as 248 a marker for lysosomal degradation. Accordingly, the domain structure of RIPK1 implies that cleavage 249 of RIPK1 at Asp<sup>312</sup> would create a fragment that lacks the kinase domain but still contains both the 250 Dead Domain (DD) and the predominant M1-ubiquitin acceptor sites Lys<sup>377</sup>/Lys<sup>627</sup> (Lys<sup>376</sup>/Lys<sup>612</sup> in 251 mice) [57,73,74]. We posit that this M1-ubiquitinated fragment likely stays connected to Complex II 252 253 via the DD-interaction with FADD. Alternatively, it is also possible that CASP8 only cleaves some of the RIPK1 molecules in Complex II, allowing full-length ubiquitinated RIPK1 to drive detoxification. 254 In addition to RIPK1, the CASP8-mediated cleavage of the DUB CYLD has also been proposed to act 255 256 as a brake on TNF-induced necroptosis [75,76]. The finding that CYLD increases the enzymatic 257 activity of RIPK1 in Complex II [76] led to the hypothesis that its cleavage by CASP8 would prevent RIPK1 kinase-dependent necroptosis induction. In line with this hypothesis, in vitro expression of a 258 259 cleavage-resistant CYLD mutant in murine fibroblasts was shown to switch the TNF response from survival to necroptosis regardless of CASP8 activity [75]. However, in contrast to the Ripk1D325A/D325A 260 (uncleavable) mice that die during embryogenesis, those expressing a cleavage-resistant CYLD mutant 261

develop normally [69–72], thereby questioning the relevance of this cleavage event as a cell death checkpoint. Similarly, proteolytic processing of RIPK3 by CASP8 is proposed to counteract necroptosis induction by promoting Necrosome disassembly [77], but the physiological importance of this cleavage awaits demonstration. In conclusion, while CASP8 can promote apoptosis it paradoxically also prevents RIPK1 kinase-dependent cell death by cleaving Complex II components.

267

#### 268 MULTIPLE CHECKPOINTS: THE BRIGHT AND DARK SIDES

To date, a minimum of five non-redundant cell death checkpoints have been characterized downstream of TNFR1 in mice and humans. These molecular brakes on TNF cytotoxicity act at different stages of the TNF signaling pathway and are consequently localized within different cellular compartments [1,48,63]. Several lines of evidence indicate that more checkpoints remain to be discovered and characterized. For instance, deficiency in additional components of the pathway, such as ABIN1, also switches the TNF response to death in murine fibroblasts [78], but it is currently unclear if the resulting cellular demise is caused by a defect in a known or yet-to-be characterized cell death checkpoint.

One can legitimately wonder why so many non-redundant cell death checkpoints exist in the TNF 276 277 pathway. The answer to this question may be found in the beneficial effect of cell death in the fight against microbial infection. The constant arms race between pathogens and their hosts has forced 278 microbes to develop strategies that ensure persistence. A plethora of microbial effector proteins allow 279 pathogens to temper with host immune signaling pathways that promote their elimination. In turn, cell 280 death appears to have evolved as a backup response from the host to ensure proper immune responses 281 when inflammatory signaling has been hijacked by the microbe (BOX 3) [1]. Indeed, cell death not 282 only facilitates the elimination of persistent intracellular pathogens, but also provides cell-extrinsic 283 signals (DAMPs) to activate immune receptors expressed by non-infected neighboring cells [3]. By 284 orchestrating the inflammatory response, TNF serves as a major weapon to combat infections. Indeed, 285 286 anti-TNF therapeutics increase the risk of infections with a multitude of pathogens [79], and it is becoming clear that the anti-microbial role of TNF does not solely originate from the direct induction 287 288 of pro-inflammatory signaling pathways but also from its cytotoxic properties [80]. The induction of inflammatory signaling pathways, the lysosomal clearance of pathogens by xenophagy, or the 289 290 induction of apoptosis are strategies to clear intracellular pathogens and are intricately connected with 291 the cell death checkpoints in the TNF pathway. When certain virulence factors or effector proteins try 292 to ensure microbial persistence, they switch off one of the checkpoints and render infected cells sensitive to TNF-induced cell death (BOX 3) [1]. Therefore, the checkpoints that prevent TNF 293 294 cytotoxicity can be considered as fuse sensors that detect pathogenic interference with these strategies. In light of this, we can speculate that the existence of so many checkpoints reflects the various ways 295 microbes attempt to hijack normal immune signaling [81]. 296

However, this multi-sensing system comes with a price. Indeed, by multiplying these non-redundant 297 298 brakes on TNF cytotoxicity, it augments the risk of mutations affecting one of them, resulting in unwanted cell death induction with severe consequences to the organism. Indeed, the constitutive 299 inactivation of the direct regulators of each of the above-mentioned cell death checkpoints was shown 300 to cause embryonic lethality in mice, except for  $Jak 1^{-/-}$  and  $Src^{-/-}$  mice that respectively die perinatally 301 or survive to adulthood (Table 1) [1]. These lethalities are associated with excessive cell death that 302 was, in many cases, demonstrated to be driven by TNF, since additional TNFR1 deletion rescued the 303 embryonic lethality (Table 1) [1]. Depending on the cell death modality regulated by the checkpoint, 304 the additional cross with RIPK1 kinase-dead mice or with mice deficient in the downstream death 305 effector proteins (FADD, CASP8, RIPK3 and MLKL) also rescued the death of embryos, thereby 306 demonstrating the causal link (Table 1). Notably, because deficiency in FADD or CASP8 causes 307 308 embryonic lethality due to massive necroptosis induction [82-84], the in vivo implication of CASP8dependent apoptosis needs to be evaluated in mice that harbor compound deficiency in RIPK3 or 309 310 MLKL. For instance, LUBAC contributes to three checkpoints that prevent both RIPK1 kinaseindependent apoptosis (unconventional autophagy and NF-kB checkpoints) and RIPK1 kinase-311 312 dependent cell death (IKK checkpoint). Mice deficient in the LUBAC components HOIL-1 and HOIP succumb at embryonic stage E10.5 [85,86]. The embryonic lethality of these mice is rescued up to 313 E15.5/E16.5 in the *Tnfr1<sup>-/-</sup>* background, but only to E14.5 in mice expressing kinase inactive RIPK1. 314 Knowing that the Hoil-1<sup>-/-</sup> Mlkl<sup>-/-</sup> Casp8<sup>-/-</sup> and Hoip<sup>-/-</sup> Mlkl<sup>-/-</sup> Casp8<sup>-/-</sup> are viable, these results clearly 315 316 demonstate that the embryonic lethality of the HOIL-1- or HOIP-deficient mice is caused by a 317 combination of TNF-induced RIPK1 kinase-dependent and -independent cell death up to embryonic stage E15.5/E16.5. Of note, the embryonic lethality resulting from deficiency in RELA/p65, a 318 component of the NF-kB checkpoint that counteracts RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis, is 319 surprisingly rescued by crossing the mice with the RIPK1 kinase-dead mice [87,88], highlighting 320 possible differences in the cell death modalities that this checkpoint regulates in vitro and in vivo. This 321 discrepancy in the cell death modality resulting from the in vitro and in vivo inactivation of RELA is 322 intriguing. In some cells, chronic inactivation of the canonical NF-kB pathway may affect basal 323 expression of NF-κB responsive genes (such as cIAP1/2) that contribute to the IKK checkpoint (that 324 325 represses RIPK1 cytotoxicity), and may explain the contribution of RIPK1 kinase-dependent cell death 326 to the lethality of RELA-deficient mice. This would not happen following acute inhibition of 327 transcription/translation. Accordingly, acute inactivation of the NF-kB checkpoint by D-Galactosamine, which inhibits transcription, was shown to cause lethality in mice solely due to the 328 induction of TNF-mediated RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis [45]. 329

In addition to their whole body inactivation, the tissue-specific targeting of each of these cell death checkpoints was shown to cause a multitude of TNF-mediated cell death-driven inflammatory

pathologies in mice [1]. For example, inactivation of the checkpoints in murine keratinocytes causes 332 333 the spontaneous development of inflammatory skin lesions (Table 2). Importantly, inactivating mutations in genes encoding components of these cell death checkpoints have also been reported to 334 cause inflammatory diseases in human patients. For instance, a heterozygous mutation in RELA, 335 336 affecting the NF- $\kappa$ B checkpoint, causes chronic mucocutaneous ulceration that can be suppressed by anti-TNF therapy [89]. Patients with loss-of-function mutations in RIPK1, affecting the 337 unconventional autophagy checkpoint, suffer from combined immunodeficiency and inflammatory 338 bowel disease [90,91]. Moreover, biallelic loss-of-function mutations in TBK1, affecting the IKK 339 checkpoint, cause an early-onset inflammatory syndrome (characterized by arthritis, vasculitis and 340 neurocognitive disability) that relies on RIPK1 kinase-dependent cell death, and is successfully treated 341 with anti-TNF therapy [92]. Also, inactivating mutations in *NEMO*, affecting both the NF-kB and IKK 342 343 checkpoints, cause embryonic lethality in males and Incontinentia Pigmenti in heterozygous females, a genetic ectodermal dysplasia affecting the skin, hair, teeth, microvasculature, and central nervous 344 345 system [93]. As a last example, patients with mutations in RIPK1 that prevent its CASP8-mediated cleavage, affecting the CASP8 checkpoint, suffer from early-onset auto-inflammatory disease 346 347 (characterized by lymphadenopathy, chronic gastro-intestinal inflammation and fevers) attributed to hypersensitivity towards RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis and necroptosis [71,72,94]. In summary, 348 349 these studies demonstrate that the acute inactivation of the cell death checkpoints can be beneficial in the context of infection, while their constitutive inactivation causes inflammatory pathologies. 350

351

#### 352 CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is becoming increasingly clear that multiple TNF-driven (sterile) inflammatory pathologies are 353 354 caused by uncontrolled inflammation resulting from excessive cell death induction [1]. The recent 355 characterization of new brakes on TNF cytotoxicity (non-receptor Tyrosine kinase and unconventional 356 autophagy checkpoints) has warranted an updated view on how these checkpoints are organized. We propose to categorize them based on their mode of action. The first class of cell death checkpoints 357 (NF-kB, IKK and non-receptor Tyrosine kinase checkpoints) consists of mechanisms that are required 358 to directly limit the activation of CASP8 and prevent the amplification of the lethal signal that 359 originates from the cytotoxic Complex II. The second class (unconventional autophagy and CASP8 360 checkpoints) is comprised of mechanisms that eliminate Complex II (or the complexes that emerge 361 from it) regardless of the actions of the first class. The identification of such protective system still 362 raises several questions (Outstanding Questions Box). For instance, how can deficiency in one 363 checkpoint suffice to trigger cell death when all the other brakes are still in place? In other words, how 364 365 can cFLIP deficiency induce apoptosis when Complex IIa is still targeted for lysosomal degradation? 366 The same question holds for the conditions leading to Complex IIb or Necrosome assembly. Indeed, 12

RIPK1 is also reported to be M1-ubiquitinated in these complexes [28,29] and may therefore also serve 367 368 as a recognition signal for their lysosomal degradation by the unconventional autophagy checkpoint. Moreover, because M1-ubiquitin chains are implicated in a plethora of inflammatory signaling 369 pathways, it is tempting to speculate that the unconventional autophagy checkpoint could additionally 370 detoxify cytotoxic complexes that form downstream of other innate immune receptors with cytotoxic 371 potential, such as ZBP1 or inflammasomes. Finally, the identification of new (components of) cell 372 death checkpoints in the TNF pathway widens the spectrum of patients with pathologies that may 373 benefit from anti-TNF therapies. Indeed, thanks to the molecular understanding of these cell death 374 checkpoints, patients with mutations in the genes encoding RELA, RIPK1, TBK1, OTULIN or 375 LUBAC are now successfully treated with TNF blocking agents [24,25,71,89,92,94–98]. This list may 376 377 extend in the future to patients with mutations in ATG components of the unconventional autophagy 378 checkpoint or in JAK1 and SRC, which remains to be investigated. Moreover, the development and use of cell death inhibitors (Reviewed in [99]) may also become a future alternative for the treatment 379 380 of the described inflammatory or immunodeficiency-associated diseases, especially for nonresponsive patients or patients who show adverse effects to anti-TNF treatments. 381

382

#### 383 GLOSSARY

- Cell death checkpoint: Molecular mechanism to inhibit cell death induction.
- Necroptosis: Regulated form of lytic cell death relying on the RIPK3 kinase and on the
   pseudokinase MLKL. Necroptosis is triggered when activated RIPK3 phosphorylates MLKL
   to induce its oligomerization and translocation to the plasma membrane where it promotes pore
   formation.
- Pyroptosis: Regulated form of lytic cell death executed by the pore-forming molecule
   GSDMD. Activation of GSDMD requires proteolytic processing by the inflammasome associated CASP1 and CASP11 (CASP4/5 in humans) or by CASP8.
- Secondary necrosis: Lytic phase of an apoptotic cell in the absence of phagocytosis; involves
   the proteolytic activation of the pore-forming molecule GSDME by the effector CASP3.
- Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs): Membrane-bound or cytosolic sensors that detect
   invariant molecular motifs present on microbes or released/exposed by distressed or dying
   cells.

- Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs): Class of invariant molecular motifs
   found in structural components that comprise the cell surface of various microbes, but not host
   cells.
- Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs): Class of molecular motifs acting as
   endogenous danger signals. In healthy cells, DAMPs normally stay in the intracellular
   environment, but can be exposed or released when the cell is distressed or dying.
- TNFR1 Complex I: Also known as TNFR1 Signaling Complex (TNFR1-SC). Primary
   receptor-bound signaling complex forming at the plasma membrane within seconds of TNF
   sensing; promotes the activation of the MAPK and NF-κB signaling pathways.
- TNFR1 Complex II: Secondary cytosolic FADD- and CASP8-containing complex
   assembling within minutes of TNF sensing; originates from dissociation of Complex I from the
   receptor.
- 409 TNFR1 Complex IIa: Apoptosis-inducing Complex II resulting from inhibition of the NF-κB,
   410 or unconventional autophagy checkpoint.
- TNFR1 Complex IIb: Cytosolic apoptosis-inducing complex of similar composition as
   Complex IIa but whose assembly relies on RIPK1 kinase activity. It is unclear if Complex IIa
   and IIb are distinct complexes or if they represent one unique complex.
- Necrosome: Cytosolic necroptosis-inducing complex produced when CASP8 fails to cleave
   RIPK1 within TNFR1 Complex II. The formation of the Necrosome depends on the stable (RIP
   Homotypic Interaction Motif) RHIM-dependent interaction between kinase-active RIPK1 and
   RIPK3, further allowing MLKL recruitment and activation.
- 418 Death Inducing Signaling Complex (DISC): Receptor-associated CASP8-activating complex
   419 forming at the plasma membrane following cell stimulation with members of the TNF
   420 superfamily of ligands, such as TRAIL and FasL.
- 421 -Inflammasome: CASP1-activating platform that promotes the proteolytic activation of IL-1β
   422 and IL-18; and induction of pyroptosis by GSDMD cleavage.

### 424 **REFERENCES**

- 425 1. van Loo, G. and Bertrand, M.J.M. (2023) Death by TNF: a road to inflammation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*426 23, 289–303
- 427 2. Kalliolias, G.D. and Ivashkiv, L.B. (2016) TNF biology, pathogenic mechanisms and emerging
  428 therapeutic strategies. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 12, 49–62
- 429 3. Takeuchi, O. and Akira, S. (2010) Pattern Recognition Receptors and Inflammation. *Cell* 140, 805–820
- 4. Hsu, H. *et al.* (1996) TNF-dependent recruitment of the protein kinase RIP to the TNF receptor-1 signaling complex. *Immunity* 4, 387–396
- 432 5. Micheau, O. and Tschopp, J. (2003) Induction of TNF receptor I-mediated apoptosis via two sequential
  433 signaling complexes. *Cell* 114, 181–190
- 434 6. Webster, J.D. and Vucic, D. (2020) The Balance of TNF Mediated Pathways Regulates Inflammatory
  435 Cell Death Signaling in Healthy and Diseased Tissues. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 8, 1–14
- 436 7. Gough, P. and Myles, I.A. (2020) Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptors: Pleiotropic Signaling Complexes
  437 and Their Differential Effects. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 1–14
- 438 8. Haas, T.L. *et al.* (2009) Recruitment of the Linear Ubiquitin Chain Assembly Complex Stabilizes the
  439 TNF-R1 Signaling Complex and Is Required for TNF-Mediated Gene Induction. *Mol. Cell* 36, 831–
  440 844
- 441 9. Bertrand, M.J.M. *et al.* (2008) cIAP1 and cIAP2 Facilitate Cancer Cell Survival by Functioning as E3
  442 Ligases that Promote RIP1 Ubiquitination. *Mol. Cell* 30, 689–700
- Shu, H.D. *et al.* (1996) The tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 signal transducers TRAF2 and c-IAP1 are components of the tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 signaling complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*93, 13973–13978
- Kanayama, A. *et al.* (2004) TAB2 and TAB3 activate the NF-κB pathway through binding to
  polyubiquitin chains. *Mol. Cell* 15, 535–548
- Park, S.M. *et al.* (2004) Receptor interacting protein is ubiquitinated by cellular inhibitor of apoptosis
  proteins (c-IAP1 and c-IAP2) in vitro. *FEBS Lett.* 566, 151–156
- Bertrand, M.J.M. *et al.* (2011) CIAP1/2 are direct E3 ligases conjugating diverse types of ubiquitin chains to receptor interacting proteins kinases 1 to 4 (RIP1-4). *PLoS One* 6, 1–11
- 452 14. Mahoney, D.J. *et al.* (2008) Both cIAP1 and cIAP2 regulate TNFα-mediated NF-κB activation. *Proc.*453 Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105, 11778–11783
- 454 15. Varfolomeev, E. *et al.* (2008) c-IAP1 and c-IAP2 are critical mediators of tumor necrosis factor α
   455 (TNFα)-induced NF-κB activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 24295–24299
- 456 16. Vince, J.E. *et al.* (2009) TRAF2 must bind to cellular inhibitors of apoptosis for tumor necrosis factor
  457 (TNF) to efficiently activate NF-κB and to prevent TNF-induced apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 35906–
  458 35915
- 459 17. Emmerich, C.H. *et al.* (2013) Activation of the canonical IKK complex by K63/M1-linked hybrid
  460 ubiquitin chains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 15247–15252
- 461 18. Lafont, E. *et al.* (2018) TBK1 and IKKε prevent TNF-induced cell death by RIPK1 phosphorylation.
  462 Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 1389–1399
- 463 19. Wan, F. and Lenardo, M.J. (2010) The nuclear signaling of NF-κB: Current knowledge, new insights,
  464 and future perspectives. *Cell Res.* 20, 24–33
- 465 20. Lork, M. *et al.* (2017) CYLD, A20 and OTULIN deubiquitinases in NF-κB signaling and cell death:
  466 So similar, yet so different. *Cell Death Differ*. 24, 1172–1183
- 467 21. Draber, P. *et al.* (2015) LUBAC-Recruited CYLD and A20 Regulate Gene Activation and Cell Death
   15

468		by Exerting Opposing Effects on Linear Ubiquitin in Signaling Complexes. Cell Rep. 13, 2258–2272
469 470	22.	Priem, D. <i>et al.</i> (2019) A20 protects cells from TNF-induced apoptosis through linear ubiquitin- dependent and -independent mechanisms. <i>Cell Death Dis.</i> 10, 692
471	23.	Priem, D. et al. (2020) A20 and Cell Death-driven Inflammation. Trends Immunol. 41, 421-435
472 473	24.	Damgaard, R.B. <i>et al.</i> (2016) The Deubiquitinase OTULIN Is an Essential Negative Regulator of Inflammation and Autoimmunity. <i>Cell</i> 166, 1215–1230
474 475	25.	Damgaard, R.B. <i>et al.</i> (2019) OTULIN deficiency in ORAS causes cell type-specific LUBAC degradation, dysregulated TNF signalling and cell death. <i>EMBO Mol. Med.</i> 11, 1–17
476 477	26.	Wang, L. <i>et al.</i> (2008) TNF-α Induces Two Distinct Caspase-8 Activation Pathways. <i>Cell</i> 133, 693–703
478 479	27.	Cho, Y.S. <i>et al.</i> (2009) Phosphorylation-Driven Assembly of the RIP1-RIP3 Complex Regulates Programmed Necrosis and Virus-Induced Inflammation. <i>Cell</i> 137, 1112–1123
480 481	28.	De Almagro, M.C. <i>et al.</i> (2017) Coordinated ubiquitination and phosphorylation of RIP1 regulates necroptotic cell death. <i>Cell Death Differ</i> . 24, 26–37
482 483	29.	De Almagro, M.C. <i>et al.</i> (2015) Cellular IAP proteins and LUBAC differentially regulate necrosome- associated RIP1 ubiquitination. <i>Cell Death Dis.</i> 6, 1–11
484 485	30.	Orning, P. et al. (2018) Pathogen blockade of TAK1 triggers caspase-8-dependent cleavage of gasdermin D and cell death. Science (80 ). 362, 1064–1069
486 487	31.	Schwarzer, R. <i>et al.</i> (2020) New insights into the regulation of apoptosis, necroptosis, and pyroptosis by receptor interacting protein kinase 1 and caspase-8. <i>Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.</i> 63, 186–193
488 489	32.	Demarco, B. <i>et al.</i> (2020) Caspase-8-dependent gasdermin D cleavage promotes antimicrobial defense but confers susceptibility to TNF-induced lethality. <i>Sci. Adv.</i> 6, 1–16
490	33.	Ye, K. et al. (2023) The double-edged functions of necroptosis. Cell Death Dis. 14, 163
491 492	34.	Franco, A. V <i>et al.</i> (2001) The Role of NF-κB in TNF-Related Apoptosis-Inducing Ligand (TRAIL)- Induced Apoptosis of Melanoma Cells. <i>J. Immunol.</i> 166, 5337–5345
493 494	35.	Seitz, C.S. <i>et al.</i> (2000) NF-κB determines localization and features of cell death in epidermis. <i>J. Clin. Invest.</i> 105, 253–260
495 496	36.	Tsuchiya, Y. <i>et al.</i> (2015) FLIP the switch: Regulation of apoptosis and necroptosis by cFLIP. <i>Int. J. Mol. Sci.</i> 16, 30321–30341
497 498	37.	Hughes, M.A. <i>et al.</i> (2016) Co-operative and Hierarchical Binding of c-FLIP and Caspase-8: A Unified Model Defines How c-FLIP Isoforms Differentially Control Cell Fate. <i>Mol. Cell</i> 61, 834–849
499 500	38.	Tang, Y. <i>et al.</i> (2018) Linear ubiquitination of cFLIP induced by LUBAC contributes to TNF-induced apoptosis. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 293, 20062–20072
501 502	39.	Dillon, C.P. et al. (2012) Survival Function of the FADD-CASPASE-8-cFLIPL Complex. Cell Rep. 1, 401–407
503 504	40.	Panayotova-Dimitrova, D. et al. (2013) CFLIP Regulates Skin Homeostasis and Protects against TNF- Induced Keratinocyte Apoptosis. Cell Rep. 5, 397–408
505 506	41.	Weinlich, R. <i>et al.</i> (2013) Protective Roles for Caspase-8 and cFLIP in Adult Homeostasis. <i>Cell Rep.</i> 5, 340–348
507 508	42.	Wittkopf, N. <i>et al.</i> (2013) Cellular FLICE-like inhibitory protein secures intestinal epithelial cell survival and immune homeostasis by regulating caspase-8. <i>Gastroenterology</i> 145, 1369–1379
509 510	43.	Feoktistova, M. et al. (2020) Tnf is partially required for cell-death-triggered skin inflammation upon acute loss of cflip. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 8859
	16	

511 512 513	44.	Dondelinger, Y. <i>et al.</i> (2013) RIPK3 contributes to TNFR1-mediated RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis in conditions of cIAP1 / 2 depletion or TAK1 kinase inhibition. <i>Cell Death Differ</i> . 20, 1381–1392
514 515	45.	Dondelinger, Y. <i>et al.</i> (2015) NF-κB-Independent Role of IKKα/IKKβ in Preventing RIPK1 Kinase- Dependent Apoptotic and Necroptotic Cell Death during TNF Signaling. <i>Mol. Cell</i> 60, 63–76
516 517	46.	Micheau, O. <i>et al.</i> (2001) NF-κB Signals Induce the Expression of c-FLIP. <i>Mol. Cell. Biol.</i> 21, 5299–5305
518 519	47.	Lin, Y. et al. (1999) Cleavage of the death domain kinase RIP by Caspase-8 prompts TNF-induced apoptosis. Genes Dev. 13, 2514–2526
520 521	48.	Huyghe, J. <i>et al.</i> (2022) ATG9A prevents TNF cytotoxicity by an unconventional lysosomal targeting pathway. <i>Science (80 ).</i> 378, 1201–1207
522 523 524	49.	Gentle, I.E. <i>et al.</i> (2011) In TNF-stimulated cells, RIPK1 promotes cell survival by stabilizing TRAF2 and cIAP1, which limits induction of non-canonical NF- $\kappa$ B and activation of caspase-8. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 286, 13282–13291
525 526	50.	Delanghe, T. et al. (2020) RIPK1 Kinase-Dependent Death: A Symphony of Phosphorylation Events. Trends Cell Biol. 30, 189–200
527 528	51.	Peltzer, N. and Walczak, H. (2019) Cell Death and In fl ammation – A Vital but Dangerous Liaison. <i>Trends Immunol.</i> 40, 387–402
529 530	52.	Xu, D. et al. (2018) TBK1 Suppresses RIPK1-Driven Apoptosis and Inflammation during Development and in Aging. Cell 174, 1477–1491
531 532	53.	Dondelinger, Y. <i>et al.</i> (2019) Serine 25 phosphorylation inhibits RIPK1 kinase-dependent cell death in models of infection and inflammation. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 10, 1–16
533 534	54.	Du, J. <i>et al.</i> (2021) RIPK1 dephosphorylation and kinase activation by PPP1R3G/PP1γ promote apoptosis and necroptosis. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 12, 7067
535 536	55.	Yan, L. <i>et al.</i> (2022) SENP1 prevents steatohepatitis by suppressing RIPK1-driven apoptosis and inflammation. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 13, 7153
537 538	56.	O'Donnell, M.A. <i>et al.</i> (2007) Ubiquitination of RIP1 Regulates an NF-κB-Independent Cell-Death Switch in TNF Signaling. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> 17, 418–424
539 540	57.	Tang, Y. <i>et al.</i> (2019) K63-linked ubiquitination regulates RIPK1 kinase activity to prevent cell death during embryogenesis and inflammation. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 10, 4157
541 542	58.	Zhang, X. <i>et al.</i> (2019) Ubiquitination of RIPK1 suppresses programmed cell death by regulating RIPK1 kinase activation during embryogenesis. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 10, 4158
543 544	59.	Ea, C.K. <i>et al.</i> (2006) Activation of IKK by TNFα Requires Site-Specific Ubiquitination of RIP1 and Polyubiquitin Binding by NEMO. <i>Mol. Cell</i> 22, 245–257
545 546	60.	Li, H. <i>et al.</i> (2006) Ubiquitination of RIP is required for tumor necrosis factor $\alpha$ -induced NF- $\kappa$ B activation. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 281, 13636–13643
547 548	61.	Legarda-Addison, D. <i>et al.</i> (2009) NEMO/IKKγ regulates an early NF-κB-independent cell-death checkpoint during TNF signaling. <i>Cell Death Differ</i> . 16, 1279–1288
549 550	62.	Heger, K. <i>et al.</i> (2018) OTULIN limits cell death and inflammation by deubiquitinating LUBAC. <i>Nature</i> 559, 120–124
551 552	63.	Tu, H. <i>et al.</i> (2022) Tyrosine phosphorylation regulates RIPK1 activity to limit cell death and inflammation. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 13, 1–14
553 554	64.	Dondelinger, Y. <i>et al.</i> (2018) MK2 puts an additional brake on RIPK1 cytotoxic potential. <i>Cell Death Differ</i> . 25, 457–459
	17	

- 555 65. Dondelinger, Y. *et al.* (2017) MK2 phosphorylation of RIPK1 regulates TNF-mediated cell death. *Nat.*556 *Cell Biol.* 19, 1237–1247
- Menon, M.B. *et al.* (2017) P38 MAPK /MK2-dependent phosphorylation controls cytotoxic RIPK1 signalling in inflammation and infection. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 19, 1248–1259
- 559 67. Jaco, I. *et al.* (2017) MK2 Phosphorylates RIPK1 to Prevent TNF-Induced Cell Death. *Mol. Cell* 66, 698–710
- 561 68. Vercammen, D. *et al.* (1998) Inhibition of Caspases Increases the Sensitivity of L929. *J. Exp. Med* 187, 1477–1485
- 563 69. Newton, K. *et al.* (2019) Cleavage of RIPK1 by caspase-8 is crucial for limiting apoptosis and necroptosis. *Nature* 574, 428–431
- 565 70. Zhang, X. *et al.* (2019) RIPK1 can mediate apoptosis in addition to necroptosis during embryonic development. *Cell Death Dis.* 10, 245
- 567 71. Lalaoui, N. *et al.* (2020) Mutations that prevent caspase cleavage of RIPK1 cause autoinflammatory
  568 disease. *Nature* 577, 103–108
- Tao, P. *et al.* (2020) A dominant autoinflammatory disease caused by non-cleavable variants of
  RIPK1. *Nature* 577, 109–114
- 571 73. Li, X. *et al.* (2020) Ubiquitination of RIPK1 regulates its activation mediated by TNFR1 and TLRs
  572 signaling in distinct manners. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1–18
- 573 74. Kist, M. *et al.* (2021) Impaired RIPK1 ubiquitination sensitizes mice to TNF toxicity and inflammatory
  574 cell death. *Cell Death Differ*. 28, 985–1000
- 575 75. O'Donnell, M.A. *et al.* (2011) Caspase 8 inhibits programmed necrosis by processing CYLD. *Nat. Cell*576 *Biol.* 13, 1437–1442
- 577 76. Moquin, D.M. *et al.* (2013) CYLD Deubiquitinates RIP1 in the TNFα-Induced Necrosome to Facilitate
  578 Kinase Activation and Programmed Necrosis. *PLoS One* 8, 1–15
- 579 77. Feng, S. *et al.* (2007) Cleavage of RIP3 inactivates its caspase-independent apoptosis pathway by removal of kinase domain. *Cell. Signal.* 19, 2056–2067
- 581 78. Li, M. *et al.* (2022) Ubiquitin-binding domain in ABIN1 is critical for regulating cell death and
  582 inflammation during development. *Cell Death Differ*. 29, 2034–2045
- 79. Ali, T. *et al.* (2013) Clinical use of anti-TNF therapy and increased risk of infections. *Drug. Healthc. Patient Saf.* 5, 79–99
- 585 80. Jorgensen, I. *et al.* (2017) Programmed cell death as a defence against infection. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*586 17, 151–164
- 81. Rahman, M.M. and McFadden, G. (2011) Modulation of NF-κB signalling by microbial pathogens. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 9, 291–306
- 589 82. Kaiser, W.J. *et al.* (2011) RIP3 mediates the embryonic lethality of caspase-8-deficient mice. *Nature*590 471, 368–373
- Solution Sector S
- 593 84. Zhang, H. *et al.* (2011) Functional complementation between FADD and RIP1 in embryos and lymphocytes. *Nature* 471, 373–377
- 85. Peltzer, N. *et al.* (2014) HOIP deficiency causes embryonic lethality by aberrant TNFR1-mediated
  endothelial cell death. *Cell Rep.* 9, 153–165
- 597 86. Peltzer, N. *et al.* (2018) LUBAC is essential for embryogenesis by preventing cell death and enabling
  598 haematopoiesis. *Nature* 557, 112–117
  - 18

- 599 87. Xu, C. *et al.* (2018) Embryonic Lethality and Host Immunity of RelA-Deficient Mice Are Mediated by
  Both Apoptosis and Necroptosis. *J. Immunol.* 200, 271–285
- 88. Vlantis, K. *et al.* (2016) NEMO Prevents RIP Kinase 1-Mediated Epithelial Cell Death and Chronic
   Intestinal Inflammation by NF-κB-Dependent and -Independent Functions. *Immunity* 44, 553–567
- 89. Badran, Y.R. *et al.* (2017) Human RELA haploinsufficiency results in autosomal-dominant chronic
  mucocutaneous ulceration. *J. Exp. Med.* 214, 1937–1947
- 605 90. Li, Y. *et al.* (2019) Human RIPK1 deficiency causes combined immunodeficiency and inflammatory
  606 bowel diseases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 970–975
- Sultan, M. *et al.* (2022) RIPK1 mutations causing infantile-onset IBD with inflammatory and fistulizing features. *Front. Immunol.* 13, 1–12
- 609 92. Taft, J. *et al.* (2021) Human TBK1 deficiency leads to autoinflammation driven by TNF-induced cell
  610 death. *Cell* 184, 4447–4463
- 611 93. Smahi, A. *et al.* (2002) The NF-κB signalling pathway in human diseases: From incontinentia pigmenti to ectodermal dysplasias and immune-deficiency syndromes. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 11, 2371–2375
- 613 94. Tapiz i Reula, A.J. *et al.* (2022) Characterization of Novel Pathogenic Variants Leading to Caspase-8
  614 Cleavage-Resistant RIPK1-Induced Autoinflammatory Syndrome. *J. Clin. Immunol.* 42, 1421–1432
- 615 95. Zhou, Q. *et al.* (2016) Biallelic hypomorphic mutations in a linear deubiquitinase define otulipenia, an
  616 early-onset autoinflammatory disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 10127–10132
- 617 96. Nabavi, M. *et al.* (2019) Auto-inflammation in a Patient with a Novel Homozygous OTULIN
  618 Mutation. J. Clin. Immunol. 39, 138–141
- 619 97. Zinngrebe, J. *et al.* (2022) Compound heterozygous variants in OTULIN are associated with fulminant atypical late-onset ORAS . *EMBO Mol. Med.* 14, 1–16
- 98. Boisson, B. *et al.* (2012) Immunodeficiency, autoinflammation and amylopectinosis in humans with
  inherited HOIL-1 and LUBAC deficiency. *Nat. Immunol.* 13, 1178–1186
- 623 99. Anderton, H. *et al.* (2020) Cell death in chronic inflammation: breaking the cycle to treat rheumatic
  624 disease. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 16, 496–513
- 625 100. Annibaldi, A. *et al.* (2018) Ubiquitin-Mediated Regulation of RIPK1 Kinase Activity Independent of
  626 IKK and MK2. *Mol. Cell* 69, 566–580
- Feltham, R. *et al.* (2018) Mind Bomb Regulates Cell Death during TNF Signaling by Suppressing
  RIPK1's Cytotoxic Potential. *Cell Rep.* 23, 470–484
- 102. Nakabayashi, O. *et al.* (2021) MIND bomb 2 prevents RIPK1 kinase activity-dependent and independent apoptosis through ubiquitylation of cFLIPL. *Commun. Biol.* 4, 80
- 631 103. Liu, L. *et al.* (2022) Tankyrase-mediated ADP-ribosylation is a regulator of TNF-induced death. *Sci.* 632 *Adv.* 8, 2332
- 633 104. Lim, J. *et al.* (2019) Autophagy regulates inflammatory programmed cell death via turnover of RHIM634 domain proteins. *Elife* 8, 1–23
- Wu, W. *et al.* (2020) The Autophagy-Initiating Kinase ULK1 Controls RIPK1-Mediated Cell Death.
   *Cell Rep.* 31, 107547
- 637 106. Philip, N.H. and Brodsky, I.E. (2012) Cell death programs in Yersinia immunity and pathogenesis.
  638 *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2, 149
- 639 107. Peterson, L.W. *et al.* (2016) Cell-Extrinsic TNF Collaborates with TRIF Signaling To Promote
  640 Yersinia -Induced Apoptosis . *J. Immunol.* 197, 4110–4117
- 641 108. Peterson, L.W. *et al.* (2017) RIPK1-dependent apoptosis bypasses pathogen blockade of innate signaling to promote immune defense. *J. Exp. Med.* 214, 3171–3182
  - 19

- 643 109. Sarhan, J. *et al.* (2018) Caspase-8 induces cleavage of gasdermin D to elicit pyroptosis during Yersinia
  644 infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E10888–E10897
- Unterholzner, L. *et al.* (2011) Vaccinia virus protein c6 is a virulence factor that binds tbk-1 adaptor
  proteins and inhibits activation of irf3 and irf7. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, 1–15
- 647 111. Zhao, W. (2013) Negative regulation of TBK1-mediated antiviral immunity. FEBS Lett. 587, 542–548
- Louis, C. *et al.* (2018) TANK-binding kinase 1-dependent responses in health and autoimmunity.
   *Front. Immunol.* 9, 434
- Chakraborty, S. and Xu, G. (2019) Structural mechanism of vaccinia virus protein C6 mediated
   inactivation of transcription factors IRF3 and IRF7 via inhibition of TBK1 mediated scaffold protein protein interactions. *FASEB J.* 33, 208
- 114. Vozandychova, V. *et al.* (2021) The ubiquitination system within bacterial host-pathogen interactions.
   *Microorganisms* 9, 1–22
- Wan, M. *et al.* (2019) A bacterial effector deubiquitinase specifically hydrolyses linear ubiquitin
  chains to inhibit host inflammatory signalling. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4, 1282–1293
- Tattoli, I. *et al.* (2013) Listeria phospholipases subvert host autophagic defenses by stalling preautophagosomal structures. *EMBO J.* 32, 3066–3078
- Mitchell, G. *et al.* (2015) Avoidance of autophagy mediated by PlcA or ActA is required for listeria
  monocytogenes growth in macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* 83, 2175–2184
- Brault, M. and Oberst, A. (2017) Controlled detonation: Evolution of necroptosis in pathogen defense. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 95, 131–136
- 119. Tummers, B. and Green, D.R. (2022) the Evolution of Regulated Cell Death Pathways in Animals and
  Their Evasion By Pathogens. *Physiol. Rev.* 102, 411–454
- Kettle, S. *et al.* (1997) Vaccinia virus serpin B13R(SPI-2) inhibits interleukin-1β-converting enzyme
  and protects virus-infected cells from TNF- and Fas-mediated apoptosis, but does not prevent IL-1βinduced fever. J. Gen. Virol. 78, 677–685
- Tian, T. *et al.* (2012) Disruption of TNF-α/TNFR1 function in resident skin cells impairs host immune
   response against cutaneous vaccinia virus infection. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 132, 1425–1434
- Pearson, J.S. *et al.* (2017) EspL is a bacterial cysteine protease effector that cleaves RHIM proteins to
  block necroptosis and inflammation. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2, 16258
- Ashida, H. *et al.* (2020) A unique bacterial tactic to circumvent the cell death crosstalk induced by
  blockade of caspase-8. *EMBO J.* 39, e104469
- boi, T.S. *et al.* (1999) Absence of tumor necrosis factor rescues RelA-deficient mice from embryonic
  lethality. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96, 2994–2999
- Alcamo, E. *et al.* (2001) Targeted Mutation of TNF Receptor I Rescues the RelA-Deficient Mouse and
  Reveals a Critical Role for NF-κB in Leukocyte Recruitment. *J. Immunol.* 167, 1592–1600
- 478 126. Yeh, W.-C. *et al.* (2000) Requirement for Casper (c-FLIP) in Regulation of Death Receptor–Induced
  Apoptosis and Embryonic Development. *Immunity* 12, 633–642
- Kojima, T. *et al.* (2015) Role of the Atg9a gene in intrauterine growth and survival of fetal mice.
   *Reprod. Biol.* 15, 131–138
- Kamaguchi, J. *et al.* (2018) Atg9a deficiency causes axon-specific lesions including neuronal circuit dysgenesis. *Autophagy* 14, 764–777
- Gan, B. *et al.* (2006) Role of FIP200 in cardiac and liver development and its regulation of TNFα and
   TSC-mTOR signaling pathways*Journal of Cell Biology*, 175121–133
- 686 130. Chen, S. *et al.* (2016) Distinct roles of autophagy-dependent and -independent functions of FIP200
   20

687		revealed by generation and analysis of a mutant knock-in mouse model. Genes Dev. 30, 856-869
688 689	131.	Li, Z.W. <i>et al.</i> (1999) The IKK $\beta$ subunit of I $\kappa$ B kinase (IKK) is essential for nuclear factor $\kappa$ B activation and prevention of apoptosis. <i>J. Exp. Med.</i> 189, 1839–1845
690 691	132.	Tanaka, M. <i>et al.</i> (1999) Embryonic lethality, liver degeneration, and impaired NF-κB activation in IKK-β-deficient mice. <i>Immunity</i> 10, 421–429
692 693	133.	Li, Q. et al. (1999) Severe Liver Degeneration in Mice Lacking the IkB Kinase 2 Gene. Science (80 ). 284, 321–325
694 695	134.	Bonnard, M. <i>et al.</i> (2000) Deficiency of T2K leads to apoptotic liver degeneration and impaired NF-kB-dependent gene transcription. <i>EMBO J.</i> 19
696 697	135.	Perry, A.K. <i>et al.</i> (2004) Differential requirement for TANK-binding kinase-1 in type I interferon responses to toll-like receptor activation and viral infection. <i>J. Exp. Med.</i> 199, 1651–1658
698 699	136.	Rodig, S.J. <i>et al.</i> (1998) Disruption of the Jak1 gene demonstrates obligatory and nonredundant roles of the Jaks in cytokine-induced biologic responses. <i>Cell</i> 93, 373–383
700 701	137.	Soriano, P. <i>et al.</i> (1991) Targeted disruption of the c-src proto-oncogene leads to osteopetrosis in mice. <i>Cell</i> 64, 693–702
702 703	138.	Schwartzberg, P.L. et al. (1997) Rescue of osteoclast function by transgenic expression of kinase- deficient Src in src-/- mutant mice. Genes Dev. 11, 2835–2844
704 705	139.	Varfolomeev, E.E. <i>et al.</i> (1998) Targeted disruption of the mouse Caspase 8 gene ablates cell death induction by the TNF receptors, Fas/Apo1, and DR3 and is lethal prenatally. <i>Immunity</i> 9, 267–276
706 707	140.	Sakamaki, K. <i>et al.</i> (2002) Ex vivo whole-embryo culture of caspase-8-dificient embryos normalize their aberrant phenotypes in the developing neural tube and heart. <i>Cell Death Differ.</i> 9, 1196–1206
708 709	141.	Kang, TB. et al. (2004) Caspase-8 Serves Both Apoptotic and Nonapoptotic Roles. J. Immunol. 173, 2976–2984
710 711	142.	Alvarez-Diaz, S. <i>et al.</i> (2016) The Pseudokinase MLKL and the Kinase RIPK3 Have Distinct Roles in Autoimmune Disease Caused by Loss of Death-Receptor-Induced Apoptosis. <i>Immunity</i> 45, 513–526
712 713	143.	Mandal, P. et al. (2014) RIP3 Induces Apoptosis Independent of Pronecrotic Kinase Activity. Mol. Cell 56, 481–495
714 715	144.	Newton, K. <i>et al.</i> (2014) Activity of protein kinase RIPK3 determines whether cells die by necroptosis or apoptosis. <i>Science (80 ).</i> 343, 1357–1360
716 717	145.	Kaiser, W.J. et al. (2014) RIP1 suppresses innate immune necrotic as well as apoptotic cell death during mammalian parturition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 7753–7758
718 719	146.	Newton, K. <i>et al.</i> (2019) Activity of caspase-8 determines plasticity between cell death pathways. <i>Nature</i> 575, 679–682
720 721	147.	Kumari, S. <i>et al.</i> (2021) NF-κB inhibition in keratinocytes causes RIPK1-mediated necroptosis and skin inflammation. <i>Life Sci. Alliance</i> 4, 1–14
722 723	148.	Wei, H. <i>et al.</i> (2009) Inactivation of FIP200 leads to inflammatory skin disorder, but not tumorigenesis, in conditional knock-out mouse models. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 284, 6004–6013
724 725	149.	Pasparakis, M. <i>et al.</i> (2002) TNF-mediated inflammatory skin disease in mice with epidermis-specific deletion of IKK2. <i>Nature</i> 417, 861–866
726 727	150.	Kovalenko, A. <i>et al.</i> (2009) Caspase-8 deficiency in epidermal keratinocytes triggers an inflammatory skin disease. <i>J. Exp. Med.</i> 206, 2161–2177
728		
729		

## 730 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

731 Research in the group of M.J.M.B. is financially supported by Vlaams Instituut voor Biotechnologie (VIB);

732 Ghent University (iBOF ATLANTIS); Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Vlaanderen (FWO) (grants

- 733 G035320N, G044518N, EOS G0G6618N, and EOS G0I5722N); and Flemish Government Methusalem
- 734 (BOF16/MET V/007, attributed to P. Vandenabeele). Graphics were generated by using BioRender. J.H. wrote
- the original draft of the manuscript and designed the figures. J.H., D.P. and M.J.M.B. reviewed and edited the
- 736 final manuscript.

### 738 TEXT BOXES

#### 739 BOX 1: Unconventional MC3-independent selective autophagy

740 Autophagy is the process in which a double membrane vesicle called the autophagosome isolates part of the cytosol and later fuses with a lysosome for the proteolytic degradation of the engulfed cargo 741 (reviewed in [104]). Autophagy can promote the non-specific degradation and recycling of bulk cargo 742 to liberate nutrients during starvation, but can also be a highly specific catabolic process in nutrient-743 replete conditions, promoting the removal of defective organelles, protein aggregates, or cytosolic 744 bacteria. During selective autophagy, autophagy receptors shuttle specific cargoes to growing 745 phagophores by simultaneously binding the ubiquitin chains conjugated to the cargo and the 746 ATG8/LC3-moeities present on the inner phagophore leaflet [104]. Recent experimental evidence has 747 demonstrated the existence of an unconventional pathway that does not require the LC3-conjugation 748 749 machinery, but instead promotes the selective autophagy-dependent degradation of cargo via the direct 750 binding between the autophagy receptor and FIP200, a component of the autophagy initiation complex [49,105–109]. 751

#### 752 BOX 2: Secondary protective mechanisms

In addition to the checkpoints that prevent cell death induction, several additional molecular 753 754 mechanisms have been reported to limit the extent of TNF-induced cell death in conditions of a previously compromised checkpoint. Here are a few examples of these secondary protective 755 756 mechanisms. First, the K48-ubiquitination of RIPK1 by cIAP1 promotes the proteasomal degradation 757 of kinase-active RIPK1, thereby limiting RIPK1 kinase-dependent cell death downstream of TNF in murine fibroblasts and BMDMs [100]. In addition, the cIAP1/2-independent ubiquitination of RIPK1 758 by MIB2 was reported to limit activation of RIPK1 and consequently Complex IIb-dependent cell 759 death induction in murine and human epithelial cells. The authors hypothesized that steric hindrance 760 caused by the ubiquitin chains conjugated to RIPK1 by MIB2 would interfere with oligomerization 761 and trans-activation of RIPK1 and with FADD binding [101]. Apart from RIPK1, cFLIP was also 762 763 reported to be a substrate of MIB2 and LUBAC, and the ubiquitination of cFLIP by these E3 ligases 764 was shown to increase its stability and to limit TNF-induced cell death in conditions affecting the NFκB-dependent upregulation of cFLIP in human and murine cells [38,102]. Furthermore, the Poly-ADP-765 766 Ribosylation (PARylation) of RIPK3 by TANKYRASE-1 was shown to serve as a signal for the K48-767 ubiquitination of the Necrosome by the E3 ligase RNF146, thereby limiting necroptosis induction in murine fibroblasts and BMDMs [103]. Apart from proteasomal degradation, canonical LC3-dependent 768 769 selective autophagy was also reported to reduce necroptosis by promoting the lysosomal turnover of the RHIM-containing proteins RIPK1 and RIPK3 in BMDMs [104]. Additionally, in murine 770 771 fibroblasts the autophagy-initiating kinase ULK1 was found to reduce RIPK1 kinase-dependent cell

- death by phosphorylating cytosolic RIPK1 at Ser<sup>357</sup> (Ser<sup>356</sup> in mice) [105]. This phosphorylation event
  does not affect activation of RIPK1 in Complex I but reduces the amount of kinase-active RIPK1 inside
- Complex IIb. This suggests that phosphorylation of RIPK1 at Ser<sup>357</sup> impairs the cytosolic transfer of
- active RIPK1 from Complex I to Complex IIb and/or reduces trans-phosphorylation and activation of
- RIPK1 within Complex IIb. Moreover, in murine fibroblasts and BMDMs cytosolic phosphorylation
- of RIPK1 at Ser<sup>320</sup> and Ser<sup>335</sup> (Ser<sup>321</sup> and Ser<sup>336</sup> in mice) by MK2, a kinase activated downstream of
   TAK1 and p38, was shown to reduce RIPK1 activation in Complex I and/or to limit Complex IIb
- assembly [64–67].

#### 780 BOX 3: Cell death checkpoints – Fuse sensors of microbial invasion

Microbes have developed sophisticated strategies to evade host immune defenses by hijacking 781 inflammatory signaling pathways. For example, pathogenic Yersinia sp. deliver the virulence factor 782 YopJ/P into mammalian cells to subvert inflammatory gene activation. YopJ/P is an acyltransferase 783 784 that inhibits the catalytic activity of TAK1 and IKK $\alpha/\beta$ , and consequently prevents the MAPK- and NF-kB-dependent expression of pro-inflammatory mediators [106]. Because of this hijacking, the 785 IKK $\alpha/\beta$ -dependent cell death checkpoint that normally maintains RIPK1 in pro-survival mode is 786 inactivated, as well as the secondary protective mechanism consisting in RIPK1 repression by MK2. 787 Consequently, infected human PMBCs and murine BMDMs become sensitized to RIPK1 kinase-788 dependent CASP8-mediated apoptosis and/or pyroptosis [30,32,50,66,67,107–109], and the resulting 789 790 cellular demise was demonstrated to be beneficial in mice by promoting optimal anti-bacterial immunity. Due to its central role in orchestrating anti-viral immunity, TBK1 is the target of many 791 virally encoded virulence factors [110–113]. The action of these viral effector proteins is therefore 792 expected to similarly trigger RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis/pyroptosis by inactivating the 793 794 IKKɛ/TBK1-dependent cell death checkpoint. While microbes do not encode ubiquitin themselves, a plethora of pathogens have evolved effector proteins that affect the host ubiquitination systems in an 795 attempt to counteract immune signaling [114]. For example, Legionella pneumophila, the causative 796 agent of human Legionnaire's disease, encodes RavD which specifically hydrolyzes M1-ubiquitin 797 chains, and is consequently also expected to inhibit multiple cell death checkpoints in the TNF pathway 798 [115]. The elimination of intracellular pathogens by xenophagy, a selective form of autophagy, 799 constitutes another arm of the host immune defense. Accordingly, numerous pathogens have developed 800 strategies to prevent lysosomal destruction by inhibiting the core autophagy machinery. This is the 801 case for *Listeria monocytogenes* which expresses two membrane-disrupting phospholipases (PlcA/B) 802 803 that antagonize the formation of autophagosomal membranes in human epithelial cells and BMDMs [116,117]. It is therefore expected that PlcA/B switch the TNF response to death by inhibiting the 804 unconventional autophagy checkpoint. Finally, because apoptosis is an ancient form of cell death that 805 evolved to combat invading pathogens [118], many microbes encode effector proteins that inhibit 806 24

apoptotic caspases [119]. For example, Vaccinia Virus (VACV) encodes the virulence factor B13R/Spi2 that acts as a potent CASP8 inhibitor [120]. However, by inhibiting the CASP8 checkpoint B13R/Spi2 switches the TNF response to necroptosis in various murine cell types, which was demonstrated to be critical in the control of VACV infections in mice [27,121]. Recently, the enteropathogenic bacteria *Shigella sp.* and *Escherichia coli* were shown to in turn encode proteases that can specifically cleave RIPK1 in human epithelial cells and in mice as a strategy to prevent necroptosis induction [122,123].

## **FIGURE LEGENDS**

#### Figure 1. Normal cellular response of TNFR1 activation by TNF.

In most murine and human cell types, including macrophages and fibroblasts, sensing of TNF by TNFR1 does not trigger cell death but results in gene activation. TNFR1 Complex I forms at the plasma membrane within seconds following TNF sensing. It serves as a recruitment and activation platform for the kinases that promote the activation of the NF- $\kappa$ B and MAPK signaling pathways resulting in the production of pro-inflammatory mediators and pro-survival proteins [6,7]. Later, Complex I destabilizes and detaches from the receptor to allow the formation of the CASP8-containing TNFR1 Complex II in the cytosol [5]. The cytotoxic potential of Complex II is kept in check by several molecular mechanisms referred to as cell death checkpoints [1,48,63], which includes its lysosomal degradation by an unconventional selective autophagy pathway [48]. The cell death checkpoints that prevent lethal activity of Complex II are indicated in the figure as locks. For clarity the figure depicts only a selected subset of core constituents of the cell death checkpoints and the central components that are present in Complex I/II.

## Figure 2. TNF-induced cell death caused by inactivation of cell death checkpoints downstream of TNFR1.

TNF cytotoxicity in mice and humans requires inactivation of one of the cell death checkpoints present downstream of TNFR1. The inactivation of the NF-κB checkpoint quickly results in the reduction of cFLIP amounts, allowing the lethal activation of CASP8 in Complex II and the formation of the cytotoxic Complex IIa that induces RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis [5,26]. Similarly, interfering with the unconventional autophagy checkpoint results in the cytosolic accumulation of Complex IIa that overcomes inhibition by cFLIP and causes CASP8-mediated RIPK1 kinase-independent apoptosis [48]. Instead, inhibition of the IKK or non-receptor Tyrosine kinase checkpoint leads to the activation of RIPK1 enzymatic activity, formation of Complex IIb and induction of RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis (or pyroptosis) [18,45,52,63]. Complex IIb therefore enables active CASP8 to overcome repression by cFLIP. Finally, preventing cleavage of RIPK1 by CASP8 in Complex II leads to the cytosolic accumulation of kinase-active RIPK1 that promotes Necrosome assembly and MLKL-dependent necroptosis induction [47,69–72]. For clarity the figure depicts only a selected subset of the central components of the cytotoxic complexes that originate from Complex II in conditions of checkpoint inhibition and their downstream effectors.





Checkpoint	<i>Gene</i> (Protein)	Genotype	Phenotype	Rescue	Ref.
NF-ĸB	Rela	Rela <sup>-/-</sup>	Embryonically lethal		[124]
	(p65)		(E14.5), liver apoptosis	Tnfr1-/-	[125]
				Fadd <sup>-/-</sup> Mlkl <sup>-/-</sup> , Fadd <sup>-/-</sup> Ripk3 <sup>-/-</sup> , Ripk1 <sup>K45A/K45A</sup> (kinase-dead)	[87]
	Cflar	Cflar-/-	Embryonically lethal		[126]
	(cFLIP)		(E10.5), keratinocyte	Fadd- <sup>,-</sup> Ripk3- <sup>,-</sup>	[39]
			apoptosis, defective heart		
Unconventional	Ata9a	$A t \sigma Q a^{-/-}$	Embryonically lethal		[127 128]
autophagy	(ATG9A)	лıg)u	(E14.5), liver apoptosis	Tnfr1-'-	[127,120]
I CU	Rhlccl	Rhlcc1-/-	Embryonically lethal	11911	[129]
	(FIP200)	Roreer	(E14.5), liver apoptosis,	Tnfr1-'-	[127]
			defective heart	11971	[150]
			development		
IKK	Ikkb	Ikkb <sup>-/-</sup>	Embryonically lethal		[131,132]
	(іккр)		(E13.5), liver apoptosis	Tnfr1	[133]
	Tbk1	Tbk1-/-	Embryonically lethal	Tnfr1-'-	[134,135]
	(18K1)		(E13.5), liver apoptosis	<i>Ripk1</i> <sup>D183N/D183N</sup> (kinase-dead), <i>Ripk3-'-</i> (partial)	[52]
Tyrosine Kinase	Jakl (JAK1)	Jak1-'-	Perinatal lethality, defective thymocyte production	ND	[136]
	Src (SRC)	Src-/-	Viable, osteopetrosis	ND	[137,138]
	Ripk1 (RIPK1)	Ripk1 <sup>Y383F/Y383F</sup>	Viable, systemic inflammation, emergency hematopoiesis	<i>Tnfr1<sup>-/-</sup>, Casp8<sup>-/-</sup> Ripk3<sup>-/-</sup></i> , Nec1s treatment	[63]
CASP8	Casp8	Casp8-/-	Embryonically lethal		[139–141]
	(CASP8)		(E10.5), defective heart	Ripk3-/-	[82,83,142
			hemorrhage keratinocyte	$D = L_2 K_{514} / K_{514} / L_{12} = 1 = 1$	[]
			apoptosis	Ripk3 <sup>th</sup> (kinase-dead)	[143]
				Ripk3DionoDion (kinase-dead)	[144]
	<i>C</i> 9	C 9C326A/C326A	<b>F</b> _1, <b>1</b> _2, <b>1</b> _1, <b>1</b> _4, <b>1</b> _1		
	(CASP8)	(catalytically inactive)	(E10.5), defective heart development	ΜΙΚΙ	[69,146]
	Ripk1	Ripk1 <sup>D325A/D325A</sup>	Embryonically lethal	<i>Ripk3-/-</i> (partial)	[69–71]
	(RIPK1)	(uncleavable)	(E10.5), defective heart	Fadd <sup>-/-</sup> Ripk3 <sup>-/-</sup>	[69,70]
			development	Ripk1 <sup>D138N/D138N</sup> (kinase-dead), Casp8 <sup>-/-</sup> Ripk3 <sup>-/-</sup>	[69,71]
				Fadd-/- Mlkl-/-, Tnfr1-/-	[69]

Table 1: Phenotypes of mice constitutively deficient in direct regulators of TNF cell death checkpoints

## Table 2: Mouse inflammatory skin diseases caused by conditional deficiency in direct regulators of TNF cell death checkpoints

Checkpoint	Gene (Protein)	Genotype	Phenotype	Rescue	Refs
NF-ĸB	<i>Rela</i> (p65) / <i>Rel</i> (c-Rel)	RelA <sup>EKO(K14)</sup> c-Rel <sup>f1/f1</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions	RIPK1 <sup>D138N/D138N</sup> (kinase-dead; partial), Mlkl <sup>-/-</sup> (partial), Tnfr1 <sup>EKO(K14)</sup>	[147]
	<i>Cflar</i> (cFLIP)	Cflar <sup>EKO(K14)</sup>	Embryonically lethal (E10.5)		[40]
	<i>Cflar</i> (cFLIP)	Cflar <sup>EKO(K14-ERT)</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions	Anti-TNF treatment	[40]
	<i>Cflar</i> (cFLIP)	Cflar <sup>ERT</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions		[41]
Unconventional autophagy	Atg9a (ATG9A)	Atg9a <sup>EKO(K5)</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions	Tnfr1-/-	[48]
	<i>Rb1cc1</i> (FIP200)	Rb1cc1 <sup>EKO(MMTV)</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions - Skin tumorigenesis		[148]
IKK	Ikkb	Ikkb <sup>EKO(K14)</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions	Tnfr1-/-	[149]
	(ΙΚΚβ)			<i>Tnfi</i> <sup>r1<sup>EKO(K14)</sup>, <i>Fadd</i><sup>EKO(K14)</sup> <i>Ripk3</i><sup>-/-</sup>, <i>Ripk3</i><sup>-/-</sup> (partial), <i>Ripk3</i><sup>EKO(K14)</sup> (partial), <i>Mlkl</i><sup>-/-</sup> (partial), <i>Ripk1</i><sup>D138N/D138N</sup> (partial)</sup>	[147]
	Tbk1 (TBK1)	ND	ND		
Tyrosine Kinase	Jakl (JAK1)	ND	ND		
	Src (SRC)	Src <sup>-/-</sup>	No reported skin phenotype in constitutive KO		[137,138]
	Ripk1 (RIPK1)	Ripk1 <sup>Y383F/Y383F</sup>	No reported skin phenotype in constitutive KI		[63]
CASP8	Casp8 (CASP8)	Casp8 <sup>EKO(K5)</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions	<i>Tnf<sup>/-</sup></i> (partial), <i>Tnfr1<sup>-/-</sup></i> (partial)	[150]
		Casp8 <sup>ERT</sup>	Inflammatory skin lesions	<i>Ripk3<sup>-/-</sup></i> , anti-TNF treatment (partial)	[41]

ND: Not Determined; EKO: Epidermis-specific KO; ERT: CreER-Tamoxifen-inducable