## Tin and Indium Sulfide by Plasma-Enhanced Atomic Layer Deposition for CO<sub>2</sub> Electroreduction

<u>Femi Mathew</u>, <sup>a\*</sup> Järi Van Den Hoek,<sup>b</sup> Nithin Poonkottil, <sup>a</sup> Geert Rampelberg, <sup>a</sup> Nick Daems <sup>b</sup>, Jonas Hereijgers,<sup>b</sup> Zeger Hens,<sup>a</sup> Tom Breugelmans,<sup>b</sup> Christophe Detavernier, <sup>a</sup> and Jolien Dendooven <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281, Ghent, 9000, Belgium <sup>b</sup> University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, Wilrijk, 2160, Belgium

The increase in concentration of  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere continues to be an alarming environmental concern. Electrocatalytic reduction of  $CO_2$  ( $CO_2RR$ ) is a promising strategy that uses electrical energy to convert  $CO_2$  to a range of carbon-based useful chemical products. Formate (HCOOH) is one of those products with great potential as a hydrogen carrier and as a precursor to produce industrially-relevant chemicals. In the quest to replace noble metal Pd electrocatalysts for formate production, researchers have identified In, Sn and Bi compounds as promising alternative candidates.[1] It is known that material properties such as crystallinity, surface chemical composition and surface morphology greatly impact electrocatalytic performance. Here, we explored thermal and plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PE-ALD) to control these properties of SnS<sub>2</sub> and In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> films and evaluate their impact on CO<sub>2</sub>RR.

Thin films of tin sulfide and indium sulfide have been deposited via thermal ALD using H<sub>2</sub>S in combination with tetrakis(dimethylamido)tin (TDMASn) and indium acetylacetonate (In(acac)<sub>3</sub>) respectively.[2][3] Inspired by several reports illustrating the significant influence of using plasma on the ALD growth characteristics and material properties of sulfides,[4][5] we employed H<sub>2</sub>S plasma as the reactant in this work. The PE-ALD process for In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> using In(acac)<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S plasma exhibits a growth per cycle of 0.36-0.14 Å/cycle in the temperature range of 145-260 °C. X-ray diffraction analysis reveals a tetragonal In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> phase in the whole temperature range. (Fig. 1) The surface morphology of the In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> films is identified to be rough with continuous crystallite structures. (Fig. 2)

The PE-ALD process for SnS<sub>2</sub> exhibits steady growth with a growth per cycle of 1.07-0.9 Å/cycle after a short incubation period in a temperature range of 80-180 °C. (Fig. 3) In comparison to the thermal ALD process which deposits amorphous SnS<sub>2</sub> thin films at 80°C and a mixture of SnS and SnS<sub>2</sub> phases at 180°C, the PE-ALD process deposits crystalline SnS<sub>2</sub> with strong c-axis oriented growth and most of the basal planes aligned parallel to the substrate in the temperature range of 80-180°C. (Fig. 4) Additionally, a transition in morphology from grain-like structures (30-50 nm) to out-of-plane oriented structures is found for SnS<sub>2</sub> deposited by PE-ALD at 80°C and 180°C, respectively.

To evaluate the sulfide thin films for CO<sub>2</sub>RR, electrodes are prepared by applying thermal or PE-ALD directly on carbon gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs). Also on this substrate, the different ALD process conditions lead to differences in surface morphology, where the PE-ALD deposition of SnS<sub>2</sub> yields out-of-plane oriented structures at 180°C and continuous grains at 80°C whereas the thermal ALD process deposits amorphous SnS<sub>2</sub> films at 80°C. (Fig. 5(a-c)) The electrodes are evaluated in a flow-by reactor at 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> with 0.5 M KHCO<sub>3</sub> as catholyte and 2.0 M KOH as anolyte. While CO<sub>2</sub>RR experiments with In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> are ongoing, a comparison of the SnS<sub>2</sub> electrodes reveals that SnS<sub>2</sub> with out-of-plane oriented structures outperforms the other two SnS<sub>2</sub> morphologies in terms of its lower overpotential (i.e. 260 mV less negative) and maintaining structural stability even though its initial faradaic efficiency towards formate is lower (i.e. 64% vs. 80%). (Fig. 6,7) This result confirms the importance of optimizing the surface morphology for CO<sub>2</sub>RR electrocatalysts.

## References

- 1. Shao. X, Zhang. X, Liu. Y, Qiao. J, Zhou. XD, Xu. N, Malcombe. JL, Yi. J, Zhang. J, Journal of Materials Chemistry A 2021,9(5),2526-59.
- Ham. G, Shin. S, Park. J, Choi. H, Kim. J, Lee. Y. A, Seo. H, Jeon. H, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2013, 5 (18), 8889-8896.
- 3. Naghavi. N, Henriquez. R, Laptev. V, & Lincot. D, Applied Surface Science 2004, 222(1-4), 65-73.
- 4. Kuhs. J, Dobbelaere. T, Hens. Z, Detavernier. C, Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A.Vacuum Surfaces and Films 2017, 35 (1), 01B111.
- 5. Mattinen. M, Gity. F, Coleman. E, Vonk. JF, Verheijen. MA, Duffy. R, Kessels. WM, Bol. AA. Chemistry of Materials 2022, 34(16),7280-7292.

\* Corresponding author e-mail: femi.mathew@ugent.be



Fig. (1) XRD patterns of the  $In_2S_3$  films grown by the PE-ALD process at 180 °C. Fig. (2) Top-view SEM image of  $In_2S_3$  film deposited by the PE-ALD process at 180 °C. Fig. (3) Thickness against the number of ALD cycles for the PE-ALD process and the thermal ALD process at 80 °C and 180 °C. Fig. (4) XRD patterns of the SnS<sub>x</sub> films grown by the PE-ALD and thermal ALD processes at 80 °C and 180 °C. Fig (5) Top-view SEM image of SnS<sub>2</sub> film deposited on gas diffusion electrode by the (a) PE-ALD process at 180 °C (b) PE-ALD process at 80°C , and (c) thermal ALD process at 80°C. CO<sub>2</sub>RR results for SnS<sub>2</sub> films showing Faradaic efficiencies for formate [Fig. (6)] while measuring potentials [Fig. (7)] over six hours.