

#### **Abstract**

32 Acequinocyl and bifenazate are potent acaricides acting at the  $Q_0$  site of complex III of the electron transport chain, but frequent applications of these acaricides have led to the development of resistance in spider mites. Target-site resistance caused by mutations in the 35 conserved cd1- and ef-helices of the  $Q_0$  pocket of cytochrome *b* has been elucidated as the main resistance mechanism. We therefore monitored  $Q_0$  pocket mutations in European field populations of *Tetranychus urticae* and uncovered a new mutation, L258F. The role of this mutation was validated by revealing patterns of maternal inheritance and by the independently replicated introgression in an unrelated susceptible genetic background. However, the parental strain exhibited higher resistance levels than conferred by the mutation alone in isogenic lines, especially for acequinocyl, implying the involvement of strong additional resistance mechanisms. This was confirmed by revealing a polygenic inheritance pattern with classical genetic crosses and via synergism experiments. Therefore, a genome-wide expression analysis was conducted that identified a number of highly overexpressed detoxification genes, including many P450s. Functional expression revealed that the P450 CYP392A11 can metabolize bifenazate by hydroxylation of the ring structure. In conclusion, the novel cytochrome *b* target- site mutation L258F was uncovered in a recently collected field strain and its role in acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance was validated. However, the high level of resistance in this strain is most likely caused by a combination of target-site resistance and P450-based increased detoxification, potentially acting in synergism.

 **Keywords:** *Tetranychus urticae*; acequinocyl; cytochrome *b*; target-site mutation; QoI, Cytochrome P450, CYP392A11

## **1 Introduction**

 The phytophagous two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) is a major cosmopolitan pest, infesting a broad variety of agricultural crops and causing huge economical loss when not controlled successfully (Jeppson et al., 1975). Although environmentally friendly methods and integrated pest management are becoming increasingly important in fields and greenhouses (van Lenteren et al., 2018), spider mites are still mainly controlled by synthetic acaricides due to their effectiveness, practicality and reasonable cost (Van Leeuwen et al., 2015). However, the intensive acaricide applications over the past decades led to the widespread evolution of acaricide resistance in mites. *Tetranychus urticae* has developed resistance to 96 active ingredients so far and is considered as one of the most resistant arthropods in the world (Mota-Sanchez and Wise, 2022; Van Leeuwen and Dermauw, 2016; Van Leeuwen et al., 2010). Maintaining *T. urticae* below economic injury levels is hence becoming increasingly challenging. To safeguard the efficacy and future use of the limited number of commercial products available, keeping resistance at bay is of utmost importance (Sparks et al., 2020). Successful resistance management strategies however require a comprehensive knowledge of the mechanisms by which the pest developed resistance (Hammock and Soderlund, 1986; Van Leeuwen et al., 2020).

 An important target site for acaricides is the mitochondrial electron transport (MET) chain, located in the mitochondria, the power plants of the eukaryotic cell (D'Souza and Minczuk, 2018). The MET chain consists of four large transmembrane enzyme complexes (Complex I- IV), which together transfer electrons from NADH and succinate to molecular oxygen (D'Souza and Minczuk, 2018; Lümmen, 2007). This electron flow is coupled to the transport of protons across the inner mitochondrial membrane. Flowing back into the mitochondrial matrix via the ATP synthase complex, these protons eventually drive the production of ATP (D'Souza and Minczuk, 2018; Flampouri, 2021). Within the MET chain, complex III, also



 of the resistance mechanisms in spider mites provided strong genetic evidence for cytochrome *b* as the target site ((Van Leeuwen et al., 2008); reviewed in (Van Leeuwen et al., 2015)).

 Acaricide resistance is predominantly the result of direct changes to the proteins that are the target site of the acaricide (pharmacodynamic mechanisms) or by enhancing the metabolic ability of the enzymes, quantitatively or qualitatively, that modify the acaricide itself before it reaches its target (pharmacokinetic mechanisms) (Feyereisen et al., 2015). A number of detoxifying enzymes and transporters have been characterized and implicated in resistance in *T. urticae*, including carboxyl/cholinesterases, glutathione-S-transferases, P450 mono- oxygenases, ABC-transporters and members of the Major Facilitator Family (Van Leeuwen and Dermauw, 2016). A number of horizontally transferred genes have also largely increased the metabolic potential of *T. urticae*, such as UDP-glycosyltransferases (UGTs) and intradiol-ring cleaving dioxygenases. The latter family was recently shown to cleave the aromatic ring structures of many mono- and polycyclic plant defense compounds (Njiru et al., 2022). In several resistance cases, pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic mechanism work together, which may result in additive or even synergistic effects (De Beer et al., 2022; Snoeck et al., 2019; Wybouw et al., 2019).

 The main molecular mechanism associated with acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance in spider mites of the genera *Tetranychus* and *Panonychus* are mutations in conserved regions in the *cytb* Q<sup>o</sup> pocket. To date, six such mutations or mutation combinations have been discovered in *T. urticae* (last reviewed in (Fotoukkiaii et al., 2020b)). Their contribution to acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance in *T. urticae* has been elucidated by revealing maternal inheritance in reciprocal crosses and by repeated backcrossing experiments to introduce the mutation in a different nuclear genetic context (Fotoukkiaii et al., 2020b; Kim et al., 2019; Riga et al., 2017; 127 Van Nieuwenhuyse et al., 2009). Single mutations in the Q<sub>0</sub> pocket, identified so far, are G132A (cd1-helix) and P262T (ef-helix). In other cases, a combination of two mutations was found in

 the resistant strains, such as G126S in combination with A133T, I136T or S141F (all in the cd1- helix) (Fotoukkiaii et al., 2020b; Van Leeuwen et al., 2008). However, the G126S mutation alone does not confer bifenazate nor acequinocyl resistance, and is likely a neutral polymorphism (Xue et al., 2021). Whether or not the other substitutions in the combinations confer resistance by themselves, or require the presence of G126S, remains unknown and is not straightforward to investigate. Indeed, uncoupling of mutations in mitochondrial DNA, where recombination is absent, is not possible, and these single mutations remain to be detected in the field.

 The frequency of these known mutations and the detection of potentially new *cytb* mutations in the field remains crucial for resistance monitoring and for designing reliable molecular markers (Van Leeuwen et al., 2020). Therefore, we monitored the *cytb* genotype in European field populations, leading to the discovery of a new mutation, whose contribution in resistance to both acequinocyl and bifenazate was studied.

**2 Material and methods**

#### **2.1 Mites and chemicals**

 For the *cytb* screening, 30 field populations (FP1-FP30) were collected from different locations across Europe between 2018 and 2020 (**Table S1** and **Fig. 1**). In addition, we used the susceptible lab strain London (LON) as a reference (Khajehali et al., 2011). Mites were raised on bean plants, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. 'Prelude', in a climate chamber at 25±1°C, 60% relative humidity and a 16:8 h light:dark photoperiod. Field population 9 (FP9) was maintained on bean leaves sprayed with 200 mg/L acequinocyl.

 Commercially formulated acequinocyl (Cantack®, Certis, 164 g/L SC) and bifenazate (Floramite®, Bayer, 240 g/L SC) were purchased from Intergrow (Aalter, Belgium). All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Belgium) except when mentioned otherwise.

#### **2.2 Survey of** *cytb* **genotypes**

 DNA extractions and PCRs were conducted as described previously (Van Leeuwen et al., 2008). Approximately 200 adult female mites per population were used in the extractions. Primers are provided in **Table S2** (Khajehali et al., 2011). The acquired sequencing data were further analyzed using SeqMan and BioEdit (Hall, 1999), and deposited into the NCBI repository database. The sequences are available in GenBank with accession numbers OP797802 to OP797832. Sequencing chromatographs were visually inspected for the presence of segregating mutations, which allows to reliably detect mutations at frequencies higher than 10-20% (Van Leeuwen et al., 2008; Xue et al., 2022).

#### **2.3 Toxicity bioassays**

 Adulticidal bioassays were performed to evaluate the toxic effects of acequinocyl and bifenazate, as described previously (Khajehali et al., 2011). The dose-response relationships (lethal concentration 50 with 95% confidence interval) were determined by probit regression analysis using Polo Plus version 2.0 (LeOra software, Berkeley, CA, USA) (Robertson and Preisler, 1992).

 Acequinocyl-hydroxy was dissolved in a mixture of N,N-dimethylformamide and emulsifier W (alkylarylpolyglycolether), 3:1 w:w, respectively, and diluted with deionized water 100-fold before being used in bioassays.

#### **2.4 Inheritance of resistance**

 To determine the inheritance pattern of the observed acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance of FP9, reciprocal crosses were established between FP9 and the susceptible reference LON, as described previously (Van Leeuwen et al., 2004). Briefly, 260 virgin females from each strain were paired with 260 mature males of the other strain by placing them on the upper side of bean leaf discs on wet cotton in Petri dishes and allowing them to mate for two days. The females were then collected and transferred to fresh bean leaves for oviposition. The resulting F1

178 females (1-3 days old adults) were used for toxicity bioassays as described above, with 179 concentrations covering the range of 0-100% mortality.

180 Dominance or recessivity of the resistance was estimated by the formula of Stone (1968), in 181 which the dominance (D) is given by  $D = (2X_2 - X_1 - X_3)/(X_1 - X_3)$ , where  $X_1 = \log LC_{50}$  of the 182 homozygous resistant strain (FP9, genotype R),  $X_2 = log LC_{50}$  of the heterozygous female F1 183 progeny from each reciprocal cross (genotype RS and SR) and  $X_3 = log LC_{50}$  of the homozygous 184 susceptible strain (LON, genotype S). A value of -1 indicates fully recessive inheritance, 0 185 represents neither dominance nor recessivity, and +1 indicates fully dominant inheritance.

186 Next, around 400 F<sub>1</sub> heterozygous virgin females from the reciprocal cross ( $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{S}} \times \mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{S}}$ ) were 187 allowed to mate with FP9 males  $(RS^{\circ} \times R^{\circ})$  on bean leaves for two days, as mentioned above. 188 The resulting  $F_2$  females (1-3 days old adults) were then subjected to toxicity bioassays. 189 Monogenic or polygenic inheritance was determined by the methods provided by Georghiou, 190 and included a visual inspection for a plateau at 50% mortality in the concentration-mortality 191 curve of the  $F_2$  females (Georghiou, 1969). The expected response of monogenic  $F_2$  females at 192 a given concentration was calculated using the following formula:  $c = (0.5) W_{RS} + (0.5) W_{RR}$ , 193 where W is the observed mortality of the RS and RR genotypes at that given concentration 194 (Georghiou, 1969). The  $\chi^2$ -goodness of fit test was then used to evaluate the hypothesis of 195 monogenic inheritance (Van Pottelberge et al., 2009a). Dose-response curves were constructed 196 using Sigmaplot (Version 14.5).

#### 197 **2.5 Construction of isogenic lines via repeated backcrossing**

8 198 To uncouple the L258F mutation in the G126S background from other nuclear encoded 199 resistance loci, introgressed lines were generated using the marker-assisted backcrossing 200 method described by Bajda et al. (2017). Briefly, a virgin female of FP9 was crossed with a 201 haploid male of the LON strain (without the mutations) ( $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{S}} \times S^{\mathfrak{S}}$ ). The resulting virgin female 202 was backcrossed to a LON male  $(RS^{\circ} \times S^{\circ})$ . This backcrossing was repeated for eight

 generations. In the last generation, a mother-son cross was carried out to produce a near- isogenic line (NIL) carrying the mitochondrially encoded mutation combination (as verified by *cytb* sequencing) in a nuclear genome background mostly originating from the susceptible LON strain. This backcrossing experiment was performed in three biological replicates, resulting in three independent near-isogenic lines. Dose-response curves were depicted using Sigmaplot (Version 14.5).

#### **2.6 Synergism/antagonism experiments**

 Synergism/antagonism experiments were conducted as described previously (Khalighi et al., 2014; Van Pottelberge et al., 2009b). Briefly, 1000 mg/L of the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase enzyme inhibitor piperonyl butoxide (PBO), 500 mg/L of the general esterase enzyme inhibitor S,S,S-tributyl phosphorotrithioate (DEF) (ChemService, USA), 2000 mg/L of the glutathione S-transferase enzyme inhibitor diethyl maleate (DEM), or blank formulation, were used to treat females. After 24 h, the surviving mites were transferred to new leaf discs 216 and used for toxicity experiments as mentioned above.  $LC_{50}$  values, synergism ratios (SR), resistance ratios (RR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using 218 PoloPlus. The SRs were calculated as the acequinocyl  $LC_{50}$  after blank pre-treatment relative 219 to the  $LC_{50}$  value after synergist pre-treatment.

#### **2.7 RNA extraction and sequencing**

 To examine genome-wide gene expression patterns associated with acequinocyl resistance, RNA sequencing experiments were performed. Total RNA was extracted from pools of 100- 120 adult female mites using the RNeasy plus mini kit (Qiagen, Belgium) with four biological 224 replicates for LON, FP9 mites under continuous acequinocyl exposure (FP $9_{\text{ace}}$ ) and FP9 mites 225 taken off acequinocyl selection pressure for one generation time (FP9<sub>unexp</sub>). Both quality and quantity parameters of the resulting total RNA were checked using a DeNovix DS-11 spectrophotometer (DeNovix, USA) as well as via visual inspection of the integrity on a 1%

 agarose gel. From these RNA samples, Illumina libraries were constructed with the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Preparation Kit, and the resulting libraries were subsequently sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq6000 technology to generate an output of stranded paired 231 reads of  $2 \times 100$  bp (library construction and sequencing was performed at Macrogen Europe, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

#### **2.8 RNA mapping and PCA**

 The quality of the RNA reads was verified using FASTQC (version 0.11.9) (Andrews, 2010). The RNA reads of samples that passed the quality control were aligned to the *T. urticae* three- chromosome genome assembly using the two-pass alignment mode of STAR (version 2.7.9a) with a maximum intron size set to 20 kb (Dobin et al., 2013; Wybouw et al., 2019). Resulting BAM files were subsequently sorted by chromosomal coordinate and indexed using SAMtools (version 1.11) (Li et al., 2009). HTSeq (version 0.11.2) was used to perform read-counting on a per-gene basis with the default settings and *--stranded yes* and *--feature exon* (Anders et al., 2015). These count files were used as an input for the R-package (R version 4.2.0) DESeq2 (version 1.36.0) to perform a PCA analysis in order to research gene expression variation within 243 and between the three treatment groups LON, FP9<sub>unexp</sub> and FP9<sub>ace</sub>. Briefly, the counts were normalized via the regularized-logarithm (rlog) transformation function of the DESeq2 package and the PCA was calculated and plotted for the 5000 most variable genes across all RNA samples using the DESeq2 function PlotPCA (Love et al., 2014).

### **2.9 Differential gene expression analysis**

 Differential expression (DE) analysis was performed using DESeq2 (version 1.36.0) based on the total per-gene read counts generated by HTSeq (see above) (Love et al., 2014). In first instance, gene expression changes associated with acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance was 251 assessed by identifying significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs, Log<sub>2</sub> Fold Change (Log<sub>2</sub>FC) > |1|, Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value <0.05) in the FP9<sub>unexp</sub> vs LON and FP9<sub>ace</sub>

 vs LON comparisons (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). To assess the extra effect of continuous 254 acequinocyl induction, the same method was used to identify DEGs in the  $FPP_{\text{ace}}$  vs  $FPP_{\text{unexp}}$  comparison. A Venn diagram representing the overlap of differentially expressed genes in all contrasts was made using the R package VennDiagram. From these lists of DEGs, subsets of genes belonging to important detoxification families were made (Kurlovs et al., 2022). A volcanoplot, color coded by detoxifying gene family, and a shared overexpression plot were produced with the ggplot2 (version 3.3.6) package (Wickham, 2016).

#### **2.10 Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis**

 The R function "enricher" from the package clusterProfiler v4.2.2 was used for GO enrichment analysis. The GO terms for Biological Processes (BP) and Molecular Functions (MF) were collected based on the *T. urticae* annotation (version 20190125) from the Orcae database (Sterck et al., 2012). Multiple correction was performed using a Benjamini-Hochberg procedure 265 by assigning the argument "pAdjustMethod = 'BH'".

#### **2.11 Functional expression of CYP392A11 and bifenazate metabolism**

 Because of its high upregulation in FP9, the P450 CYP392A11 (*tetur03g00970*) was selected from the differential expression analysis for functional validation of its potential role in bifenazate metabolism. The analytical method for acequinocyl and acequinocyl-OH quantification was not reproducible in our hands and thus we could not examine the potential role of CYP392A11 in acequinocyl metabolism. Preparation of bacterial membranes co- expressing CYP392A11 with *T. urticae* cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR) was performed as described in Riga et al. (2015). Stock concentrations of bifenazate (100 % purity, Sigma Aldrich) were prepared and diluted in acetonitrile. Standard reactions contained a final organic solvent 275 concentration of 2.5 % (v/v) with 25  $\mu$ M of bifenazate and 25 pmol of CYP392A11 bacterial 276 membranes in 100 µl Tris-HCl buffer  $(0.2 \text{ M}, \text{pH } 7.4)$ , containing 0.25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The incubation was performed in the presence and absence of an NADPH generating system: 1 mM

278 glucose-6-phosphate (Sigma Aldrich), 0.1 mM NADP<sup>+</sup> (Sigma Aldrich) and 1 unit/ml glucose- 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH; Sigma Aldrich). Reactions were incubated at 30 °C, 1250 rpm oscillation and stopped at 0 and 2 hour time points by adding 100 µl acetonitrile and stirring the mixture for an additional 30 min. Finally, the quenched reactions were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant was transferred to HPLC vials, with 100 µl of the supernatant loaded for HPLC analysis. Reactions were performed in triplicate and compared against a negative control without NADPH regenerating system to calculate substrate depletion. 285 Bifenazate was separated on a 3  $\mu$ m C18 (100 × 2.1 mm) reverse phase analytical column 286 (Fortis). Reactions with bifenazate were separated using an isocratic mobile phase of  $10\%$  H<sub>2</sub>0 and 90% acetonitrile with a flow rate of 0.1 ml/min for 5 min. Reactions were monitored by changes in absorbance at 231 nm, and bifenazate quantified by peak integration and standard curve (Chromeleon, Dionex).

#### **2.12 Identification of reaction products of bifenazate by HPLC-MS analysis**

 The reactions of the P450 CYP392A11 enzyme with bifenazate in the presence and absence of an NADPH generating system were further analyzed using high-resolution HPLC-MS/MS system. Sample injections (1 µl loop) were performed via an Ultimate 3000 Autosampler (Thermo Scientific, USA). Chromatographic separation was achieved using an Ultimate 3000 295 (Thermo Scientific, USA), equipped with a 3  $\mu$ m C18 (100 x 2.1 mm) reverse phase analytical 296 column (Fortis). An isocratic mobile phase of  $10\%$  H<sub>2</sub>0 and 90% acetonitrile with a flow rate of 0.1ml/min for 5 min. Analyte detection was achieved using an electrospray ionization (ESI) Q Exactive Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA), operated in the positive ion mode. Mass spectrometry was operated both in full scan/extracted ion monitoring and parallel reaction monitoring (PRM). The system was controlled by the Xcalibur software, which was also used for data acquisition and analysis. The optimum mass spectrometer parameters were set as follows: spray voltage at 3500V, sheath gas pressure at 20

- arbitrary units, auxiliary gas pressure at 10 arbitrary units, ion transfer capillary temperature at
- 304 250 °C. In the parallel reaction monitoring, the source collision induced dissociation at 30 eV.
- Sheath/aux and collision gas was high purity nitrogen.

**3 Results**

#### **3.1 Cytochrome** *b* **genotype screening**

 Monitoring of *cytb* Q<sup>o</sup> genotypes in European field populations of *T. urticae* identified two previously reported substitutions (G132A and P262T) and the novel substitution L258F (A to T transversion at position 774) (**Fig. 1** and **Fig. 2**). This novel mutation was found on the 126S haplotype and was only detected in a population from the Netherlands (i.e. FP9), in which the mutation appeared to be fixed. The G132A and P262T mutations were each found to be segregating in a population from Belgium. The neutral G126S substitution, without additional substitutions, was found in 3 out of 30 field populations.

#### **3.2 Mode of inheritance of acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance**

The results from the experiments investigating the mode of inheritance of acequinocyl and

bifenazate resistance in FP9 are presented in **Table 1** and **Fig. 3 panel A and B**.

 A clear maternal inheritance was detected for both acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance, as the 319 95% CI of the LC<sub>50</sub> for F1 females from the reciprocal crosses SR (S<sup>o</sup> x R<sup>o</sup>) and RS (R<sup>o</sup> x S<sup>o</sup>) did not overlap while the degree of dominance differed depending on the direction of the cross (**Table 1**). This also becomes clear from visual inspection of the concentration-mortality curves from these reciprocal crosses (**Fig. 3 panel A and B**), with the SR curve positioned close to the curve of the susceptible reference LON, and the RS curve positioned intermediate between both parental strains. This clearly indicates that a part of the resistance phenotype is maternally inherited and most likely linked to the mitochondrial mutation L258F in *cytb*.

 In an attempt to estimate the number of genes involved, the backcross experiment for 327 acequinocyl showed a significant difference ( $\chi^2$  = 108.67, df = 16, *P*-value < 0.05) between the observed mortalities and the mortalities expected for monogenic resistance at tested concentrations (**Fig. 3 panel A**), suggesting that more than one gene was involved in resistance. In addition, no plateau was observed at the 50% mortality level of the backcross generation, also indicating resistance was not the responsibility of a single gene (Georghiou, 1969).

#### **3.3 Contribution of L258F to the resistance**

 Introgression lines, carrying the novel mutation L258F on the 126S haplotype in a susceptible nuclear genomic background (LON strain) validated the mutation, but also showed a largely decreased level of resistance to acequinocyl (RR of 6.1-fold) and bifenazate (RR of 22-fold), compared to the resistant FP9 (RR of 290 and 150 respectively) (**Table 1** and **Fig. 3 panel C and D**).

#### **3.4 Toxicity of the active acequinocyl-hydroxy metabolite**

 While the LON strain showed an increased susceptibility to the active metabolite acequinocyl-340 HO (LC<sub>50</sub> of 1.7 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) relative to acequinocyl (LC<sub>50</sub> of 9.6 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), the susceptibility of FP9 341 to the metabolite remained low ( $LC_{50} > 2000$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>), relative to acequinocyl (LC<sub>50</sub> of 2700 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). As such, the RR for acequinocyl-OH (>1200-fold) increased compared to that of acequinocyl (290-fold) (**Table 2**). It therefore seems unlikely that reduced activation of acequinocyl into acequinocyl-OH contributes to resistance.

#### **3.5 Synergism or antagonism experiments**

Both PBO, DEF and DEM significantly synergized acequinocyl toxicity in FP9. Synergism was

- strongest for PBO (SR of 11-fold), relative to DEF (7.3-fold) and DEM (2.6-fold) (**Table 3**). In
- LON, pre-treatment with PBO did not significantly affect the acequinocyl toxicity. DEF and
- DEM pre-treatment, however, appeared to have a small, yet significant, antagonistic effect, with
- SRs of 0.74- and 0.89-fold, respectively (**Table 3**).

#### **3.6 RNAseq and principal component analysis (PCA)**

 The genome-wide gene expression patterns associated with acequinocyl resistance were 353 examined using RNAseq, comparing three groups: LON, FP9<sub>ace</sub> and FP9<sub>unexp</sub>. Illumina sequencing generated ~31 million strand-specific paired-end reads per sample of which an average of 81.7% mapped uniquely against the *T. urticae* three chromosome reference assembly (**Table S3**) (Wybouw et al., 2019). The RNA reads were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under Bioproject (PRJNA946758) and raw read count data was made available on Figshare [\(10.6084/m9.figshare.22316929\)](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22316929). Normalized read-counts of the 5000 most variable genes across all RNA samples were used to perform a PCA analysis (**Fig. 4 panel A**). The first two principal components cumulatively explained 90% of the variance; with PC1 accounting 361 for 85% of the total variance and PC2 for 5%. The FP9<sub>unexp</sub> replicates clustered together with the FP9ace replicates, indicating only little expression variation between both groups. In addition, both were well separated from the LON samples along PC1. The clustering of the sample replicates confirmed their quality.

#### **3.7 Differential gene expression analysis**

 A total of 2374 and 2355 genes were differentially expressed when comparing FP9unexp and FP9ace to LON, respectively. The majority of the differentially expressed genes (72.95 %) was 368 shared between FP9<sub>unexp</sub> and FP9<sub>ace</sub>, of which 1166 genes were significantly upregulated and 824 downregulated in both groups (**Fig. 4 panel B**). For FP9unexp, the top 20 overexpressed genes ranged from Log2FC 9.25 to 12.49, whereas the top 20 downregulated genes ranged from Log2FC -8.45 to -10.35 (**Table S4**). As shown in **Fig. 4 panel C**, which represents a volcano plot of the FP9unexp vs LON comparison, a large fraction of DEGs belongs to various detoxifying gene families. Amongst some of the highest expressed genes, there are several genes coding for short chain dehydrogenases *(tetur06g04960, tetur06g04970, tetur511g00010*), with *tetur06g04970* being the most highly upregulated one (log<sub>2</sub> FC of 12.22), an intradiol ring cleavage dioxygenase (*tetur07g06560*), a carboxyl/cholinesterase pseudogene (*tetur24g02580*) and several cytochrome P450s like CYP392D2 (*tetur03g04990*), CYP385C3v2 (*tetur46g00170*), CYP392A11 (*tetur03g00970*), and some CYP pseudogenes (*tetur03g05020, tetur03g05110*). For FP9<sub>ace</sub>, the top 20 overexpressed genes ranged from Log<sub>2</sub>FC 9.32 to 13.09, amongst which 15 are shared with FP9unexp (**Table S4**). Interestingly, as shown in the overexpression plot for FP9unexp and FP9ace versus LON (**Fig. 4 panel C)**, a large shared number of DEGs is located along the diagonal of the axes, indicating a similar magnitude of Log2FC 383 for these genes in each treatment group. Moreover, when comparing  $FP9_{\text{ace}}$  vs  $FP9_{\text{unexp}}$  to study the effect of acequinocyl induction, only 71 genes were differentially expressed, with moderate Log2FC values ranging from -5.43 to 2.73. This demonstrates that acequinocyl exposure only has a minor effect on gene expression in the resistant strain. Nevertheless, amongst the highest 387 upregulated genes by acequinocyl induction (FP9<sub>ace</sub> versus FP9<sub>unexp)</sub>, we find on top CYP392E10 (*tetur27g01030*) with a Log2FC of 2.73, but also a GST (TuGSTm10; *tetur05g05270*), and a UGT (*tetur05g09325*).

#### **3.8 Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis**

 Based on our datasets of differentially expressed genes for each contrast, we have performed a GO enrichment analysis which is shown in **Table 4.** For the Biological Process (BP) 393 subontology we have found 10 GO categories enriched in the  $FP9_{unexp}$  group, of which eight were also enriched in the FP9ace group, whereas no BP GO terms were significantly enriched for FP9ace vs FP9unexp. The Biological Process GO terms "proteolysis" (GO:0006508), "regulation of catalytic activity" (GO:0050790) and "oxidation-reduction process" (GO:0055114) can be considered the most important ones as they are enriched with the most significant adjusted p-values and the highest gene ratios. When taking a closer look at the genes associated with these GO terms, within "proteolysis" (GO:0006508) mainly cathepsins, peptidases and serine proteases can be found, whereas in "regulation of catalytic activity"

 (GO:0050790) only cathepsin genes are found. To the category "oxidation-reduction process" (GO:0055114) belong a lot of upregulated DOGs (8/92) and P450s (37/92).

 For the Molecular Function (MF) subontology we found 18 GO categories enriched across experimental groups. Two MF GO groups are strongly significant enriched for all treatment groups, "cysteine-type peptidase activity" (GO:008234), which contains many cathepsins, and "iron ion binding" (GO:0005506) which is composed of DOGs and P450s. Moreover, for FP9unexp and FP9ace versus LON, three extra GO terms, "oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen" (GO:0016705), "cysteine- type endopeptidase activity" (GO:0004197) and transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups (GO:0016758) are enriched with highly significant p-values. Interestingly, apart from cathepsin-, DOG- and P450-related GO terms, "transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups" (GO:0016758) has many UGT genes associated to it, amongst which several, e.g. *tetur22g00360*, appeared to be highly upregulated (Log2FC 6.44).

**3.9 CYP392A11 hydroxylates bifenazate** 

 Based on the differential expression analysis, one of the most highly upregulated P450s in the resistant strain FP9, *CYP392A11* (Log2FC of 7.59 for FP9unexp) was selected for functional expression to test its ability to metabolize bifenazate. The catalytic activity of the P450 was assessed by measuring acaricide turnover in the presence and absence of NADPH and analyzing the formation of metabolites. Incubation of the CYP392A11 complex with bifenazate for 2 h revealed a 43.5% NADPH-dependent depletion of bifenazate (eluting at 4.9 min) and the parallel formation of an unknown metabolite, M1 (**Fig. 5**). Metabolite M1 eluted at 4.075 min, in the sample containing NADPH, while no metabolite was detected in the control without NADPH.

 HPLC-MS and MS/MS analysis of the reaction mixtures pointed towards hydroxylation as the likely mechanism of the reaction catalyzed by CYP392A11. The accurate mass HLPC-MS  analysis confirmed the generation of hydroxy-bifenazate as the major detectable metabolite (**Fig. S1**). The positive ion mode mass spectrum of the bifenazate metabolite M1 showed the 428 molecular ion peak at m/z  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> =317.1496, which is 16 m/z units higher than the 429 corresponding peak in the spectrum of the parent compound at  $m/z [M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>=301.1549. Accurate mass measurements showed that the +16 Da corresponds to an O atom, implying the hydroxylation reaction. The MS/MS spectra of the parental bifenazate and its metabolite shows a fragmentation pattern that corresponds to hydroxylation occurring on the aromatic system, with several hydroxylation sites being possible (**Fig. 6**). This is supported by the fact that all bifenazate fragments containing the aromatic system, shift upwards by 16 Da in the corresponding M1 metabolite fragments ions.

#### **4 Discussion**

 The QoI acaricides bifenazate and acequinocyl have been used as efficient and selective acaricides for more than a decade, and are still frequently used today (Dekeyser, 2005; Grosscurt and Avella, 2005; Van Leeuwen et al., 2015; Wakasa and Watanabe, 1999). However, due to their frequent use as selective acaricides, resistance has emerged (Fotoukkiaii et al., 2020b; Kim et al., 2019; Van Leeuwen et al., 2011; Van Leeuwen et al., 2008; Van 442 Nieuwenhuyse et al., 2009). Over the years, a number of Q<sub>o</sub> mutations (G132A, A133T, S141F, I260V, and P262T) conferring bifenazate and/or acequinocyl resistance have been uncovered and validated by revealing a maternal inheritance pattern. Additionally, the phenotypic strength of some Q<sup>o</sup> mutations has been determined by repeated back-crossing into a susceptible population (**Table S5**). Because of the strong causal correlation between the presence of Q<sup>o</sup> mutations and QoI resistance (Fotoukkiaii et al., 2020b; Kim et al., 2019; Riga et al., 2017; Van Leeuwen et al., 2011; Van Leeuwen et al., 2008), we sequenced the complete *cytb* of a collection of field strains as a first step in searching for reliable molecular markers for field resistance monitoring in Europe (Van Leeuwen et al., 2020). We took advantage of the available

 DNAs of a set of field-collected strains from a study screening for abamectin resistance (Xue et al., 2020). The *cytb* genotyping data of this study first revealed that the incidence of known target-site resistance mutations was relatively low, as they were only identified in two out of thirty (7%) screened populations. This is consistent with a previous recent monitoring study conducted by Fotoukkiaii et al. (2020b). However, our field monitoring also led to the discovery of a novel mutation, L258F at the boundary of the highly conserved ef-helix of *cytb* in strain FP9 from the Netherlands. Toxicity assays subsequently confirmed that this strain was resistant to both acequinocyl and bifenazate. The mutation occurred on the 126S haplotype and is in close proximity of a substitution (P262T) for which involvement in resistance is very well supported (Kim et al., 2019; Riga et al., 2017; Van Leeuwen et al., 2008; Van Nieuwenhuyse et al., 2009). The G126S mutation alone does not confer bifenazate nor acequinocyl resistance, and is likely a neutral polymorphism segregating in many populations (Xue et al., 2021). It is therefore not clear whether there is any additional effect of the combination of G126S and L258F, or whether this mutation just arose by chance on the 126S *cytb* haplotype. This might also be the case for previously reported mutation combinations (see **Table S5** and **Fig. 2**). As recombination does not occur in animal mitochondria (Ladoukakis and Zouros, 2017; Scheffler, 2001), experimentally separating both mutations via recombination, i.e., through crossing, is not feasible.

 Reciprocal crosses between the resistant FP9 and the susceptible LON strain revealed that the acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance was in part maternally inherited, providing evidence for the involvement of the mitochondrially encoded *cytb* target site mutation L258F. However, this experiment also showed that maternal inheritance was far from complete, in contrast to what has been documented for other *cytb* resistance mutations (Fotoukkiaii et al., 2020b; Kim et al., 2019; Van Leeuwen et al., 2006; Van Leeuwen et al., 2011; Van Nieuwenhuyse et al., 2009). This strongly suggests the presence of additional resistance mechanisms. Introgression  experiments are a good experimental tool to further corroborate the presence of additional mechanisms and to investigate their relative importance (Riga et al., 2017) (see **Table S5** for *cytb* mutations). Introgression experiments showed that the phenotypic effect of L258F in an isogenic susceptible background results in relatively low levels of resistance to acequinocyl and bifenazate. The phenotypic effect of L258F is also much less compared to the nearby P262T mutation (Table S5). The presence of additional mechanisms is most clear for acequinocyl, where the mutation causes about 6-fold resistance, compared to 290-fold in the field-collected 483 FP9. Indeed, backcrossing experiments  $(RS^{\circ} \times R^{\circ})$  did not support a monogenic model for acequinocyl inheritance, further corroborating the presence of additional mechanisms.

 The involvement of additional factors in resistance was first investigated using synergist assays, as this is a straightforward method to identify the involvement of major metabolic pathways in both toxicity and resistance mechanisms (De Beer et al., 2022; Fotoukkiaii et al., 2020a; Fotoukkiaii et al., 2021; Snoeck et al., 2019; Van Pottelberge et al., 2009b). A slight antagonism of toxicity was found after treatment with DEF and DEM for the LON strain, which supports that acequinocyl needs to be hydrolytically activated in *T. urticae* (Dekeyser, 2005), similar as what has been reported for bifenazate (Sugimoto and Osakabe, 2019; Van Leeuwen et al., 2006). Decreased activation of a pro-acaricide to its active metabolite is a potential resistance mechanism (David, 2021), as was recently reported for high coumaphos resistance levels in *Varroa* (Vlogiannitis et al., 2021). If a similar mechanism of decreased activation exists in FP9, the resistance is expected to be lower for the activated metabolite compared to acequinocyl itself, as the *in vivo* activation step is bypassed by applying the active metabolite directly. By comparing toxicity data between acequinocyl and its active metabolite for FP9 and LON, it was shown that there is no reduced activation in the resistant FP9. In contrast, PBO, DEF and DEM 499 all had a significant negative effect on the  $LC_{50}$  of acequinocyl in the resistant population. Therefore, increased metabolism is most likely an additional resistance mechanism and

 especially cytochrome P450 monooxygenases and CCEs seem to be important. This is consistent with the former observation that either PBO and DEF, or both, enhanced acequinocyl toxicity in resistant strains (Kim et al., 2019; Sugimoto and Osakabe, 2019; Van Nieuwenhuyse et al., 2009; Yorulmaz Salman and Sarıtaş, 2014).

 As synergism experiments only give a general idea of which detoxification families contribute to the observed resistance, we proceeded with a genome-wide gene expression analysis between 507 the susceptible LON strain and FP9 that was either exposed to acequinocyl (FP9<sub>ace</sub>) or was left unexposed (FPunexp). We included the latter treatment, as theoretically, increased induction could be a resistance mechanism, and this is usually overlooked when examining gene expression without exposure. Both comparisons are needed to get a full understanding of potential mechanisms, as, on the other hand, comparing exposed mites to a non-exposed control might only reveal induced genes that might not contribute to resistance. There was only a very limited set of genes differentially expressed between exposed and non-exposed mites of the resistant strain (**Fig. 4 panel B**), and it is clear that acequinocyl does not mount a strong response in FP9. Interestingly, CYP392E10 is the highest upregulated gene in the comparison FP9 exposed versus non-exposed, whereas this was the most extreme downregulated gene in the comparison of FP9 vs LON. Thus, for FP9, CYP392E10 upregulation seems dependent on acequinocyl exposure. Similarly, CYP392E10 was strongly induced upon spirodiclofen exposure and was shown to metabolize spirodiclofen by hydroxylation (Demaeght et al., 2013; Wybouw et al., 2019). However, since induction data of acequinocyl on the LON strain was not available, it is not clear whether this induction contributes to resistance.

 We then further focused on the comparison of constitutive differences between resistant and susceptible populations, and this expression analysis identified genes belonging to the detoxification families of the SDRs, cytochrome P450s, DOGs and CCEs amongst the highest upregulated genes in FP9. Given the observed large transcriptional response, it is likely that  field selection to resistance resulted in altered trans regulatory mechanisms. Indeed, trans regulation of detoxifying enzymes is very common in *T. urticae*, especially for P450s, as was recently documented in a seminal study using a panel of inbred *T. urticae* strains using allele- specific expression data (Kurlovs et al., 2022). If many of the identified genes are co-regulated in a modular way, potentially only a few are functionally relevant for the resistance phenotype. Cytochrome P450s have been studied extensively for their involvement in insecticide resistance in many pests as they are notorious for their ability to metabolize a varied set of endogenous compounds, phytochemicals, and other xenobiotics like pesticides (Dermauw et al., 2020; Feyereisen, 2006). Moreover, numerous studies were able to link the overexpression of P450s to host plant changes, to exposure to specific phytochemicals and pesticides, and to multi- resistant phenotypes (Dermauw et al., 2013; Feyereisen, 2012; Vandenhole et al., 2021). In our 537 study, several GO terms indicated the importance of P450s in Q<sub>o</sub>I resistance. Moreover, many members of the CYP392 family were amongst the highest upregulated genes. *CYP392D2* was extremely overexpressed when comparing FP9 to LON. Likewise, recent studies on resistance of *T. urticae* to the METI-II acaricide cyenopyrafen and the mitochondrial ATP synthase inhibitor fenbutatin oxide have identified *CYP392D2* as the highest overexpressed P450 gene (De Beer et al., 2022; Khalighi et al., 2016). In addition, Dermauw et al. (2013) could link high overexpression levels of *CYP392D2* to the acaricide multi-resistance phenotype in two different *T. urticae* strains and to host plant transfer. Unfortunately, it has not been possible to functionally express member of the CYP392D family. However, other overexpressed P450s such as CYP392E10, CYP392A11 and CYP392A16 were functionally characterized before and were shown to metabolize spirodiclofen/spiromesifen, cyenopyrafen/fenpyroximate and abamectin, respectively (Demaeght et al., 2013; Riga et al., 2015; Riga et al., 2014). Another member of the CYP392 family, *CYP392A11*, displayed extreme levels of overexpression in strain FP9 and is thus an excellent candidate for functional validating. *CYP392A11* has been  shown to strongly respond to acaricide selection before, with high upregulation in response to cyenopyrafen (Khalighi et al., 2016). Riga et al. (2015) have shown that it is able to metabolize cyenopyrafen and fenpyroximate. Here, we show that incubation of bifenazate with CYP392A11 leads to NADPH-dependent substrate depletion, accompanied by the formation of a main metabolite. Further analysis with high resolution LC-MS/MS confirmed ring hydroxylation of bifenazate (**Fig. 6**). Thus, CYP392A11 metabolism likely results in bifenazate detoxification, although the differential toxicity of metabolite and bifenazate awaits further toxicological analysis. Unfortunately, we were unable to test acequinocyl metabolism, as the analytical method for quantification was not reproducible in our hands.

 In contrast to the detoxification potential of the P450 family, functional insights on the SDR family are scarce. Nevertheless, SDR enzymes like *tetur06g04970* are amongst the highest overexpressed genes in present and previous studies. Overexpression of *tetur06g04970* has been observed in three resistant *T. urticae* strains that commonly show cross-resistance to pyridaben (Dermauw et al., 2013; Khalighi et al., 2016). SDR enzymes are NAD(P)(H)- dependent oxidoreductases with an average length of only 250-300 amino acids (see InterPro domain IPR020904), and belong to the very large and diverse SDR superfamily. A full survey the SDR superfamily in *T. urticae* by Snoeck et al. (2018) identified not less than 88 full-length SDR's in the *T. urticae* genome, of which several were differentially expressed upon acclimation to various hosts (Snoeck et al., 2018). The actual mechanisms by which the SDR enzymes could be linked to detoxification of xenobiotics or general stress responses, and thus their contribution to resistance in *T. urticae,* remains to be uncovered. Nevertheless, we can hypothesize that SDRs are involved in metabolization of acequinocyl via the reduction of the quinone-group. This hypothesis is based on one of the best known examples where the function of SDR in detoxification of phytochemicals was studied, i.e. in the luna moth, *Actias luna*, where they are known to act via quinone reduction (Lindroth, 1991).

In conclusion, the incidence of known *cytb* target site mutations in European field populations

of *T. urticae* appears to be low. During the screening, a new target site mutation, L258F, was

identified in a population resistant to both acequinocyl and bifenazate. The observed resistance

phenotype appeared to be the result of a complex interplay between the target-site mutation and

increased detoxification, involving CYP392A11, which was found to metabolize bifenazate.

## **5 Acknowledgements**

- XL is the recipient of a doctoral grant from China Scholarship Council (CSC). This work was
- supported by the Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research
- and innovation program, grants 772026-POLYADAPT to TVL and 773902–SUPERPEST to
- TVL and JV. We thank Eva Skoufa for initial toxicity experiments.

## **6 Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## **7 References:**

- Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., and Huber, W., 2015 **HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high- throughput sequencing data**. *Bioinformatics,* 31, 166-169, [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638)
- Andrews, S., 2010 **FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data**. Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom,
- Bajda, S., Dermauw, W., Panteleri, R., Sugimoto, N., Douris, V., Tirry, L., Osakabe, M., Vontas, J., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2017 **A mutation in the PSST homologue of complex I (NADH: ubiquinone oxidoreductase) from** *Tetranychus urticae* **is associated with resistance to METI acaricides**. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 80, 79-90, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.11.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.11.010)
- 599 Bartlett, D. W., Clough, J. M., Godwin, J. R., Hall, A. A., Hamer, M., and Parr-Dobrzanski, B., 2002 **The strobilurin fungicides**. *Pest Manage. Sci.: Fomerly Pestic. Sci.,* 58, 649-662, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.520.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.520)
- Bellina, R. F., and Fost, D. L., 1977 **Acaricidal and aphicidal 2-higher alkyl-3-hydroxy-1, 4-naphthoquinone carboxylic acid esters**. *German Patent DE,* 641, 343.
- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y., 1995 **Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing**. *J. R. Stat. Soc. B,* 57, 289-300, [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x)
- Caboni, P., Sarais, G., Melis, M., Cabras, M., and Cabras, P., 2004 **Determination of acequinocyl and hydroxyacequinocyl on fruits and vegetables by HPLC-DAD**. *J. Agric. Food Chem.,* 52, 6700-6702, [https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0487304.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0487304)
- D'Souza, A. R., and Minczuk, M., 2018 **Mitochondrial transcription and translation: overview**. *Essays Biochem.,* 62, 309-320, [https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20170102.](https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20170102)
- David, M. D., 2021 **The potential of pro‐insecticides for resistance management**. *Pest Manage. Sci.,* 77, 3631-3636, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6369.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6369)
- De Beer, B., Villacis-Perez, E., Khalighi, M., Saalwaechter, C., Vandenhole, M., Jonckheere, W., Ismaeil, I., Geibel, S., Van Leeuwen, T., and Dermauw, W., 2022 **QTL mapping suggests that both cytochrome P450-mediated detoxification and target-site resistance are involved in fenbutatin oxide resistance in** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 145, 103757, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2022.103757.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2022.103757)
- Dekeyser, M. A., 2005 **Acaricide mode of action**. *Pest Manage. Sci.: Fomerly Pestic. Sci.,* 61, 103-110, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.994.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.994)
- Demaeght, P., Dermauw, W., Tsakireli, D., Khajehali, J., Nauen, R., Tirry, L., Vontas, J., Lümmen, P., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2013 **Molecular analysis of resistance to acaricidal spirocyclic tetronic acids in** *Tetranychus urticae***: CYP392E10 metabolizes spirodiclofen, but not its corresponding enol**. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 43, 544-554, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.03.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.03.007)
- Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., and Feyereisen, R., 2020 **Diversity and evolution of the P450 family in arthropods**. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 127, 103490, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2020.103490.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2020.103490)
- Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Rombauts, S., Menten, B., Vontas, J., Grbić, M., Clark, R. M., Feyereisen, R., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2013 **A link between host plant adaptation and pesticide resistance in the polyphagous spider mite** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.,* 110, E113-E122, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213214110.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213214110)
- Di Rago, J. P., and Colson, A. M., 1988 **Molecular basis for resistance to antimycin and diuron, Q-cycle inhibitors acting at the Qi site in the mitochondrial ubiquinol- cytochrome c reductase in** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.,* 263, 12564- 12570, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)37792-5.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)37792-5)
- Di Rago, J. P., Coppee, J. Y., and Colson, A. M., 1989 **Molecular basis for resistance to myxothiazol, mucidin (strobilurin A), and stigmatellin: cytochrome b inhibitors acting at the center o of the mitochondrial ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase in**  *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.,* 264, 14543-14548, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)71712-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)71712-2)
- Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T. R., 2013 **STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner**. *Bioinformatics,* 29, 15-21, [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635)
- Feyereisen, R., 2006 **Evolution of insect P450**. Portland Press Ltd., [https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0341252.](https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0341252)
- Feyereisen, R., 2012 **Insect CYP genes and P450 enzymes**. *In* "Insect molecular biology and biochemistry", pp. 236-316. Elsevier, [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384747-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384747-8.10008-X) [8.10008-X.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384747-8.10008-X)
- Feyereisen, R., Dermauw, W., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2015 **Genotype to phenotype, the molecular and physiological dimensions of resistance in arthropods**. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.,* 121, 61-77, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2015.01.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2015.01.004)
- Flampouri, E., 2021 **Agrochemicals inhibiting mitochondrial respiration: Their effects on oxidative stress**. *In* "Toxicology", pp. 3-10. Elsevier, [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819092-0.00001-7) [12-819092-0.00001-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819092-0.00001-7)
- Fotoukkiaii, S. M., Mermans, C., Wybouw, N., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2020a **Resistance risk assessment of the novel complex II inhibitor pyflubumide in the polyphagous pest**  *Tetranychus urticae*. *J. Pest Sci.,* 93, 1085-1096, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-020-01213-x) [01213-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-020-01213-x)
- Fotoukkiaii, S. M., Tan, Z., Xue, W., Wybouw, N., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2020b **Identification and characterization of new mutations in mitochondrial cytochrome b that confer resistance to bifenazate and acequinocyl in the spider mite** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pest Manage. Sci.,* 76, 1154-1163, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5628.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5628)
- Fotoukkiaii, S. M., Wybouw, N., Kurlovs, A. H., Tsakireli, D., Pergantis, S. A., Clark, R. M., Vontas, J., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2021 **High-resolution genetic mapping reveals cis- regulatory and copy number variation in loci associated with cytochrome P450- mediated detoxification in a generalist arthropod pest**. *PLoS Genet.,* 17, e1009422, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009422.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009422)
- Georghiou, G. P., 1969 **Genetics of resistance to insecticides in houseflies and mosquitoes**. *Exp. Parasitol.,* 26, 224-255, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894\(69\)90116-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894(69)90116-7)
- Grosscurt, A., and Avella, L., 2005 **Bifenazate, a new acaricide for use on ornamentals in Europe and Africa**. *In* "Proceedings of the BCPC International Congress—Crop Science and Technology", pp. 49-56,
- Hall, T. A., 1999 **BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT**. *In* "Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.", Vol. 41, pp. 95-98. [London]: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979-c2000.,
- Hammock, B. D., and Soderlund, D. M., 1986 "**Chemical strategies for resistance management**," National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., [https://doi.org/10.17226/619.](https://doi.org/10.17226/619)
- Jeppson, L. R., Keifer, H. H., and Baker, E. W., 1975 "**Mites injurious to economic plants**," University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, California, [https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520335431.](https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520335431)
- Khajehali, J., Van Nieuwenhuyse, P., Demaeght, P., Tirry, L., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2011 **Acaricide resistance and resistance mechanisms in** *Tetranychus urticae* **populations from rose greenhouses in the Netherlands**. *Pest Manage. Sci.,* 67, 1424-1433, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2191.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2191)
- Khalighi, M., Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Bajda, S., Osakabe, M., Tirry, L., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2016 **Molecular analysis of cyenopyrafen resistance in the two‐spotted spider mite** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pest Manage. Sci.,* 72, 103-112, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4071.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4071)
- Khalighi, M., Tirry, L., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2014 **Cross‐resistance risk of the novel complex II inhibitors cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen in resistant strains of the two ‐ spotted spider mite** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pest Manage. Sci.,* 70, 365-368, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3641.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3641)
- Khambay, B. P., Batty, D., Beddie, D. G., Denholm, I., and Cahill, M. R., 1997 **A new group of plant ‐ derived naphthoquinone pesticides**. *Pestic. Sci.,* 50, 291-296, [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9063\(199708\)50:4<291::AID-PS604>3.0.CO;2-8.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199708)50:4%3c291::AID-PS604%3e3.0.CO;2-8)
- Kim, S. I., Koo, H.-N., Choi, Y., Park, B., Kim, H. K., and Kim, G.-H., 2019 **Acequinocyl resistance associated with I256V and N321S mutations in the two-spotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae)**. *J. Econ. Entomol.,* 112, 835-841, [https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy404.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy404)
- Koura, Y., Kinoshita, S., Takasuka, K., Koura, S., Osaki, N., Matsumoto, S., and Miyoshi, H., 1998 **Respiratory inhibition of acaricide AKD-2023 and its deacetyl metabolite**. *J. Pestic. Sci.,* 23, 18-21, [https://doi.org/10.1584/jpestics.23.18.](https://doi.org/10.1584/jpestics.23.18)
- Kurlovs, A. H., De Beer, B., Ji, M., Vandenhole, M., De Meyer, T., Feyereisen, R., Clark, R. M., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2022 **Trans-driven variation in expression is common among detoxification genes in the extreme generalist herbivore** *Tetranychus urticae*. *PLoS Genet.,* 18, e1010333, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010333.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010333)
- Ladoukakis, E. D., and Zouros, E., 2017 **Evolution and inheritance of animal mitochondrial DNA: rules and exceptions**. *J. Biol. Res.-Thessalon.,* 24, 1-7, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s40709-017-0060-4.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40709-017-0060-4)
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R., 2009 **The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools**. *Bioinformatics,* 25, 2078-2079, [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352)
- Lindroth, R. L., 1991 **Differential toxicity of plant allelochemicals to insects: roles of enzymatic detoxication systems**. *In* "Insect-plant interactions", pp. 1-34. CRC press, [https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203711699.](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203711699)
- Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S., 2014 **Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2**. *Genome Biol.,* 15, 1-21, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8)
- Lümmen, P., 2007 **Mitochondrial electron transport complexes as biochemical target sites for insecticides and Acaricids**. *In* "Insecticides design using advanced technologies", pp. 197-215. Springer, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-46907-0\\_8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-46907-0_8)
- Mota-Sanchez, D., and Wise, J. C., 2022 **Arthropods resistant to Pesticides Database (ARPD)**.
- Njiru, C., Xue, W., De Rouck, S., Alba, J. M., Kant, M. R., Chruszcz, M., Vanholme, B., Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2022 **Intradiol ring cleavage dioxygenases from herbivorous spider mites as a new detoxification enzyme family in animals**. *BMC Biol.,* 20, 1-23, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-022-01323-1.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-022-01323-1)
- Ochiai, N., Mizuno, M., Mimori, N., Miyake, T., Dekeyser, M., Canlas, L. J., and Takeda, M., 2007 **Toxicity of bifenazate and its principal active metabolite, diazene, to**  *Tetranychus urticae* **and** *Panonychus citri* **and their relative toxicity to the predaceous mites,** *Phytoseiulus persimilis* **and** *Neoseiulus californicus*. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.,* 43, 181-197, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-007-9115-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-007-9115-9)
- Riga, M., Bajda, S., Themistokleous, C., Papadaki, S., Palzewicz, M., Dermauw, W., Vontas, J., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2017 **The relative contribution of target-site mutations in complex acaricide resistant phenotypes as assessed by marker assisted backcrossing in** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Sci. Rep.,* 7, 1-12, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09054-y.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09054-y)
- Riga, M., Myridakis, A., Tsakireli, D., Morou, E., Stephanou, E. G., Nauen, R., Van Leeuwen, T., Douris, V., and Vontas, J., 2015 **Functional characterization of the Tetranychus urticae CYP392A11, a cytochrome P450 that hydroxylates the METI acaricides cyenopyrafen and fenpyroximate**. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 65, 91-99, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.09.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.09.004)
- Riga, M., Tsakireli, D., Ilias, A., Morou, E., Myridakis, A., Stephanou, E. G., Nauen, R., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., and Paine, M., 2014 **Abamectin is metabolized by CYP392A16, a cytochrome P450 associated with high levels of acaricide resistance in** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 46, 43-53, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.01.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.01.006)
- Robertson, J. L., and Preisler, H. K., 1992 "**Binary response with one explanatory variable**," Chapman and Hall, New York,
- Sauter, H., Steglich, W., and Anke, T., 1999 **Strobilurins: evolution of a new class of active substances**. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.,* 38, 1328-1349, [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1521-3773\(19990517\)38:10<1328::AID-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(19990517)38:10%3c1328::AID-ANIE1328%3e3.0.CO;2-1)[ANIE1328>3.0.CO;2-1.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(19990517)38:10%3c1328::AID-ANIE1328%3e3.0.CO;2-1)
- Scheffler, I. E., 2001 **A century of mitochondrial research: achievements and perspectives**. *Mitochondrion,* 1, 3-31, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-7249\(00\)00002-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-7249(00)00002-7)
- Snoeck, S., Kurlovs, A. H., Bajda, S., Feyereisen, R., Greenhalgh, R., Villacis-Perez, E., Kosterlitz, O., Dermauw, W., Clark, R. M., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2019 **High- resolution QTL mapping in** *Tetranychus urticae* **reveals acaricide-specific responses and common target-site resistance after selection by different METI-I acaricides**. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 110, 19-33, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.04.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.04.011)
- Snoeck, S., Wybouw, N., Van Leeuwen, T., and Dermauw, W., 2018 **Transcriptomic plasticity in the arthropod generalist** *Tetranychus urticae* **upon long-term acclimation to different host plants**. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genet.,* 8, 3865-3879, [https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200585.](https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200585)
- Sparks, T. C., Crossthwaite, A. J., Nauen, R., Banba, S., Cordova, D., Earley, F., Ebbinghaus- Kintscher, U., Fujioka, S., Hirao, A., and Karmon, D., 2020 **Insecticides, biologics and nematicides: Updates to IRAC's mode of action classification-a tool for resistance management**. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.,* 167, 104587, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2020.104587.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2020.104587)
- Sterck, L., Billiau, K., Abeel, T., Rouze, P., and Van de Peer, Y., 2012 **ORCAE: online resource for community annotation of eukaryotes**. *Nat. Methods,* 9, 1041-1041, [https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2242.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2242)
- Stone, B., 1968 **A formula for determining degree of dominance in cases of monofactorial inheritance of resistance to chemicals**. *Bull. W. H. O.,* 38, 325.
- Sugimoto, N., and Osakabe, M., 2019 **Mechanism of acequinocyl resistance and cross- resistance to bifenazate in the two-spotted spider mite,** *Tetranychus urticae* **(Acari: Tetranychidae)**. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.,* 54, 421-427, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-019-00638-w) [019-00638-w.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-019-00638-w)
- Van Leeuwen, T., and Dermauw, W., 2016 **The molecular evolution of xenobiotic metabolism and resistance in chelicerate mites**. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.,* 61, 475-498, [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023907.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023907)
- Van Leeuwen, T., Dermauw, W., Mavridis, K., and Vontas, J., 2020 **Significance and interpretation of molecular diagnostics for insecticide resistance management of agricultural pests**. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.,* 39, 69-76, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.03.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.03.006)
- Van Leeuwen, T., Stillatus, V., and Tirry, L., 2004 **Genetic analysis and cross-resistance spectrum of a laboratory-selected chlorfenapyr resistant strain of two-spotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae)**. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.,* 32, 249-261, [https://doi.org/10.1023/B:APPA.0000023240.01937.6d.](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:APPA.0000023240.01937.6d)
- Van Leeuwen, T., Tirry, L., and Nauen, R., 2006 **Complete maternal inheritance of bifenazate resistance in** *Tetranychus urticae* **Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) and its implications in mode of action considerations**. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 36, 869- 877, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.08.005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.08.005)
- Van Leeuwen, T., Tirry, L., Yamamoto, A., Nauen, R., and Dermauw, W., 2015 **The economic importance of acaricides in the control of phytophagous mites and an update on recent acaricide mode of action research**. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.,* 121, 12-21, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2014.12.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2014.12.009)
- Van Leeuwen, T., Van Nieuwenhuyse, P., Vanholme, B., Dermauw, W., Nauen, R., and Tirry, L., 2011 **Parallel evolution of cytochrome** *b* **mediated bifenazate resistance in the citrus red mite** *Panonychus citri*. *Insect Mol. Biol.,* 20, 135-140, [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2010.01040.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2010.01040.x)
- Van Leeuwen, T., Vanholme, B., Van Pottelberge, S., Van Nieuwenhuyse, P., Nauen, R., Tirry, L., and Denholm, I., 2008 **Mitochondrial heteroplasmy and the evolution of**

 **insecticide resistance: non-Mendelian inheritance in action**. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.,* 105, 5980-5985, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802224105.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802224105) Van Leeuwen, T., Vontas, J., Tsagkarakou, A., Dermauw, W., and Tirry, L., 2010 **Acaricide resistance mechanisms in the two-spotted spider mite** *Tetranychus urticae* **and other important Acari: a review**. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* 40, 563-572, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.05.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.05.008) van Lenteren, J. C., Bolckmans, K., Köhl, J., Ravensberg, W. J., and Urbaneja, A., 2018 **Biological control using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities**. *BioControl,* 63, 39-59, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9801-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9801-4) Van Nieuwenhuyse, P., Van Leeuwen, T., Khajehali, J., Vanholme, B., and Tirry, L., 2009 **Mutations in the mitochondrial cytochrome b of** *Tetranychus urticae* **Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) confer cross‐resistance between bifenazate and acequinocyl**. *Pest Manage. Sci.: Fomerly Pestic. Sci.,* 65, 404-412, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1705.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1705) Van Pottelberge, S., Van Leeuwen, T., Khajehali, J., and Tirry, L., 2009a **Genetic and biochemical analysis of a laboratory‐selected spirodiclofen‐resistant strain of**  *Tetranychus urticae* **Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae)**. *Pest Manage. Sci.: Fomerly Pestic. Sci.,* 65, 358-366, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1698.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1698) Van Pottelberge, S., Van Leeuwen, T., Nauen, R., and Tirry, L., 2009b **Resistance mechanisms to mitochondrial electron transport inhibitors in a field-collected strain of**  *Tetranychus urticae* **Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae)**. *Bull. Entomol. Res.,* 99, 23-31, [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485308006081.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485308006081) Vandenhole, M., Dermauw, W., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2021 **Short term transcriptional responses of P450s to phytochemicals in insects and mites**. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.,* 43, 117-127, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.12.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.12.002) Vlogiannitis, S., Mavridis, K., Dermauw, W., Snoeck, S., Katsavou, E., Morou, E., Harizanis, P., Swevers, L., Hemingway, J., and Feyereisen, R., 2021 **Reduced proinsecticide activation by cytochrome P450 confers coumaphos resistance in the major bee parasite Varroa destructor**. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.,* 118, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2020380118.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2020380118) Wakasa, F., and Watanabe, S., 1999 **Kanemite (acequinocyl): a new acaricide for control of various species of mites**. *Agrochem. Jpn.,* 75, 17-20. Wickham, H., 2016 **Data analysis**. *In* "ggplot2", pp. 189-201. Springer, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4\\_9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4_9) Wybouw, N., Kosterlitz, O., Kurlovs, A. H., Bajda, S., Greenhalgh, R., Snoeck, S., Bui, H., Bryon, A., Dermauw, W., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2019 **Long-term population studies uncover the genome structure and genetic basis of xenobiotic and host plant adaptation in the herbivore** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Genetics,* 211, 1409-1427, [https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301803.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301803) Xia, D., Yu, C.-A., Kim, H., Xia, J.-Z., Kachurin, A. M., Zhang, L., Yu, L., and Deisenhofer, J., 1997 **Crystal structure of the cytochrome bc1 complex from bovine heart mitochondria**. *Science,* 277, 60-66, 10.1126/science.277.5322.60. Xue, W., Lu, X., Mavridis, K., Vontas, J., Jonckheere, W., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2022 **The H92R substitution in PSST is a reliable diagnostic biomarker for predicting resistance to Mitochondrial Electron Transport Inhibitors of complex I in European populations of** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7007.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7007) Xue, W., Snoeck, S., Njiru, C., Inak, E., Dermauw, W., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2020 **Geographical distribution and molecular insights into abamectin and milbemectin cross‐resistance in European field populations of** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pest Manage. Sci.,* 76, 2569-2581, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5831.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5831)

- Xue, W., Wybouw, N., and Van Leeuwen, T., 2021 **The G126S substitution in mitochondrially encoded cytochrome** *b* **does not confer bifenazate resistance in the spider mite** *Tetranychus urticae*. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.,* 85, 161-172, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-021-00668-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-021-00668-6)
- Yang, X. H., and Trumpower, B. L., 1986 **Purification of a three-subunit ubiquinol- cytochrome c oxidoreductase complex from** *Paracoccus denitrificans*. *J. Biol. Chem.,* 261, 12282-12289, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)67236-9.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)67236-9)
- Yorulmaz Salman, S., and Sarıtaş, E., 2014 **Acequinocyl resistance in** *Tetranychus urticae*  **Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae): inheritance, synergists, cross-resistance and biochemical resistance mechanisms**. *Int. J. Acarol.,* 40, 428-435, [https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2014.944932.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2014.944932)

# 869 **8. Tables**

<b>Strain</b>	Acequinocyl				<b>Bifenazate</b>				
	$LC_{50}$ (95% CI) <sup>+</sup>	$Slope \pm SE$	$RR(95\% CI)^{\ddagger}$	$\mathbf{D}^{\S}$	$LC_{50}$ (95% CI)	$Slope \pm SE$	<b>RR</b> (95% CI)	D	
LON	$9.6(8.9-10)$	$4.45 \pm 0.33$			$1.2(1.1-1.4)$	$4.66 \pm 0.42$			
FP9	2700 (2100-3700)	$1.39\pm0.13$	290 (230-360)	$\blacksquare$	190 (140-230)	$1.85 \pm 0.13$	$150(130-180)$		
Line 1	$50(41-58)$	$3.33 \pm 0.25$	$5.2(4.6-5.9)$		$30(25-34)$	$3.04\pm0.23$	$25(22-28)$		
Line 2	$60(54-65)$	$3.61 \pm 0.26$	$6.2(5.6-7.0)$		$24(18-30)$	$2.72 \pm 0.20$	$20(17-23)$		
Line 3	$67(61-73)$	$4.21 \pm 0.30$	$7.0(6.3-7.8)$		$25(20-31)$	$2.81 \pm 0.21$	$21(18-24)$		
SR $( \varphi \times \mathcal{S})$	$14(12-17)$	$2.77 \pm 0.25$	$1.5(1.3-1.7)$	$-0.86$	$2.0(1.6-2.3)$	$2.90 \pm 0.22$	$1.6(1.4-1.8)$	$-0.81$	
RS $(2 x \delta)$	$130(110-150)$	$2.50 \pm 0.21$	$14(12-16)$	$-0.068$	44 (39-48)	$5.95 \pm 0.57$	$36(32-40)$	0.42	

870 **Table 1.** Toxicity of acequinocyl and bifenazate to female adults of two *Tetranychus urticae* strains and their crosses

871  $\pm$  1 lethal concentration 50 expressed as mg L<sup>-1</sup>, with 95% confidence interval (CI)

 $872$   $\phantom{0}$  # resistance ratio

§ 873 degree of dominance

		Acequinocyl			Acequinocyl-OH	
<b>Strain</b>	$LC_{50}$ <sup>†</sup> $(95\% \text{ CI})$	$Slope \pm SE$	$RR^{\ddagger}$ $(95\% \text{ CI})$	$LC_{50}$ $(95\% \text{ CI})$	$Slope \pm SE$	RR $(95\% \text{ CI})$
${\rm LON}$	$9.6(8.9-10)$	$4.45 \pm 0.33$		$1.7(1.4-1.9)$	$4.32 \pm 0.39$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
FP9	2700 (2100-3700)	$1.39{\pm}0.13$	290 (230-360)	>2000	$\blacksquare$	>1200
	<sup>†</sup> lethal concentration 50 expressed as mg $L^{-1}$ , with 95% confidence interval (CI)					
<sup>‡</sup> resistance ratio						

875 **Table 2.** Toxicity data of acequinocyl and its active metabolite, acequinocyl-OH, to female adults of two *Tetranychus urticae* strains

886 Table 3. Toxicity of acequinocyl, without and with synergist pretreatment (PBO, DEF, and DEM), to the susceptible LON and the resistant FP9 strain of *Tetranychus urticae*. strain of *Tetranychus urticae*.

<b>Synergist</b>	<b>LON</b>						
	$LC_{50}$ (95% CI) <sup>†</sup>	<b>Slope</b> $(\pm SE)$	$SR (95\% CI)^{\ddagger}$	$LC_{50}$ (95% CI)	Slope $(\pm SE)$	<b>SR (95% CI)</b>	$RR (95\% CI)^{s}$
$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$11(10-12)$	$5.92 \pm 0.54$		2100 (1700-2700)	$1.53 \pm 0.16$		200 (160-250)
<b>PBO</b>	$11(10-11)$	$8.75 \pm 0.85$	$1.0(0.95-1.1)$	$190(170-210)$	$3.11 \pm 0.30$	$11(9.0-15)$	$18(16-20)$
<b>DEF</b>	$15(14-15)$	$11.6 \pm 1.1$	$0.74(0.69-0.79)$	290 (250-340)	$2.48 \pm 0.26$	$7.3(5.6-9.4)$	$20(17-23)$
<b>DEM</b>	$12(12-13)$	$11.2 \pm 1.0$	$0.89(0.83-0.95)$	820 (680-1000)	$2.09 \pm 0.22$	$2.6(2.0-3.4)$	$67(58-78)$

888  $\pm$  1 lethal concentration 50 expressed as mg L<sup>-1</sup>, with 95% confidence interval

889  $\pm$  synergism ratio: LC<sub>50</sub> without synergist relative to LC<sub>50</sub> with synergist

890  $\frac{\$}{\$}$  resistance ratio: LC<sub>50</sub> of FP9 relative to LC<sub>50</sub> of LON with the same pretreatment

891

- 893
- 894
- 
- 895
- 896
- 897
- 898
- 899



900 **Table 4.** GO enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes ( $|Log2FC|>1$ , BH adjusted p-value < 0.05) in the resistant FP9 strain with (FP9<sub>ace</sub>) or without (FP9<sub>unexp</sub>) exposure to acequinocyl versus the refere  $(FP9<sub>ace</sub>)$  or without  $(FP9<sub>unexp</sub>)$  exposure to acequinocyl versus the reference strain LON and versus each other.



### **9 Figure captions:**

 **Figure 1.** Geographical distribution of the surveyed *Tetranychus urticae* field populations in Europe and observed cytochrome *b* target-site resistance mutations. Additional details can be found in Table S1

**Figure 2.** A) Alignment of the amino acid sequences of the  $O_0$  site of cytochrome *b* from nine organisms. Accession numbers: spider mites *Tetranychus urticae* (EU345430) and *Panonychus citri* (HM367068), the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* (CAB91062), the bird *Gallus gallus* (AAO44995), human *Homo sapiens* (AAX15094), the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (CAA47966), the protozoan parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* (NP\_059668), the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (ABS28693) and the ascomycete fungus *Venturia inaequalis* (AAC03553). Amino acids that are identical or similar are shaded with black or gray, respectively. Triangles above 915 the sequences represent the location of point mutations related to  $Q_0$  inhibitor resistance in spider mites. The square represents the mutation investigated in present study. **B)** Summary of 917 validated *cytb* mutations in Q<sub>o</sub> sites that are associated with acequinocyl and bifenazate resistance in spider mites. The substitution combination investigated in present study is 919 underlined. °, this mutation was initially reported as I256V combined with N321S (N321S not 920 in the  $Q_0$  pocket).  $*$ , G126S mutation alone is a neutral mutation for  $Q_0$ I resistance, but its contribution in mutation combinations is as yet unknown. Additional information related to 922 phenotypic strength, reciprocal crosses, and congenic lines can be found in Table S5

 **Figure 3.** Acequinocyl and bifenazate concentration-mortality curves of parental crosses and repeated backcrossing experiments. **A)** Acequinocyl data for LON (Susceptible reference), FP9 925 (Resistant strain), LON<sup> $\varphi$ </sup> × FP9<sup>3</sup> cross (SR), and FP9<sup> $\varphi$ </sup> × LON<sup>3</sup> cross (RS). The corrected 926 mortality of the backcrossed strain RS R ((FP9<sup> $\varphi$ </sup> × LON<sup>3</sup>) × FP9<sup>3</sup>) is also shown, together with the theoretical concentration-response curve under the hypothesis of a single nuclear gene **B)** Bifenazate data for LON, FP9 and reciprocal cross strains. **C)** Acequinocyl toxicity data for three near-isogenic lines harboring the cytochrome *b* mutation combination G126S + L258F, and the parental strains LON and FP9. **D)** Bifenazate toxicity data for three near-isogenic lines and their parental strains

 **Figure 4.** Transcriptome analysis of the acequinocyl resistant strain FP9 with/without continuous selection pressure. **A)** Principal component analysis (PCA) based on the gene expression profiles of the susceptible reference strain LON, the acequinocyl-selected FP9 strain 935 under continuous acequinocyl exposure (FP9<sub>ace</sub>) and the FP9 strain without acequinocyl

- exposure (FP9unexp). PC1 and PC2 are represented on the x- and y-axis, respectively, with the percentage of variance explained by each PC shown in parenthesis. **B)** Venn diagram representing the overlap of differentially expressed genes (|Log2FC| > 1, Benjamini Hoghberg 939 adjusted p-value  $\leq$  0.05) between LON, FP9<sub>ace</sub> and FP9<sub>unexp</sub>. Indicated in red are the upregulated genes (Log2FC > 1), while downregulated genes (Log2FC < -1) are shown in blue. **C)** Volcano 941 plot indicating differentially expressed genes ( $|Log2FC| > 1$ , Benjamini Hoghberg adjusted p- value < 0.05) between FP9unexp and LON. **D)** Scatterplot of the shared differentially expressed 943 genes ( $|Log2FC| > 1$ , Benjamini Hoghberg adjusted p-value < 0.05) between  $FP9_{ace}$  and  $FP9_{unexp}$ . In panel C and D, differentially expressed genes belonging to detoxification or transporter gene families (ABCs, CYPs, CCEs, DOGs, GSTs, MFS, SDRs, or UGTs) are color-coded according to the legend
- **Figure 5.** Metabolism of bifenazate by CYP392A11. **A)** HPLC chromatogram of control reactions in the absence of an NADPH regenerating system, displaying no change of the initial bifenazate compound after 2 hours of incubation (eluting at 4.9 min). **B)** HPLC chromatogram showing NADPH-dependent bifenazate depletion (eluting at 4.9 min) and the parallel formation of one unknown metabolite, the metabolite M1 (eluting at 4.075 min)
- **Figure 6.** Parallel reaction monitoring of protonated bifenazate (upper panel) and its metabolite M1, proposed to be hydroxyl-bifenazate (lower panel). Suggested chemical structures of product ions for bifenazate precursor (A. m/z 170.0969 and B. m/z 198.0926) and possible hydroxylation sites shown by product ions of the metabolite-M1 precursor (C. m/z 186.0922 and D. m/z 214.0873). Hydroxylation results in a mass difference of +16 Da between the parental bifenazate and its metabolite M1. This is reflected in the bifenazate (A and B) and M1 metabolite (C and D) product ions formed by collision induced dissociation as they have a 16 959 Da difference  $(A \stackrel{+16}{\rightarrow} C, B \stackrel{+16}{\rightarrow} D)$ , supporting that hydroxylation occurs on the aromatic ring system.
- **Figure S1. A**. Extracted ion chromatograms for ions with m/z values of 301.1549 and 317.1496, obtained using high resolution full scan MS, corresponding to bifenazate and its metabolite produced by CYP392A11, respectively. Upper panel: After 2 hours of incubation of bifenazate (eluting at 3.49 min) in the absence of an NADPH regenerating system. Lower panel: After 2 hours of incubation of bifenazate in the presence of an NADPH regenerating system, demonstrating the formation of metabolite M1 (eluting at 2.61 min). **B.** Accurate mass spectra obtained using HPLC-electrospray ionization. Upper panel: High-resolution mass of protonated
- bifenazate at m/z 301.1549. Lower panel: High-resolution mass of the protonated bifenazate
- 969 metabolite M1 at m/z 317.1496. Also shown are the assigned elemental composition and the
- corresponding mass accuracy in ppm.













