Dynamic regulation of GOLVEN-RGI signaling in the root cap guarantees correct gravitropic bending
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ONE-SENTENCE SUMMARY
GOLVEN-RGI signaling in lateral root cap cells plays an important role in root gravitropism by regulating PIN2 plasma membrane abundance.
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ABSTRACT

Throughout exploration of the soil, roots interact with their environment and adapt to different conditions. Directional root growth is guided by asymmetric molecular patterns but up to date it is only poorly understood how these become established or dynamically regulated. Asymmetric gradients of the phytohormone auxin are established during root gravitropism, mainly through directional transport mediated by polarized auxin transporters. Upon gravistimulation, PIN2 is differentially distributed and accumulates at the lower root side to facilitate asymmetric auxin transport up to the elongation zone where it inhibits cell elongation. GOLVEN (GLV) peptides have been shown to function in gravitropism by affecting PIN2 abundance in epidermal cells. In addition, GLV signaling through the RGF1 INSENSITIVE (RGI) receptors regulates root apical meristem maintenance. Here, we show that GLV-RGI signaling in these two processes can be mapped to different cells in the root tip and that in the case of gravitropism it operates mainly in the lateral root cap (LRC) to maintain PIN2 levels at the plasma membrane. Furthermore, we found that the GLV signaling upregulates the phosphorylation level of PIN2 in an RGI-dependent manner. In addition, we demonstrated that the RGI5 receptor is asymmetrically distributed in the LRC and accumulates in the lower side of the LRC after gravistimulation. Asymmetric GLV-RGI signaling in the root cap likely accounts for differential PIN2 abundance at the plasma membrane to temporarily support auxin transport up to the elongation zone thereby representing an additional level of control on the asymmetrical auxin flux to mediate differential growth of the root.

INTRODUCTION

Root tropic responses are essential for plant survival since they account for directed growth toward vital resources such as water and nutrients and to move away from unfavorable conditions. Directional growth changes imply the establishment of asymmetric molecular patterns across tissues in the root tip which are only partially understood. From all plant tropic responses, the gravitropic response is probably the most extensively studied, although many aspects remain elusive. In roots, gravity perception is known to occur in statoliths containing columella cells present in the central part of the root cap (Leitz et al., 2009; Levernier et al., 2021). The actual response (curvature formation), however, takes place in the root elongation zone as a consequence of differential cell expansion between the lower and upper side (Wolverton et al., 2002; Miller et al., 2007). The spatial separation between gravity perception and response in the root suggests that active signaling is essential for gravitropism.

The phytohormone auxin serves as a signal molecule and plays a crucial role during plant gravitropism. Misalignment of the main root axis with respect to the gravity vector (also called gravistimulation) triggers amyloplast sedimentation and redirection of auxin gradients to the lower organ side (Friml et
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al., 2002; Kramer and Bennett, 2006; Band et al., 2012; Su et al., 2017). The latter can be monitored by the use of auxin reporters, such as those containing the synthetic auxin-responsive promoter DR5 (Ottenschlager et al., 2003). These auxin gradients are generated by the activity of auxin transport proteins. After root gravistimulation, PIN-FORMED (PIN) 3 and PIN7 present in columella cells are polarized to the downwards oriented cell membrane, redirecting auxin fluxes to the lower root side (Friml et al., 2002; Kleine-Vehn et al., 2010). Auxin is then transported through the lateral root cap (LRC) and epidermal cells by AUX1 and PIN2 up to the elongation zone, where its accumulation inhibits cell elongation leading to the gravicurvature and reoriented growth towards gravity (Swarup et al., 2005; Su et al., 2017). After gravistimulation, PIN2 was found to be asymmetrically distributed in the root with more PIN2 at the lower side as a consequence of differential intracellular trafficking and PIN2 degradation between the upper and lower root sides (Abas et al., 2006; Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008; Leitner et al., 2012). Accordingly, chemical inhibition of PIN2 degradation led to impaired root gravitropism (Abas et al., 2006; Leitner et al., 2012). However, the molecular basis involved in determining or maintaining PIN2 asymmetry is not well understood. PIN2 distribution was shown to be regulated by Rho-like GTPase from Plants 6 (ROP6)-GTPase (Lin et al., 2012) and a recent report showed that a signaling pathway involving members of the TRANSMEMBRANE KINASE (TMK) receptors family and the MEMBRANE ASSOCIATED KINASE REGULATOR2 (MAKR2) likely acts upstream of ROP6 during gravitropism (Marques-Bueno et al., 2021).

In recent years, an increasing number cell-to-cell communication molecules have been uncovered in plants including endogenous peptides shown to participate in most plant developmental processes (Hirakawa and Sawa, 2019; Kaufmann and Sauter, 2019; Olsson et al., 2019; Jourquin et al., 2020; Jeon et al., 2021). The GOLVEN (GLV) peptides, also called CLE-LIKE (CLEL) or root meristem growth factors (RGFs), have been proposed previously to regulate root and hypocotyl gravitropism (Matsuzaki et al., 2010; Meng et al., 2012; Whitford et al., 2012). Accordingly, overexpression of several GLV genes results in disturbed root growth patterns including exaggerated waving and curling, from which the name GOLVEN (meaning “waves” in Dutch) is derived (Meng et al., 2012; Whitford et al., 2012; Fernandez et al., 2013). GLV3 peptide treatment or gene overexpression was previously shown to affect PIN2 plasma membrane (PM) levels in root epidermal cells (Whitford et al., 2012). GLV peptides were also found to regulate root apical meristem (RAM) maintenance via regulating the levels of the transcription factors PLETHORA 1 and 2. A triple rgf1rgf2rgf3 (corresponding to glv5glv7glv11) has reduced RAM size and transit amplifying cell number (Matsuzaki et al., 2010). Mutants in the TYROSYLPROTEIN SULPHOTRANSFERASE (TPST) gene, defective in the production of sulfonated and thus, bioactive GLV peptides, display similar phenotypes (Matsuzaki et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2010). RGF1 INSENSITIVEs (RGIs) receptors (also called RGFR), a subfamily of five leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinases (LRR-RLK), were identified as receptors for GLV/RGF peptides (Ou et al., 2016; Shinohara et al., 2016; Song et al., 2016) and SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS
RECEPTOR KINASEs (SERKs) serve as co-receptors of the pathway (Song et al., 2016; Ou et al., 2022). Higher order RGI mutants display similar RAM defects as rgf and tps mutants. It is however, not known whether GLV function in root gravitropic responses is also mediated by the RGI receptors. Furthermore, it is at the moment unclear whether GLV signaling during these two processes is uncoupled or part of a chain of events. Here we show that RGI receptors also mediate GLV signaling in root tropic responses. We found that RGI5 is expressed and asymmetrically distributed after gravistimulation in LRC cells and that GLV-RGI signaling in the root cap is essential for gravitropism but not for RAM maintenance. We propose that asymmetric GLV-RGI signaling in the LRC accounts for transient PM PIN2 abundance at the lower root side, thereby ensuring efficient auxin transport to the elongation zone during gravitropic responses.

RESULTS

GLV Signaling Positively Regulates Root Gravitropism in an RGI Dependent Manner

We previously reported on the overexpression of GLV genes resulting in altered root gravitropism (Whitford et al., 2012; Fernandez et al., 2013). Still, how GLV signaling participates in the delicate root gravitropism regulatory network remains unresolved. To get insight in this process, we determined the gravitropic curvature of GLV receptor loss-of-function (lof) mutants by quantifying the gravitropic bending angles upon gravistimulation. Among the five receptor genes, RGI3 and RGI4 exhibited low or no expression in root tip (Ou et al., 2016; Shinohara et al., 2016; Song et al., 2016), for that reason, we only focused on RGI1, RGI2 and RGI5. No difference between wild type and rgi1 or rgi2 mutants could be measured while both rgi5 mutants showed a weaker gravitropic bending (Figure 1, A and B). The disruption of root gravitropism observed in the rgi5-1 mutant could be restored by the expression of the RGI5-GFP/Venus protein driven by its native promoter (Figure 1, A and C). Moreover, the gravitropic defect of rgi5 mutants was further enhanced in a triple rgi1rgi2rgi5 (referred to as rgi125) mutant combination (Figure 1, A and B). These results demonstrate RGI1, RGI2 and RGI5 function redundantly during root gravitropism while the analysis of single lof mutants revealed RGI5 to play a major role in this process.

To verify whether or not the deviating gravitropic response was due to a defect in the GLV-RGI signaling pathway we also measured the gravitropic bending angle in the tps-1 mutant, a defective mutant in the GLV peptide maturation pathway. Again, a decreased bending angle was observed (Figure 1, A and D). Furthermore, we introduced an estradiol-inducible GLV6OE line (iGLV6) (Fernandez et al., 2020) into the rgi1rgi5 mutant and confirmed that upon estradiol induction, the GLV6OE-associated agravitropic phenotype was strongly suppressed (Figure 1, E and F). Additionally, rgi125 appeared to be insensitive to GLV11p treatment and suppressed the GLV11p induced root agravitropic phenotype.
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(Figure 1, A and G). Together, these data suggest that GLV signaling positively regulates root gravitropism in an RGI dependent manner.

Root gravitropism involves, in order of appearance, amyloplast mediated gravity sensing, signal transduction, and differential cell expansion (Su et al., 2017). To determine in which of these process(es) GLV signaling might participate, we performed root gravitropism assays in a time-course comparing wild type with rgi5-1. rgi5-1 showed diminished gravitropic bending in the period from three to six hours post-gravitistimulation, while no differences were detected during the first two hours (Figure 1H). rgi5-1 is thus most likely not defective in gravity sensing, which is known to affect root gravitropic bending also during the first two hours (Wolverton et al., 2011). Furthermore, we did not observe reduced starch granule accumulation in columella cells that could be associated to the reduced root gravitropic response of rgi125 mutants. Instead rather an increase in starch accumulation was apparent in rgi125 columella cells as well as in the RAM region. These data argue for the attenuated root gravitropic bending in GLV defective mutants not to be provoked by defective gravity sensing due to less starch accumulation. In addition, no cell length differences between wild type and rgi125 were measured in the young root differentiation zone indicating the observed reduced root gravitropic bending angles in rgi mutants cannot be attributed to a general defect in cell elongation (Supplemental Figure S1).

**RG15 Shows Asymmetric Distribution during Root Gravitropism**

Next, we analyzed in detail the expression of RG11, RG12 and RG15 in the different RAM cell layers by making use of promoter-GFP lines (RG11pro:NLS-2XGFP, RG12pro:erGFP, RG15pro:NLS-2XGFP). RG11 and RG12 were mainly expressed in the endodermis, cortex, and epidermis with RG11 also in columella cells, while RG15 appeared primarily to show expression in lateral root cap (LRC) cells (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure S2A). Furthermore, translational fusion constructs of RG11 and RG15 to GFP or Venus were transformed into the corresponding rgi mutants (RG1pro:RG1-GFP or Venus/rgi) and microscopically analyzed. Localization of both the RGI proteins overlapped with the sites of promoter activity, except that RG11-GFP was not found in columella cells, indicating the protein might not be stable in these cells under the assayed growth conditions (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure S2B). Remarkably, we noticed that RG15-GFP was not always evenly distributed in LRC with one side of the root cap showing stronger RG15-GFP levels. More precisely, we observed the RG15-GFP signal to accumulate at the concave side of LRC during root waving (Figure 2B and Supplemental Movie S1). To determine if this pattern is specific to RG15 or the consequence of asymmetry in the root cap due to morphological changes during root growth, we imaged another plasma membrane localized protein BRI1-mCitrine in pBRI1-BRI1-mCitrine/bri1 plants during root waving. Unlike RG15-GFP, the BRI1-mCitrine signal was equally distributed at both LRC sides in still and time-lapse imaging (Figure
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2B and Supplemental Movie S2). The observed asymmetry in RGI5-GFP levels therefore most likely represents dynamics in RGI5 protein abundance in the LRC during root growth.

We next analyzed the distribution of the RGI5-GFP/Venus protein after gravistimulation. An enrichment of the RGI5-GFP/Venus signal in the LRC at the lower root side confirmed by the calculation of the lower/upper RGI5-GFP/Venus ratios could be observed (Figure 2, C and D). To further confirm preference of RGI5 to the lower side of gravistimulated roots, we performed time-lapse imaging after two consecutive gravistimulation steps. More specifically, we first gravistimulated roots by turning the Petri-dish clockwise (+ 90 degrees) for 4 hours and subsequently turned it back (counter-clockwise 90 degrees) to 0 degrees (Figure 2E). During the first gravistimulation, the roots made a curvature while RGI5-GFP was accumulating at the lower side. When returning the Petri-dishes to the original orientation and due to the established curvature, the lower side now becomes the upper side. Time-lapse imaging showed that the signal flipped from this new upper to the new lower LRC after the second gravistimulus (Figure 2F and Supplemental Movie S3). These data demonstrate the distribution of RGI5 is affected by the direction of gravity and RGI5 preferably accumulates at the lower side during root gravitropism.

In addition, RGI5 expression has been reported to be induced by auxin (Fernandez et al., 2020). Therefore, we questioned if the RGI5 asymmetry could be caused by auxin-induced RGI5 expression. To answer this, we compared the kinetics upon gravistimulation of the auxin marker DII-Venus, RGI5-GFP and the RGI5 transcriptional reporter, RGI5pro:NLS-2XGFP. First, the auxin marker rapidly became asymmetrically distributed already after half an hour of gravistimulation. Secondly, the RGI5-GFP asymmetry became apparent at 2 hours post-gravistimulation. Third, RGI5 transcription also displayed asymmetric but only after 4 hours gravistimulation, which was much later than RGI5 protein asymmetry (Figure 2G). RGI5 protein asymmetry thus seems to be formed ahead of higher RGI5 expression but is following the induced auxin asymmetry at the lower root side, suggesting RGI5 asymmetry is the consequence of dynamic regulation of de novo synthesis and protein degradation at both sides of the root. Even though RGI5 asymmetry followed the auxin asymmetry in terms of time, exogenous application of the auxin analogue 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) or the auxin transport inhibitor naphthylphtalamic acid (NPA) failed to break RGI5 asymmetry (Supplemental Figure S3, A-E), indicating the RGI5 asymmetry is most probably not a direct result of the auxin asymmetry. Consistently, RGI5 asymmetry was also unaffected in pin2 lof mutant, in which the auxin gradient is impaired (Supplemental Figure S3, F and G).

**GLV-RGI signaling in the LRC is necessary for root growth patterns but not for RAM maintenance.**
The asymmetrically distributed RGI5 in LRC cells prompted us to investigate whether activation of the GLV-RGI pathway in these cells is necessary for root gravitropism and accounts for the defects observed in the *lof* mutants. At the same time, we intended to answer whether the function of GLV-RGI signaling in root apical meristem (RAM) maintenance as described earlier (Ou et al., 2016; Shinohara et al., 2016; Song et al., 2016) can also be mapped to these or other RAM cell layers. For this, we expressed an RGI1-Venus fusion protein in *iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* using the root cap specific promoter SOMBRESO (*SMB*) (Willemsen et al., 2008). Alternatively, the RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN 5A (*RPS5A*) promoter was used because it shows expression in dividing cells in RAM but no or low expression in QC and LRC cells (Weijers et al., 2001). The *rgi1rgi5* mutant background was chosen as it displayed mild defects in both root gravitropism and RAM size (Figure 1, A and B, and Supplemental Figure S4). Finally, RGI1 was preferred above RGI5 because RGI5-GFP driven by a 35S promoter, although detected in LRC cells, was absent from other RAM cells, suggesting RGI5 may be more stable in LRC cells (data not shown).

We obtained 13 *SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* and 7 *RPS5Apro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* independent T2 lines. Confocal imaging confirmed that RGI1-Venus expression was restricted to LRC in *SMB*-driven lines while for *RPS5A*-driven lines, RGI1-Venus was detected in the root meristem and some inner LRC cells but not in the outermost LRC and columella cells (Figure 3A). We then assessed the capacity to complement the root gravitropism or decreased RAM size observed in *iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* mutant without estradiol. The result showed that all *SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* lines (13/13 lines) complemented the root gravitropism while *RGI1-Venus* expression under *RPS5A* promoter did not in most of the independent lines (6/7 lines) (Figure 3, B and C, and Supplemental Figure S5), confirming that RGI expression in LRC cells is sufficient to complement root gravitropism in this mutant. Interestingly, analysis of RAM size in these lines showed a different result. The RAM size defect in the mutant was not complemented in *SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* (0/13 lines), but was complemented in *RPS5pro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* lines (7/7 lines) (Figure 3, D and E). These results indicate that GLV-RGI function in root gravitropism and RAM maintenance can be uncoupled to different RAM cell types and demonstrate that the root gravitropism defect in the mutant is not merely the consequence of reduced number of meristematic cells. Furthermore, the LRC (and especially the outermost LRC cells) seems to be important for GLV-RGI signaling in root growth patterns but not for maintaining meristematic activity. Remarkably, RGI1-Venus driven by the *SMB* promoter also showed asymmetric distribution and enrichment at the lower LRC side upon gravistimulation (Supplemental Figure S6). In addition, by expressing *RGI1-Venus* in the root cap in a *rgi125* mutant background (*SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/rgi125*), gravitropic defects largely disappeared while the RAM size was not affected (Supplemental Figure S7), confirming RGI mediates the control of separate developmental programs that take place in different cells layers. A detailed time-course of the gravitropic bending assay revealed enhanced bending in *SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/rgi125* compared to *rgi125* from three to six hours post-gravistimulation (Figure 3F). This observation
is in accordance with the time-course of the gravitropic bending comparing wild type with *rgi5-1* mutants, suggesting the GLV signaling in the root cap is rather involved in gravity signal transmission to the elongation zone and curvature response than gravity perception.

**GLV-RGI signaling positively regulates PIN2 PM levels and efficient auxin efflux mainly from the LRC.**

Auxin is the dominant messenger during root gravitropism. In this process, rootward auxin is redistributed in columella cells and transferred shootwardly to the elongation zone where it differentially regulates cell expansion to form the root gravitropic bend. Therefore, we analyzed cellular auxin responses as a proxy for auxin distribution in wild type, *rgi5-1* and *rgi125* mutants. The ratio of the DR5-GFP signal (lower versus upper root side) followed a similar pattern in all genotypes during the first hours after gravistimulation, however, a stronger auxin asymmetry was detectable at 6 hours of gravistimulation in *rgi5-1* and *rgi125* mutants (Figure 4A). The longer lasting DR5-GFP signal at the lower root side in the mutants suggests RGI signaling might be contributing to the auxin efflux from the LRC cells to the elongating epidermal/cortical cells. In line of this thought, the difference of cortical cell lengths between the upper and lower in the elongation zone was reduced in *rgi5-1* compared to wild type (Supplemental Figure S8). These data indicate RGI signaling might be involved in supporting the shootward auxin flux during gravitropism thereby controlling an adequate effect on cell elongation.

Auxin distribution in the root tip is largely determined by auxin transporters. Thus, we introduced several PIN-GFPs, as well as AUX1-YFP, translational fusions into the *rgi125* background by crossing. No difference was observed for AUX1-YFP or PIN1 between the mutant and the wild type (Supplemental Figure S9, A and B). We observed a small increase in PIN3-GFP signal in *rgi125* while PIN3-GFP showed an asymmetric distribution upon 0.5-hour gravistimulation similarly to that observed in the wild type root (Supplemental Figure S9, C-E). These results indicate the reduced root gravitropism in *rgi125* is most likely not due to a defective PIN3 relocalization and suggest gravity sensing and early signal transduction is not affected in GLV signaling mutants.

Detailed analysis of the PIN2-GFP signal revealed a small but significant reduction in *rgi125* epidermal cells (Figure 4, B and C). Strikingly, a clear decrease in the PIN2-GFP signal was observed in *rgi125* LRC cells, which was further confirmed by PIN2 immunolocalization assays (Figure 4, D, E and F). Similar to the *rgi125* mutant, the PIN2-GFP signal was also strongly decreased in the LRC and reduced to some extent in epidermal cells of the *tpst-1* mutant (Figure 4, D and F). We tested whether the PIN2-GFP signal in *tpst-1* could be restored by exogenously supplying different sulfonated synthetic GLV peptides. Addition of GLV10p and GLV11p, but not GLV3p, GLV5p and GLV6p, partially complemented the LRC PIN2-GFP signal in *tpst-1* (Figure 4, D and F). This complementation was dose-
dependent and 50 nM of GLV11p appeared to be sufficient (Supplemental Figure S10A). Interestingly, GLV3p and GLV6p fully complemented the epidermal PIN2 PM signal, while only partial complementation was obtained with the other peptides (Figure 4F), probably reflecting different affinities of GLVp for the RGI receptors in different cell types. In contrast to the *tpst-1* mutant, the PIN2-GFP signal at the PM could not be restored in the *rgi125* mutant (Figure 4, D and F). Together, these results indicate that GLV-RGI signaling is important to keep PIN2 PM abundance, especially in LRC cells.

PIN2 is asymmetrically distributed during gravitropism accumulating at the lower root side to facilitate auxin fluxes (Sato et al., 2015). This PIN2 asymmetry is commonly and most evidently quantified in epidermal and cortical cells (Abas et al., 2006; Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008; Baster et al., 2013; Paris et al., 2018; Retzer et al., 2019; Marques-Bueno et al., 2021). While our data suggest RGI5 to function mainly in the LRC, we focused on this tissue and confirmed asymmetry (lower versus upper side of the root) of PIN2 also in the LRC (Figure 4, G and I). Next, to study how GLV signaling affects PIN2 distribution during the root gravitropic response, we examined PIN2 distribution when GLV signaling was impaired. However, because the *rgi125* showed a very weak PIN2-GFP signal in LRC, we quantified PIN2-GFP in the *rgi5-1* single mutant. PIN2-GFP still accumulated in the LRC at lower side of the root, but the asymmetry seemed to be less pronounced when compared to wild type (Figure 4H). Furthermore, we tested whether exogenous GLV peptide treatment could interfere with PIN2 distribution during gravitropism. In agreement with our complementation experiments, GLV6p treatment disrupted PIN2 asymmetry in epidermal cells but not in the LRC while GLV11p treatment disturbed PIN2 asymmetry in the LRC and delayed it in epidermal cells (Figure 4, G and I). These data show that balanced GLV signaling contributes to asymmetric PIN2 stabilization during gravitropic responses.

**GLV-RGI signaling regulates PIN2 phosphorylation levels**

The level of PIN2 polarity can be valued by determining the ratio of apical-to-lateral or basal-to-lateral PIN2-GFP signals (Glanc et al., 2018). Reduction in apical-to-lateral PIN2-GFP signal ratio was found in epidermal cells of *rgi125* (Figure 4B, and Supplemental Figure S10B), suggesting PIN2 polarity was less pronounced in this mutant. PIN2 is constantly recycling between the PM and endomembrane compartments and its vesicle trafficking back to PM can be disturbed by Brefeldin A (BFA) treatment. Both GLV6p and GLV11p treatment inhibited the formation of PIN2 BFA bodies. Moreover, this effect was not observed in the *rgi125* mutant, which itself showed an increase in PIN2 BFA bodies (Supplemental Figure S10, C and D). A similar result was observed when GLV11p and co-treatments with the translation inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) were performed (Supplemental Figure S10, E and F). These data indicate that GLV signaling is involved in the regulation of PIN2 cycling likely affecting both PIN2 abundance and polarity at the PM.
PIN2 phosphorylation regulates PIN2 polarity, subcellular dynamics, and activity (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010; Barbosa et al., 2018; Han et al., 2021). The observed changes in PIN2 abundance, polarity and trafficking upon altered GLV signaling prompted us to examine if GLV signaling regulates PIN2 phosphorylation levels. Therefore, we examined PIN2-GFP migration as an indication of phosphorylated and unphosphorylated PIN2 abundance in microsomal fractions in the presence and absence of GLV11p using the PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/eir1-4 line. We observed a prominent increase in the proportion of phosphorylated PIN2-GFP after GLV11p treatment (Figure 5, A and B). To further verify whether the intensity of the differential band corresponded to increased GLV-mediated PIN2-GFP phosphorylation, we performed a phosphatase treatment of the samples which resulted in a strong reduction in the upper PIN2-GFP band (Supplemental Figure S10G). This result further confirmed that the differences observed in PIN2-GFP migration could be attributed to different phosphorylation states between peptide-treated and untreated samples. In addition, we treated wild type and rgi125 mutant with GLV11p and detected PIN2 in microsomal fractions with an anti-PIN2 antibody using a Phos-tag gel. Again, we found the proportion of phosphorylated PIN2 was increased after GLV11p treatment in wild type roots and this increase appeared to be suppressed in the rgi125 mutant background (Figure 5, C and D).

Taken together, the physiological, microscopic, and biochemical data collectively suggest that a fine-tuned GLV-RGI signaling modulates root gravitropism by regulating PIN2-dependent auxin transport mainly in LRC cells, presumably by regulating PIN2 phosphorylation status.

DISCUSSION

GLV peptides were previously shown to function in RAM homeostasis and gravitropism (Matsuzaki et al., 2010; Whitford et al., 2012; Fernandez et al., 2013) but it was not clear whether both functions were entirely linked or represented two independent GLV-mediated processes. Here we showed that both processes depend on RGI receptors but take place in different cells of the RAM. GLV signaling in the LRC is in agreement with the established function of this cell layer in gravitropic responses (Swarup et al., 2005; Su et al., 2017).

Strikingly, we observed that the RGI5 receptor is expressed in the LRC and asymmetrically distributed in this tissue during root gravistimulation. Kinematic analysis revealed that asymmetric auxin distribution was preceding the RGI5 expression asymmetry suggesting the unequal auxin distribution between upper and lower side of the root is instructive for the asymmetric RGI5 distribution. However, at the protein level, RGI5 asymmetry was detected ahead of asymmetric expression of RGI5, and our data indicated that the RGI5 asymmetry could not be disturbed by pharmacologically interfering with auxin distribution in the root tip. Together, the RGI5 asymmetry seem to be regulated by gravity perception but was not the direct consequence of the asymmetric auxin distribution in the root tip upon
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Gravistimulation. How this asymmetry is formed in the process of gravitropism remains unknown. One possibility is a secondary messenger downstream of gravity sensing would affect RGI5 protein stability. Recent studies revealed unequal cytosolic calcium ion (Ca\(^{2+}\)) signaling, extracellular PH changes, nitric oxide, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) distributions in the root upon gravistimulation (Monshausen et al., 2011; Krieger et al., 2016; Paris et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2022). PHOSPHOGLUCOMUTASE (PGM) controls starch-biosynthetic in columella cells and pgm-1 mutant exhibits reduced root gravitropism (Caspar and Pickard, 1989). The LAZY1-LIKE (LZY) and RCC1-like domain (RLD) proteins are other factors functioning downstream of amyloplast sedimentation and playing a role in the early steps of gravity signaling (Taniguchi et al., 2017; Yoshihara and Spalding, 2017; Furutani et al., 2020). In addition, ALTERED RESPONSE TO GRAVITY 1 (ARG1) and ARG1-LIKE 2 (ARL2) were found to act at the early steps of gravity sensing and signal transduction in a distinct pathway involving in amyloplast sedimentation and also affect PIN3 relocalization upon gravistimulation (Guan et al., 2003; Harrison and Masson, 2008). Thus, some of these components could be potentially involved in the establishment of RGI5 asymmetry and their interconnection with the GLV pathway remains to be studied. Comparative analysis of RGI5 distribution between wild type and mutants in the aforementioned pathways such as the starchless mutant pgm, the lzy mutants, and arg1-2 mar2-1 mutant would offer more information to understand the mechanism that regulates RGI5 asymmetry.

Our data indicates that GLV signaling is likely not acting at the level of gravity sensing in columella cells since PIN3-GFP asymmetry was not affected in the rgi125 mutant. We noticed a slightly enhanced PIN3-GFP signal and potentially increased starch granules in rgi125 columella cells. However, this can be secondary consequence of reduced ROS due to GLV-RGI defect or auxin accumulation in columella cells (due to a defect in auxin transport though LRC) rather than the cause of the observed root gravitropic defect (Pasternak et al., 2005; Blomster et al., 2011; Yamada et al., 2020). Auxin was shown to regulate starch biosynthesis and previous report showing the pin2 mutant exhibited higher auxin accumulation and increased starch granules accumulation in columella cells are in agreement with this hypothesis (Zhang et al., 2019). In agreement with previous results (Whitford et al., 2012), our data corroborates that GLV-RGI signaling plays an important role in regulating PIN2 abundance at the PM. More importantly, by using lof mutants, not available at the time of the previous study, our analysis revealed a major function for this pathway in the LRC rather than the epidermis, although a minor effect in epidermal cells was also observed. The (partial) complementation of PIN2 abundance in the tpst-1 mutant by the addition of sulfonated GLV10p and GLV11p at the nanomolar concentrations corresponds with the measured binding affinity of GLV11p/RFG1p to the RGI receptors (Song et al., 2016). Since supplementing GLVp to the medium only partially complemented PIN2 levels in the tpst-1 mutant, additional sulfonated molecules (possibly other peptides) may also be required. The use of lof mutants also demonstrated that
GLV-RGI signaling is needed to keep a critical level of PIN2 at PM in LRC cells which is likely necessary for rapid and sharp gravitropic responses.

The dynamic of PIN proteins is known to be highly regulated by phosphorylation and for now, many PIN phosphorylation regulators have been characterized. The D6 PROTEIN KINASE, PINOID and WAG1 and WAG2 directly phosphorylate PIN2 at serine 222 (S222), S237, S258 and S310 to activate PIN2 auxin transport activity (Zourelidou et al., 2014). Also, PINOID/WAG1/WAG2 controls PIN2 apical polar localization by regulating PIN2 phosphorylation (Dhonukshe et al., 2010). MAP KINASE KINASE 7 (MKK7) and its downstream target kinases MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE 3 (MPK3) and MPK6 phosphorylate PIN1 to control PIN1 polarity (Jia et al., 2016). MKK7-mediated PIN1 phosphorylation sites are also conserved in PIN2 at threonine 233 (T233), T254 and T306. Membrane-localized proteins are also found to regulate PIN2. CDPK-RELATED KINASE 5 directly phosphorylates PIN2 and regulate PIN2 abundance on plasma membrane to control its polarity and trafficking. Accordingly, the crk5-1 mutant exhibited reduced PIN2 abundance and changed PIN2 polarity in epidermal and cortical cells, thus disturbed root gravitropism (Rigo et al., 2013). The CRK5 related PIN2 phosphorylation sites are not known yet, but CRK5 has shown to phosphorylate PIN1, PIN4 and PIN7 at the sites that are also conserved in PIN2 (S258, S259, S301 and S302) (Baba et al., 2019). CANALIZATION-RELATED AUXIN-REGULATED MALECTIN-TYPE RLK (CAMEL) was reported to phosphorylate PIN1 to regulate PIN1 trafficking and polarity at sites that are also conserved in PIN2 (T192, T240, S246, T263 and S319) (Hajny et al., 2020). Activated TMK1 phosphorylates MARK2 which then releases from TMK1 to cytosol and negatively regulates ROP6 to control PIN2 dynamic distribution upon gravitropism (Marques-Bueno et al., 2021). Our data show that GLV-RGI signaling controls PIN2 PM abundance, likely by upregulating PIN2 phosphorylation although the identity of GLV-mediated PIN2 phosphorylation sites need further investigation. One possibility is that RGI receptors, upon GLV perception, directly phosphorylate PIN2.. The other possibility is that RGI receptors indirectly regulates PIN2 phosphorylation via one of the factors mentioned above. For example, MPK6 is a known downstream effector of the GLV pathway (Fernandez et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Shao et al., 2020) and may phosphorylate PIN2 when GLV-RGI signaling is activated.

The positive GLV-RGI regulation of PIN2 PM abundance and the observed RGI5 asymmetry coinciding with PIN2 accumulation is in line with a model in which asymmetric GLV-RGI signaling is needed to maintain PIN2 PM levels and auxin transport up to the elongation zone at the concave root side during root directional growth. Such a temporal endorsement of auxin efflux into the elongation zone might be required to obtain well balanced levels of auxin and the appropriate degree of cell elongation in the elongation zone at the lower side of the root. This hypothesis is supported by the exaggerated bending resulting in root curling when GLV signaling is experimentally boosted such as in GLV overexpression
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lines and upon GLV peptide treatment and, vice versa, by the reduced root waving and gravitropic response in mutants with reduced GLV signaling such as tps1 and rgi125.

In summary, we hypothesize the existence of a peptide receptor signaling in the LRC that contributes to an appropriate degree of bending during root growth. Important questions, however, remain unanswered and it will be challenging to determine the driving factor behind the RGI5 protein asymmetry as well as to identify which cells in the RAM, by secreting GLV peptides, are triggering RGI signaling in the LRC.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Growing conditions and plant material

*Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col 0) seedlings were sown on solid ½ MS medium (Duchefa Biochemie B.V.) containing 1% sucrose (VWR), 0.1 g l\(^{-1}\) Myo-inositol (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 g l\(^{-1}\) 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) (Duchefa Biochemie B.V.) and 1.0% Plant Tissue Culture Agar (Lab M, MC029). Seed were stratified for at least 2 days at 4 °C and plants were grown at 21 °C under continuous light conditions.

Transcriptional reporters for RGI1 and 5 (*RGIpro:NLS-2XGFP*) were previously reported (Fernandez et al., 2020). The *RGI2pro-erGFP* (also called *proRCH1-erGFP*) was previously described and kindly donated by Prof. Reinze Heidstra (Santuari et al., 2016). To generate the *RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP* or Venus construct, the RGI5 coding sequence was PCR amplified from root cDNA with flanking attB1 and B2 recombination sites and cloned into pDONR221 to generate pEN-L1-RGI5-L2. The previously described *pEN-L4-RGI5pro-R1* entry clone (Fernandez et al., 2020), *pEN-L1-RGI5-L2* and *pEN-R2-F-L3* (Karimi et al., 2007) or *pEN-R2-Venus-L3* (Mylle et al., 2013) were recombined into the *pB7m34GW* vector using LR recombination reaction (Invitrogen) to generate the *RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP* or Venus constructs. These were transformed into the rgi5-1 mutant by floral dipping. To generate the *RGI1pro:RGI1-GFP* the genomic RGI1 sequence (from ATG to stop) was amplified and cloned into pDONR221. The resulting entry cloned was recombined with *pEN-L4-RGI1pro-R1* (Fernandez et al., 2020), *pEN-R2-F-L3* and *pB7m34GW*. The *RGI1pro:RGI1-GFP* was transformed into the rgi1-1 mutant.

The SMB promoter (3071 bp) in pDONR P4P1r was kindly donated by Prof. Moritz Nowack. The *pEN-L4-RPS5A-R1*, containing the RPS5A promoter (1689 bp before ATG) and the *pEN-L1-RGI1-R2*, containing the RGI1 coding sequence, entry clones have been previously described (Fernandez et al., 2020). The *pEN-L4-RPS5Apro-R1* or *pEN-L4-SMBpro-R1* were recombined with the *pEN-L1-RGI1-L2* and the *pEN-R2-Venus-L3* in the *pB7m34GW-FAST* vector by LR recombination reaction (Invitrogen) to generate the *RPS5Apro:SMBpro:RGI1-Venus* cassette that was transformed into the *iGLV6/rgi1/rgi5* or *rgi125* lines. GFP-positive T2 seeds were selected with Leica-GFP bino and were used for root apical meristem (RAM) size measurement or gravitropic bending assay.
The *rgi1-1* (Salk_020659), *rgi1-2* (Salk_040393), *rgi5-1* (Salk_058918), *rgi5-2* (Salk_014726) and *tpst-1* (SALK_009847) mutant lines, as well as, the *iGLV6* line were previously reported (Fernandez et al., 2020). The *rgi2-1* (Salk_038309) (Song et al., 2016), *rgi2-2* (Salk_096206) (Shinohara et al., 2016) have been previously described and were ordered from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center (NASC). The *PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/eir1-4* (Xu and Scheres, 2005), *PIN3pro:PIN3-GFP/pin3-2* (Zadnikova et al., 2010), *AUX1pro:AUX1-YFP/aux1-22* (Swarup et al., 2004), *BRI1pro::BRI1-mCitrine/bir1* (Jaillais et al., 2011) transgenic lines, *eir1-1* (Luschnig et al., 1998) mutants have been previously described. The *rgi1rgi5*, *rgi2rgi5*, *rgi125*, *iGLV6/rgi1rgi5*, *DR5pro:GFP/rgi5-1*, *DR5pro:GFP/rgi125*, *PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/rgi125*, *PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/rgi5-1*, *PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/tpst-1*, *PIN3pro:PIN3-GFP/tpst-1*, *PIN3pro:PIN3-GFP/rgi125*, *AUX1pro:AUX1-YFP/rgi125*, and *RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1/eir1-1* were obtained by crossing.

**Peptides treatments**

The GLV3p: RDD(SO$_3$)YPIYSKPRRKPVVNN, GLV5p: DY(SO$_3$)WKPRHHP(HYP)KNN, GLV6p: DY(SO$_3$)RTFRRRRPVHN, GLV10p DY(SO$_3$)PKPSTRPRRH, and GLV11p: D(SO$_3$)YSNPGHHPRHN were synthesized from a commercial provider (GenScript; [http://www.genscript.com/](http://www.genscript.com/)). Peptides were dissolved in potassium phosphate buffer (PH = 5.7) and added to medium at the indicated concentrations.

**Phenotypic analysis**

Seedlings at 4 day grown on medium supplemented or not with peptides or estradiol were quickly pulled up by the cotyledons to align the root with the vertical, then rotated 90 degrees and were kept in dark. For gravitropism assays, plates were scanned after 6 hours gravitropism response. For kinetic analysis of gravitropic bending angles, plates were scanned every hour after gravistimulation. The bending angle was measured with ImageJ. Plots were generated with [https://rmtrane.shinyapps.io/RootNav/](https://rmtrane.shinyapps.io/RootNav/)

**Confocal microscopy**

All confocal imaging was performed with a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope except time-lapse imaging after two consecutive gravistimulation for Figure 2F and Supplemental Movie S3 that were performed with a Zeiss 900 confocal microscope set up to image vertical root growth. To measure RAM size, the cell wall from 5 day roots was stained with Propidium iodide (PI). To image starch in the root, mPS-PI staining was performed as described (Truernit et al., 2008). For cell length measurement in the beginning
of root differentiation zone, the ClearSee protocol was performed in 5 dag seedlings as previously described (Kurihara et al., 2015) and calcoflour white (Sigma) was used for cell wall staining. To measure cell elongation after gravistimulation, 4 dag root were rotated 90 degrees for 3 hours. Cell walls were stained by adding 45 μg μl⁻¹ PI solution on the plates. After 3 min, samples were transferred to growth chamber and imaged. The following settings were used to image fluorophores: GFP, 488 nm laser for excitation and 490 - 550 nm for detection; Venus, 514 nm laser for excitation and 519 - 543 nm for detection; mCitrine, 514 nm laser for excitation and 520 - 621 nm for detection; PI, 514 nm laser for excitation and 566 - 719 nm filter for detection; calcofluor white, 405 nm laser for excitation and 410 - 524 nm filter for detection.

The PIN2-GFP signal was quantified in the PM of the LRC or epidermal cells in median confocal images using ImageJ (five to twelve cells per root were used). Z-stacks were obtained to generate 3D projections. To determine the percentage of PIN2 on the PM, the GFP signal in the PM was divided by the total GFP signal (PM + intracellular signal). To measure PIN2 polarity index in epidermal cells, apical GFP signal in the PM was divided by lateral GFP signal. For peptide complementation experiments, PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/wt, PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/tpst-1 and PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/rgi125 seeds were germinated on solid MS medium for 6 days then transferred to peptide-free medium or medium containing 100nM of the indicated GLV peptide. After 48 hours treatment, samples were imaged. Similarly, PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/tpst-1 seedlings were transferred to medium supplemented with GLV11p at different concentrations as indicated. To quantify LRC PIN2 distribution in rgi5-1 background, 6 dag PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/wt and PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/rgi5-1 were imaged at 0 and 4 hours post-gravistimulation. To determine PIN2 distribution with GLV peptide treatment after gravistimulation, 6 dag PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/eir1-4 seedlings were transferred from ½ MS plates to ½ MS plates with 100 nM GLV6p, 100 nM GLV11p or no peptide and were rotated 90 degrees after 1 hour treatment. Roots were imaged 0, 2 and 4 hours after gravistimulation. To detect BFA bodies, 6 dag PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/wt or PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/rgi125 seedlings were transferred from ½ MS plates to liquid ½ MS containing no peptide, 100 nM GLV6p or GLV11p for 1 hour. 25 μM BFA were added and samples were imaged after 30 min. To eliminate newly synthesized PIN2 accumulation in BFA bodies, PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/eir1-4 seedlings were transferred to liquid ½ MS contains 50 μM CHX for 1 hour treatment. After CHX pretreatment, no peptide or 100 nM treatment was added for 1 hour followed by 25 μM BFA treatment for half hour before imaging. Average PIN2 BFA bodies were quantified from 8 to 15 cells per root.

To image RGI5-GFP asymmetry during root waving, 5 dag vertically grown RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1 or pBRII1-BRI1-mCitrine/bir1 seedlings were transferred into an imaging chamber (Thermo Scientific) and kept slanted 45 degrees. After 12 hours acclimatization samples were imaged every hour for up to 24 hours.
To determine RGI5-GFP asymmetry after gravistimulation, 6 dag vertically grown \textit{RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1} or \textit{RGI5pro:RGI5-Venus/rgi5-1} seedlings were rotated 90 degrees and roots were imaged 0, 2 and 4 hours after gravistimulation. GFP/Venus fluorescent intensity in the LRC was measured with ImageJ in a similar area at the lower and upper sides of the root and the lower/upper GFP signal ratio was calculated. In non-gravistimulated seedlings used as control, a similar measurement was performed in left and right sides of the root. RGI1-Venus distribution in \textit{SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/rgi1rgi5} was determined in a similar way. To determine RGI5-GFP distribution upon gravitropism, 4 dag \textit{RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1} or \textit{RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1/eir1-1} roots were aligned with the vertical. After 1 hour, plates were rotated 90 degrees and roots were imaged at 0 and 4 hours after gravistimulation. To measure the kinetics of auxin distribution, RGI5 protein distribution and RGI5 transcriptional changes upon gravitropism, 6 dag \textit{DII-Venus, RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1} and \textit{RGI5pro:NLS-2XGFP} seedlings were rotated 90 degrees and plates were imaged at each time point. For NAA and NPA treatments, seedlings were sprayed with liquid $\frac{1}{2}$ MS (same composition as before without agar) supplemented with 10 nM 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), 10 nM naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA) or an equal volume of DMSO (Dimethyl sulfoxide) and incubated for one hour before gravistimulation.

To quantify the DR5pro:GFP asymmetry, 6 dag \textit{DR5pro:GFP} seedlings in the wild type, \textit{rgi5-1} and \textit{rgi125} mutant background were processed as described above and imaged every hour after gravistimulation. Lower/upper GFP signal ratio was calculated by measuring the GFP signal with ImageJ in the LRC cells above the QC.

To quantify the PIN3-GFP asymmetry, 4 dag \textit{PIN3pro:PIN3-GFP/wt} and \textit{PIN3pro:PIN3-GFP/rgi125} seedlings were imaged at 0 and 0.5 hours gravistimulation. Lower/upper GFP signal ratio was calculated by measuring the GFP signal with ImageJ at lower outer and upper outer columella cells as described by Peter Grones (Grones et al., 2018).

\textbf{Immunolocalization assays.}

To detect PIN1 or PIN2 by immunolocalization, 4 dag seedlings were harvested and immunolocalization was performed as previously described (Karampelias et al., 2018) with goat anti-PIN1 (1:600) or rabbit anti-PIN2 (1:600) as primary antibodies and AlexaFluor488 donkey anti-goat (1:600) (A-11055, ThermoFisher) or AlexaFluor555 donkey anti-rabbit (1:600) (A-31572, ThermoFisher) as secondary antibodies, respectively. AlexaFluor488 was imaged with 488 nm laser for excitation and 525 - 580 nm for detection. AlexaFluor555 was imaged using 561 nm laser for excitation and 580 - 680 nm for detection.
PIN2 phosphorylation assays

To determine the phosphorylation level of PM PIN2 or PIN2-GFP protein, roots tips from 6 dag wild type and rg125 seedlings or PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/eir1-4 seedlings were harvested after 8 hours mock or 100 nM GLV11p treatment. Microsomal extraction was performed according to Abas and Luschnig (Abas and Luschnig, 2010), with modifications. Roots were ground and 100 μl of extraction buffer (EB) [Tris-HCl (pH = 7.5, 55 mM), D-sorbitol (27.5% W/V), sodium fluoride (NaF, 55 mM), sodium molybdate (Na2MoO4, 1.1 mM), cOmplete™ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), PhosStop (Roche) and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)] was added per mg of tissue. After vortexing, samples were transferred into tubes with insoluble polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP, 50 μg per gram of tissue) that had been previously equilibrated with EB. After 5 min incubation on ice with intermittent vortex, samples were centrifuged at 600 g for 3 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred to another tube and the pellet was extracted again twice with half and one-third of the initial EB volume, respectively. All supernatants were pooled. Finally, the pellet was centrifuged at 2,000 g for 30 s at 4 °C, the supernatant was added to the previous supernatant pool, mixed by vortex, and centrifuged at 600 g for 3 min at 4 °C. Then, the supernatant was transferred to a new tube, mixed with an equal volume of water, and aliquotted into different tubes (100 μl each). After centrifuged at 21,000 g for 2 hours at 4 °C, the supernatant was discarded and the microsomal pellet was washed with 150 μl wash buffer [Tris-HCl (pH = 7.5, 20 mM) and PMSF (1 mM)] and centrifuged at 21,000 g for 45 min at 4 °C. For PIN2 phosphorylation analysis in wild type and rg125, the pellet was resuspended in 1.5 μl of sample buffer (Laemmli Sample Buffer, Biorad) per initial mg of tissue, then centrifuged at 21,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C and the supernatant (equivalent to 10 mg starting material) was loaded into Phos-tag precast gels (FUJIFILM Waco Chemicals). For PIN2-GFP phosphorylation analysis, the microsomal pellet was resuspended in buffer containing Tris-HCl (PH = 7.5, 10 mM), sodium chloride (NaCl, 150 mM), glycerol (10% V/V), Triton X-100 (1% V/V), NaF (20 mM), cOmplete™ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, PhosStop and PMSF (1 mM). Samples were centrifuged at 21,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C and protein concentration was measured with Qubit protein assay kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific) in the supernatant. PIN2-GFP was immunoprecipitated with GFP-trap magnetic agarose beads (gtma, Chromotek) following the manufacturer instructions. For phosphatase treatment, PIN2-GFP proteins bound to GFP-trap beads were washed with 500 μl beads washing buffer [Tris-HCL (PH = 7.5, 10 mM) and NaCl (150 mM)] for 4 times and then were treated with reaction buffer containing 1 μl (400 U) of Lambda Protein Phosphatase (Lambda PP, NEB) for 15 min at 30 degrees. As control, samples added reaction buffer without Lambda PP were also treated for 15 min at 30 degrees. The reaction was stopped by washing the column with beads washing buffer for 4 times. Immunoprecipitates were loaded into Phos-tag precast gels and transferred to PVDF membranes.

PIN2 protein was detected with anti-PIN2 antibody (1:500)(Abas et al., 2006) followed by secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (1:10000, NA934V, GE Healthcare).
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Anti-Tubulin (1:10000, T5168-100UL, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as loading control followed by secondary HRP-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (1:10000, NA931, GE Healthcare). PIN2-GFP protein was detected with anti-GFP antibody (1:5000, ab290, Abcam) followed by secondary HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (1:10000, NA934V, GE Healthcare). Quantification of protein signaling was performed using ImageJ software.

Statistical analysis

Comparisons of RAM size and fluorescence intensities between genotypes and/or treatments were performed via one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s test, or two-way ANOVA followed by a Šidák test. To compare the fluorescent intensity ratio during gravitropism, a student’s T-test were performed on the log2 transformed ratio value for pairwise comparisons and a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test was performed on the log2 transformed ratio value in case of multiple comparisons. To compare the root bending angle during gravitropism between different genotypes or treatments, a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed for pairwise comparisons or in case of multiple comparisons, a Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn’s test were performed. To compare the mean PIN2-GFP signal at the plasma membrane, and the average PIN2 BFA bodies per cell with CHX treatment, a student’s T-test was performed. For the comparison of lower/upper DR5pro:GFP ratio between the wild type and rgi5-1 and wild type and rgi125 background, a student’s T-test was performed on the log2 transformed ratio value.
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Figure 1. GLV signaling positively regulates root gravitropism in RGI dependent manner. A and E, Representative images of 4 days after germination (dag) seedlings after 6 hours of gravistimulation. Images at the right show a higher magnification of the bending root tip (framed in the left image). 100 nM GLV11p (A) or 2 μM estradiol (E) were used. Bar represents 1 cm. B, Root gravitropic bend in rgi mutants. Distribution of the root bending angle after gravistimulation for the indicated genotypes represented as nightingale plots (bin = 10°). Dunn test, preceded by Kruskal-Wallis test, was performed when comparing wild type to rgi mutants and comparing rgi5-1 mutant to rgi1rgi5, rgi2rgi5 and rgi125. *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0001, and ns indicates no significant difference (P < 0.05). Experiments were done
in three replicates with similar results. **C**, Expression of *RGI5-GFP/Venus* driven by its native promoter in *rgi5-1* complemented its gravitropism defect. Distribution of the root bending angle after gravistimulation for the indicated genotypes represented as nightingale plots (bin = 10°). Dunn test is preceded by Kruskal-Wallis test. *P < 0.05* and ns indicates no significant difference (P < 0.05). Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. **D**, Root gravitropic bend in *tpst-1* mutant. Distribution of the root bending angle after gravistimulation for the indicated genotypes represented as nightingale plots (bin = 10°). Significant differences were determined using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, *P < 0.05*. Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. **F**, *iGLV6/rgi1rgi5* suppressed *GLV6*OE induced root agravitropic phenotype upon estradiol treatment. Distribution of the root bending angle after gravistimulation for the indicated genotypes/treatment represented as nightingale plots (bin = 10°). 2 μM estradiol were used. Significant differences were determined using two-way ANOVA and followed by Sidak test. **PP < 0.01** and ns indicates no significant difference (P < 0.05). This experiment was done two times with similar results. **G**, *rgi125* suppressed *GLV11p* induced agravitropic phenotype upon *GLV11p* treatment. Distribution of the root bending angle after gravistimulation for the indicated genotypes represented as nightingale plots (bin = 10°). 100 nM *GLV11p* were used. Dunn test is preceded by Kruskal-Wallis test. ****P < 0.0001* and ns indicates no significant difference (P < 0.05). Experiments were done in three replicates with similar results. **H**, Time-course analysis of gravitropic curvature in wild type and *rgi5-1* mutant. Significant differences between wild type and *rgi5-1* in each time point were determined using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, *P < 0.05* and **P<0.01*. Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. Values represent means ± SEM.
Figure 2. RGI5 is asymmetrically distributed in LRC. A, Confocal image of RGI5 transcriptional reporter. Images at the right show higher magnification of the framed area (LRC cells) in left images. Cells were counter-stained with propidium iodide (PI). NLS: nuclear localization signal. Scale bars represent 50 μm. B, Confocal images of RGIspro:RGII5-GFP/rgi5-1 and pBRI1-BRI1-mCitrine/bri1 roots bending left or right during root waving. Rainbow images display high or low levels GFP/mCitrine signal as indicated. Scale bars represent 50 μm. C, Confocal images of RGIspro:RGII5-GFP or Venus roots after 4 hours gravistimulation. Arrows indicate the direction of gravity after gravistimulation and arrowheads point out RGI5 accumulation. Bars represent 50 μm. D, Quantification of the lower/upper
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GFP signal ratio in LRC cells after gravistimulation (2 and 4 hours) compared to non-gravistimulated seedlings (0 h). For the latter, the left/right GFP signal ratio is shown. Log-transformation was used after the ratio quantification. Individual data points for each seedling are shown. Significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test. Lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between different time points (P < 0.05). Each experiment was done with two independent transgenic lines (9-11 and 11-2) that showed strongest GFP signal, and was repeated four times with similar results. E, Schematic representation of the performed experiment comprising two consecutives gravistimulation steps. Vertically grown RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1 seedlings were turned 90 degrees clockwise for 4 hours (1st gravistimulation) then turned back (2nd gravistimulation) and immediately imaged. F, Confocal images of RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP/rgi5-1 roots after the 2nd gravistimulation step in the experiment described in (E). Arrows indicate gravity direction after the 1st and 2nd gravistimulation steps. Arrowheads indicated the RGI5 accumulation. This experiment was done four times with similar results. Bar represents 50 μm. G, Time-course analysis of upper/lower Venus signal ratio of DII-Venus and lower/upper GFP signal from RGI5pro:RGI5-GFP and RGI5pro:NLS-2XGFP. Significant differences between each time point and time=0 were determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher’s LSD test. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001. This experiment was done two times with similar results.
Figure 3. GLV-RGI signaling in the LRC is required for root gravitropism but not for RAM maintenance.

A, Confocal images of SMBpro:RGII-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5 and RPS5Apro:RGII-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5 root tips. Images at the right show higher magnification of the framed area (LRC cells) in left images. Cells were counter-stained with propidium iodide (PI). Arrowheads in RPS5Apro:RGII-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5 root tip indicate the LRC cells where Venus was not detected. Scale bar represents 50 μm. B, Representative images of 4 dag seedlings after 6 hours of gravistimulation. Images at the right show a higher magnification of the bending root tip (framed in the left image). Bar represents 1 cm. C, Quantification of gravitropic bending angle in the iGLV6/rgi1rgi5 mutant.
transformed with RGI1-Venus expressed in the LRC (SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5) or other meristematic cells (RPS5Apro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5). Dunn test is preceded by Kruskal-Wallis test. Lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between genotypes (P < 0.05). Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. D, Complementation of the root apical meristem (RAM) size defect in the iGLV6/rgi1rgi5 mutant by RGI1-Venus expression in the LRC (SMB promoter) or other meristematic cells (RPS5A promoter). Confocal images show cells stained with PI in 5 dag seedlings. Arrowheads indicate the end of RAM. Bar represents 50 μm. E, RAM size quantification in the iGLV6/rgi1rgi5 mutant transformed with RGI1-Venus expressed in the LRC (SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5, abbreviated as SMBpro) or other meristematic cells (RPS5Apro:RGI1-Venus/iGLV6/rgi1rgi5, abbreviated as RPS5Apro). Significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA and followed by Tukey test. Lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between genotypes (P < 0.05). Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. F, Time-course analysis of gravitropic curvature in rgi125 and SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/rgi125 mutant. Significant differences between rgi125 and SMBpro:RGI1-Venus/rgi125 mutant in each time point were determined using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, *P < 0.05 and **P<0.01. Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. Values represent means ± SEM.
Figure 4. GLV-RGI signaling positively regulates PIN2 PM levels and efficient auxin efflux mainly from the LRC. A. Time-course analysis of the lower/upper DR5pro:GFP signal ratio in wild type, rgi5-1 and rgi125 mutant. Log-transformation was used after the ratio quantification. Significant differences between wild type to rgi5-1 and rgi125 mutant at each time point were determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Turkey’s test. *P<0.05 and ***P<0.001. This experiment was done two times with similar results. B. Median confocal sections of PIN2-GFP in the wild type and mutant background. Inset shows a higher magnification of epidermal and cortical cells in the framed area. Bars represent 50 μm. C,
GOLVEN signaling and root gravitropism

Quantification of the mean PIN2-GFP signal at the plasma membrane (PM) alone (left) or normalized by the total PIN2-GFP signal in the cell (right). Significant differences were determined using a student’s T-test. ****P<0.0001. Experiments were done with three replicates with similar results. D, Confocal images of PIN2-GFP in the wild type or mutants background germinated or not in medium supplemented with GLV peptides (100 nM) as indicated. The upper row images show 3D projections. The lower two rows show median sections of PIN2-GFP (green) roots counterstained with PI (red). Arrowheads and arrows point out LRC cells. Bars represent 50 μm. E, Confocal images of PIN2 detected by immunolocalization. Arrowheads point out LRC cells. Bars represent 20 μm. F, Complementation of the PIN2-GFP signal in the tpst-1 or rgi125 mutants on the PM of LRC cells (left) or epidermal cells (right). Roots were transferred to the medium containing 100 nM of the different peptides or peptide-free medium for 48 hours as indicated. Significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test. Lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between different treatments (P < 0.05). Experiments were done twice with similar results. G, Confocal images of 4 hours gravistimulated PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/eir1-4 root tips after mock, GLV6p or GLV11p treatment. Arrows indicate the direction of gravity after gravistimulation. Arrowheads indicate LRC cells with high PIN2 level on PM. 100 nM GLVp were used. Bars represent 20 μm. H, Quantification of the PIN2-GFP signal ratio between left and right (0 h) or lower and upper (4 h) sides in LRC cells. Log-transformation was used after the ratio quantification. Significant differences were determined using a student’s T-test. *P<0.05. Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. I, Quantification of the PIN2-GFP signal ratio between left and right (0 h) or lower and upper (2 and 4 hours) sides in LRC cells and epidermal cells with no peptide (Mock), 100 nM GLV6p or 100 nM GLV11p treatment. Log-transformation was used after the ratio quantification. Individual data points for each seedling are shown. Significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 and ns indicates no significant difference (P < 0.05). Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results.
Figure 5. GLV-RGI signaling regulates PIN2 phosphorylation levels. A, GLV11p treatment increased the proportion of phosphorylated PIN2-GFP. PIN2-GFP from microsomal fraction of PIN2pro:PIN2-GFP/eir1-4 root tips treated with or without 100 nM GLV11p was immunoprecipitated and separated in a Phos-tag gel, then analyzed by western blot with anti-GFP antibody. The IP input was separated onto an SDS-PAGE gel and PIN2-GFP protein was detected by anti-GFP antibody. Experiments were done in two replicates with similar results. B, Quantification of pPIN2-GFP/PIN2-GFP band intensity ratio in western blot with Phos-tag gel. Individual data points for each experiment are shown. C, GLV11p treatment increased the proportion of phosphorylated PIN2 in wild type, but not in rgi125. Protein in the microsomal fraction from rgi125 and wild type root tips treated with or without 100 nM GLV11p were separated in a Phos-tag gel and analyzed by western blot with anti-PIN2 antibody. Anti-tubulin antibody was used as loading control. Experiments were done in four replicates with similar results. D, Quantification of relative pPIN2/PIN2 ratio change after GLV11p treatment. Individual data points for each experiment are shown.